

ON THE LOG-LOCAL PRINCIPLE FOR THE TORIC BOUNDARY

PIERRICK BOUSSEAU, ANDREA BRINI, AND MICHEL VAN GARREL

ABSTRACT. Let X be a complex projective variety and let $D = D_1 + \cdots + D_l$ be a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor with each D_j irreducible and nef. The log-local principle put forward in [20] conjectures that the genus 0 log Gromov–Witten theory of maximal tangency of (X, D) is equivalent to the genus 0 local Gromov–Witten theory of X twisted by $\oplus_{j=1}^l \mathcal{O}(-D_j)$. We prove that this holds for X a projective toric variety, D the toric boundary, and point insertions.

1. Introduction	1
Acknowledgements	3
2. Setup	3
3. Log and local Gromov–Witten invariants	4
4. Proof of Theorem 3.1	10
References	16

Contents

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a complex projective variety of dimension n and let $D = D_1 + \cdots + D_l$ be a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor with each D_j irreducible and nef. In this paper we relate two, a priori very different, geometries of the pair (X, D) :

- the n -dimensional *log geometry* of the pair (X, D) ,
- the $(n + l)$ -dimensional *local geometry* of the total space $\text{Tot}(\oplus_{i=1}^l \mathcal{O}_X(-D_j))$.

The genus zero log Gromov–Witten (GW) invariants of (X, D) virtually count rational curves

$$f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$$

of a fixed degree $f_*[\mathbb{P}^1] \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, with insertions, such as passing through a number of general points, and with prescribed intersections with D . Such an f is said to be of *maximal tangency* if $f(\mathbb{P}^1)$ meets each D_j in only one point of full tangency. On the other hand, the local GW theory of $\text{Tot}(\oplus_{j=1}^l \mathcal{O}_X(-D_j))$ is a way to study the local contribution of X to the enumerative geometry of a compact $(n + l)$ -dimensional Calabi–Yau variety Y containing X with normal bundle $\oplus_{j=1}^l \mathcal{O}_X(-D_j)$.

This project has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 746554 and from the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council under grant agreement ref. EP/S003657/1.

The existence of a relation between the log and the local theory of (X, D) was introduced by the *log-local principle* of [20, Conjecture 1.4]:

Conjecture 1.1. *Let \mathbf{d} be an effective curve class. After dividing by $\prod_{j=1}^l (-1)^{\mathbf{d} \cdot D_j + 1} \mathbf{d} \cdot D_j$, the genus 0 log GW invariants of maximal tangency and class \mathbf{d} of (X, D) equal the genus 0 local GW invariants of class \mathbf{d} of $\text{Tot} \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^l \mathcal{O}_X(-D_j) \right)$ (with the same insertions).*

Theorem 1.2 ([20]). *The log-local principle holds if X is a smooth projective variety and D is smooth nef.*

A natural question is then to what extent the log-local principle generalises to the case when X and D are not smooth. We initiate this study in this paper by looking at the case of X being a projective toric variety and D its toric boundary. Requiring that each D_j be nef forces X to be a product of weighted projective spaces (Proposition 2.1); while such an X is not necessarily smooth, and D is typically not simple normal crossings, the log and local Gromov–Witten theory of X are still well-defined.¹ Our main result is the following

Theorem 1.3. *We solve in closed form the genus zero log and local GW theory with descendent point insertions for all toric pairs (X, D) . As a corollary, the log-local principle holds for the resulting invariants.*

By dimension reasons, the log and local Gromov–Witten invariants of (X, D) are generally non-zero only for one or two point insertions, and our proof proceeds by calculating both sides to obtain closed formulas for these invariants for all (X, D) (Theorem 3.1). To compute the log invariants we use the tropical correspondence result [15] and an algorithm of [16] for the tropical multiplicity. Tropically, there is only one curve counted with multiplicity. The log-local principle of Conjecture 1.1 then predicts an explicit formula in all degrees for the local invariants, which we verify using local mirror symmetry techniques and a reconstruction result from small to big quantum cohomology.

1.1. Relation to [18] and [3]. After this paper was finished, we received the manuscript [18] where the log-local principle is considered for simple normal crossings divisors. The respective strategies have different flavours in the proof and complementary virtues in the outcome: [18] prove the log/local correspondence for X smooth and D_j a hyperplane section, with a beautiful geometric argument reducing the simple normal crossings case to the case of smooth pairs, and with no restrictions on X . The combinatorial pathway we pursued in the toric setting allows on the other hand to relax the hypotheses on the smoothness of X , the normal crossings nature of D , and the very ampleness of D_j , and it lends itself to a wider application to the case when D is not the toric boundary and to a possible refinement to include all-genus invariants. We consider this specifically in the follow-up paper [3], where we prove the log-local principle for log Calabi–Yau surfaces with the components of the anticanonical divisor smooth and nef and suitably reformulate it to, and verify it for, the higher genus theory in these cases.

¹On the log side, the counts are enumerative and the curves never meet the lower-dimensional strata. For the local invariants, we use the orbifold Gromov–Witten theory of [2] and project to the untwisted sector.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Helge Ruddat for getting this project started by asking us to compute some local Gromov–Witten invariants of \mathbb{P}^4 and for helpful discussions all along. We are grateful to Miles Reid for some discussions on the classification of toric varieties with nef divisors. We thank Makoto Miura for a discussion on Hibi varieties. We are pleased to thank Navid Nabijou and Dhruv Ranganathan for discussions on their parallel work [18].

2. SETUP

Let (X, D) be the pair of a projective toric variety X of dimension n_X with toric boundary $D = D_1 + \cdots + D_{l_D}$. We write $r_X := \text{rank Pic}(X)$ for the rank of the Picard group of X so that $l_D = n_X + r_X$. We write $\chi_X = \chi(X) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H(X, \mathbb{C})$ for the dimension of the cohomology ring of X . X has a presentation as a GIT quotient $\mathbb{C}^{n_X+r_X} //_t (\mathbb{C}^*)^{r_X}$; we denote by $\{Q_{ij}^X\}_{\substack{i=1,\dots,r \\ j=1,\dots,n+r}}$ $\in \mathbb{Z}$ the characters of the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{r_X}$ torus action on $\mathbb{C}^{n_X+r_X}$. Then D_j , for $j = 1, \dots, l_D$, is the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{r_X}$ reduction to X of the j^{th} coordinate hyperplane in $\mathbb{C}^{n_X+r_X}$. Note that $\sum_j D_j = -K_X$.

