

ON THE LATTICE OF WEAK TOPOLOGIES ON THE BICYCLIC MONOID WITH ADJOINED ZERO

SERHII BARDYLA AND OLEG GUTIK

ABSTRACT. A Hausdorff topology τ on the bicyclic monoid $\mathcal{C}^0$ is called *weak* if it is contained in the coarsest inverse semigroup topology on $\mathcal{C}^0$. We show that the lattice $\mathcal{W}$ of all weak shift-continuous topologies on $\mathcal{C}^0$ is isomorphic to the lattice of all shift-invariant filters on ω with an attached element 1 endowed with the following partial order: $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G}$ iff $\mathcal{G} = 1$ or $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$. Also, we investigate cardinal characteristics of the lattice $\mathcal{W}$. In particular, we proved that $\mathcal{W}$ contains an antichain of cardinality $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ and a well-ordered chain of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$. Moreover, there exists a well-ordered chain of first-countable weak topologies of order type $\mathfrak{t}$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this paper all topological spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. The cardinality of a set X is denoted by $|X|$. Further we shall follow the terminology of [21, 25, 26]. By ω we denote the first infinite ordinal. The set of integers is denoted by $\mathbb{Z}$. By $\mathfrak{c}$ we denote the cardinality of the family of all subsets of ω .

A semigroup S is called *inverse* if for every $x \in S$ there exists a unique $y \in S$ such that $xyx = x$ and $xyy = y$. Such an element y is denoted by x^{-1} and called the *inverse of x* . The map which associates every element of an inverse semigroup to its inverse is called an *inversion*.

Given a semigroup S , we shall denote the set of all idempotents of S by $E(S)$. A semigroup S with an adjoined zero will be denoted by S^0 .

A *poset* is a set endowed with a partial order which is usually denoted by $\leq$. A poset X is called *upper (lower, resp.) semilattice* if each finite subset of X has a supremum (infimum, resp.). An upper (lower, resp.) semilattice X is called *complete* if each subset of X has a supremum (infimum, resp.). Elements x, y of a poset X are called *incomparable* if neither $x \leq y$ nor $y \leq x$. For any poset X and $x \in X$ put

$$\downarrow x = \{y \in X \mid y \leq x\}, \quad \uparrow x = \{y \in X \mid x \leq y\}, \quad \downarrow^\circ x = \downarrow x \setminus \{x\}, \quad \uparrow^\circ x = \uparrow x \setminus \{x\}.$$

A poset X is called a *lattice* if each finite non-empty subset of X has infimum and supremum. A lattice X is called *complete* if any non-empty subset of X has infimum and supremum. A poset X is called a *chain* if for any distinct $a, b \in X$ either $a \leq b$ or $b \leq a$. A chain X is called *well-ordered* if any non-empty subset A of X contains the smallest element.

If Y is a subset of a topological space X and, then by $\overline{Y}$ we denote the topological closure of Y in X .

A family $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of a set X is called a *filter* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$;
- (2) If $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $A \subset B$ then $B \in \mathcal{F}$;
- (3) If $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$.

A family $\mathcal{B}$ is called a *base* of a filter $\mathcal{F}$ if for each element $A \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists an element $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B \subset A$. A filter $\mathcal{F}$ is called *free* if $\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F = \emptyset$.

Date: August 14, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 22A15, 06B23, 03E17.

Key words and phrases. Lattice of topologies, bicyclic monoid, shift-continuous topology, small cardinals.

The work of the author is supported by the Austrian Science Fund FWF (Grant I 3709 N35).

A *semitopological* (*topological*, resp.) *semigroup* is a topological space together with a separately (jointly, resp.) continuous semigroup operation. An inverse semigroup with continuous semigroup operation and inversion is called a *topological inverse semigroup*.

A topology τ on a semigroup S is called:

- *shift-continuous*, if (S, τ) is a semitopological semigroup;
- *semigroup*, if (S, τ) is a topological semigroup;
- *inverse semigroup*, if (S, τ) is a topological inverse semigroup.

The *bicyclic monoid* $\mathcal{C}$ is a semigroup with the identity 1 generated by two elements p and q subject to the condition $pq = 1$.

The bicyclic monoid is isomorphic to the set $\omega \times \omega$ endowed with the following semigroup operation:

$$(a, b) \cdot (c, d) = \begin{cases} (a + c - b, d), & \text{if } b \leq c; \\ (a, d + b - c), & \text{if } b > c; \end{cases}$$

The bicyclic monoid plays an important role in the algebraic theory of semigroups as well as in the theory of topological semigroups. For example, the well-known Andersen's result [1] states that a (0-)simple semigroup with an idempotent is completely (0-)simple if and only if it does not contain an isomorphic copy of the bicyclic semigroup. The bicyclic semigroup admits only the discrete semigroup topology [20]. In [13] this result was extended over the case of semitopological semigroups. Compact topological semigroups cannot contain an isomorphic copy of the bicyclic monoid [2]. The problem of an embedding of the bicyclic monoid into compact-like topological semigroups was discussed in [4, 5, 11, 23, 24].

However, it is natural to consider the bicyclic monoid with an adjoint zero $\mathcal{C}^0$. It is well-known that the bicyclic monoid with an adjoined zero is isomorphic to the polycyclic monoid $\mathcal{P}_1$ which is isomorphic to the graph inverse semigroup $G(E)$ over the graph E which consists of one vertex and one loop. The monoid $\mathcal{C}^0$ is a building block of α -bicyclic monoids, polycyclic monoids and some graph inverse semigroups. For example:

Theorem 1.1 ([9, Theorem 6]). *Let a graph inverse semigroup $G(E)$ be a dense subsemigroup of a d -compact topological semigroup S . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *there exists a cardinal k such that $E = (\sqcup_{\alpha \in k} E_\alpha) \sqcup F$ where the graph F is acyclic and for each $\alpha \in k$ the graph E_α consists of one vertex and one loop;*
- (2) *if the graph F is non-empty, then the semigroup $G(F)$ is a compact subset of $G(E)$;*
- (3) *each open neighborhood of 0 contains all but finitely many subsets $G(E_\alpha) \subset G(E)$, $\alpha \in k$.*

In [7] it was proved the following:

Theorem 1.2 ([7, Theorem 1]). *Each graph inverse semigroup $G(E)$ is a subsemigroup of the polycyclic monoid $\mathcal{P}_{|G(E)|}$.*

This result leads us to the following problem:

Problem 1.3 ([7, Question 1]). *Is it true that each semitopological (topological, topological inverse, resp.) graph inverse semigroup $G(E)$ is a subsemigroup of a semitopological (topological, topological inverse, resp.) polycyclic monoid $\mathcal{P}_{|G(E)|}$.*

So, to solve this problem we need to know more about a topologization of polycyclic monoids and their subsemigroups. In recent years it was actively investigated locally compact shift-continuous and semigroup topologies on polycyclic monoids and graph inverse semigroups. For instance, in [22] it was proved that a Hausdorff locally compact semitopological bicyclic semigroup with an adjoined zero $\mathcal{C}^0$ is either compact or discrete. In [6] and [8] this result was extended for polycyclic monoids and graph inverse semigroups over strongly connected graphs with finitely many vertices, respectively.

