

Schedules and the Delta Conjecture

James Haglund and Emily Sergel

August 14, 2019

Abstract

In a recent preprint, Carlsson and Oblomkov (2018) obtain a long sought after monomial basis for the ring DR_n of diagonal coinvariants. Their basis is closely related to the “schedules” formula for the Hilbert series of DR_n which was conjectured by the first author and Loehr (2005) and first proved by Carlsson and Mellit (2018), as a consequence of their proof of the famous Shuffle Conjecture. In this article we obtain a schedules formula for the combinatorial side of the Delta Conjecture, a conjecture introduced by the first author, Remmel and Wilson (2018) which contains the Shuffle Conjecture as a special case. Motivated by the Carlsson-Oblomkov basis for DR_n and our Delta schedules formula, we introduce a (conjectural) basis for the module SDR_n of super-diagonal coinvariants, an S_n module generalizing DR_n introduced recently by Zabrocki (2019) which conjecturally corresponds to the Delta Conjecture.

1 Introduction

Given a polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$, the symmetric group S_n acts diagonally by permuting the X and Y variables identically, i.e.,

$$\sigma f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) = f(x_{\sigma_1}, \dots, x_{\sigma_n}, y_{\sigma_1}, \dots, y_{\sigma_n}) \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in S_n. \quad (1.1)$$

Let DR_n denote the *diagonal coinvariant ring*, defined as the quotient

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n] / I_n(X, Y), \quad (1.2)$$

where $I_n(X, Y)$ is the ideal generated by all S_n -invariant polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ without constant term.

A great deal of research over the last 25 years in algebra and combinatorics has been devoted to understanding the structure of DR_n , starting with the original papers of Haiman [Hai94] and Garsia-Haiman [GH96a] introducing the topic. DR_n is naturally bigraded by homogeneous X and Y degree, so $DR_n = \bigotimes_{i,j \geq 0} DR_n^{(i,j)}$. A combinatorial description of the Hilbert series

$$\text{Hilb}(DR_n; q, t) = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} q^i t^j \dim(DR_n^{(i,j)}) \quad (1.3)$$

in terms of parking functions was conjectured by the first author and Loehr [HL05]. The first author, Haiman, Loehr, Remmel and Ulyanov [HHL⁺05] extended this to the famous Shuffle Conjecture, which takes into account the S_n action on DR_n and gives a monomial expansion of the Frobenius characteristic $\text{Frob}_{\text{DR}_n}(X_n; q, t)$. The Shuffle Conjecture was proved only a few years ago by Carlsson and Mellit [CM18]. Here the Frobenius characteristic is defined as

$$\text{Frob}_{\text{DR}_n}(X; q, t) = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} q^i t^j \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} s_\lambda(X) \text{Mult}(\lambda, \text{DR}_n^{(i,j)}), \quad (1.4)$$

where the inner sum is over all partitions λ of n , s_λ is the Schur function, and $\text{Mult}(\lambda, \text{DR}_n^{(i,j)})$ is the multiplicity of the irreducible S_n module corresponding to λ in the decomposition of $\text{DR}_n^{(i,j)}$ into irreducible submodules.

In [HL05], the first author and Loehr show that the parking function formula for $\text{Hilb}(\text{DR}_n; q, t)$ can be expressed more compactly as a sum over permutations, where the summand is a power of t times a product of certain q -integers. This formula has come to be known as the “schedule” formula for $\text{Hilb}(\text{DR}_n; q, t)$, and was utilized by the second author in her proof [Ser16, Ser17] of a conjecture of Loehr and Warrington involving weighted Dyck paths in an $n \times n$ square. Schedules also play an important role in Hicks’s Functional Equation Conjecture [Hic13], which has been proved by Garsia, Hicks, and Xin [GHX18].

In a recent preprint on the math arXiv, Carlsson and Oblomkov [CO18] obtain a monomial basis for DR_n , which we describe in detail in Section 4. Their basis is closely related to the schedule formula for $\text{Hilb}(\text{DR}_n; q, t)$. In fact, setting all X -variables equal to q and all Y -variables equal to t reduces their basis to the schedule formula for $\text{Hilb}(\text{DR}_n; q, t)$, and gives a second proof of that formula (the first proof is as a consequence of the Shuffle Theorem).

In [HRW18], the first author, Remmel and Wilson introduced the Delta Conjecture, which says that a certain symmetric function involving parameters q, t and z equals a combinatorial sum over parking functions with q, t, z -weights. When $z = 0$ it reduces to the Shuffle Theorem. A lot has been written about various special cases of the Delta Conjecture (in fact, over 1000 pages in published articles, arXiv preprints and Ph.D. theses) but the general conjecture is still open.

Recently Zabrocki [Zab19] introduced an extension of DR_n , which we denote SDR_n and refer to as the “super-diagonal coinvariant ring.” He conjectures that the Frobenius characteristic of SDR_n equals the symmetric function appearing in the Delta Conjecture. This module involves two sets of commuting variables $X = x_1, \dots, x_n$ and $Y = y_1, \dots, y_n$. The third set of variables $\Theta = \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ is Grassmannian, i.e.,

$$\theta_i \theta_j = -\theta_j \theta_i \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq n. \quad (1.5)$$

(Note that this implies $\theta_i^2 = 0$ for all i .) The Θ -variables commute with the X - and Y -variables. The symmetric group S_n acts diagonally in all three sets of variables. That is, for all $\sigma \in S_n$,

$$\sigma f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = f(x_{\sigma_1}, \dots, x_{\sigma_n}, y_{\sigma_1}, \dots, y_{\sigma_n}, \theta_{\sigma_1}, \dots, \theta_{\sigma_n}). \quad (1.6)$$

Let $I_n(X, Y, \Theta)$ be the ideal generated by all S_n -invariant polynomials without constant term. Then

$$\text{SDR}_n = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n] / I_n(X, Y, \Theta). \quad (1.7)$$

Associating the Θ degree with the parameter z , Zabrocki's Conjecture says that the Frobenius characteristic $\text{Frob}_{\text{SDR}_n}(X_n; q, t, z)$ equals either side of the Delta Conjecture. It is not yet known whether any two of these three "faces" of the Delta Conjecture are equal, but Zabrocki's Conjecture now embeds the Delta Conjecture firmly in the study of coinvariant algebras, significantly increasing its relevance.

Motivated by the new monomial basis for DR_n as well as the module interpretation for the Delta Conjecture, in this article we obtain a schedule formula (Theorem 3.2) for the Delta Conjecture, and in Section 4 we introduce a candidate basis for SDR_n . In our schedule formula, permutations are replaced by ordered set partitions, combinatorial objects which are central to the structure of the combinatorial side of the Delta Conjecture. Our schedule formula states that a certain sum over parking functions with q, t, z -weights equals a more compact sum over ordered set partitions π , whose summand is a power of z (n minus the number of blocks), a power of t , and a product of certain q -integers. If π has n blocks everything collapses to the classical schedule formula for $\text{Hilb}(\text{DR}_n; q, t)$. Section 4 also contains some evidence for the validity of our candidate basis for SDR_n .

2 Parking Functions and the Valley Delta Conjecture

The original Shuffle Conjecture of Haglund, Haiman, Loehr, Remmel and Ulyanov [HHL⁺05] expressed $\text{Frob}_{\text{DR}_n}(X)$ as a weighted sum of parking functions. Parking functions were originally introduced by Konheim and Weiss [KW66] as special functions. Here we follow Garsia and Haiman [GH96a] and identify them with labeled lattice paths.

A Dyck path of size n is a sequence of North and East steps going from $(0, 0)$ to (n, n) staying weakly above the line $y = x$. A parking function PF of size n consists of a Dyck path of size n and labels (called "cars") which are adjacent to the path's North steps and increase from bottom to top in each column. Typically the set of labels is $[n]$. For example, Figure 2.1 shows a parking function of size 8. However it will be convenient here to allow a parking function of size n to have any n distinct labels.




Figure 2.1: A parking function of size 8.

To each parking function PF , we associate four statistics: two non-negative integers $\text{area}(PF)$ and $\text{dinv}(PF)$, a permutation $\sigma(PF)$ of PF 's labels, and a set $\text{idcs}(PF)$. The area of PF , $\text{area}(PF)$, is simply the number of full squares between PF 's Dyck path and the line $y = x$. For the parking function in Figure 2.1, $\text{area} = 6$.

The remaining statistics all use the notion of diagonals. The cells cut by the line $y = x$ (which are shaded in the figure) together compose the main diagonal or 0-diagonal. The cells immediately above that form the 1-diagonal. Immediately above that is the 2-diagonal, etc. For instance in Figure 2.1, the 0-diagonal contains cars 2, 4, and 5, the 1-diagonal contains cars 1, 3, 6, and 7, the 2-diagonal contains car 8, and all higher diagonals are empty. Note that a car in the i -diagonal contributes i to the area of the parking function.

The word of PF , denoted $\sigma(PF)$, is the permutation obtained by reading PF 's cars from highest to lowest diagonal, and from right to left within each diagonal. In Figure 2.1, the word is 86317245. From this we create the inverse descent set $\text{ides}(PF)$. Namely, $\text{ides}(PF) = \text{Des}(\sigma(PF)^{-1}) = \{i : i + 1 \text{ occurs left of } i \text{ in } \sigma(PF)\}$. In the figure, $\text{ides} = \{2, 5, 7\}$.