We fix a further piece of notation, which will turn out to be convenient when dealing with the book-keeping of indices for products of weighted projective spaces. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ is a lattice point in the non-negative m -orthant, we write $|\mathbf{v}| = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i$ for its 1-norm; in the following we will consistently use serif fonts for orthant points and italic fonts for their Cartesian coordinates. For R a finitely generated commutative monoid with generators $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$, $x = \alpha_1^{j_1} \dots \alpha_m^{j_m} \in R$ a reduced word in α_i , and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^m$, we write $x^{\mathbf{v}}$ for the product $\prod_i \alpha_i^{j_i v_i} \in R$. We introduce partial orders on the m -orthant by saying that $\mathbf{v} \prec \mathbf{w}$ (resp. $\mathbf{v} \preceq \mathbf{w}$) if $v_i < w_i$ (resp. $v_i \leq w_i$) $\forall i = 1, \dots, m$.

Nefness of D_j imposes strong conditions on X :

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial toric Fano variety such that the nef cone equals the pseudo-effective cone. Then X is a product of weighted projective spaces.*

Proof. According to [9, Proposition 5.3], X admits a finite surjective toric morphism $\prod \mathbb{P}^{n_i} \rightarrow X$. Let $\Sigma \subset N \otimes \mathbb{R}$ be the fan of $\prod \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$ and $\Sigma' \subset N' \otimes \mathbb{R}$ the fan of X . Then we have an injective morphism of lattices $N \rightarrow N'$ of finite index. Identifying N with its image in N' , $\Sigma = \Sigma'$. It follows that X is the quotient of $\prod \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$ by N'/N . Hence X is a product of weighted projective spaces. \square

By Proposition 2.1, there is $\mathbf{n}_X \in \mathbb{N}^{r_X}$ such that $n_X = |\mathbf{n}_X|$ and X is a product of r_X , $n_i := (\mathbf{n}_X)_i$ -dimensional weighted projective spaces,

$$X = \prod_{i=1}^{r_X} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}_X^{(i)}),$$

with $\mathbf{w}_X^{(i)} = ((\mathbf{w}_X)_1^{(i)}, \dots, (\mathbf{w}_X)_{n_i+1}^{(i)}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n_i+1}$, which we may assume not to have any common factors. Notice that, for fixed i and defining $\varepsilon_i := \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (n_k + 1)$, we have

$$Q_{i,j+\varepsilon_i}^X = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{w}_X)_j^{(i)} & 1 \leq j \leq n_i + 1, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Let $H_i := \text{pr}_i^* c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}^{(i)})}(1))$ denote the pull-back to X of the hyperplane class of the i^{th} factor of X and let $H := H_1 \dots H_{r_X}$. These generate the classical cohomology ring,

$$H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C}) = \frac{\mathbb{C}[H_1, \dots, H_{r_X}]}{\langle \{H_i^{n_i+1}\}_{i=1}^{r_X} \rangle}, \quad (2.2)$$

and we can take a homogeneous linear basis for $H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$ in the form $\{H^1\}_{1 \leq i \leq n_i}$. Notice that $[\text{pt}] = (\prod_{i,j} (\mathbf{w}_X)_j^{(i)}) H^{n_X}$ [14].

We will also write $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$ for the curve class $d_1 H_1 + \dots + d_r H_r$. We order the toric divisors D_j of X , $j = 1, \dots, |\mathbf{n}_X| + r$ in such a way that that $Q_{ij}^X = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \cdot D_j$ where the 1 is in the i^{th} position. Finally, we define

$$e_j^X(\mathbf{d}) := \sum_i Q_{ij}^X d_i = \mathbf{d} \cdot D_j, \quad e^X(\mathbf{d}) := \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathbf{n}_X|+r_X} e_j^X(\mathbf{d}) = -\mathbf{d} \cdot K_X. \quad (2.3)$$

3. LOG AND LOCAL GROMOV–WITTEN INVARIANTS

Let \mathbf{d} be an effective curve class and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{0,m}(X, \mathbf{d})$ the moduli space of genus 0 m -marked stable maps to X of class \mathbf{d} . It consists of isomorphism classes of pointed maps

$$f : (C, p_1, \dots, p_m) \longrightarrow X,$$

where C is a nodal genus 0 curve, p_1, \dots, p_m are distinct smooth points of C , $f_*[C] = \mathbf{d}$ and $|\text{Aut}(f)| < \infty$. The last condition is equivalent to requiring that collapsed components contain at least 3 special (marked or nodal) points.

Endow X with the divisorial log structure coming from D (we refer to [10] for an introduction to log geometry). The log structure is used to impose tangency conditions along the D_j : in this paper we consider genus 0 stable maps into X of class \mathbf{d} that meet each component D_j in one point of maximal tangency $\mathbf{d} \cdot D_j$. The appropriate moduli space $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{0,m}^{\text{log}}(X, D, \mathbf{d})$ of maximally tangent basic stable log maps was constructed (in all generality) in [1, 4, 13]: Corollary 1.2 in [21] states that basicness guarantees that the forgetful morphism that forgets the log structure is finite. In genus 0 it follows from the proof of that result that $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{0,m}^{\text{log}}(X, D, \mathbf{d})$ injects into $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{0,m}(X, \mathbf{d})$. There is a virtual fundamental class

$$[\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{0,m}^{\text{log}}(X, D, \mathbf{d})]^{\text{vir}} \in H_{2\log\text{vdim}(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{0,m}^{\text{log}}(X, D, \mathbf{d}))},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{logydim} &= -d.K_X + \dim X - 3 + m - \sum (d.D_j - 1) \\ &= |n_X| + m + l_D - 3 = 2|n_X| + r_X + m - 3. \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating at the marked points p_i yields the evaluation maps

$$\text{ev}_i : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}^{\text{log}}(X, D, \mathbf{d}) \longrightarrow X.$$

Let now D be a smooth and nef divisor and $X_D^{\text{loc}} := \text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_X(-D))$. We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(X, \mathbf{d})$ the moduli stack of genus 0 m -marked stable maps $[f : C \rightarrow S]$ with $f_*([C]) = d$. The forgetful morphism

$$\pi : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m+1}(X, \mathbf{d}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(X, \mathbf{d})$$

is the universal curve over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(X, \mathbf{d})$, which carries a virtual fundamental class

$$[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(X, \mathbf{d})]^{\text{vir}} \in \mathbf{H}_{2 \text{vdim}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(X, \mathbf{d}), \mathbb{Z})$$

of virtual dimension $\text{vdim} = -K_X.d + \dim X + m - 3$. The evaluation map at the $(m+1)^{\text{st}}$ marked point

$$\text{ev} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m+1}(X, \mathbf{d}) \rightarrow X$$

determines the obstruction bundle

$$\text{Ob}_D := R^1\pi_* \text{ev}^* \mathcal{O}_X(-D),$$

which is of rank $d.D - 1$ and has fiber $\mathbf{H}^1(C, f^*\mathcal{O}_X(-D))$ over a stable map $[f : C \rightarrow S]$. Note that by nefness of D , $\mathbf{H}^0(C, f^*\mathcal{O}_X(-D)) = 0$. We obtain the D -twisted virtual fundamental class

$$[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(X_D^{\text{loc}}, \mathbf{d})]^{\text{vir}} := [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(X, \mathbf{d})]^{\text{vir}} \cap c_{\text{top}}(\text{Ob}_D) \in \mathbf{H}_{2(\text{vdim} - d.D + 1)}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(X, \mathbf{d}), \mathbb{Q}).$$