There exists the coarsest inverse semigroup topology $\tau_{\min}$ on $\mathcal{C}^0$ which is defined as follows: elements of $\mathcal{C}$ are isolated and the family $\mathcal{B}_{\min}(0) = \{C_n : n \in \omega\}$, where $C_n = \{0\} \cup \{(k, m) \mid k, m > n\}$, forms an open neighborhood base at 0 of the topology $\tau_{\min}$ (see [7, Theorem 3.6]).

Let X be any semigroup. By $\mathcal{SCT}(X)$ we denote the set of all Hausdorff shift-continuous topologies on X endowed with the following natural partial order: $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ iff $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2$. For any topologies τ_1, τ_2 on X by $\tau_1 \vee \tau_2$ we denote a topology on X which subbase is $\tau_1 \cup \tau_2$.

Lemma 1.4. *For any semigroup X , the poset $\mathcal{SCT}(X)$ is a complete upper semilattices. Moreover, if the poset $\mathcal{SCT}(X)$ contains the least element then $\mathcal{SCT}(X)$ is a complete lattice.*

Proof. Let $T = \{\tau_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be an arbitrary subset of $\mathcal{SCT}(X)$. Obviously, the topology τ which is generated by the subbase $\mathcal{B}_\tau = \cup T$ is the supremum of T in the lattice $\mathcal{T}$ of all topologies on X . Since $\mathcal{SCT}(X)$ is a subposet of $\mathcal{T}$, it is sufficient to show that $\tau \in \mathcal{SCT}(X)$. Fix an arbitrary $x, y \in X$ and basic open neighborhood U of xy in the topology τ . Then there exist a finite subset $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subset A$ and open neighborhoods $U_{\alpha_1} \in \tau_{\alpha_1}, \dots, U_{\alpha_n} \in \tau_{\alpha_n}$ of xy such that $\cap_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i} \subset U$. Since for every $i \leq n$ the topology τ_{α_i} is shift-continuous there exists an open neighborhood $V_{\alpha_i} \in \tau_{\alpha_i}$ of y such that $x \cdot V_{\alpha_i} \subset U_{\alpha_i}$. Then $V = \cap_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i} \in \tau$ and $x \cdot V \subset U$. Analogously it can be shown that there exists an open neighborhood $W \in \tau$ of x such that $W \cdot y \subset U$. Hence $\tau \in \mathcal{SCT}(X)$.

Assume that the poset $\mathcal{SCT}(X)$ contains the least element v and $T = \{\tau_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is an arbitrary subset of $\mathcal{SCT}(X)$. The set $S = \cap_{\alpha \in A} \downarrow \tau_\alpha$ is non-empty, because it contains v . The above arguments imply that there exists a topology τ such that $\tau = \sup S$. The topology τ is generated by the subbase $\cup S$. Fix any $\alpha \in A$ and basic open set $U = \cap_{i=1}^n V_i \in \tau$ where $V_i \in \tau_i \in S$, $i \leq n$. Then $V_i \in \tau_\alpha$ for each $i \leq n$ witnessing that $U \in \tau_\alpha$. Hence $\tau \subset \tau_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in A$ which implies that $\tau = \inf T$. $\square$

By $\mathcal{SCT}$ we denote the poset $\mathcal{SCT}(\mathcal{C}^0)$.

Let τ_c be a topology on $\mathcal{C}^0$ such that each non-zero point is isolated in $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_c)$ and an open neighborhood base at 0 of the topology τ_c consists of cofinite subsets of $\mathcal{C}^0$ which contain 0. We remark that τ_c is the unique compact shift-continuous topology on $\mathcal{C}^0$ which implies that $\tau_c = \inf \mathcal{SCT}$ (see [22, Theorem 1] and [8, Lemma 3] for a more general case). Hence Lemma 1.4 implies the following:

Corollary 1.5. *The poset $\mathcal{SCT}$ is a complete lattice.*

A topology τ on $\mathcal{C}^0$ is called *weak* if it is contained in the coarsest inverse semigroup topology $\tau_{\min}$ on $\mathcal{C}^0$. By $\mathcal{W}$ we denote the sublattice $\downarrow \tau_{\min} \subset \mathcal{SCT}$ of all weak shift-continuous topologies on $\mathcal{C}^0$.

In this paper we investigate properties of the lattice $\mathcal{W}$. More precisely, we show $\mathcal{W}$ is isomorphic to the certain sublattice of the lattice of all free filters on ω . Also, we investigate cardinal characteristics of the lattice $\mathcal{W}$. In particular, we proved that $\mathcal{W}$ contains an antichain of cardinality 2^c and a well-ordered chain of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$. Moreover, there exists a well-ordered chain of first-countable weak topologies of order type $\mathfrak{t}$.

Cardinal characteristics of chains and antichains, and other properties of a poset of group topologies were investigated in [3, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18].

First we define two frequently used weak topologies τ_L and τ_R on the semigroup $\mathcal{C}^0$. All non-zero elements are isolated in both of the above topologies and

- the family $\mathcal{B}_L(0) = \{A_n \mid n \in \omega\}$, where $A_n = \{0\} \cup \{(k, m) \mid k > n, m \in \omega\}$, is an open neighborhood base at 0 of the topology τ_L ;
- the family $\mathcal{B}_R(0) = \{B_n \mid n \in \omega\}$, where $B_n = \{0\} \cup \{(k, m) \mid m > n, k \in \omega\}$, is an open neighborhood base at 0 of the topology τ_R .

Observe that $\tau_{\min} = \tau_L \vee \tau_R$.

A semigroup topology τ on $\mathcal{C}^0$ is called *minimal* if there exists no semigroup topology on $\mathcal{C}^0$ which is strictly contained in τ .

Lemma 1.6. *τ_L and τ_R are minimal semigroup topologies on $\mathcal{C}^0$.*

Proof. We shall prove the minimality of τ_L . In the case of τ_R the proof is similar.

It is easy to check that $(i, j) \cdot A_{n+j} \subseteq A_n$, $A_n \cdot (i, j) \subseteq A_n$ and $A_n \cdot A_n \subseteq A_n$ for all $i, j, n \in \omega$. Hence $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_L)$ is a topological semigroup.