Finally, dinv counts the number of ‘‘diagonal inversions’’ in a parking function. A pair of cars (s, b) create a diagonal inversion if $s < b$ and either (1) cars s and b are in the same diagonal with b further right or (2) car b is in the diagonal above car s and b is further to the left. In Figure 2.1, the pair $(1, 3)$ creates an inversion of the first kind, called a primary diagonal inversion, and the pair $(1, 8)$ creates an inversion of the second kind, called a secondary diagonal inversion. Altogether, in this example there are 3 primary diagonal inversions (namely $(1, 3)$, $(1, 6)$, and $(3, 6)$) and 4 secondary diagonal inversions (namely $(2, 7)$, $(1, 8)$, $(3, 8)$, and $(6, 8)$). Hence $\text{dinv} = 7$.

Let \mathcal{PF}_n be the set of parking functions of size n with labels $[n]$. The Shuffle Conjecture (below) uses area , dinv , and ides to expand a certain symmetric function ∇e_n in terms of Gessel's fundamental basis for the ring of quasi-symmetric functions [Ges84].

Theorem 2.1 ([CM18]). *For $n \geq 1$,*

$$\nabla e_n = \sum_{PF \in \mathcal{PF}_n} t^{\text{area}(PF)} q^{\text{dinv}(PF)} F_{\text{ides}(PF)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Here the operator ∇ is defined on the modified Macdonald polynomial basis as

$$\nabla \tilde{H}_\mu(X; q, t) = t^{n(\mu)} q^{n(\mu)'} \tilde{H}_\mu(X; q, t), \quad (2.2)$$

with $n(\mu) = \sum_i (i - 1)\mu_i$.

The expressions in Theorem 2.1 are connected to the representation theory of DR_n through the following famous result of Haiman [Hai01].

Theorem 2.2 ([Hai01]). *For $n \geq 1$,*

$$\text{Frob}_{\text{DR}_n}(X_n; q, t) = \nabla e_n. \quad (2.3)$$

Hence the Shuffle Conjecture can be seen as having three ‘‘sides’’: the combinatorial side is an enumeration of parking functions, the symmetric function side is ∇e_n , and the representation-theoretic side is provided by Theorem 2.2. Shortly before the proof of the Shuffle Conjecture, the first author, Remmel and Wilson [HRW18] introduced a substantial generalization of the first two sides known as the Delta Conjecture. (The third side was only recently added by Zabrocki

[Zab19].) The symmetric function side of this conjecture relies on a family of operators known as Delta operators. In their full generality, the definition of these operators is based on plethystic calculus. For our purposes, we need only the following special case.

For any nonnegative integer k and a polynomial P with m terms, $P = \sum_{i=1}^m t_i$, let

$$e_k[P] = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq m} t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_k}. \quad (2.4)$$

In particular, $e_0[P] = 1$, $e_1[P] = P$, $e_{m-1}[P]$ is a single monomial, and $e_{\geq m}[P] = 0$. Let μ be any partition and define

$$B_\mu(q, t) = \sum_{c \in \mu} q^{a'(c)} t^{\ell'(c)}. \quad (2.5)$$

Here the sum is over all cells c in the Ferrers diagram of μ , and $a'(c)$ and $\ell'(c)$ are the co-arm and co-leg of c , respectively. If μ is a partition of n , then $B_\mu(q, t)$ is a polynomial in q and t with n terms. Moreover if μ is not the empty partition, then it must include the corner box and hence one term of $B_\mu(q, t)$ is 1. For all k , we define the following two linear operators on symmetric functions according to their action on the modified Macdonald basis.

$$\Delta_{e_k} \tilde{H}_\mu[X; q, t] = e_k[B_\mu(q, t)] \tilde{H}_\mu[X; q, t], \quad \Delta'_{e_k} \tilde{H}_\mu[X; q, t] = e_k[B_\mu(q, t) - 1] \tilde{H}_\mu[X; q, t]. \quad (2.6)$$

Remark 2.3 (Historical remark). *The ∇ operator was first introduced by Bergeron and Garsia [BG99] after Haiman's theorem was already conjectured in a different form. This led them, along with Haiman and Tesler, to further introduce the Delta operators [BGHT99]. The modified Macdonald polynomials, which are central to all of these formulations, were introduced by Garsia and Haiman [GH96b] in order to investigate the Macdonald Positivity Conjecture [Mac88] from a representation-theoretic perspective.*

Notice that Δ'_{e_0} is the identity operator and $\Delta'_{e_m} f = 0$ if the degree of f is less than or equal to m . It is also not hard to see that on the space of homogeneous symmetric functions of degree n , $\Delta'_{e_{n-1}} = \Delta_{e_n} = \nabla$. The symmetric function side of the Delta Conjecture is simply $\Delta'_{e_{n-k-1}} e_n$, which indeed specializes to the Shuffle Conjecture's symmetric function side when $k = 0$.

There are actually two versions of the combinatorial side of the Delta Conjecture which use two new variations of parking functions. Here we use only the "valley version". In a parking function, a valley occurs whenever an East step is followed by a North step and either (1) there is no car under the East step or (2) the car under the East step is smaller than the car adjacent to the North step. In Figure 2.1, there are three valleys. A valley-marked parking function is a parking function with markings on some of its valleys. We sometimes say that the car adjacent to a marked valley's North step is marked. We can create 2^3 valley-marked parking functions by adding markings to all subsets of Figure 2.1's valleys. One such valley-marked parking function is shown in Figure 2.2. In [HRW18], there are also rise-marked parking functions, but we will not use them here. Hence we will often refer to a valley-marked parking function simply as a marked parking function.




Figure 2.2: A valley-marked parking function of size 8 with 2 marked valleys.

The area, word, and ides statistics are identical for a marked parking function and its underlying (unmarked) parking function. Only the dinv statistic changes. Unmarked cars make diagonal inversions with one another as usual. However, two marked cars never make a diagonal inversion. A marked car and an unmarked car can only make a diagonal inversion if the marked car is further to the right. Additionally, we subtract one extra diagonal inversion for each marked valley. In Figure 2.1 we have 7 diagonal inversions: $(1, 3)$, $(1, 6)$, $(3, 6)$, $(2, 7)$, $(1, 8)$, $(3, 8)$, $(6, 8)$. In Figure 2.2, the pairs $(1, 3)$ and $(1, 6)$ no longer create diagonal inversions because the car further left is marked. Hence there are 5 pairs creating diagonal inversions and 2 marked cars, giving $\text{dinv} = 5 - 2 = 3$.

Remark 2.4. *Even though the calculation of dinv involves subtraction, it always yields a non-negative number. For any marked car c , consider the closest unmarked car to the left of c in the same diagonal. Either it is smaller than c (creating a primary diagonal inversion), or it is larger and must have some even larger car on top of it (creating a secondary diagonal inversion).*

Let \mathcal{MPF}_n^k be the set of marked parking functions of size n with k marked valleys and labels $[n]$. We are now finally in the position to state (the valley version of) the Delta Conjecture.

Conjecture 2.5 ([HRW18]). *For all $k \leq n$,*

$$\Delta'_{e_{n-k-1}} e_n = \sum_{MPF \in \mathcal{MPF}_n^k} t^{\text{area}(MPF)} q^{\text{dinv}(MPF)} F_{\text{ides}(MPF)}. \quad (2.7)$$

Much has been written about the Delta Conjecture, and many special cases are known to be true. However the general case remains open.

3 Schedules for Valley-Marked Parking Functions

It is well-known that for any S_n -module M ,

$$\langle \text{Frob}_M(X_n; q, t), h_1^n \rangle = \text{Hilb}(M; q, t). \quad (3.1)$$

This follows from the fact that the coefficient of m_{1^n} in the Schur function s_λ equals the dimension of the irreducible S_n -module corresponding to λ . Hence by Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we have the following.

Corollary 3.1. For $n \geq 1$,

$$\text{Hilb}(\text{DR}_n; q, t) = \langle \nabla e_n, h_1^n \rangle = \sum_{PF \in \mathcal{PF}_n} t^{\text{area}(PF)} q^{\text{dinv}(PF)}. \quad (3.2)$$

The rightmost equality in this identity was originally conjectured by the first author and Loehr [HL05]. In [HL05] there is also a notion of schedules, which groups parking functions together so that the enumeration $\sum t^{\text{area}(PF)} q^{\text{dinv}(PF)}$ within a group is a simple product. This gives a compact formula for the Hilbert series of DR_n .

Below, we show an analogous way to group together valley-marked parking functions so that the enumeration $\sum t^{\text{area}(MPF)} q^{\text{dinv}(MPF)}$ within each group is a simple product. This gives a nice conjectural formula for $\langle \Delta'_{e_k} e_n, h_1^n \rangle$ and for the Hilbert series of SDR_n . For unmarked parking functions, these groups are indexed by permutations. For marked parking functions, they are indexed by ordered set partitions. We will refer to these indices as *schedules*.

Let Π be an ordered set partition of some finite set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. For brevity, we will usually write Π as a word with bars separating the elements in consecutive blocks. For instance, $235|1679|48$ represents the ordered set partition $(\{2, 3, 5\}, \{1, 6, 7, 9\}, \{4, 8\})$.