Iterating the construction to a normal crossings divisors D with smooth nef components D_1, \dots, D_{l_D} yields

$$\begin{aligned} [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(X_D^{\text{loc}}, \mathbf{d})]^{\text{vir}} &:= [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(X, \mathbf{d})]^{\text{vir}} \cap c_{\text{vdim}}(\text{Ob}_{D_1}) \cap \dots \cap c_{\text{vdim}}(\text{Ob}_{D_{l_D}}) \\ &\in \mathbf{H}_{2(\text{vdim} - d.D + l_D)}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(X, \mathbf{d}), \mathbb{Q}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Equivalently, we could have considered a $T \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$ -action on X_D^{loc} with compact fixed loci and define an equivariant virtual fundamental cycle using virtual localisation [12]: this would amount to replacing the caps by top Chern classes of the obstruction bundle by their T -equivariant lifts. Since D_i is nef for all i , this has a well-defined non-equivariant limit coinciding with (3.1).

In either the log and local story, there are tautological classes $\psi_i := c_1(L_i)$, where L_i is the i^{th} tautological line bundle on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(X, \mathbf{d})$ whose fiber at $[f : (C, p_1, \dots, p_m) \rightarrow X]$ is the cotangent line of C at p_i ; and in both cases we will denote by ev_i the evaluation maps at the i^{th} marked point. On the log side, we are interested in the calculation of the genus 0 log Gromov–Witten invariants

of maximal tangency of (X, D) with 1 or 2 point insertions and ψ -class insertions at one point, defined as follows:

$$Rp_d^X := \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,1}^{\log}(X, D, \mathbf{d})]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ev}_1^*([\text{pt}]) \cup \psi_1^{|\mathbf{n}_X| + r_X - 2}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$Rq_d^X := \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,2}^{\log}(X, D, \mathbf{d})]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ev}_1^*([\text{pt}]) \cup \text{ev}_2^*([\text{pt}]) \cup \psi_2^{r_X - 1}. \quad (3.3)$$

Rp_d^X (resp. Rq_d^X) is a virtual count of rational curves in X of degree $d = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$ that meet each toric divisor D_j in one point of maximal tangency $d \cdot D_j = \sum_{i=1}^r d_i Q_{ij}^X = e_j^X(\mathbf{d})$ and that pass through one point in the interior with $\psi^{|\mathbf{n}_X| + r_X - 2}$ condition (resp. two points in the interior, one of which with a $\psi^{r_X - 1}$ condition). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vdim } \overline{M}_{0,m}(X, \mathbf{d}) &= -\mathbf{d} \cdot K_X + \dim X - 3 + m = \mathbf{d} \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{|\mathbf{n}_X| + r_X} D_j \right) + |\mathbf{n}_X| - 3 + m \\ &= e^X(\mathbf{d}) + |\mathbf{n}_X| - 3 + m. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for a curve in class d , meeting D_j in one point of maximal tangency $e_j^X(\mathbf{d})$ cuts down the dimension by $e_j^X(\mathbf{d}) - 1$. Therefore the moduli space of rational stable log maps meeting each of the divisors in maximal tangency is of virtual dimension $|\mathbf{n}_X| + r + |\mathbf{n}_X| - 3 + m = 2|\mathbf{n}_X| + r_X - 3 + m$.

The corresponding local invariants are

$$p_d^X := \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,1}(X_D^{\text{loc}}, \mathbf{d})]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ev}_1^*([\text{pt}]) \cup \psi_1^{|\mathbf{n}_X| + r_X - 2}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$q_d^X := \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,2}(X_D^{\text{loc}}, \mathbf{d})]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ev}_1^*([\text{pt}]) \cup \text{ev}_2^*([\text{pt}]) \cup \psi_2^{r_X - 1}. \quad (3.5)$$

As in the log situation above, since X_D^{loc} satisfies the Calabi–Yau condition, $\text{vdim } \overline{M}_{0,m}(X_D^{\text{loc}}, \mathbf{d}) = 2|\mathbf{n}_X| + r_X - 3 + m$.

In either the log or the local context, having a point condition (on X) cuts down the dimension of the moduli space by $|\mathbf{n}_X|$. Therefore, the only generally non-vanishing genus zero local invariants with point insertions and descendent insertions at one point are (3.2) and (3.3) (resp. (3.4) and (3.5)).

Theorem 3.1. *The log-local principle holds for a toric pair (X, D) defined as in Section 2 for up to two point insertions and descendent insertions at one point. In particular, the relative factor to pass from log to local is*

$$\mathfrak{N}_d^X := \prod_{j=1}^{l_D} (-1)^{d \cdot D_j + 1} d \cdot D_j = (-1)^{e^X(\mathbf{d}) - |\mathbf{n}_X| - r_X} \prod_{j=1}^{|\mathbf{n}_X| + r_X} e_j^X(\mathbf{d}),$$

the log GW invariants (3.2) and (3.3) are

$$Rp_d^X = 1, \quad Rq_d^X = \prod_{j=1}^{|\mathbf{n}_X| + r_X} e_j^X(\mathbf{d}),$$

and the corresponding local GW invariants are

$$\mathfrak{p}_d^X = \frac{(-1)^{e^X(d) - |n_X| - r_X}}{\prod_{j=1}^{|n_X| + r_X} e_j^X(d)} = \mathfrak{N}_d^X R\mathfrak{p}_d^X, \quad \mathfrak{q}_d^X = \left(\prod_{i,j} (w_X)_j^{(i)} \right) d^{n_X} \mathfrak{p}_d^X = \mathfrak{N}_d^X R\mathfrak{q}_d^X.$$

The main technical tools we will need to prove the Theorem are a tropical correspondence principle on the log side, and an equivariant mirror theorem on the local side, which are both well-known and which we review below. We will explain how to apply them to the proof of Theorem 3.1 in Section 4.

3.1. The log side: tropical curve counts. Let $X = \prod_{i=1}^{r_X} \mathbb{P}(w^{(i)})$ as in Section 2 and $\Sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the fan of $X = X_{\Sigma}$; here $N \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{|n_X|}$ and $N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Define furthermore $N_{\mathbb{Q}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. Denote by $[D_1], \dots, [D_{|n_X| + r_X}]$ the rays of Σ corresponding to the irreducible effective toric divisors of X . We use correspondence results with tropical curve counts as developed in [15, 17, 19] (see [10] for an introduction) and state them in the generality needed for our purposes.