Suppose to the contrary that there exists a Hausdorff semigroup topology τ on $\mathcal{C}^0$ which is strictly contained in τ_L . Then there exists $A_n \in \mathcal{B}_L(0)$ such that the set $U \setminus A_n$ is infinite for any open

neighbourhood U of zero in $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$. The pigeonhole principle implies that there exists a non-negative integer $i_0 \leq n$ such that the set $U \cap \{(i_0, j) \mid j \in \omega\}$ is infinite for each open neighborhood $U \in \tau$ of 0. The continuity of the semigroup operation in $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ yields an open neighborhood $V \in \tau$ of 0 such that $V \cdot V \subset \mathcal{C}^0 \setminus \{(i_0, i_0)\}$. Since V contains some A_m and the set $V \cap \{(i_0, j) \mid j \in \omega\}$ is infinite, there exists a positive integer $j > m$ such that $(i_0, j) \in V$ and $(j, i_0) \in V$. Hence $(i_0, i_0) = (i_0, j) \cdot (j, i_0) \in V \cdot V \subset \mathcal{C}^0 \setminus \{(i_0, i_0)\}$ which provides a contradiction. $\square$

Problem 1.7. Do there exist other minimal semigroup topologies on $\mathcal{C}^0$?

Lemma 1.8. *If τ is a semigroup topology on $\mathcal{C}^0$ such that $\tau \in \downarrow^\circ \tau_{\min}$ then either $\tau = \tau_L$ or $\tau = \tau_R$.*

Proof. Let τ be a semigroup topology on $\mathcal{C}^0$ such that $\tau \in \downarrow^\circ \tau_{\min}$. Then there exists $C_n \in \mathcal{B}_{\min}$ such that the set $U \setminus C_n$ is infinite for each open neighborhood $U \in \tau$ of 0. The pigeonhole principle implies that there exists a non-negative integer $i_0 \leq n$ such that at least one of the following two cases holds:

- (1) the set $U \cap \{(i_0, j) \mid j \in \omega\}$ is infinite for each open neighborhood U of 0;
- (2) the set $U \cap \{(j, i_0) \mid j \in \omega\}$ is infinite for each open neighborhood U of 0.

Consider case (1). Fix an arbitrary $n \in \omega$ and an open neighborhood $U \in \tau$ of 0. Since $(n, i_0) \cdot 0 = 0$ the continuity of the semigroup operation in $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ yields an open neighborhood V of 0 such that $(n, i_0) \cdot V \subset U$. Since the set $V \cap \{(i_0, j) \mid j \in \omega\}$ is infinite and $(n, i_0) \cdot (i_0, j) = (n, j)$ for each $n, j \in \omega$, the set $U \cap \{(n, j) \mid j \in \omega\}$ is infinite as well. Hence 0 is an accumulation point of the set $\{(n, j) \mid j \in \omega\}$ for each $n \in \omega$. Using one more time the continuity of the semigroup operation in $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ we can find an open neighborhood $W \in \tau$ of 0 such that $W \cdot W \subset U$. Since $\tau \subset \tau_{\min}$ we obtain that there exists $m \in \omega$ such that $C_m \subset W$. Recall that 0 is an accumulation point of the set $\{(n, j) \mid j \in \omega\}$ for each $n \in \omega$. Hence for each $n \in \omega$ we can find a positive integer $j_n > m$ such that $(n, j_n) \in W$. Observe that for each $n \in \omega$ the set $\{(j_n, k) \mid k > m\} \subset W$. Then for each $n \in \omega$ the following inclusion holds:

$$\{(n, k) \mid k > m\} = (n, j_n) \cdot \{(j_n, k) \mid k > m\} \subset W \cdot W \subset U.$$

Hence for each open neighborhood $U \in \tau$ of 0 there exists $m \in \omega$ such that $B_m \subset U$ which implies that $U \in \tau_R$ and $\tau \subset \tau_R$. By lemma 1.6, $\tau = \tau_R$.

Similar arguments imply that $\tau = \tau_L$ provided that case (2) holds. $\square$

Now we are going to describe the sublattices $\downarrow \tau_L$ and $\downarrow \tau_R$ of $\mathcal{W}$. Let τ be an arbitrary shift-continuous topology on $\mathcal{C}^0$ such that $\tau \in \downarrow^\circ \tau_L$. For each open neighborhood $U \in \tau$ of 0 and $i \in \omega$ put $F_i^U = \{n \in \omega \mid (i, n) \in U\}$.

Lemma 1.9. *Let $\tau \in \downarrow^\circ \tau_L$. Then for each $i \in \omega$ the set $\mathcal{F}_i = \{F_i^U \mid 0 \in U \in \tau\}$ is a filter on ω . Moreover, $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{F}_j$ for each $i, j \in \omega$.*

Proof. Since $\tau \in \downarrow^\circ \tau_L$ there exists $n \in \omega$ such that the set $U \setminus A_n$ is infinite for each open neighborhood $U \in \tau$ of 0. Similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 1.8 imply that 0 is an accumulation point of the set $\{(k, n) \mid n \in \omega\}$ for each $k \in \omega$. Fix any $i \in \omega$. Since the intersection of two neighborhoods of 0 is a neighborhood of 0 we obtain that family $\mathcal{F}_i$ is closed under finite intersections. Fix an arbitrary subset $A \subset \omega$ such that $F_i^U \subset A$ for some $U \in \tau$. Since each non-zero point is isolated in $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ the set $V = U \cup \{(i, n) \mid n \in A\}$ is an open neighborhood of 0 such that $F_i^V = A$. Hence the family $\mathcal{F}_i$ is a filter for each $i \in \omega$.

Fix an arbitrary $i, j \in \omega$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $i < j$. To prove that $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{F}_j$ it is sufficient to prove the following statements:

- (1) for each $F_i^U \in \mathcal{F}_i$ there exists $F_j^V \in \mathcal{F}_j$ such that $F_j^V \subset F_i^U$;
- (2) for each $F_j^U \in \mathcal{F}_j$ there exists $F_i^V \in \mathcal{F}_i$ such that $F_i^V \subset F_j^U$.

Consider statement (1). Fix an arbitrary element $F_i^U \in \mathcal{F}_i$. The separate continuity of the semigroup operation in $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ yields an open neighborhood V of 0 such that $(0, j - i) \cdot V \subset U$. Observe that $(0, j - i) \cdot (j, n) = (i, n)$ for each $n \in \omega$ which implies that $F_j^V \subset F_i^U$.

Consider statement (2). Fix an arbitrary element $F_j^U \in \mathcal{F}_j$. The separate continuity of the semigroup operation in $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ yields an open neighborhood V of 0 such that $(j - i, 0) \cdot V \subset U$. Observe that $(j - i, 0) \cdot (i, n) = (j, n)$ for each $n \in \omega$ which implies that $F_i^V \subset F_j^U$.

Hence $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{F}_j$, for each $i, j \in \omega$. $\square$

By Lemma 1.9, each shift-continuous topology $\tau \in \downarrow^\circ \tau_L$ generates a unique filter on ω which we denote by $\mathcal{F}_\tau$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $A \subset \omega$ the set $\{n + x \mid x \in A\} \cap \omega$ is denoted by $n + A$. A filter $\mathcal{F}$ on the set ω is called *shift-invariant* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- each cofinite subset of ω belongs to $\mathcal{F}$;
- for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $H \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $n + H \subset F$.