We form a word $\tau(\Pi)$ from Π by an inductive procedure: If Π has only one block, $\tau(\Pi)$ is the word obtained by writing the elements of this block in increasing order. Otherwise, let Π' be the set partition obtained by removing Π 's first block B . If the first element of $\tau(\Pi')$ is r , then $\tau(\Pi)$ is obtained by writing all of those elements of B which are bigger than r in increasing order, followed by all elements of B which are less than r in increasing order, followed by $\tau(\Pi')$. For example,

Π	$\tau(\Pi)$
48	48
1679 48	679148
235 1679 48	235679148

Note that $\text{maj}(\tau(\Pi)) = \text{minimaj}(\Pi)$, as defined in [HRW18]. We also define $\tau^*(\Pi)$ by adding markings to every number which is not the left-most element of its block in $\tau(\Pi)$. For example, $\tau^*(235|1679|48) = 235^*6^*7^*9^*14^*8^*$.

Note that the number of blocks in Π is the number of unmarked elements of $\tau^*(\Pi)$. Furthermore, we can reconstruct Π from $\tau^*(\Pi)$ by introducing block breaks before each unmarked element. Hence there is at most one set partition Π yielding a given marked word $\tau^*(\Pi)$. (Not every marked word occurs in this way. For instance there is no ordered set partition corresponding to 21^* .)

We say that the *type* of a marked parking function MPF is the marked permutation whose i th run consists of those cars lying in MPF 's i th highest diagonal and which has a marking on c exactly when car c is marked in MPF . For example, the type of the marked parking function in Figure 2.2 is $8136^*7^*24^*5$. For a given ordered set partition Π of $[n]$, let $\mathcal{MPF}(\Pi)$ be the set of all parking functions of type $\tau^*(\Pi)$. Hence the marked parking function in Figure 2.2 is an element of $\mathcal{MPF}(18|36^*|7^*|2|4^*|5)$. Careful consideration of the column-increasing and marking conditions

show that every marked parking function belongs to $\mathcal{MPF}(\Pi)$ for some ordered set partition Π . Hence

$$\mathcal{MPF}_{n,k} = \bigsqcup_{\Pi} \mathcal{MPF}(\Pi) \quad (3.3)$$

where Π runs over all ordered set partitions of $[n]$ with $n - k$ blocks.

When c is an unmarked element of $\tau^*(\Pi)$, $w_{\Pi}(c)$ is the number of unmarked cars greater than c which lie in the same run of $\tau^*(\Pi)$ plus the number of unmarked cars smaller than c in the next run. When c is a marked element of $\tau^*(\Pi)$, then $w_{\Pi}(c)$ is the number of unmarked smaller cars in the same run of $\tau^*(\Pi)$ plus the number of unmarked larger cars in the previous run. For example, if $\Pi = 235|1679|48$, then $\tau^*(\Pi) = 235679148$ has runs 235679 and 148 . From this, we calculate $w_{\Pi}(c) = 2$ if $c = 1, 7, 9$ and $w_{\Pi}(c) = 1$ otherwise. If all of Π 's blocks contain a single element, these numbers are the same schedule numbers given by Haglund and Loehr [HL05].

Theorem 3.2. *For every ordered set partition Π ,*

$$\sum_{MPF \in \mathcal{MPF}(\Pi)} t^{\text{area}(MPF)} q^{\text{dinv}(MPF)} = t^{\text{minimaj}(\Pi)} \prod_c [w_{\Pi}(c)]_q, \quad (3.4)$$

where the product is over all c occurring in any block of Π .

Proof. If Π consists of a single element c in a single block, then $\mathcal{MPF}(\Pi)$ contains one element, the (valley-marked) parking function with Dyck path NE and label c . This parking function has $\text{area} = 0$ and $\text{dinv} = 0$. On the other hand, $w_{\Pi}(c) = 1$, $\tau(\Pi) = c$, and $\text{minimaj}(\Pi) = \text{maj}(\tau(\Pi)) = 0$. So the left and right sides match.

Suppose that Π is of size n and that the claim holds for all ordered set partitions of size $n - 1$.

Case 1: Suppose Π 's blocks all have size 1. Then Π is simply a permutation of the numbers occurring in its blocks. This reduces to the classical schedule result of [HL05]. The basic idea is that $w_{\Pi}(c)$ counts new diagonal inversions obtained by inserting c into some smaller (marked) parking function. This is analogous to the argument below for Case 2.

Case 2: Suppose Π has some block with more than one element. Then $\tau^*(\Pi)$ has at least one marked car. Let c be any car which is the smallest marked car of its run in $\tau^*(\Pi)$. For example, if $\Pi = 45|18|26|379$ then $\tau^*(\Pi) = 458162379$ and we can choose c to be 1, 2, or 5. Let $\rho_c(\Pi)$ be the ordered set partition of size $n - 1$ obtained from Π by deleting c from its block. The claim holds for $\rho_c(\Pi)$ by assumption. Note that since c is marked, it is not the first element of its block in $\tau(\Pi)$. Hence $\tau(\rho_c(\Pi))$ is obtained from $\tau(\Pi)$ by deleting c . For example $\tau^*(\rho_2(45|18|26|379)) = 45816379$. Therefore $\text{minimaj}(\Pi) - \text{minimaj}(\rho_c(\Pi))$ is the number of descents after c in $\tau(\Pi)$. This corresponds to the diagonal number of c in any element of $\mathcal{MPF}(\Pi)$. Hence the area of such a marked parking function is $\text{minimaj}(\Pi)$.

For $MPF \in \mathcal{MPF}(\Pi)$, let $\rho_c(MPF)$ be the marked parking function of size $n - 1$ obtained from MPF by removing the car c and leaving sets and orders of cars within diagonals otherwise unchanged. In Figure 3.1, taking MPF to be any of the three marked parking functions on the right gives $\rho_5(MPF)$ on the left. Note that $\rho_c(MPF) \in \mathcal{MPF}(\rho_c(\Pi))$. Let $\delta_c(MPF) = \text{dinv}(MPF) - \text{dinv}(\rho_c(MPF))$. Note that every diagonal inversion in $\rho_c(MPF)$ is also a

diagonal inversion in MPF . Also, the only possible diagonal inversions which are in MPF and not in $\rho_c(MPF)$ are between c and some unmarked car, namely those unmarked cars which are enumerated by $w_\Pi(c)$. In Figure 3.1, the cars creating new dinv with 5 are circled. At least one such new diagonal inversion is created by c (as noted in Remark 2.4) but an extra dinv is subtracted for each marked car. Hence $0 \leq \delta_c(MPF) < w_\Pi(c)$.




Figure 3.1: A marked parking function together with those obtained by inserting a marked 5.

Fix c , $MPF' \in \mathcal{MPF}(\rho_c(\Pi))$, and $0 \leq k < w_\Pi(c)$. There is a unique marked parking function MPF with $\rho_c(MPF) = MPF'$ and $\delta_c(MPF) = k$. We build MPF from MPF' by first finding the $k+1$ -st unmarked car (left to right) which can make a diagonal inversion with c . Call this car a . We must insert c to the right of a , without moving past any other cars which can make a diagonal inversion with c . First suppose that a is in c 's diagonal. If a is directly under a larger car b , we insert c to the right of a and directly underneath b . Otherwise, we insert c to the right of a while leaving alone anything above a . (Since c is the smallest marked car in its diagonal, this will not disturb any other marked valleys.) If instead a is in the diagonal above c , just insert c into its diagonal the next time the path returns there. See Figure 3.1 again.

So far we have shown that

$$\sum_{MPF \in \mathcal{MPF}(\Pi)} t^{\text{area}(MPF)} q^{\text{dinv}(MPF)} = t^{\text{minimaj}(\Pi)} \sum_{MPF' \in \mathcal{MPF}(\rho_c(\Pi))} q^{\text{dinv}(MPF')} \cdot [w_\Pi(c)]_q. \quad (3.5)$$

Since schedule numbers count only unmarked cars, the schedule numbers for $\rho_c(\Pi)$ are the same as those for Π , excluding $w_\Pi(c)$. Hence by induction, the claim holds. \square

By iterating this insertion algorithm, we can build every marked parking function corresponding to a schedule Π . We start with the unique parking function of size 0 and insert the unmarked elements of $\tau^*(\Pi)$ from right to left, followed by the marked elements of $\tau^*(\Pi)$ from right to left. In Figure 3.2, we show how to build Figure 2.2. As previously noted, this marked parking function is an element of $\mathcal{MPF}(18|36|7|2|4|5)$, so the associated marked word is $\tau^*(18|36|7|2|4|5) = 81367245$. Here $w_\Pi(c) = 1$ for $c = 1, 5$, $w_\Pi(c) = 2$ for $c = 3, 4, 6, 8$, and $w_\Pi(c) = 3$ for $c = 2, 7$. Iterating our insertion algorithm to build all elements of $\mathcal{MPF}(18|36|7|2|4|5)$ gives a tree of marked parking functions. At the level where car c is inserted, all nodes have $w_\Pi(c)$ children. This gives a total of 144 leaves. For the sake of space and clarity, we only show the ancestors of our target as well as their direct children. This allows us to see the number of children at each level and the affect on area and dinv as we move through the tree.