Denote by $\bar{\Gamma}$ the topological realisation of a finite connected graph and by Γ the complement of a subset of 1-valent vertices. We require that Γ has no univalent and no bivalent vertices. The set of its vertices, edges, non-compact edges and compact edges is denoted by $\Gamma^{[0]}$, $\Gamma^{[1]}$, $\Gamma_{\infty}^{[1]}$ and $\Gamma_c^{[1]}$ respectively. Γ comes with a weight function $w : \Gamma^{[1]} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The non-compact edges come with markings. Weight 0, resp. positive weight, non-compact edges are *interior*, resp. *exterior*, markings. There will be 1 or 2 interior point markings, which we denote by P_1 and P_2 , and $|n_X| + r_X$ exterior markings corresponding to the toric divisors, which we denote by $D_1, \dots, D_{|n_X| + r_X}$ as well.

Definition 3.1. A genus 0 degree d maximally tangent parametrised marked tropical curve in X consists of Γ as above and a proper continuous map $h : \Gamma \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying

- (1) For $E \in \Gamma^{[1]}$, $h|_E$ is constant if and only if $w(E) = 0$. Otherwise, $h|_E$ is an embedding into an affine line with rational slope.
- (2) Let $V \in \Gamma^{[0]}$ with $h(V) \in N_{\mathbb{Q}}$. For edges $E \ni V$, denote by $u_{(V,E)}$ the primitive integral vector at $h(V)$ into the direction $h(E)$ (and set $u_{(V,E)} = 0$ if $h(E) = 0$). The balancing condition holds:

$$\sum_{E \ni V} w(E) u_{(V,E)} = 0.$$

- (3) For each exterior marking D_j , $h|_{D_j}$ is parallel to the ray $[D_j]$ and $w(D_j) = d \cdot D_j$.
- (4) The first Betti number $b_1(\Gamma) = 0$.

If (Γ', h') is another genus 0 maximally tangent parametrised marked tropical curve, then an isomorphism between the two is given by a homeomorphism $\Phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ respecting the discrete data and such that $h = h' \circ \Phi$. A genus 0 degree d maximally tangent marked tropical curve then is an isomorphism class of such.

Moreover, we say that an interior marking E satisfies a ψ^k -condition if $h(E)$ is a $k + 2$ -valent vertex.

Denote by $T(\mathfrak{p})_d^X$ the (moduli) space of genus 0 degree d maximally tangent tropical curves in X with the interior marking equipped with a $\psi^{|n_X|+r_X-2}$ -condition passing through a fixed general point in $\mathbb{R}^{|n_X|+r_X}$. Denote by $T(\mathfrak{q})_d^X$ the moduli space of genus 0 degree d maximally tangent tropical curves in X with the two interior markings P_1 and P_2 mapping to two fixed general points in $\mathbb{R}^{|n_X|+r_X}$ and such that P_2 has a ψ^{r-1} -condition. We will see in Propositions 4.1 and 4.4 that each of $T(\mathfrak{p})_d^X$ and $T(\mathfrak{q})_d^X$ consist of one element. Since $T(\mathfrak{p})_d^X$ and $T(\mathfrak{q})_d^X$ are finite hence, their elements are *rigid*.

Counts of tropical curves are weighted with appropriate multiplicities. There are a number of ways of defining the multiplicity $\text{Mult}(\Gamma)$ of Γ . The version we use was formulated in [16, Theorem 1.2]. We state it for our setting. Set $A := \mathbb{Z}[N] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda^* M$. For $n \in N$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda^* M$, write $z^n \alpha$ for $z^n \otimes \alpha$ and $\iota_n \alpha$ for the contraction of α by n . Recall that if $\alpha \in \Lambda^s$, then $\iota_n \alpha \in \Lambda^{s-1}$. For $k \geq 1$, define $\ell_k : A^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A$ via

$$\ell_k(z^{n_1} \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z^{n_k} \alpha_k) := z^{n_1 + \cdots + n_k} \iota_{n_1 + \cdots + n_k}(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_k).$$

Let now $h : \Gamma \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be in $T(\mathfrak{p})_d^X$ or $T(\mathfrak{q})_d^X$ and choose a vertex V_{∞} of Γ . Consider the flow on Γ with sink vertex V_{∞} . To each edge E of Γ , we inductively associate an element $\zeta_E = z^{n_E} \alpha_E \in A$, well-defined up to sign:

- For the exterior markings, set $\zeta_{D_j} = z^{w(D_j)\Delta(j)}$, where $\Delta(j)$ is the primitive generator of $[D_j]$.
- For an interior marking P , set ζ_P to be one of the two generators of $\Lambda^{|n_X|} M$.
- If E_1, \dots, E_k are the edges flowing into a vertex $V \neq V_{\infty}$ and E_{out} is the edge flowing out, set $\zeta_{E_{\text{out}}} = \ell_k(\zeta_{E_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \zeta_{E_k})$.

By [16, Theorem 1.2], $\zeta_{\Gamma} := \prod_{E \ni V_{\infty}} \zeta_E \in z^0 \otimes \Lambda^{|n_X|} M$ and $\text{Mult}(\Gamma)$ is the index of ζ_{Γ} in $\Lambda^{|n_X|} M$. It then follows from [15, Theorem 1.1] that $R\mathfrak{p}_d^X$ is the number of Γ in $T(\mathfrak{p})_d^X$ counted with multiplicity $\text{Mult}(\Gamma)$, and $R\mathfrak{q}_d^X$ is the weighted cardinality of $\{\Gamma \in T(\mathfrak{q})_d^X\}$, each weighted by $\text{Mult}(\Gamma)$. Note that a priori [15, Theorem 1.1] is stated for smooth varieties; in the cases of interest to us, however, the curves never meet the deeper toric strata and the arguments of [15] carry through.

3.2. The local side: Coates–Givental twisting. The second technical result we will use for the calculation of local GW invariants is Theorem 3.2 below. We start by fixing some notation.

Let (X, D) be as in Section 2. We consider a torus $T \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$ acting on $X_D^{\text{loc}} := \text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus i}(-D_i))$ transitively on the fibres and covering the trivial action on the image of the zero section. We will denote by $\lambda := c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{\infty}}(1))$ the polynomial generator of the T -equivariant cohomology of a point, $H_T(\text{pt}) = H(BT) \simeq \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$. Let $\{\phi_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{\chi(X)}$ be a graded \mathbb{C} -basis for the non-equivariant cohomology of the image of the zero section $X \hookrightarrow X_D^{\text{loc}}$ with $\deg \phi_i \leq \phi_{i+1}$: its elements have canonical lifts to T -equivariant cohomology in X_D^{loc} , $\phi_{\alpha} \rightarrow \varphi_{\alpha}$ forming a $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ basis for $H_T(X_D^{\text{loc}})$, where as usual $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ is the field of fractions of $H_T(\text{pt})$. $H_T(X_D^{\text{loc}})$ is furthermore endowed with a non-degenerate,

symmetric bilinear form given by the T -equivariant Poincaré pairing,

$$(\varphi_\alpha, \varphi_\beta)_{X_D^{\text{loc}}} := \int_X \frac{\phi_\alpha \cup \phi_\beta}{\cup_i e_T(\mathcal{O}_X(-D_i))}, \quad (3.6)$$

where e_T denotes the T -equivariant Euler class.