For each filter $\mathcal{F}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the filter which is generated by the family $\{n + F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is denoted by $n + \mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 1.10. *A free filter $\mathcal{F}$ on the set ω is shift-invariant iff $\mathcal{F} = n + \mathcal{F}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a shift-invariant filter on ω and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Fix any $F \in \mathcal{F}$. There exists an element $H \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $n + H \subset F$. Hence $\mathcal{F} \subset n + \mathcal{F}$. Fix any set $n + F \in n + \mathcal{F}$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is shift-invariant there exists $H \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $-n + H \subset F$ and $k > n$ for each $k \in H$. Then $H \subset n + F$ witnessing that $n + \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}$. Hence $\mathcal{F} = n + \mathcal{F}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a free filter on ω such that $\mathcal{F} = n + \mathcal{F}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is free every cofinite subset of ω belongs to $\mathcal{F}$. Fix any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $\mathcal{F} = n + \mathcal{F}$ there exists $H \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $n + H \subset F$. $\square$

By $\mathcal{SIF}$ we denote the set of all shift-invariant filters on ω endowed with a following partial order: $\mathcal{F}_1 \leq \mathcal{F}_2$ iff $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2$, for each $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \in \mathcal{SIF}$.

Lemma 1.11. *Each $\tau \in \downarrow^\circ \tau_L$ generates a shift-invariant filter $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ on ω . Moreover, $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_1} \neq \mathcal{F}_{\tau_2}$ for any distinct shift-continuous topologies τ_1 and τ_2 on $\mathcal{C}^0$ which belongs to $\downarrow^\circ \tau_L$.*

Proof. Observe that each open neighborhood $U \in \tau$ of 0 is of the form $U = \bigcup_{i=0}^n \{(i, n) \mid n \in F_i^U\} \cup A_n$ where $F_i^U \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ for each $i \leq n$ and $n \in \omega$. By Lemma 1.9, the set $F = \bigcap_{i=0}^n F_i^U$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_\tau$. Then the set $U_{F,n} = \{(i, k) \mid i \leq n \text{ and } k \in F\} \cup A_n$ is an open neighborhood of 0 which is contained in U . Hence the family $\mathcal{B}(0) = \{U_{F,n} \mid F \in \mathcal{F}_\tau \text{ and } n \in \omega\}$ forms an open neighborhood base at 0 of the topology τ .

The Hausdorffness of $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ implies that each cofinite subset of ω belongs to $\mathcal{F}$.

Fix an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a basic open neighborhood $U_{F,0}$ of 0.

If $n > 0$, then the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ yields a basic open neighborhood $U_{H,m}$ of 0 such that $(0, n) \cdot U_{H,m} \subset U_{F,0}$. Observe that $\{(0, k) \mid k \in H\} \subset U_{H,m}$ and

$$(0, n) \cdot \{(0, k) \mid k \in H\} = \{(0, k + n) \mid k \in H\} = \{(0, k) \mid k \in H + n\} \subset U_{F,0}.$$

Hence $H + n \subset F$.

Assume that $n < 0$. Since $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ is a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup there exists a basic open neighborhood $U_{H,m}$ of 0 such that $H \cap \{0, \dots, n\} = \emptyset$ and $U_{H,m} \cdot (|n|, 0) \subset U_{F,0}$. Observe that $\{(0, k) \mid k \in H\} \subset U_{H,m}$ and

$$\{(0, k) \mid k \in H\} \cdot (|n|, 0) = \{(0, k - |n|) \mid k \in H\} = \{(0, k) \mid k \in H + n\} \subset U_{F,0}.$$

Hence $H + n \subset F$.

Observe that the second part of the lemma follows from the description of topologies $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \downarrow^\circ \tau_L$ and from the definition of filters $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_1}, \mathcal{F}_{\tau_2}$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a shift-invariant filter on ω . By $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}^L$ we denote a topology on $\mathcal{C}^0$ which is defined as follows: each non-zero element is isolated in $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{\mathcal{F}}^L)$ and the family $\mathcal{B} = \{U_{F,n} \mid F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } n \in \omega\}$ where $U_{F,n} = \{(i, k) \mid i \leq n \text{ and } k \in F\} \cup A_n$ forms an open neighborhood base of 0 in $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{\mathcal{F}}^L)$.

Lemma 1.12. *For each shift-invariant filter $\mathcal{F}$ on ω the topology $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}^L$ is shift-continuous and belongs to $\downarrow^\circ \tau_L$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ are distinct shift-invariant filters on ω then $\tau_{\mathcal{F}_1}^L \neq \tau_{\mathcal{F}_2}^L$.*

Proof. Observe that the second part of the statement of the lemma follows from the definition of topologies $\tau_{\mathcal{F}_1}^L$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{F}_2}^L$.

The definition of the topology $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}^L$ implies that $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}^L \in \downarrow^\circ \tau_L$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a shift-invariant filter on ω . Since the filter $\mathcal{F}$ contains all cofinite subsets of ω the definition of the topology $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}^L$ implies that the space $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{\mathcal{F}}^L)$ is Hausdorff. Recall that the bicyclic monoid $\mathcal{C}$ is generated by two elements $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is the discrete subset of $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{\mathcal{F}}^L)$. Hence to prove the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{\mathcal{F}}^L)$ it is sufficient to check it in the following four cases:

- (1) $(0, 1) \cdot 0 = 0$;
- (2) $(1, 0) \cdot 0 = 0$;
- (3) $0 \cdot (0, 1) = 0$;
- (4) $0 \cdot (1, 0) = 0$.

Fix an arbitrary basic open neighborhood $U_{F,n}$ of 0.

(1) Since the filter $\mathcal{F}$ is shift-invariant there exists $H \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $H \subset F$ and $H + 1 \subset F$. It is easy to check that $(0, 1) \cdot U_{H,n+1} \subset U_{F,n}$.

(2) It is easy to check that $(1, 0) \cdot U_{F,n} \subset U_{F,n}$.

(3) Since the filter $\mathcal{F}$ is shift-invariant there exists $H \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $H \subset F$ and $H + 1 \subset F$. It is easy to check that $U_{H,n} \cdot (0, 1) \subset U_{F,n}$.

(4) Since the filter $\mathcal{F}$ is shift-invariant there exists $H \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $H \subset F$ and $H - 1 \subset F$. It is easy to check that $U_{H,n} \cdot (1, 0) \subset U_{F,n}$.

Hence the topology $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}^L$ is shift-continuous. $\square$

Put $\mathcal{SLF}^1 = \mathcal{SLF} \sqcup \{1\}$ and extend a partial order $\leq$ on $\mathcal{SLF}^1$ as follows: $\mathcal{F} \leq 1$ for each $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{SLF}$.