Figure 3.2: Building Figure 2.2 using the iterated insertion algorithm.

4 A Candidate Basis for SDR_n

We first describe the Carlsson-Oblomkov basis for DR_n . Given $\tau \in S_n$, define integers $w_\tau(i)$ by the following construction, which we illustrate when $\tau = 25713486$. Begin by appending a 0 to the end of τ , and then draw a bar at each descent of τ , to get $257|1348|6|0$. We call the entries of τ between adjacent bars the runs of τ . For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we let $w_\tau(i)$ be the number of entries in the same run as τ_i and larger than τ_i , plus the numbers of entries in the next run to the right which are smaller than τ_i . (This definition of $w_\tau(i)$ reduces to the definition of the $w_\Pi(i)$ in the construction from the previous section when Π is the ordered set partition with k -th block $\{\tau_k\}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$.) Next let

$$Y_\tau = \prod_{\substack{i:\tau_i > \tau_{i+1} \\ 1 \leq i \leq n-1}} y_{\tau_1} y_{\tau_2} \cdots y_{\tau_i}. \quad (4.1)$$

For $\tau = 25713486$, $Y_\tau = y_2 y_5 y_7 y_2 y_5 y_7 y_1 y_3 y_4 y_8$. Note that τ can be reconstructed from Y_τ . Furthermore, let

$$X_\tau = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + x_{\tau_i} + x_{\tau_i}^2 + \cdots + x_{\tau_i}^{w_\tau(i)-1}). \quad (4.2)$$

Theorem 4.1 ([CO18, Theorem 3]). *A monomial basis for DR_n can be obtained by taking the union over $\tau \in S_n$ of all the $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i(\tau)$ monomials occurring in $Y_\tau X_\tau$.*

Remark 4.2. *If we set all $x_i = 0$ in the Carlsson-Oblomkov basis, the only terms that survive are the union of the Y_τ , which is known as the Garsia-Stanton basis [GS84] for the coinvariant algebra R_n in one set of variables. If we set all the $y_i = 0$, the only τ that contributes is the identity $\tau = 12 \cdots n$. For this τ , $w_i(\tau) = i$, and the monomials in X_τ form the original basis for R_n obtained by Artin [Art76].*

Following Carlsson and Oblomkov, we now use our schedule formula to construct a candidate basis for the module SDR_n defined in the introduction. To each ordered set partition Π we will associate $\prod w_\Pi(i)$ -many monomials. For brevity, we write $\tau = \tau(\Pi)$ and $\tau^* = \tau^*(\Pi)$. Let $Y_\Pi = Y_\tau$ as above. Note that the total degree of Y_Π is $\text{maj}(\tau) = \text{minimaj}(\Pi)$, which is the area of every marked parking function in $\mathcal{MPF}(\Pi)$. Let Θ_Π be the (ordered) product of all $\theta_{\tau_i^*}$ for which τ_i^* is marked. If Π is a partition of $[n]$ into k blocks, the degree of Θ_Π is $n - k$. Finally, we multiply Y_Π and Θ_Π by $X_\Pi = \prod_i [w_\Pi(i)]_{x_i} = \prod_i (1 + x_i + x_i^2 + \cdots + x_i^{w_\Pi(i)-1})$. Let \mathcal{B}_Π be the set of monomials in the expansion of this polynomial. Note that each element of \mathcal{B}_Π corresponds to some marked parking function with $\text{area} = y$ -degree, $\text{dinv} = x$ -degree, and $\text{number of markings} = \theta$ -degree. We can reconstruct τ from Y_Π , and then use Θ_Π to further reconstruct τ^* and therefore Π . So these sets are disjoint. Let \mathcal{B}_n be the union of \mathcal{B}_Π for all ordered set partitions Π of $[n]$.

Conjecture 4.3. *For $n \geq 1$, \mathcal{B}_n forms a basis for SDR_n .*

Remark 4.4. *If we set all $y_i = 0$, SDR_n reduces to SR_n , the module of super-coinvariants, containing one commuting and one anti-commuting set of variables. Currently no basis for this module is known, and furthermore it is still an open conjecture of Zabrocki (a precursor of the main conjecture in [Zab19]) that the Frobenius characteristic of this module is given by the $t = 0$ case of the Delta Conjecture. In [HRS18], the first author, Rhoades and Shimozono introduce certain quotient rings $R_{n,k}$ of $\mathbf{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ whose Frobenius characteristic does equal the combinatorial side of the $t = 0$ case of the Delta Conjecture, up to applying ω and a simple operation called “ q -reversal”. [HRS18] also obtains a few specific bases for $R_{n,k}$, which also involve ordered set partitions. Even using these results though, we do not currently know how to prove that when all $y_i = 0$, our candidate basis for SDR_n reduces to a basis for SR_n .*

We conclude by exploring some properties of \mathcal{B}_n , and presenting some evidence for Conjecture 4.3 and Zabrocki’s conjecture (that SDR_n ’s Frobenius characteristic is indeed $\sum_k z^{n-k} \Delta'_{e_{k-1}} e_n$).

4.1 Empty and non-empty homogeneous components

Conjecture 4.5. *Let a, b, c be non-negative integers. Then the homogeneous component of SDR_n of order n with x -degree a , y -degree b , and z -degree c is non-empty if and only if*

$$a + b + \binom{c+1}{2} \leq \binom{n}{2} \quad (4.3)$$

In [Hai94], Haiman gives an elementary proof of this fact for $c = 0$. This proof does not seem to generalize for all c . At the recent GarsiaFest conference in La Jolla, CA, Nolan Wallach presented a proof of the $b = 0$ case of Conjecture 4.5 [Wal19]. In the next subsection, we show that SDR_n ’s alternants only occur in degrees satisfying the inequality in Corollary 4.9. We also see in this section that all elements of our combinatorial basis obey this inequality, and that the inequality is tight in a strong sense.

Remark 4.6. *In a recent preprint [RW19] Brendon Rhoades and Andy Wilson introduce an S_n module, defined via operators acting on a generalization of the Vandemonde determinant, which they conjecture is isomorphic to SDR_n . Furthermore, in a private communication with the authors, Rhoades has noted that their module provably satisfies the degree bound (4.3), giving further evidence for the truth of Conjecture 4.5.*

It is well-known that for parking functions PF of size n , $\text{area}(PF) + \text{dinv}(PF) \leq \binom{n}{2}$. There is a simple injection from pairs of diagonal inversions to squares above the underlying Dyck path which proves this. We modify this injection to show our stronger inequality for marked parking functions.

Theorem 4.7. *If MPF is a marked parking function of size n with k markings, then*

$$\text{area}(MPF) + \text{dinv}(MPF) + \binom{k+1}{2} \leq \binom{n}{2}. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Let MPF be a marked parking function of size n with k markings.

Suppose that c_1 and c_2 are two cars creating a diagonal inversion and that c_1 is in a column strictly to the left of c_2 . Since c_1 and c_2 create a diagonal inversion, c_1 is in the same diagonal as c_2 or one diagonal higher. The North step adjacent to c_1 goes above c_1 's diagonal, and the path eventually returns to the lower diagonal of c_2 . Hence there must be a place between c_1 and c_2 's North steps where an East step comes back to c_1 's diagonal (i.e., it is directly above a cell in that diagonal). Consider the leftmost such East step. Let $\phi(c_1, c_2)$ be the cell which is in the same column as this East step and in the same row as c_2 . Note that ϕ is injective: We can reconstruct c_1 by going down from $\phi(c_1, c_2)$ to an East step and then traveling left along the diagonal. To reconstruct c_2 , simply go right from $\phi(c_1, c_2)$. Hence the image of $\phi(c_1, c_2)$ is a set of cells above the underlying Dyck path which is in bijection with pairs of diagonal inversions, i.e., there are $\text{dinv}(MPF) + k$ elements of the image.

Suppose instead that c_1 and c_2 are any two marked cars with c_1 in a column strictly to the left of c_2 's column. We will define a cell $\psi(c_1, c_2)$ which is above the underlying Dyck path and not in the image of ϕ . Recall that two marked cars cannot create a diagonal inversion. Hence if c_1 's diagonal is weakly higher than c_2 's, we can use the same algorithm as for ϕ to construct $\psi(c_1, c_2)$. On the other hand, if c_1 is in a lower diagonal than c_2 , we instead backtrack to the East step immediately preceding c_1 and then let $\psi(c_1, c_2)$ be the cell in this column and in the same row as c_2 . Again we can reconstruct c_1 and c_2 from $\psi(c_1, c_2)$: Follow the column down to an East step and right to a North step and the car c_2 . If the cell below the East step is in a diagonal weakly above that of c_2 , travel left along that diagonal until you reach c_1 as before. Otherwise this East step is part of a marked valley and c_1 is immediately to the right. Furthermore this cell is not in the image of ϕ since no cell left of c_1 and in the same diagonal can make a diagonal inversion with c_2 . Hence ψ 's image consists of $\binom{k}{2}$ cells above the underlying Dyck path and it is disjoint from ϕ 's image.




Figure 4.1: A marked parking function of size 9 with the images of ϕ and ψ indicated.