Let now $\tau \in H_T(X_D^{\text{loc}})$. The D -twisted equivariant big J -function of X is the formal power series

$$J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(\tau, z) := z + \tau + \sum_{d \in \text{NE}(X)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{XX} \frac{1}{n!} \left\langle \tau, \dots, \tau, \frac{\varphi_\alpha}{z - \psi} \right\rangle_{0, n+1, d}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}} \varphi_\beta \quad (3.7)$$

where we employed the usual correlator notation for GW invariants,

$$\left\langle \tau_1 \psi_1^{k_1}, \dots, \tau_n \psi_n^{k_n} \right\rangle_{0, n, d}^{X^{\text{loc}}} := \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, m}(X_D^{\text{loc}}, d)]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_i \text{ev}_i^*(\tau_i) \psi_i^{k_i}. \quad (3.8)$$

Restriction to $t = \sum_{i=1}^{r_X+1} t_i \phi_i$ and use of the Divisor Axiom lead to the D -twisted equivariant small J -function of X_D^{loc} ,

$$J_{\text{small}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t, z) := z e^{\sum t_i \phi_i / z} \left(1 + \sum_{d \in \text{NE}(X)} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{XX} e^{\sum t_i d_i} \left\langle \frac{\varphi_\alpha}{z(z - \psi_1)} \right\rangle_{0, 1, d}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}} \varphi_\beta \right). \quad (3.9)$$

The n -pointed genus zero Gromov–Witten invariants with one marked descendant insertion (respectively, the 1-pointed genus zero descendant invariants) of X_D^{loc} can thus be read off from the formal Taylor series expansion of J_{big} (resp., J_{small}) at $z = \infty$.

The following theorem provides a closed-form hypergeometric presentation of $J_{\text{small}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t, z)$. Let $\kappa_i := c_1(\mathcal{O}(-D_i))$ be the T -equivariant first Chern class of $\mathcal{O}(D_i)$ and $y_i \in \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[[t]]$, $i = 1, \dots, r_X + 1$ be variables in a formal disk around the origin. Writing $(x)_n := \Gamma(x+n)/\Gamma(x)$ for the Pochhammer symbol of (x, n) with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the T -equivariant I -functions of X and X_D^{loc} are defined as the $H_T(X)$ and $H_T(X_D^{\text{loc}})$ valued Laurent series

$$\begin{aligned} I^X(y, z) &:= z \mathbf{1}_{H(X)} + \prod_i y_i^{\phi_i/z} \sum_{d \in \text{NE}(X)} \prod_i y_i^{d_i} z^{d \cdot K_X} \frac{1}{\prod_i \left(\frac{\kappa_i}{z} + 1\right)_{d \cdot D_i}}, \\ I^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(y, z) &:= z \mathbf{1}_{H(X)} + \prod_i y_i^{\varphi_i/z} \sum_{d \in \text{NE}(X)} \prod_i y_i^{d_i} z^{d \cdot (K_X + D) - l_D} \frac{\prod_i \kappa_i \left(\frac{\kappa_i}{z} + 1\right)_{d \cdot D_i - 1}}{\prod_i \left(\frac{\kappa_i}{z} + 1\right)_{d \cdot D_i}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

and their *mirror maps* as their formal $\mathcal{O}(z^0)$ coefficient,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t}_X^i(y) &:= [z^0 \phi_i] I^X(y, z), \\ \tilde{t}_{X_D^{\text{loc}}}^i(y) &:= [z^0 \varphi_i] I^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(y, z). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Theorem 3.2 ([6, 7, 11]). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\text{small}}^X(\tilde{t}_X(y), z) &= I^X(y, z), \\ J_{\text{small}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(\tilde{t}_X(y) + \tilde{t}_{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(y) - \log y, z) &= I^X(y, z). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

The first equality in Theorem 3.2 is the content of Givental's [11, Theorem 0.1], equating the small J -function of (toric complete intersections in) projective toric varieties to their I -function. The second equality is a consequence of the Coates–Givental theorem [7, Theorem 1], expressing GW invariants of X twisted by a rational invertible multiplicative characteristic class $\mathbf{c}(E)$ of a holomorphic vector bundle E in terms of the GW theory of X , for the case when $E = \oplus_i \mathcal{O}(-D_i)$ and \mathbf{c} is the T -equivariant inverse Euler class. Once restricted to genus zero, one-pointed invariants this takes the shape of the Givental-style mirror theorem given by the second equality in Theorem 3.2 ([6, Theorem 4.6]; see also [5, Theorem 3.5]).

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1

4.1. The log side. We start by calculating the multiplicity defined in Section 3.1 for the elements of $T(\mathfrak{p})_d^X$.

Proposition 4.1. *The set $T(\mathfrak{p})_d^X$ has a unique element Γ of multiplicity 1.*

Proof. Each element Γ of $T(\mathfrak{p})_d^X$ has $|n_X| + r_X$ exterior markings (=rays) parallel to $[D_1], \dots, [D_{|n_X| + r_X}]$ and one vertex (=unique interior marking) with valency $|n| + r_X$. Thus the only possibility is that Γ is the transpose of the rays of the fan of X . Its multiplicity is readily seen to be 1. \square

It follows from Proposition 4.1 and the correspondence result of [15] that

$$R\mathfrak{p}_d^X = 1.$$

We now move on to calculate the multiplicity of the elements of $T(\mathfrak{q})_d^X$. We do this in three steps of increasing generality.

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that X is the weighted projective plane $\mathbb{P}(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ with $\gcd(w_1, w_2, w_3) = 1$. Then $T(\mathfrak{q})_d^X$ has a unique element of multiplicity $w_1 w_2 w_3 d^2$.*