Theorem 1.13. *The posets $\downarrow \tau_L$ and $\mathcal{SLF}^1$ are order isomorphic.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.11, for each topology $\tau \in \downarrow \tau_L$ there exists a shift-invariant filter $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{SLF}$ such that $\tau = \tau_{\mathcal{F}}^L$. We define the map $f : \downarrow \tau_L \rightarrow \mathcal{SLF}^1$ as follows:

- $f(\tau_{\mathcal{F}}^L) = \mathcal{F}$ for each topology $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}^L \in \downarrow \tau_L$;
- $f(\tau_L) = 1$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_1 \in \mathcal{SLF}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 \in \mathcal{SLF}$. Observe that $\mathcal{F}_1 \leq \mathcal{F}_2$ iff $\tau_{\mathcal{F}_1}^L \leq \tau_{\mathcal{F}_2}^L$. Lemmas 1.11 and 1.12 imply that the map f is an order isomorphism between posets $\downarrow \tau_L$ and $\mathcal{SLF}^1$. $\square$

Corollary 1.14. *The poset $\mathcal{SLF}^1$ is a complete lattice.*

Let τ be an arbitrary shift-continuous topology on $\mathcal{C}^0$ such that $\tau \in \downarrow^\circ \tau_R$. For each open neighborhood $U \in \tau$ of 0 and $i \in \omega$ put $G_i^U = \{n \in \omega \mid (n, i) \in U\}$.

The proof of the following lemma is similar to Lemma 1.9.

Lemma 1.15. *Let $\tau \in \downarrow^\circ \tau_R$. Then for each $i \in \omega$ the set $\mathcal{G}_i = \{G_i^U \mid 0 \in U \in \tau\}$ is a filter on ω . Moreover, $\mathcal{G}_i = \mathcal{G}_j$ for each $i, j \in \omega$.*

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a shift-invariant filter on ω . By $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}^R$ we denote a topology on $\mathcal{C}^0$ which is defined as follows: each non-zero element is isolated in $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{\mathcal{G}}^R)$ and the family $\mathcal{B} = \{U_{G,n} \mid G \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } n \in \omega\}$ where $U_{G,n} = \{(k, i) \mid i \leq n \text{ and } k \in G\} \cup B_n$ forms an open neighborhood base of 0 in $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{\mathcal{G}}^R)$.

Similarly it can be proved analogues of lemmas 1.11 and 1.12 for a topology $\tau \in \downarrow^\circ \tau_R$. Hence we obtain the following:

Theorem 1.16. *The posets $\downarrow \tau_R$ and $\mathcal{SLF}^1$ are order isomorphic.*

Proposition 1.17. *For each topology $\tau \in \mathcal{W}$ there exists a unique pair of topologies $(\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \downarrow \tau_L \times \downarrow \tau_R$ such that $\tau = \tau_1 \vee \tau_2$.*

Proof. Let $\tau \in \downarrow^\circ \tau_{\min}$. Then there exists $n \in \omega$ such that for each open neighborhood $U \in \tau$ of 0 the set $U \setminus C_n$ is infinite.

It is easy to see that τ satisfies one of the following three conditions.

- (1) There exists $i \leq n$ such that the set $\{(i, m) \mid m \in \omega\} \cap U$ is infinite for each open neighborhood $U \in \tau$ of 0 and there exists an open neighborhood $U_0 \in \tau$ of 0 such that $\{(m, j) \mid m \in \omega\} \cap U_0 = \emptyset$ for any $j \leq n$.
- (2) There exists $j \leq n$ such that the set $\{(m, j) \mid m \in \omega\} \cap U$ is infinite for each open neighborhood $U \in \tau$ of 0 and there exists an open neighborhood $U_0 \in \tau$ of 0 such that $\{(i, m) \mid m \in \omega\} \cap U_0 = \emptyset$ for any $i \leq n$.
- (3) There exists $i, j \leq n$ such that the sets $\{(i, m) \mid m \in \omega\} \cap U$ and $\{(m, j) \mid m \in \omega\} \cap U$ are infinite for each open neighborhood $U \in \tau$ of 0.

Assume that τ satisfies condition (1). Fix a non-negative integer k and an arbitrary open neighborhood $U \in \tau$ of 0. Similar arguments as in the proof of lemmas 1.9, 1.11 imply that there exists a shift-invariant filter $\mathcal{F}$ on ω such that the family $\mathcal{B}(0) = \{C_{F,n} \mid F \in \mathcal{F}, n \in \omega\}$, where $C_{F,n} = C_n \cup \{(i, k) \mid i \leq n \text{ and } k \in F\}$, is an open neighborhood base at 0 of the topology τ .

It is easy to check that $\tau = \tau_{\mathcal{F}}^L \vee \tau_R$. We denote such a topology τ by $\tau_{\mathcal{F},1}$.

Assume that τ satisfies condition (2). Similar arguments imply that there exists a shift stable filter $\mathcal{G}$ on ω such that the family $\mathcal{B}(0) = \{C_{G,n} \mid G \in \mathcal{G}, n \in \omega\}$, where $C_{G,n} = C_n \cup \{(k, i) \mid i \leq n \text{ and } k \in G\}$, is an open neighborhood base at 0 of the topology τ .

Then $\tau = \tau_L \vee \tau_{\mathcal{G}}^R$. We denote such a topology τ by $\tau_{1,\mathcal{G}}$.

If the topology τ satisfies condition (3), then there exist shift-invariant filters $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ on ω such that $\tau = \tau_{\mathcal{F}}^L \vee \tau_{\mathcal{G}}^R$. We denote such a topology τ by $\tau_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}}$.

Recall that $\tau_{\min} = \tau_L \vee \tau_R$. For convenience we denote $\tau_{\min}$ by $\tau_{1,1}$. □

Theorem 1.18. *The poset $\mathcal{W}$ is order isomorphic to the poset $\mathcal{SIF}^1 \times \mathcal{SIF}^1$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.17, each topology $\tau \in \mathcal{W}$ is of the form $\tau_{x,y}$, where $x, y \in \mathcal{SIF}^1$. The routine verifications show that the map $f : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{SIF}^1 \times \mathcal{SIF}^1$, $f(\tau_{x,y}) = (x, y)$ is an order isomorphism. □

An inverse semigroup S is called *quasitopological* if it is semitopological and the inversion is continuous in S . A topology τ on an inverse semigroup S is called *quasisemigroup* if (S, τ) is a quasitopological semigroup.

By $\mathcal{W}_q$ we denote the set of all weak quasisemigroup topologies on $\mathcal{C}^0$. Obviously, $\mathcal{W}_q$ is a sublattice of $\mathcal{W}$. Fix a weak topology $\tau = \tau_{x,y}$ where $x, y \in \mathcal{SIF}^1$ (see the proof of Proposition 1.17). Observe that $(n, m)^{-1} = (m, n)$ for each element $(n, m) \in \mathcal{C}$. At this point it is easy to see that the topology $\tau_{x,y}$ is quasisemigroup iff $x = y$. Hence we obtain the following:

Proposition 1.19. *The lattice $\mathcal{W}_q$ is isomorphic to the lattice $\mathcal{SIF}^1$.*

At the end of this section we prove a nice complete-like property of weak topologies on $\mathcal{C}^0$.