In Figure 4.1 we demonstrate this construction by marking the cells in the image of ϕ with X 's and those in the image of ψ with O 's. As an exception, we show valley markings in the same cell as the adjacent car to avoid overlapping symbols. Here the diagonal inversions come from the pairs $(1, 3)$, $(4, 3)$, $(4, 5)$, $(4, 7)$, $(4, 8)$, $(5, 7)$, $(9, 2)$, $(9, 5)$, $(9, 7)$.

Taking the union of the cells contributing to area , those in the image of ϕ , and those in the image of ψ , gives $\text{area} + \text{dinv} + k + \binom{k}{2}$ cells above the main diagonal. Since the total number of cells above the main diagonal is $\binom{n}{2}$, this proves the desired inequality. \square

			*	5			
		*	4				
*	8						
	7						
6							
3							
2							
1							

Figure 4.2: The marked parking function $MPF(16, 3)$ of size $n = 8$

Theorem 4.8. For any given a, b, c such that $a + b + \binom{c+1}{2} \leq \binom{n}{2}$, there is at least one marked parking function MPF of size n with $\text{area}(MPF) = a$, $\text{dinv}(MPF) = b$, and c markings.

Proof. Since $a \leq \binom{n}{2}$, there is some $0 \leq k < n$ and $0 \leq r < n - k - 1$ so that

$$a = (n - 1) + (n - 2) + \cdots + (n - k) + r. \quad (4.5)$$

Let $D(a)$ be the Dyck path $N^k(NE)^r(EN)^{n-k-r}E^k$. That is, $D(a)$ has k full diagonals under it and the remaining r cells under the path are all as far left as possible in the $k+1$ -st diagonal. There is a unique parking function $PF(a)$ with underlying Dyck path $D(a)$ and word $\sigma = n n - 1 \dots 2 1$. (Every Dyck path can be labeled with this word in exactly one way.)

By Theorem 4.7, there are at least $\binom{c+1}{2}$ cells above $D(a)$. Hence there are at least $c + 1$ cars in the highest two diagonals of $PF(a)$, all but the leftmost of which occur next to valleys. Form a marked parking function $MPF(a, c)$ by marking the rightmost c of these cars in $PF(a)$. See Figure 4.2 for an example.

It is not hard to see from the marking algorithm in the proof of Theorem 4.7 that every cell above $D(a)$ is either in the image of ϕ or ψ , and hence that $\text{area}(MPF(a, c)) + \text{dinv}(MPF(a, c)) + \binom{c+1}{2} = \binom{n}{2}$. Let $\Pi(a, c)$ be the schedule of $MPF(a, c)$. By Theorem 3.2, there is at least one marked parking function $MPF(a, b, c) \in \mathcal{MPF}(\Pi(a, c))$ with $\text{dinv}(MPF(a, b, c)) = b \leq \text{dinv}(MPF(a, c))$. \square

Corollary 4.9. For $n \geq 1$ and $a, b, c \geq 0$, there is at least one element of \mathcal{B}_n with x -degree a , y -degree b and θ -degree c if and only if $a + b + \binom{c+1}{2} \leq \binom{n}{2}$.

4.2 The location of the alternants

Let G_n be the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n]$ in the Grassmannian θ variables modulo the ideal generated by $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \cdots + \theta_n$. S_n acts on G_n by permuting the θ variables, just as in SDR_n .

Lemma 4.10. For all $n \geq 0$,

$$\text{Frob}(G_n; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^k s_{n-k, 1^k}. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Let $G_n^{(k)}$ be the homogeneous part of G_n with total θ -degree k , so that $G_n = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n-1} G_n^{(k)}$. We would like to show that $\text{Frob}(G_n^{(k)}) = s_{n-k,1^k}$ for every k . This is obviously true when $k = 0$.

Let R_n be the coinvariant algebra of S_n (for ordinary commuting variables x_i), i.e., $R_n = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I_n(X)$, where $I_n(X)$ is the ideal generated by symmetric functions in the x_i without constant term. Since the commuting/anti-commuting issue only arises in higher degrees, the homogeneous component of total x -degree 1, $R_n^{(1)}$, is isomorphic to $G_n^{(1)}$. Lusztig (unpublished) and Stanley (see [Sta79, Prop. 4.11]) showed that

$$\text{Frob}(R_n; q) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} s_\lambda \sum_{T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)} q^{\text{maj}(T)} \quad (4.7)$$

where the inner sum is over standard Young tableaux of shape λ . Since there is only one tableau, T , with $\text{maj}(T) = 1$ (namely that of shape $(n-1, 1)$ with label 2 in the second row), we have $\text{Frob}(G_n^{(1)}) = \text{Frob}(R_n^{(1)}) = s_{n-1,1}$. Hence our claim also holds for $k = 1$.

Let $V_n = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z_1 + \dots + z_n = 0\}$. S_n acts on V_n by permuting coordinates. According to Exercise 11 in Chapter 7 of Fulton [Ful97],

(a) As an S_n module, V_n is isomorphic to the Specht module $S^{(n-1,1)}$.

(b) For $1 \leq k < n$, the k th exterior power $\wedge^k V_n$ is isomorphic to the Specht module $S^{(n-k,1^k)}$.

Hence $G_n^{(1)} \cong V_n$. By the definition of wedge product, it follows that $G_n^{(k)} \cong \wedge^k G_n^{(1)} \cong \wedge^k V_n$ for $1 \leq k < n$. Hence $\text{Frob}(G_n^{(k)}) = s_{n-k,1^k}$ for all k as desired. \square

Notice that SDR_n is a submodule of the tensor product $\text{DR}_n \otimes G_n$ under the diagonal S_n -action. Hence any homogeneous component of $\text{DR}_n \otimes G_n$ which does not have an alternant (i.e., does not have nonzero coefficient of s_{1^n}) cannot have one in SDR_n either.

Theorem 4.11. For $a, b, c \geq 0$,

$$\text{if } \text{Frob}(\text{DR}_n \otimes G_n) \Big|_{t^a q^b z^c s_{1^n}} \neq 0, \quad \text{then } a + b + \binom{c+1}{2} \leq \binom{n}{2}. \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. The Frobenius character of a tensor product under a diagonal action is simply the Kronecker product $(*)$ of the individual Frobenius characters [Bes00]. Hence

$$\text{Frob}(A \otimes B) = \sum_{\nu} s_\nu \sum_{\lambda, \mu} \langle \text{Frob}(A), s_\lambda \rangle \cdot \langle \text{Frob}(B), s_\mu \rangle \cdot \langle s_\lambda * s_\mu, s_\nu \rangle. \quad (4.9)$$

The Kronecker coefficients $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = \langle s_\lambda * s_\mu, s_\nu \rangle$ also appear in the identity

$$s_\nu(XY) = \sum_{\lambda, \mu \vdash |\nu|} g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) s_\lambda(X) s_\mu(Y) \quad (4.10)$$

in the expansion of a Schur function in a product XY of sets of variables. See [Mac95]. Since we are only interested in the case $\nu = (1^n)$, we can make use of the dual Cauchy identity

$$s_{(1^n)}(XY) = \sum_{\lambda} s_\lambda(X) s_{\lambda'}(Y) \quad (4.11)$$

to see that

$$g(\lambda, \mu, 1^n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \lambda \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

This combined with (4.6) gives

$$\langle \text{Frob}(\text{DR}_n \otimes G_n), s_{1^n} \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \langle \nabla e_n, s_{k+1, 1^{n-k-1}} \rangle z^k. \quad (4.13)$$

Hence we must understand the coefficients of hook Schur functions in ∇e_n . Luckily, there is already a combinatorial interpretation for these coefficients. Below, we briefly describe the combinatorial objects involved and modify our earlier arguments to get the required $\binom{n}{2}$ inequality. See Theorems 4.13 and 4.14. \square

Remark 4.12. *Finding a combinatorial interpretation for the Kronecker coefficients is an important unsolved problem, but some special cases are solved. In particular, when either λ or μ is a hook, Blasiak [Bla16] gives a combinatorial interpretation for the Kronecker coefficients in terms of colored Yamanouchi tableaux. This result is exactly what is needed to understand all the Kronecker coefficients appearing in our formula for $\text{Frob}(\text{DR}_n \otimes G)$. While we don't have a positive combinatorial formula for all the terms $\langle \nabla e_n, s_\lambda \rangle$, one can get a signed interpretation by applying Egge, Loehr, and Warrington's [ELW10] result about Gessel quasisymmetric functions to the Shuffle Theorem. See Garsia-Remmel [GR18] for a practical guide to this method. It would be interesting to use these tools to better understand the role of Conjecture 4.5's inequality in the larger module $\text{DR}_n \otimes G_n$.*

Egge, Killpatrick, Kremer, and the first author [EHKK03] express $\langle \nabla e_n, s_{(n-k, 1^k)} \rangle$ as a weighted sum of Schröder paths. These are similar to Dyck paths, but in addition to North steps $(0, 1)$ and East steps $(1, 0)$, one may also make diagonal steps $(1, 1)$. The path must still stay weakly above the main diagonal. The area of a Schröder path is the same as the area of the Dyck path obtained by replacing each diagonal step by NE . The dinv of a Schröder path is the number of pairs of North steps which are "attacking" in the same sense as for parking functions. That is, two North steps are attacking if they are in the same diagonal or in consecutive diagonals with the leftmost one in the higher diagonal. In Figure 4.3, we show a Schröder path with some additional markings. Here $\text{area} = 8$ and $\text{dinv} = 7$.