Proof. Choose the basis $\{\Delta(1), \Delta(2)\}$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. We have $w_1 \Delta(1) + w_2 \Delta(2) + w_3 \Delta(3) = 0$, whence $|\Delta(1) \wedge \Delta(2)| = w_3$. In this basis, choose P_1 to be $(1, 0)$ and P_2 to be $(0, 1)$. Then the unique genus 0 degree d maximally tangent tropical curve passing through P_1 and P_2 consists of the rays $[D_1], [D_2], [D_3]$, meeting at 0, and with weights $w_i d$ at $[D_i]$. Choose 0 to be the sink vertex and let E_1 , resp. E_2 , be the edge connecting 0 with P_1 , resp. P_2 . Choose moreover $\{e_1, e_2\}$ to be a \mathbb{Z} -basis of N with dual basis $\{e_1^*, e_2^*\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{E_1} &= \ell_2(\zeta_{D_1} \otimes \zeta_{P_1}) = \ell_2(z^{w_1 d \Delta(1)} \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*)) = z^{w_1 d \Delta(1)} \iota_{w_1 d \Delta(1)}(e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \\ &= z^{w_1 d \Delta(1)} ((\iota_{w_1 d \Delta(1)} e_1^*) \wedge e_2^* - e_1^* \wedge \iota_{w_1 d \Delta(1)}(e_2^*)) = z^{w_1 d \Delta(1)} (e_1^*(w_1 d \Delta(1)) e_2^* - e_2^*(w_1 d \Delta(1)) e_1^*). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\zeta_{E_2} = z^{w_2 d \Delta(2)} (e_1^*(w_2 d \Delta(2)) e_2^* - e_2^*(w_2 d \Delta(2)) e_1^*)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_\Gamma &= \zeta_{D_3} \zeta_{E_1} \zeta_{E_2} = -e_1^*(w_1 d\Delta(1)) e_2^*(w_2 d\Delta(2)) e_2^* \wedge e_1^* - e_2^*(w_1 d\Delta(1)) e_1^*(w_2 d\Delta(2)) e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \\
&= w_1 w_2 d^2 (e_1^*(\Delta(1)) e_2^*(\Delta(2)) - e_2^*(\Delta(1)) e_1^*(\Delta(2))) e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \\
&= w_1 w_2 d^2 |\Delta(1) \wedge \Delta(2)| e_1^* \wedge e_2^* = w_1 w_2 w_3 d^2 e_1^* \wedge e_2^*,
\end{aligned}$$

which is indeed of index $w_1 w_2 w_3 d^2$. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that $r_X = 1$, i. e. $X = \mathbb{P}(w_1, \dots, w_{n+1})$. Then the set $T(\mathfrak{q})_d^X$ has a unique element Γ of multiplicity $\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} e_j^X(d)$.*

Proof. We choose as basis of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ the basis $\Delta(1), \dots, \Delta(n)$ consisting of primitive generators of the rays $[D_1], \dots, [D_n]$. We choose our second point (interior marking) P_2 to have coordinate (a_1, \dots, a_n) for $a_i < 0$ and general. We choose our first marked point P_1 to have coordinate $(b, 0, \dots, 0)$ for $b > 0$ large enough so that restricted to the halfspace $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_1 > b\}$, any $h \in T(\mathfrak{q})_d^X$ is linear with image $(b, 0, \dots, 0) + \mathbb{R}_{>0} \Delta(1)$ and weight $e_1(d)$.

For $1 < j \leq n$ and $\Gamma \in T(\mathfrak{q})_d^X$, projecting to the plane generated by $\Delta(1)$ and $\Delta(j)$ leads to a genus 0 maximally tangent tropical curve in $\mathbb{P}(w_1, w_j, |\Delta(1) \wedge \Delta(j)|)$ passing through 2 general points. By Proposition 4.2, there is only one such curve, which has multiplicity $w_1 w_j |\Delta(1) \wedge \Delta(j)| d^2$. These curves lift to a unique maximally tangent curve $h : \Gamma \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Choose P_2 to be the sink vertex and consider the associated flow. Since the a_i are chosen to be general, on the set $\{(x_i) | x_i < a_i\}$, h is affine linear with slope parallel to $\Delta(n+1)$. We reorder the $\Delta(j)$ such that following the flow from P_2 , the rays that are added to Γ are successively translates of $[D_2], [D_3], \dots, [D_n]$. Note that all vertices are 3-valent since P_1 and P_2 are in general position. Starting at P_1 and following the flow, we label the compact edges successively E_1, \dots, E_n . Choose a \mathbb{Z} -basis e_1, \dots, e_n of N . Then

$$\zeta_{E_1} = \ell_2(z^{w_1 d\Delta(1)} \otimes e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_n^*) = z^{w_1 d\Delta(1)} \iota_{w_1 d\Delta(1)}(e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_n^*).$$

At the next step,

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{E_2} &= z^{w_1 d\Delta(1) + w_2 d\Delta(2)} \iota_{w_1 d\Delta(1) + w_2 d\Delta(2)} \circ \iota_{w_1 d\Delta(1)}(e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_n^*) \\
&= z^{w_1 d\Delta(1) + w_2 d\Delta(2)} \iota_{(w_1 d\Delta(1) + w_2 d\Delta(2)) \wedge w_1 d\Delta(1)}(e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_n^*) \\
&= z^{w_1 d\Delta(1) + w_2 d\Delta(2)} \iota_{(w_1 w_2 d^2 \Delta(1) \wedge \Delta(2))}(e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_n^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Iterating this process, we obtain that

$$\zeta_{E_n} = z^{w_1 d\Delta(1) + \dots + w_n d\Delta(n)} \iota_{(w_1 \dots w_n d^n \Delta(1) \wedge \dots \wedge \Delta(n))}(e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_n^*).$$

Hence

$$\zeta_\Gamma = \iota_{(w_1 \dots w_n d^n \Delta(1) \wedge \dots \wedge \Delta(n))}(e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_n^*) e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_n^*,$$

which is indeed of index

$$w_1 \dots w_n d^n |\Delta(1) \wedge \dots \wedge \Delta(n)| = w_1 \dots w_n w_{n+1} d^n = \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} e_j^X(d).$$

Here we used that $w_1 \Delta(1) + \dots + w_{n+1} \Delta(n+1) = 0$ implies that $|\Delta(1) \wedge \dots \wedge \Delta(n)| = w_{n+1}$. \square

Proposition 4.4. *For X a product of weighted projective spaces, the set $T(\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{d}}^X$ has a unique element Γ of multiplicity $\prod_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X} e_j^X(\mathfrak{d})$.*

Proof. We use the notation introduced at the beginning of the section. Label the last r divisors $D_{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+1}, \dots, D_{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X}$ to be coming from distinct components of X . Then $[D_1], \dots, [D_{|\mathfrak{n}_X|}] \in \Sigma^{[1]}$ form an \mathbb{R} -basis of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. To calculate $Rq_{\mathfrak{d}}^X$, choose the marking P_2 point with the ψ^{r-1} condition to be the origin 0. Choose the marking P_1 a general point that has positive coordinates with respect to the above basis. Then the $r+1$ incoming rays at 0 are necessarily $D_{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+1}, \dots, D_{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X}$ with weights $e_j^X(\mathfrak{d})$ and a primitive vector in direction $-e_{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+1}(\mathfrak{d})D_{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+1} - \dots - e_{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X}(\mathfrak{d})D_{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X}$ with appropriate weight. There is only one way to make a tropical curve Γ passing through P_1 out of it. To see this, for each i , consider the map of fans corresponding to the projection to \mathbb{P}^{n_i} . There the tropical curve becomes straight at 0 and hence we are looking at maximally tangent curves of degree d_i passing through two general points. By Proposition 4.3, there is only one such. Moreover, the curve in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is uniquely determined by these projections. Choose P_2 to be the sink vertex. Then the multiplicity of Γ is calculated as in Proposition 4.3 to be $\prod_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X} e_j^X(\mathfrak{d})$. \square

The correspondence principle of [15] then entails that

$$Rq_{\mathfrak{d}}^X = \prod_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X} e_j^X(\mathfrak{d}),$$

concluding the calculations of the logarithmic invariants of Theorem 3.1.