A semitopological semigroup X is called *absolutely H-closed* if for any continuous homomorphism h from X into a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup Y the image $h(X)$ is closed in Y .

The next proposition complements results about complete polycyclic monoids $\mathcal{P}_k$, $k > 1$ obtained in [10].

Proposition 1.20. *Let $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ be a semitopological semigroup such that 0 is an accumulation point of the set $E(\mathcal{C})$. Then $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ is absolutely H-closed.*

Proof. By σ we denote the least group congruence on $\mathcal{C}$. By Theorem 3.4.5 from [26], $(a, b)\sigma(c, d)$ iff $a - b = c - d$ for any $a, b \in \omega$, every congruence on the bicyclic monoid is a group congruence and $\mathcal{C}/\sigma$ is isomorphic to the additive group of integers. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ put $[k] = \{(a, b) \in \mathcal{C} \mid a - b = k\}$.

Fix any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an open neighborhood U of 0. If $k > 0$, then the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau)$ yields an open neighborhood V of 0 such that $(k, 0) \cdot V \subset U$. Since 0 is an accumulation point of the set $E(\mathcal{C})$ there exists an infinite subset $A \subset \omega$ such that

$\{(n, n) \mid n \in A\} \subset V$. Then $(k, 0) \cdot \{(n, n) \mid n \in A\} = \{(k + n, n) \mid n \in A\} \subset U$. Hence 0 is an accumulation point of the set $[k]$ for each $k \geq 0$.

If $k < 0$ then the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in (C^0, τ) yields an open neighborhood V of 0 such that $(0, k) \cdot V \subset U$. Similarly it can be shown that 0 is an accumulation point of the set $[k]$ for each $k < 0$.

Assume that h is a continuous homomorphism from C^0 into a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup X . If there exists $(n, m) \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $h(n, m) = h(0)$ then

$$h((0, 0)) = h((0, n) \cdot (n, m) \cdot (m, 0)) = h(0, n) \cdot h(0) \cdot h(m, 0) = h((0, n) \cdot 0 \cdot (m, 0)) = h(0).$$

In this case the map h is annihilating.

Otherwise, by Theorem 3.4.5 from [26], there are three cases to consider:

- (1) the image $h(C^0)$ is finite;
- (2) the image $h(C^0)$ is isomorphic to the additive group of integers with an adjoint zero;
- (3) h is injective, i.e., $h(C^0)$ is isomorphic to C^0 .

(1) The Hausdorffness of X implies that $h(C^0)$ is a closed subset of X .

(2) Observe that 0 is an accumulation point of each equivalence class $[k]$ of the least group congruence σ . Hence each open neighborhood U of $h(0)$ in X contains the set $h(\mathcal{C})$ which contradicts to the Hausdorffness of X . Hence this case is not possible.

(3) To obtain a contradiction, assume that $h(C^0)$ is not closed in X . Hence there exists an element $x \in \overline{h(C^0)} \setminus h(C^0)$. Each open neighborhood of x contains infinitely many elements from $\mathcal{C}$. Hence for each open neighborhood V of x at least one of the following two subcases holds:

- (3.1) the set $L_V = \{n \mid \text{there exists } m \text{ such that } (n, m) \in V\}$ is infinite;
- (3.2) the set $R_V = \{m \mid \text{there exists } n \text{ such that } (n, m) \in V\}$ is infinite.

(3.1) We claim that $(k, k) \cdot x = x$ for each $k \in \omega$. Indeed, fix any $k \in \omega$ and observe that $(k, k) \cdot (n, m) = (n, m)$ for each $n \geq k$. Hence the set $((k, k) \cdot V) \cap V$ is infinite for each open neighborhood V of x witnessing that $(k, k) \cdot x = x$.

Fix any open neighborhood U of 0 which does not contain x . The separate continuity of the semigroup operation in X implies that $0 \cdot x = x \cdot 0 = 0$. Hence there exists an open neighborhood $W \subset U$ of 0 such that $W \cdot x \subset U$. Fix any idempotent $(k, k) \in W$ (it is possible since 0 is an accumulation point of the set $E(\mathcal{C}) = \{(k, k) \mid k \in \omega\}$). The above claim implies that $x = (k, k) \cdot x \in W \cdot x \subset U$ which contradicts to the choice of the set U .

(3.2) Analogous it can be showed that $x \cdot (k, k) = x$ for each $k \in \omega$. At this point the contradiction can be obtained similarly as in (3.1). $\square$

Proposition 1.20 provides the following:

Corollary 1.21. *For each weak topology τ the semitopological semigroup (C^0, τ) is absolutely H -closed.*

2. CARDINAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE LATTICE $\mathcal{W}$

By $[\omega]^\omega$ we denote the family of all infinite subsets of ω . We write $A \subset^* B$ if $|A \setminus B| < \omega$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a filter on ω . The cardinal $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = \min\{|\mathcal{B}| : \mathcal{B} \text{ is a base of the filter } \mathcal{F}\}$ is called the *character* of the filter $\mathcal{F}$. A filter $\mathcal{F}$ is called *first-countable* if $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = \omega$.

For each $a, b \in \omega$ by $[a, b]$ we denote the set $\{n \in \omega \mid a \leq n \leq b\}$. Observe that $[a, b] = \emptyset$ if $a > b$. Let A be an infinite subset of ω . For each $k \in \omega$ put $F_{A,k} = \cup_{n \in A} [n! - n + k, n! + n - k]$. Since $F_{A,k} \cap F_{A,n} = F_{A, \max\{k,n\}}$ the family $\{F_{A,k} \mid k \in \omega\}$ is closed under finite intersections. By $\mathcal{F}_A$ we denote the filter on ω which base consists of the sets $F_{A,k}$, $k \in \omega$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A, B \in [\omega]^\omega$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) the filter $\mathcal{F}_A$ is shift-invariant;
- (2) if A and B are almost disjoint, then the filters $\mathcal{F}_A$ and $\mathcal{F}_B$ are incomparable in the lattice $\mathcal{SLF}$.
- (3) if $A \subset^* B$ then $\mathcal{F}_B \leq \mathcal{F}_A$;

- (4) if $|A \setminus B| = \omega$ then $\mathcal{F}_A \neq \mathcal{F}_B$
- (5) $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{\mathcal{F}_A}^L)$ and $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{\mathcal{F}_A}^R)$ are first-countable topological spaces.

Proof. (1). Fix any element $F_{A,n} \in \mathcal{F}_A$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \omega$. It is easy to check that $m + F_{A,n+|m|} \subset F_{A,n}$ and $F_{A,(k+1)!} \subset \omega \setminus [0, k]$. Hence the filter $\mathcal{F}_A$ is shift-invariant.