Following the conventions in [Hag07], let $\tilde{L}_{n,n,d}^+$ be the set of Schröder paths consisting of $n - d$ North steps, $n - d$ East steps, and d diagonal steps so that there is no diagonal step above the highest North step. For example, the path in Figure 4.3 is an element of $\tilde{L}_{9,9,4}^+$. Then [EHKK03] conjectured and the first author [Hag04] proved the following.

Theorem 4.13 ([Hag04]). *For all $n, k \geq 0$,*

$$\langle \nabla e_n, s_{k+1, 1^{n-k-1}} \rangle = \sum_{P \in \tilde{L}_{n,n,k}^+} t^{\text{area}(P)} q^{\text{dinv}(P)} \quad (4.14)$$




Figure 4.3: A Schröder path of size 9.

Theorem 4.14. For any $P \in \tilde{L}_{n,n,c+1}^+$, if $\text{area}(P) = a$ and $\text{dinv}(P) = b$, then

$$a + b + \binom{c+1}{2} \leq \binom{n}{2}. \quad (4.15)$$

Proof. We use a marking algorithm very similar to the one from the proof of Theorem 4.7. If N_1 and N_2 are two attacking North steps such that N_1 is further left, follow the left N_1 's diagonal to the right until you hit an East step. Directly above this East step and directly left of N_2 is a cell which we will denote by $\phi(N_1, N_2)$. If D_1 and D_2 are two diagonal steps such that D_1 is further left, let $\psi(D_1, D_2)$ be the cell directly above D_1 and left of D_2 . In Figure 4.3 we have marked cells in the image of ϕ with an X and cells in the image of ψ with an O .

As before, ϕ and ψ are injections into the set of cells above P and they have disjoint images. Since the number of diagonal steps is $c+1$, there are $\binom{c+1}{2}$ cells in the image of ψ and $\text{dinv}(P)$ -many in the image of ϕ . Hence the desired inequality holds. \square

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.11. From this we obtain support for Conjecture 4.5:

Corollary 4.15. For $a, b, c \geq 0$,

$$\text{if } \text{Frob}(\text{SDR}_n) \Big|_{t^a q^b z^c s_{1^n}} \neq 0, \quad \text{then } a + b + \binom{c+1}{2} \leq \binom{n}{2}. \quad (4.16)$$

4.3 Extreme θ -degrees

Note that when the θ -degree is 0, Conjecture 4.3 matches Theorem 4.1 exactly. At the other extreme, if the θ -degree is more than n , the pigeonhole principle shows some θ_i is squared, giving 0. Additionally, since $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \dots + \theta_n = 0$, multiplying on the right by $\theta_2 \theta_3 \dots \theta_n$ shows $\theta_1 \theta_2 \dots \theta_n = 0$. Hence the maximum θ -degree is $n - 1$.

Clearly from the inequality of Theorem 4.7, if a parking function has $n - 1$ markings, it has $\text{area} = \text{dinv} = 0$. There is only one such parking function, namely the one with $\text{area} = 0$, word $n n - 1 \dots 2 1$, and all cars except 1 marked. It's contribution to the combinatorial side of the Delta Conjecture is $z^{n-1} s_{1^n}$, and indeed on the symmetric function side, $z^{n-1} \Delta'_{e_0} e_n = z^{n-1} e_n = z^{n-1} s_{1^n}$.

The corresponding homogeneous component of the Super Diagonal Coinvariant Ring consists of all products of $n - 1$ distinct θ 's. Note that any two such products with the same set of indices

are equal up to a sign since $\theta_i\theta_j = -\theta_j\theta_i$. Let $S, T \subset [n]$ be any two distinct sets of size $n - 1$. There are some numbers s, t so that $S \setminus T = \{s\}$ and $T \setminus S = \{t\}$. Let $U = S \cap T$. Then if we multiply the identity $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \cdots + \theta_n = 0$ on the right by the monomial $\prod_{u \in U} \theta_u$, most terms on the left hand side will have some θ_i^2 and become 0. Hence we obtain

$$\theta_s \cdot \prod_{u \in U} \theta_u + \theta_t \cdot \prod_{u \in U} \theta_u = 0. \quad (4.17)$$

Therefore products of θ 's with index set S are equivalent (again up to a sign) to products of θ 's with index set T . So this homogeneous component has dimension 1. Our candidate basis $\{\theta_1\theta_2 \cdots \theta_{n-1}\}$ is indeed a basis. Moreover, S_n acts on this basis via the sign representation (again since $\theta_i\theta_j = -\theta_j\theta_i$). Hence the graded character is also $z^{n-1}s_{1^n}$ as claimed.

4.4 A small case: $n = 2$

Below we display the three ordered set partitions, their marked minimaj words τ^* , their schedule numbers $(w_\Pi(\tau_i))_{i=1}^n$, the sum of the corresponding basis monomials, and their schedule weight.

Π	τ^*	$(w_\Pi(\tau_i))$	<i>monomials</i>	<i>weight</i>
$\{\{1, 2\}\}$	12^*	$(1, 1)$	θ_2	z
$\{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$	12	$(2, 1)$	$(1 + x_1)$	$[2]_q$
$\{\{2\}, \{1\}\}$	21	$(1, 1)$	y_2	t

(4.18)

Note that the ideal $I_n(X, Y, \Theta)$ contains the relations $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = 0$, $y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_n = 0$, and $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \cdots + \theta_n = 0$. Hence in this small case ($n = 2$), we see $x_1 = -x_2$, $y_1 = -y_2$, and $\theta_1 = -\theta_2$. Clearly S_n acts trivially on the monomial 1 and has a sign action on the other three basis monomials x_1 , y_2 , and θ_2 . So the corresponding Frobenius character is $s_2 + (t + q + z)s_{1^2}$, which is indeed $z \Delta'_{e_0} e_2 + \Delta'_{e_1} e_2$.

4.5 The case $n = 3$

We address the components with θ -degree 1. We have already seen in Section 4.3 that we get the correct Frobenius character when the θ -degree is 0 or $\geq n - 1 = 2$. The submodule with

θ -degree 1 corresponds to ordered set partitions with 2 blocks, of which there are 6.

Π	τ^*	$(w_\Pi(\tau_i))$	<i>monomials</i>	<i>weight</i>
$\{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}$	$12\bar{3}$	$(2, 1, 1)$	$\theta_2(1 + x_1)$	$z[2]_q$
$\{\{1, 3\}, \{2\}\}$	$31\bar{2}$	$(1, 1, 1)$	$\theta_1 y_3$	zt
$\{\{2, 3\}, \{1\}\}$	$23\bar{1}$	$(1, 1, 1)$	$\theta_3 y_2 y_3$	zt^2
$\{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}\}$	$12\bar{3}$	$(2, 1, 2)$	$\theta_3(1 + x_1)(1 + x_3)$	$z[2]_q^2$
$\{\{2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$	$21\bar{3}$	$(1, 1, 1)$	$\theta_3 y_2$	zt
$\{\{3\}, \{1, 2\}\}$	$31\bar{2}$	$(1, 1, 2)$	$\theta_2 y_3(1 + x_2)$	$zt[2]_q$

(4.19)

Let us tackle each homogeneous component individually. We will write all monomials from each component in terms of the candidate basis elements using the relations

$$\theta_1^a y_1^b x_1^c + \theta_2^a y_2^b x_2^c + \theta_3^a y_3^b x_3^c = 0 \quad (4.20)$$

for $a, b \geq 0$, $c = 0, 1$, and $(a, b, c) \neq (0, 0, 0)$.

The component with x -degree 0, y -degree 0 and θ -degree 1 contains two elements of \mathcal{B}_3 , namely θ_2 and θ_3 . This is indeed a basis since $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 = 0$. It is also not hard to see then that the action of S_3 induces a representation with character $2\epsilon - (123) - (132)$. Hence this component contributes $t^0 q^0 z^1 s_{2,1}$ to the Frobenius character.