4.2. The local side.

4.2.1. *The Poincaré pairing.* As in Section 3.2, we consider the scalar $T \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$ action on X_D^{loc} that covers the trivial action on the base X , and denote $\lambda = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{B\mathbb{C}^*}(1))$ for the corresponding equivariant parameter. We will fix the set

$$\mathfrak{B} := \{H^l\}_{l \leq |\mathfrak{n}_X|} \quad (4.1)$$

as our graded basis for $H(X)$, and denote by the same letters their lifts to $H_T(X_D^{\text{loc}})$. The Gram matrix for the T -equivariant Poincaré pairing (3.6) on X_D^{loc} in this basis reads

$$\eta_{lm} := \int_{[X_D^{\text{loc}}]} H^l \cup H^m := \int_{[X]} \frac{H^{l+m}}{e_T(N_{X/X_D^{\text{loc}}})}. \quad (4.2)$$

Notice that for any $|| \leq |\mathfrak{n}_X|$ we have

$$\eta_{|\mathfrak{n}_X|} = \int_{[X]} \frac{H^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|}}{e_T(N_{X/X_D^{\text{loc}}})} = \int_{[X]} \frac{H^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|}}{(e_T(N_{X/X_D^{\text{loc}}}))^{[0]}} = \int_{[X]} \frac{H^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|}}{\prod_{i=1}^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X} \lambda} = \begin{cases} \frac{\prod_{i,j} (w_X)_i^{(j)}}{\lambda^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X}} & l = 0, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

for degree reasons. Also, $\eta_{lm} = 0$ if $|| + |m| > |\mathfrak{n}_X|$ for the same reason: this means that η_{lm} is upper anti-triangular, and $\eta^{lm} := (\eta^{-1})_{lm}$ is lower anti-triangular with anti-diagonal elements $\eta^{l, |\mathfrak{n}_X|-l} = 1/\eta_{|\mathfrak{n}_X|-l}$.

4.2.2. *One pointed descendants.* In the following, let $y = y_1 \dots y_{r_X}$ and $Q = e^{t_1 + \dots + t_{r_X}}$. Writing down (3.9) in the basis (4.1) gives

$$J_{\text{small}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t, z) := z \prod_{i=1}^{r_X} e^{t_i H_i / z} \left[1 + \sum_{\mathbf{d}, a, l, \mathbf{m}} Q^{\mathbf{d}} z^{-a-2} \left\langle H^l \psi^a \right\rangle_{0,1,\mathbf{d}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}} \eta^{l\mathbf{m}} H^{\mathbf{m}} \right] =: \sum_{\mathbf{m}} (J_{\text{small}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}})^{[\mathbf{m}]} H^{\mathbf{m}}. \quad (4.4)$$

From this, and using that by (4.3) the Poincaré pairing is upper-triangular in the basis (4.1), we get that the component of the small, twisted J -function along the identity class is

$$\begin{aligned} (J_{\text{sm}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}})^{[0]} &:= z \left[1 + \sum_{\mathbf{d}, a, l} Q^{\mathbf{d}} z^{-a-2} \left\langle H^l \psi^a \right\rangle_{0,1,\mathbf{d}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}} \eta^{l0} \right], \\ &= z \left[1 + \frac{\lambda^{|\mathbf{n}_X| + r_X}}{\prod_{i,j} (\mathbf{w}_X)_i^{(j)}} \sum_{\mathbf{d}, a} Q^{\mathbf{d}} z^{-a-2} \left\langle H^{\mathbf{n}_X} \psi^a \right\rangle_{0,1,\mathbf{d}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}} \right], \\ &= z \left[1 + \lambda^{|\mathbf{n}_X| + r_X} \sum_{\mathbf{d}, a} Q^{\mathbf{d}} z^{-a-2} \left\langle [\text{pt}] \psi^a \right\rangle_{0,1,\mathbf{d}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Therefore our first set of invariants (3.4) can be computed from (4.5) as

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbf{d}}^X := \left\langle [\text{pt}] \psi^{|\mathbf{n}_X| + r_X - 2} \right\rangle_{0,1,\mathbf{d}} = \lambda^{-|\mathbf{n}_X| - r_X} \left[z^{-|\mathbf{n}_X| - r_X} e^{t \cdot \mathbf{d}} \right] (J_{\text{small}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}})^{[0]}. \quad (4.6)$$

To compute the r.h.s. we use Theorem 3.2. From (3.10), the I -functions of X and X_D^{loc} are

$$\begin{aligned} I^X(y, z) &:= z \sum_{\mathbf{d}} \prod_{i=1}^{r_X} y_i^{H_i/z + d_i} \prod_{j=1}^{|\mathbf{n}_X| + r_X} \frac{1}{\prod_{m_j=1}^{e^X(\mathbf{d})} (m_j z + \sum_i Q_{ij}^X H_i)} =: \sum_{\mathbf{m}} (I^{X_{\text{loc}}})^{[\mathbf{m}]} H^{\mathbf{m}}, \quad (4.7) \\ I^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(y, z) &:= z \sum_{\mathbf{d}} \prod_{i=1}^{r_X} y_i^{H_i/z + d_i} \prod_{j=1}^{|\mathbf{n}_X| + r_X} \frac{\prod_{m_j=0}^{e_j^X(\mathbf{d}) - 1} (\lambda - m_j z - \sum_i Q_{ij}^X H_i)}{\prod_{m_j=1}^{e^X(\mathbf{d})} (m_j z + \sum_i Q_{ij}^X H_i)} =: \sum_{\mathbf{m}} (I^{X_{\text{loc}}})^{[\mathbf{m}]} H^{\mathbf{m}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Lemma 4.5. *The mirror maps of X and X_D^{loc} are trivial,*

$$\tilde{t}_i^X(y) = \tilde{t}_i^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(y) = \log y_i. \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. This is a straightforward calculation from (4.7) and (4.8). Keeping track of the powers of z in the general summands entails that $I^X(y, z) = z + \sum_i \log y_i H_i + \mathcal{O}(1/z) = I^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(y, z)$, from which the claim follows. \square