(2). There exists $n \in \omega$ such that $A \cap B \subset [0, n]$. It is easy to check that $F_{A,(n+1)!} \cap F_{B,(n+1)!} = \emptyset$ which implies that the filters $\mathcal{F}_A$ and $\mathcal{F}_B$ are incomparable in the poset $\mathcal{SIF}$.

(3). There exists $n \in \omega$ such that $A \setminus B \subset [0, n]$. It is easy to check that $F_{A,k} \subset F_{B,k}$ for each $k \geq (n+1)!$ which implies that $\mathcal{F}_B \leq \mathcal{F}_A$.

Statement (4) follows from the definition of the filters $\mathcal{F}_A$ and $\mathcal{F}_B$.

Observe that the filter $\mathcal{F}_A$ is first-countable. At this point statement (5) follows from the definition of the topologies $\tau_{\mathcal{F}_A}^L$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{F}_A}^R$. $\square$

By $\mathcal{SCT}_\omega(\mathcal{W}_\omega, \text{resp.})$ we denote the set of all (weak, resp.) Hausdorff shift-continuous first-countable topologies on $\mathcal{C}^0$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{SCT}_\omega$ is an upper subsemilattice of $\mathcal{SCT}$. A subset A of a poset X is called an *antichain* if each two distinct elements of A are incomparable in X .

A set A is called a *pseudo-intersection* of a family $\mathcal{F} \subset [\omega]^\omega$ if $A \subset^* F$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$. A *tower* is a set $\mathcal{T} \subset [\omega]^\omega$ which is well-ordered with respect to the relation defined by $x \leq y$ iff $y \subset^* x$. It is called *maximal* if it cannot be further extended, i.e. it has no pseudointersection.

Denote $\mathfrak{t} = \min\{|\mathcal{T}| : \mathcal{T} \text{ is a maximal tower}\}$. By [19, Theorem 3.1], $\omega_1 \leq \mathfrak{t} \leq \mathfrak{c}$. Put $\hat{\mathfrak{t}} = \sup\{|\mathcal{T}| : \mathcal{T} \text{ is a maximal tower}\}$. Obviously, $\mathfrak{t} \leq \hat{\mathfrak{t}} \leq \mathfrak{c}$.

Theorem 2.2. *The poset $\mathcal{W}_\omega$ has the following properties:*

- (1) $\mathcal{W}_\omega$ contains an antichain of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$;
- (2) For each ordinal $\kappa \in \hat{\mathfrak{t}}$ the poset $\mathcal{W}_\omega$ contains a well-ordered chain of order type κ ;
- (3) $|\mathcal{W}_\omega| = |\mathcal{SCT}_\omega| = \mathfrak{c}$.

Proof. (1) Fix any almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega$ such that $|\mathcal{A}| = \mathfrak{c}$. By [25, Theorem 1.3], such a family exists. Statement (2) of Lemma 2.1 implies that the set $\{\mathcal{F}_A \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ forms an antichain in the poset $\mathcal{SIF}$. By Theorem 1.13 (resp., 1.16), the set $\{\tau_{\mathcal{F}_A}^L \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ (resp., $\{\tau_{\mathcal{F}_A}^R \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$) is an antichain in the lattice $\mathcal{W}$. By statement (5) of Lemma 2.1, the sets $\{\tau_{\mathcal{F}_A}^L \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and $\{\tau_{\mathcal{F}_A}^R \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ are contained in $\mathcal{W}_\omega$.

(2) Fix any ordinal $\kappa \in \hat{\mathfrak{t}}$. By the definition of $\hat{\mathfrak{t}}$ there exists a tower $\mathcal{T} = \{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \lambda}$ of length $\lambda > \kappa$. Observe that $|T_\alpha \setminus T_\beta| = \omega$ for each $\alpha < \beta < \lambda$. Statements (3), (4) and (5) of Lemma 2.1 implies that the sets $\{\tau_{\mathcal{F}_{T_\alpha}}^L \mid \alpha \in \kappa\}$ and $\{\tau_{\mathcal{F}_{T_\alpha}}^R \mid \alpha \in \kappa\}$ are well-ordered chains in $\mathcal{W}_\omega$ of order type κ .

(3) Observe that the cardinality of the set of all first countable filters on ω is equal to $\mathfrak{c}$. Statement (1) implies that $|\mathcal{SCT}_\omega| = |\mathcal{W}_\omega| = \mathfrak{c}$. $\square$

Now we are going to show that each free filter on ω generates a shift-invariant filter on ω . Fix an arbitrary free filter $\mathcal{G}$ on ω . For each $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and $k \in \omega$ put $F_{G,k} = \cup_{n \in G} [n! - n + k, n! + n - k]$. Observe that $F_{G,k} \cap F_{H,n} = F_{H \cap G, \max\{k,n\}}$ for each $G, H \in \mathcal{G}$ and $k, n \in \omega$. Since $H \cap G \in \mathcal{G}$ we obtain that the family $\{F_{G,k} \mid G \in \mathcal{G}, k \in \omega\}$ is closed under finite intersections. By $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G}$ we denote the filter on ω which base consists of the sets $F_{G,k}$, $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and $k \in \omega$. A filter $\mathcal{F}$ on ω is called an *ultrafilter* if for each subset $A \subset \omega$ either $A \in \mathcal{F}$ or there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $A \cap F = \emptyset$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}$ be free filters on ω . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) the filter $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G}$ is shift-invariant;
- (2) if $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are ultrafilters, then the filters $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{H}$ are incomparable in the lattice $\mathcal{SIF}$.
- (3) if $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{H}$ then $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{H}$;
- (4) if $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{H}$ then $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{H}$;
- (5) The character of the spaces $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G}}^L)$ and $(\mathcal{C}^0, \tau_{\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G}}^R)$ is equal to the character of the filter $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G}$.

Proof. (1) Fix any element $F_{G,n} \in \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \omega$. It is easy to check that $m + F_{G,n+|m|} \subset F_{G,n}$ and $F_{G,(k+1)!} \subset \omega \setminus [0, k]$. Hence the filter $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G}$ is shift-invariant.

(2) Assume that $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are ultrafilters on ω . Then there exists $A \in \mathcal{G}$ and $B \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$. It is easy to see that the sets $F_{A,2} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $F_{B,2} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}$ are disjoint which implies that the filters $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}$ are incomparable in the poset $\mathcal{SIF}$.

Statement (3) follows from the definition of the filters $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

The proof of statement (4) is straightforward.

Statement (5) follows from the definition of the topologies $\tau_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}}}^L$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}}}^R$. $\square$

By $\mathcal{FF}$ we denote the set of all free filters on ω endowed with the following partial order: $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G}$ iff $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$.

Lemma 2.4. *The poset $\mathcal{FF}$ contains a well-ordered chain of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.4.2 from [27], there exists a free ultrafilter $\mathcal{F}$ on ω such that $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = \mathfrak{c}$. Fix any base $\mathcal{B} = \{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}}$ of $\mathcal{F}$.