Our candidate basis \mathcal{B}_3 has three elements in the component with x -degree 0, y -degree 1, and θ -degree 1, namely $\theta_1 y_3$, $\theta_3 y_2$, and $\theta_2 y_3$. First notice

$$\theta_3 y_3 = -\theta_1 y_3 - \theta_2 y_3. \quad (4.21)$$

Therefore

$$\theta_3 y_1 = -\theta_3 y_2 - \theta_3 y_3 = \theta_1 y_3 - \theta_3 y_2 + \theta_2 y_3. \quad (4.22)$$

Furthermore

$$\theta_1 y_1 = -\theta_2 y_2 - \theta_3 y_3 \quad (4.23)$$

$$= (\theta_2 y_1 + \theta_2 y_3) + (\theta_3 y_1 + \theta_3 y_2) \quad (4.24)$$

$$= -\theta_1 y_1 + \theta_2 y_3 + \theta_3 y_2, \quad (4.25)$$

which implies

$$\theta_1 y_1 = \frac{1}{2}\theta_2 y_3 + \frac{1}{2}\theta_3 y_2. \quad (4.26)$$

Hence

$$\theta_1 y_2 = -\theta_1 y_1 - \theta_1 y_3 = -\theta_1 y_3 - \frac{1}{2}\theta_2 y_3 + \frac{1}{2}\theta_3 y_2. \quad (4.27)$$

Also

$$\theta_2 y_1 = -\theta_2 y_2 - \theta_2 y_3 \quad (4.28)$$

$$= \theta_1 y_1 + \theta_3 y_3 - \theta_2 y_3 \quad (4.29)$$

$$= \theta_1 y_1 - \theta_1 y_3 - 2\theta_2 y_3 \quad (4.30)$$

$$= -\theta_1 y_3 + \frac{1}{2}\theta_3 y_2 - \frac{3}{2}\theta_2 y_3. \quad (4.31)$$

Finally

$$\theta_2 y_2 = -\theta_2 y_1 - \theta_2 y_3 = \theta_1 y_3 - \frac{1}{2}\theta_3 y_2 + \frac{1}{2}\theta_2 y_3. \quad (4.32)$$

From this we can conclude $\{\theta_1 y_3, \theta_3 y_2, \theta_2 y_3\}$ is a spanning set and S_3 's action here has character $3\epsilon - (12) - (13) - (23)$. Hence this component contributes $t^0 q^1 z^1 (s_{2,1} + s_{1,1,1})$ to the Frobenius character.

The elements $\theta_2 x_1, \theta_3 x_1, \theta_3 x_3 \in \mathcal{B}_3$ have x -degree 1, y -degree 0 and θ -degree 1. We have

$$\theta_3 x_2 = -\theta_3 x_1 - \theta_3 x_3 \quad (4.33)$$

and

$$\theta_1 x_1 = -\theta_2 x_1 - \theta_3 x_1. \quad (4.34)$$

Hence

$$\theta_2 x_2 = -\theta_1 x_1 - \theta_3 x_3 = \theta_2 x_1 + \theta_3 x_1 - \theta_3 x_3. \quad (4.35)$$

Then

$$\theta_2 x_3 = -\theta_2 x_1 - \theta_2 x_2 = -2\theta_2 x_1 - \theta_3 x_1 + \theta_3 x_3 \quad (4.36)$$

and

$$\theta_1 x_2 = -\theta_3 x_2 - \theta_2 x_2 = -\theta_2 x_1 + 2\theta_3 x_3. \quad (4.37)$$

Finally

$$\theta_1 x_3 = -\theta_2 x_3 - \theta_3 x_3 = 2\theta_2 x_1 + \theta_3 x_1 - 2\theta_3 x_3. \quad (4.38)$$

Again we are spanning and the action of S_3 gives a representation with character $3\epsilon - (12) - (13) - (23)$. So this component contributes $t^1 q^0 z^1 (s_{2,1} + s_{1,1,1})$ to the Frobenius character.

There is only one element of \mathcal{B}_3 with y -degree 2, namely $\theta_3 y_2 y_3$. Suppose $\{a, b, c\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Then

$$y_a^2 = -y_b^2 - y_c^2 = (y_a y_b + y_b y_c) + (y_a y_c + y_b y_c) = -y_a^2 + 2y_b y_c. \quad (4.39)$$

This implies $y_a^2 = y_b y_c$. In particular $\theta_a y_a^2 = \theta_a y_b y_c$ and $\theta_b y_a^2 = \theta_b y_b y_c$ for any distinct a, b, c .

Now

$$\theta_a y_a^2 = -\theta_b y_b^2 - \theta_c y_c^2 = -\theta_b y_a y_c - \theta_c y_a y_b \quad (4.40)$$

$$= (\theta_b y_b y_c + \theta_b y_c^2) + (\theta_c y_b^2 + \theta_c y_b y_c) \quad (4.41)$$

$$= \theta_b y_a^2 + \theta_b y_b y_a + \theta_c y_a y_c + \theta_c y_a^2 \quad (4.42)$$

$$= -2\theta_a y_a^2 \quad (4.43)$$

This proves that $\theta_a y_a^2 = 0$ for all a , which also implies $\theta_a y_b y_c = 0$ for any distinct a, b, c . Furthermore, we saw $\theta_b y_a^2 = \theta_b y_b y_c$ for any distinct a, b, c . Thus the remaining monomials can all be written as $\pm \theta_3 y_2 y_3$ as follows:

$$\theta_3 y_1^2 = \theta_3 y_2 y_3 \quad (4.44)$$

$$\theta_3 y_1 y_3 = \theta_3 y_2^2 = -\theta_3 y_1^2 - \theta_3 y_3^2 = -\theta_3 y_2 y_3 \quad (4.45)$$

$$\theta_2 y_1^2 = \theta_2 y_2 y_3 = -\theta_1 y_2 y_3 - \theta_3 y_2 y_3 = -\theta_3 y_2 y_3 \quad (4.46)$$

$$\theta_2 y_3^2 = \theta_2 y_1 y_2 = -\theta_1 y_1^2 - \theta_3 y_1 y_3 = \theta_3 y_2 y_3 \quad (4.47)$$

$$\theta_1 y_2^2 = \theta_1 y_1 y_3 = -\theta_2 y_2 y_3 - \theta_3 y_3^2 = \theta_3 y_2 y_3 \quad (4.48)$$

$$\theta_1 y_1 y_2 = \theta_1 y_3^2 = -\theta_2 y_3^2 - \theta_3 y_3^2 = -\theta_3 y_2 y_3 \quad (4.49)$$

So $\{\theta_3 y_2 y_3\}$ spans the component with x -degree 0, y -degree 2, and θ -degree 1. This carries the sign action, contributing $t^0 q^2 z^1 s_{13}$ to the Frobenius character. The case when the x -degree is 2 and the θ -degree is 1 is very similar. It contributes $t^2 q^0 z^1 s_{13}$ to the Frobenius character.

The last basis element to deal with is $\theta_2 y_3 x_2$. First we note that if we look at the component with grading $z^0 q^1 t^1$, then Theorem 4.1 shows $\{y_3 x_3, y_3 x_1, y_2 x_1\}$ form a basis. Indeed:

$$y_3 x_2 = -y_3 x_3 - y_3 x_1 \quad (4.50)$$

$$y_1 x_1 = -y_3 x_1 - y_2 x_1 \quad (4.51)$$

$$y_2 x_2 = -y_3 x_3 - y_1 x_1 = -y_3 x_3 + y_3 x_1 + y_2 x_1 \quad (4.52)$$

$$y_1 x_3 = -y_3 x_3 - y_2 x_3 = -y_3 x_3 + y_2 x_1 + y_2 x_2 \quad (4.53)$$

$$= -2y_3 x_3 + y_2 x_1 - y_1 x_1 = -2y_3 x_3 + y_3 x_1 + 2y_2 x_1 \quad (4.54)$$

$$y_1 x_2 = -y_1 x_3 - y_1 x_1 = -(-2y_3 x_3 + y_3 x_1 + 2y_2 x_1) - (-y_3 x_1 - y_2 x_1) = 2y_3 x_3 - y_2 x_1 \quad (4.55)$$

$$y_2 x_3 = -y_1 x_3 - y_3 x_3 = y_3 x_3 - y_3 x_1 - 2y_2 x_1 \quad (4.56)$$

We can multiply any of these equations by any θ to get relations in our current component. But new relations also hold. For example:

$$\begin{aligned} -6\theta_1 y_1 x_1 &= 2(\theta_2 y_1 x_1 + \theta_3 y_1 x_1) + (\theta_2 y_1 x_2 + \theta_3 y_1 x_3) \\ &\quad + (\theta_2 y_2 x_1 + \theta_3 y_3 x_1) + 2(\theta_2 y_2 x_2 + \theta_3 y_3 x_3) \end{aligned} \quad (4.57)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \theta_2 \left(2(-y_3 x_1 - y_2 x_1) + (2y_3 x_3 - y_2 x_1) + (y_2 x_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2(-y_3 x_3 + y_3 x_1 + y_2 x_1) \right) + \theta_3 \left(2(-y_3 x_1 - y_2 x_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-2y_3 x_3 + y_3 x_1 + 2y_2 x_1) + (y_3 x_1) + 2(y_3 x_3) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.58)$$

$$= \theta_2(0) + \theta_3(0) = 0 \quad (4.59)$$

Therefore $\theta_1 y_1 x_1 = 0$. By symmetry, $\theta_2 y_2 x_2 = \theta_3 y_3 x_3 = 0$.

Hence

$$\theta_1 y_3 x_1 = -\theta_2 y_3 x_2 - \theta_3 y_3 x_3 = -\theta_2 y_3 x_2 \quad (4.60)$$

$$\theta_1 y_2 x_1 = -\theta_1 y_1 x_1 - \theta_1 y_3 x_1 = \theta_2 y_3 x_2 \quad (4.61)$$

$$\theta_2 y_1 x_2 = -\theta_2 y_2 x_2 - \theta_2 y_3 x_2 = -\theta_2 y_3 x_2 \quad (4.62)$$

$$\theta_3 y_1 x_3 = -\theta_2 y_1 x_2 - \theta_1 y_1 x_1 = \theta_2 y_3 x_2 \quad (4.63)$$

$$\theta_3 y_2 x_3 = -\theta_3 y_1 x_3 - \theta_3 y_3 x_3 = -\theta_2 y_3 x_2 \quad (4.64)$$

Furthermore

$$\theta_1 y_2 x_3 = -\theta_1 y_1 x_3 - \theta_1 y_3 x_3 \quad (4.65)$$

$$= (\theta_2 y_2 x_3 + \theta_3 y_3 x_3) + (\theta_1 y_1 x_1 + \theta_1 y_2 x_2) \quad (4.66)$$

$$= \theta_2 y_2 x_3 + \theta_1 y_2 x_2 \quad (4.67)$$

$$= (-\theta_1 y_2 x_3 - \theta_3 y_2 y_3) + (-\theta_1 y_2 x_1 - \theta_1 y_2 x_3) \quad (4.68)$$

$$= -2\theta_1 y_2 x_3 + \theta_2 y_3 x_2 - \theta_2 y_3 x_2 \quad (4.69)$$

$$= -2\theta_1 y_2 x_3 \quad (4.70)$$

which implies $\theta_1 y_2 x_3 = 0$. By symmetry, $\theta_a y_b x_c = 0$ for all distinct a, b, c . Hence

$$\theta_1 y_1 x_2 = -\theta_2 y_1 x_2 - \theta_3 y_1 x_2 = \theta_2 y_3 x_2, \quad (4.71)$$

$$\theta_1 y_2 x_2 = -\theta_1 y_1 x_2 - \theta_1 y_3 x_2 = -\theta_2 y_3 x_2, \quad (4.72)$$

etc.

From this we can see that the space is spanned by $\{\theta_2 y_3 x_2\}$ and that S_3 's action has character $\epsilon - (12) - (13) - (23) + (123) + (132)$. This component contributes $t^1 q^1 z^1 s_{1,1,1}$ to the Frobenius character.

Our last important observation here is that if the θ -degree is 1 and sum of the x - and y -degrees is at least 3, then every monomial is equivalent to 0. For example,

$$\theta_3 y_2 y_3 x_3 = -\theta_3 y_2 y_3 x_1 - \theta_3 y_2 y_3 x_2 \quad (4.73)$$

but also

$$\theta_3 y_2 y_3 x_3 = -\theta_1 y_2 y_3 x_1 - \theta_2 y_2 y_3 x_2 = \theta_3 y_2 y_3 x_2 \quad (4.74)$$

and

$$\theta_3 y_2 y_3 x_3 = -\theta_1 y_1 y_2 x_1 - \theta_2 y_2^2 x_2 = \theta_3 y_2 y_3 x_1 \quad (4.75)$$

so

$$\theta_3 y_2 y_3 x_1 = \theta_3 y_2 y_3 x_2 = \theta_3 y_2 y_3 x_3 = 0. \quad (4.76)$$

Combining all of our observations and expansions gives

$$\Delta'_{e_2} e_3 + z s_{2,1} + z q (s_{2,1} + s_{1,1,1}) + z q^2 s_{1,1,1} + z t (s_{2,1} + s_{1,1,1}) + z q t s_{1,1,1} + z t^2 s_{1,1,1} + z^2 s_{1,1,1} \quad (4.77)$$

which is $\Delta'_{e_2} e_3 + z \Delta'_{e_1} e_3 + z^2 \Delta'_{e_0} e_3$ as desired.

5 The case $n = 4$ and beyond

We used MAPLE to check that Conjecture 4.3 holds also for $n = 4$. For $n = 5$ the task is already computationally challenging, but many homogeneous components are as predicted.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge NSF support for this work; the first author by grant DMS-1600670 and the second by grant DMS-1603681. The authors would also like to thank Mike Zabrocki for suggesting the method used in Section 4.2 (private correspondence).

References

- [Art76] E. Artin. *Galois Theory*. Notre Dame Mathematical Lectures. Notre Dame Ind., 1976. Reprint of the 1942 original.
- [Bes00] Christine Bessenrodt. *Tensor products of representations of the symmetric groups and related groups*, volume 1149 of *RIMS Kokyuroku (Proceedings of Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences)*, pages 1–15. Shigeo Koshitani, 2000.
- [BG99] F. Bergeron and A. M. Garsia. Science Fiction and Macdonald’s Polynomials. *CRM Proc. & Lecture Notes, Amer. Math. Soc.*, 22:1–52, 1999.
- [BGHT99] F. Bergeron, A. M. Garsia, M. Haiman, and G. Tesler. Identities and positivity conjectures for some remarkable operators in the theory of symmetric functions. *Methods Appl. Anal.*, 6(3):363–420, 1999.
- [Bla16] Jonah Blasiak. Kronecker coefficients for one hook shape. *Sem. Lothar. Combin.*, 77:1–40, 2016.
- [CM18] Erik Carlsson and Anton Mellit. A proof of the shuffle conjecture. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 31(3):661–697, 2018.
- [CO18] Erik Carlsson and Alexei Oblomkov. Affine Schubert calculus and double coinvariants. arXiv preprint 1801.09033, 2018.
- [EHKK03] Eric S. Egge, Jim Haglund, Kendra Kilpatrick, and Darla Kremer. A Schröder generalization of Haglund’s statistic on Catalan paths. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 10(1):16, 2003.
- [ELW10] E. Egge, N. Loehr, and G. Warrington. From quasisymmetric expansions to Schur expansions via a modified inverse Kostka matrix. *European J. Combin.*, 31(8):390–394, 2010.

- [Ful97] William Fulton. *Young tableaux: with applications to representation theory and geometry*, volume 35 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [Ges84] I. Gessel. Multipartite P-partitions and inner products of skew Schur functions. *Contemp. Math.*, 34:289–301, 1984.
- [GH96a] A. M. Garsia and M. Haiman. A remarkable q, t -Catalan sequence and q -Lagrange inversion. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 5(3):191–244, 1996.
- [GH96b] A. M. Garsia and M. Haiman. Some Natural Bigraded S_n -Modules and q, t -Kostka Coefficients. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 3(2):561–620 (The Foata Festschrift, paper R24), 1996.
- [GHX18] Adriano Garsia, Angela Hicks, and Guoce Xin. A Proof of the Functional Equation Conjecture. arXiv preprint 1806.03221, 2018.
- [GR18] Adriano Garsia and Jeffrey Remmel. A note on passing from a quasi-symmetric function expansion to a Schur function expansion of a symmetric function. arXiv preprint 1802.09686, 2018.
- [GS84] A. M. Garsia and D. Stanton. Group actions of Stanley-Reisner rings and invariants of permutation groups. *Adv. in Math.*, 51(2):107–201, 1984.
- [Hag04] Jim Haglund. A proof of the q, t -Schröder conjecture. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2004(11):525–560, 2004.
- [Hag07] James Haglund. *The q, t -Catalan Numbers and the Space of Diagonal Harmonics*. University Lecture Series. American Mathematical Society, 2007.
- [Hai94] Mark D. Haiman. Conjectures on the quotient ring by diagonal invariants. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 3(1):17–76, 1994.
- [Hai01] M. Haiman. Vanishing theorems and character formulas for the Hilbert scheme of points in the plane. *Invent. Math.*, 149:371–407, 2001.
- [HHL⁺05] J. Haglund, M. Haiman, N. Loehr, J. B. Remmel, and A. Ulyanov. A combinatorial formula for the character of the diagonal coinvariants. *Duke Math. J.*, 126:195–232, 2005.
- [Hic13] Angela Hicks. *Parking Function Polynomials and Their Relation to the Shuffle Conjecture*. PhD thesis, University of California, San Diego, 2013.
- [HL05] James Haglund and Nicholas Loehr. A conjectured combinatorial formula for the Hilbert series for diagonal harmonics. *Discrete Math.*, 298(1-3):189–204, 2005.

- [HRS18] James Haglund, Brendan Rhoades, and Mark Shimozono. Ordered set partitions, generalized coinvariant algebras, and the Delta Conjecture. *Adv. Math.*, 329:851–915, 2018.
- [HRW18] James Haglund, Jeff Remmel, and Andrew Wilson. The delta conjecture. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 370(6):4029–4057, 2018.
- [KW66] A. G. Konheim and B. Weiss. An occupancy discipline and applications. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 14(6):1266–1274, 1966.
- [Mac88] I. G. Macdonald. A new class of symmetric functions. *Actes du 20e Séminaire Lotharingien*, Publ. I.R.M.A. Strasbourg:131–171, 1988.
- [Mac95] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, New York, 2nd edition edition, 1995.
- [RW19] Brendon Rhoades and Andrew. T. Wilson. Vandermondes in superspace. arXiv preprint 1906.03315, 2019.
- [Ser16] E. Sergel. *The Combinatorics of nabla pn and connections to the Rational Shuffle Conjecture*. PhD thesis, University of California, San Diego, 2016.
- [Ser17] Emily Sergel. A proof of the square paths conjecture. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 152:363–379, 2017.
- [Sta79] Richard P. Stanley. Invariants of finite groups and their applications to combinatorics. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1(3):475–511, 1979.
- [Wal19] Nolan Wallach. Some implications of a conjecture of Zabrocki to the action of S_n on polynomial differential forms. arXiv preprint 1906.11787, 2019.
- [Zab19] Mike Zabrocki. A module for the Delta conjecture. arXiv preprint 1902.08966, 2019.