Now we are going to construct a well-ordered chain $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathcal{G}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}}$ in $\mathcal{FF}$. Let $\mathcal{G}_0$ be a filter which consists of cofinite subsets of ω . Since the filter $\mathcal{F}$ is free, $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}$. Assume that for all ordinals $\beta < \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ the filters $\mathcal{G}_\beta$ are already constructed. There are two cases to consider:

- (1) α is a limit ordinal;
- (2) α is a successor ordinal.

In case (1) put $\mathcal{G}_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta \in \alpha} \mathcal{G}_\beta$.

In case (2) $\alpha = \delta + 1$ for some ordinal δ . Let γ_δ be the smallest ordinal such that $F_{\gamma_\delta} \notin \mathcal{G}_\delta$. Let $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ be a filter generated by the smallest family X of subsets of ω such that $\mathcal{G}_\delta \subset X$, $F_{\gamma_\delta} \in X$ and X is closed under finite intersections.

Observe that $|\mathcal{G}_\delta| \leq \max\{\omega, |\delta|\} < \mathfrak{c}$. Hence for each $\delta \in \mathfrak{c}$ the ordinal γ_δ exists, because in the other case the family $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ will be a base of the filter $\mathcal{F}$ of cardinality less than $\mathfrak{c}$ which contradicts our assumption.

It is easy to see that the family $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathcal{G}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}}$ is a well-ordered chain in $\mathcal{FF}$. $\square$

Theorem 2.5. *The poset $\mathcal{W}$ has the following properties:*

- (1) $\mathcal{W}$ contains an antichain of cardinality $2^\mathfrak{c}$;
- (2) $\mathcal{W}$ contains a well-ordered chain of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$;
- (3) $|\mathcal{W}| = |\mathcal{SCT}| = 2^\mathfrak{c}$.

Proof. It is well-known that there are $2^\mathfrak{c}$ ultrafilters on ω . Hence statement (1) follows from statements (1) and (2) of Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 1.13.

Consider statement (2). By Lemma 2.4, there exists a well-ordered chain $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{FF}$ of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$. Statements (3) and (4) of Lemma 2.3 imply that the family $\mathcal{Z} = \{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}} \mid \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{L}\}$ is a well-ordered chain in $\mathcal{SIF}$. By Theorem 1.13, the poset $\mathcal{W}$ contains a well-ordered chain of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$.

Consider statement (3). Obviously, $|\mathcal{W}| \leq |\mathcal{SCT}| \leq 2^\mathfrak{c}$. Then statement (1) yields the desired equality. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Andersen, *Ein Bericht über die Struktur abstrakter Halbgruppen*, PhD Thesis, Hamburg, 1952.
- [2] L. W. Anderson, R. P. Hunter and R. J. Koch, *Some results on stability in semigroups*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1965), 521–529.
- [3] L. Auenhofer, D. Dikranjan and E. Martin-Peinador, *Locally Quasi-Convex Compatible Topologies on a Topological Group*, Axioms **4**:4, (2015), 436–458.
- [4] T. Banach, S. Dimitrova and O. Gutik, *The Rees-Suschkewitsch Theorem for simple topological semigroups*, Mat. Stud. **31**:2 (2009), 211–218.
- [5] T. Banach, S. Dimitrova and O. Gutik, *Embedding the bicyclic semigroup into countably compact topological semigroups*, Topology Appl. **157**:18 (2010), 2803–2814.
- [6] S. Bardyla, *Classifying locally compact semitopological polycyclic monoids*, Mat. Visn. Nauk. Tov. Im. Shevchenka **13** (2016), 13–28.
- [7] S. Bardyla, *On universal objects in the class of graph inverse semigroups*, European Journal of Mathematics, in press, DOI: 10.1007/s40879-018-0300-7.

- [8] S. Bardyla, *On locally compact semitopological graph inverse semigroups*, Matematychni Studii. **49** (2018), no.1, p. 19–28.
- [9] S. Bardyla, *Embeddings of graph inverse semigroups into compact-like topological semigroups*, preprint, (2019), arXiv:1810.09169.
- [10] S. Bardyla, O. Gutik, *On a complete topological inverse polycyclic monoid*, Carpathian Math. Publ. **8**:2, (2016), 183–194. DOI: 10.15330/cmp.8.2.183-194.
- [11] S. Bardyla, A. Ravsky *Closed subsets of compact-like topological spaces*, preprint, arXiv:1907.12129.
- [12] A. Berarducci, D. Dikranjan, M. Forti and S. Watson, *Cardinal invariants and independence results in the lattice of precompact group topologies*, J. Pure Appl. **126**, (1998), 19–49.
- [13] M. Bertman, T. West, *Conditionally compact bicyclic semitopological semigroups*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. **A76**, (1976), 219–226.
- [14] W. Comfort, D. Remus, *Long chains of Hausdorff topological group topologies*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **70** (1991), 53–72.
- [15] W. Comfort, D. Remus, *Long chains of topological group topologies A continuation*, Topology Appl. **75** (1997), 51–79.
- [16] D. Dikranjan, *The Lattice of Compact Representations of an infinite group*. In Proceedings of Groups 93, Galway/St Andrews Conference, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 211; Cambridge Univ. Press: Cambridge, UK, 1995, pp. 138–155.
- [17] D. Dikranjan, *On the poset of precompact group topologies*. In Topology with Applications, Proceedings of the 1993 Szekszard (Hungary) Conference, Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies; Czarasz, A., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1995; Volume 4, pp. 135–149.
- [18] D. Dikranjan, *Chains of pseudocompact group topologies*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **124**, (1998), 65–100.
- [19] E.K. van Douwen, *The integers and topology*, in: Handbook of set-theoretic topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1984) 111–167.
- [20] C. Eberhart, J. Selden, *On the closure of the bicyclic semigroup*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (1969), 115–126.
- [21] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, 2nd ed., Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [22] O. Gutik, *On the dichotomy of a locally compact semitopological bicyclic monoid with adjoined zero*, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **80** (2015), 33–41.
- [23] O. Gutik, D. Repovš, *On countably compact 0-simple topological inverse semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **75**:2 (2007), 464–469.
- [24] J. A. Hildebrandt, R. J. Koch, *Swelling actions of Γ -compact semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **33** (1986), 65–85.
- [25] K. Kunen *Set theory*, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, vol. 102, North-Holland Publishing Company, (1980).
- [26] M. V. Lawson, *Primitive partial permutation representations of the polycyclic monoids and branching function systems*, Period. Math. Hungar. **58** (2009), 189–207.
- [27] J. van Mill, *An introduction to $\beta(\omega)$* , in: Handbook of set-theoretic topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1984), 503–568.

S. BARDYLA: INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, KURT GÖDEL RESEARCH CENTER, VIENNA, AUSTRIA
E-mail address: sbardyla@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LVIV, UNIVERSYTETSKA 1, LVIV, 79000, UKRAINE
E-mail address: oleg.gutik@lnu.edu.ua, ovgutik@yahoo.com