By the previous Lemma and (4.6), to compute \mathfrak{p}_d^X we just need to evaluate the component of the I -function of X_D^{loc} along the identity, divide by $\lambda^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X}$, and take the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (I^{X_D^{\text{loc}}})^{[0]} &= z \sum_{\mathfrak{d}} y^{\mathfrak{d}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X} \prod_{m_j=0}^{e_j^X(\mathfrak{d})-1} (\lambda - m_j z)}{\prod_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X} \prod_{m_j=1}^{e_j^X(\mathfrak{d})} (m_j z)}, \\ &= z \sum_{\mathfrak{d}} y^{\mathfrak{d}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X} \prod_{m_j=0}^{e_j^X(\mathfrak{d})-1} (\lambda - m_j z)}{z^{e^X(\mathfrak{d})} \prod_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X} (e_j^X(\mathfrak{d}))!}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

The numerator in the general summand of (4.10) is divisible by $\lambda^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X}$ (corresponding to setting all $m_j = 0$ in the product):

$$\prod_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X} \prod_{m_j=0}^{e_j^X(\mathfrak{d})-1} (\lambda - m_j z) = \lambda^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X} \prod_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X} \prod_{m_j=1}^{e_j^X(\mathfrak{d})-1} (\lambda - m_j z), \quad (4.11)$$

hence dividing by $\lambda^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X}$ and sending $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \prod_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X} \prod_{m_j=1}^{e_j^X(\mathfrak{d})-1} (\lambda - m_j z) = (-z)^{e^X(\mathfrak{d})-|\mathfrak{n}_X|-r_X} \prod_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X} (e_j^X(\mathfrak{d}) - 1)!. \quad (4.12)$$

In particular this implies that

$$\mathfrak{p}_d^X = \left\langle [\text{pt}] \psi^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X-2} \right\rangle_{0,1,\mathfrak{d}} = \lambda^{-|\mathfrak{n}_X|-r_X} \left[z^{-|\mathfrak{n}_X|-r_X} y^{\mathfrak{d}} \right] (I^{X_{\text{loc}}})^{[0]} = \frac{(-1)^{e^X(\mathfrak{d})-|\mathfrak{n}_X|-r_X}}{\prod_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X} e_j^X(\mathfrak{d})}, \quad (4.13)$$

proving the first part of Theorem 3.1.

4.2.3. Two pointed descendants. Let us now turn to the computation of \mathfrak{q}_d^X . We start with the following observation: from (4.8), we have

$$I^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(y, z) := z + \sum_{l \leq |\mathfrak{n}_X|} \frac{1}{z^{||l|-1}} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{r_X} \frac{\log^{l_i} y_i H_i^{l_i}}{l_i!} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \right]. \quad (4.14)$$

This follows immediately from the fact that

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X} \prod_{m_j=0}^{e_j^X(\mathfrak{d})-1} \left(\lambda - m_j z - \sum_i Q_{ij}^X H_i \right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{n}_X|+r_X} \prod_{m_j=1}^{e_j^X(\mathfrak{d})} \left(m_j z + \sum_i Q_{ij}^X H_i \right)} = \mathcal{O}\left(z^{-\sum_j \theta(e_j^X(\mathfrak{d}))} \right) \quad (4.15)$$

where $\theta(x) = 0$ (resp. $\theta(x) = 1$) for $x = 0$ (resp. $x > 0$).

From this we deduce the following Lemma. For $t \in H(X)$, let $\hat{\star}_t$ denote the big quantum cohomology product,

$$H^1 \hat{\star}_t H^m := \sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \text{NE}(X)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i, k \leq n} \left\langle H^1, H^m, H^i, t, \dots, t \right\rangle_{0,3+n,\mathfrak{d}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}} \eta^{ik} H^k \quad (4.16)$$

and \star_y its restriction to small quantum cohomology at $t = \sum_i \log y_i H_i$,

$$H^l \star_y H^m := \sum_k c_{\text{lm}}^k(y) H^k := \sum_{d \in \text{NE}(X)} \sum_{i,k} \langle H^l, H^m, H^i \rangle_{0,3,d}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}} y^d \eta^{ik} H^k. \quad (4.17)$$

Write $t = \sum_{l \leq n_X} t_l H^l$. In the following we denote $\nabla_{H^l} := \partial_{t^l}$ and, for any function $f : H(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $f|_{\text{sqc}}$ indicates its restriction to small quantum cohomology, $t \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{r_X} t_i H_i$.

Lemma 4.6. *For $l < n_X$ we have*

$$(\star_y)_{i=1}^{r_X} H_i^{\star_y l_i} = \cup_{i=1}^{r_X} H_i^{\cup l_i} =: H^l, \quad (4.18)$$

Moreover,

$$z \nabla_{H^l} J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t, z)|_{\text{sqc}} = \prod_i \left(z y_i \partial_{y_i} \right)^{l_i} I^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(y, z). \quad (4.19)$$

Remark 4.7. This proposition is a variation of the well-known statement that for \mathbb{P}^n the small quantum product is the same as the cup product for all degrees up to and excluding n .

Proof. Recall that the components of $J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t, z)$ are a set of flat co-ordinates for the Dubrovin connection in big quantum cohomology,

$$z \nabla_{H^l} \nabla_{H^m} J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t, z) = \nabla_{H^l \star_y H^m} J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t, z) \quad (4.20)$$

Write now $(\mathcal{I})_{[k]} := [z^{-k}] \mathcal{I}$ for any Laurent series $\mathcal{I} \in \mathbb{C}((z))$ and suppose $|| = |m| = 1$. We have that

$$\nabla_{H^l} \nabla_{H^m} (J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t))_{[s]} \Big|_{\text{sqc}} = \nabla_{H^l \star_y H^m} (J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t))_{[s-1]} = \sum_k c_{\text{lm}}^k(y) \nabla_k (J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t))_{[s-1]} \Big|_{\text{sqc}} \quad (4.21)$$

Now,

$$c_{\text{lm}}^k(y) = (y_l \partial_{y_l})(y_m \partial_{y_m})(J_{\text{sm}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(y))_{[1]}^{[k]} = \delta_{l+m}^k = c_{\text{lm}}^k(0) \quad (4.22)$$

from (4.14) and the fact that $(J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}})_{[k]}^{[k]}$ is the $[k]$ -component of the gradient of the genus-0 GW potential. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (y_l \partial_{y_l})(y_m \partial_{y_m}) I_{[s]}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(y) &= \nabla_{H^l} \nabla_{H^m} (J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t))_{[s]} \Big|_{\text{sqc}} = \sum_k c_{\text{lm}}^k(0) \nabla_k (J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t))_{[s-1]} \Big|_{\text{sqc}}, \\ &= \nabla_{H^{l+m}} (J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t))_{[s-1]} \Big|_{\text{sqc}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

Now, for $|m| = 1$ and by induction on $1 \leq || < |n_X|$ we have, from (4.14), that

$$c_{\text{lm}}^k(y) = \nabla_{H^l} \nabla_{H^m} (J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t))_{[1]}^{[k]} \Big|_{\text{sqc}} = \left(\prod_i y_{l_i} \partial_{y_{l_i}} \right) (y_m \partial_{y_m}) (I^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(y))_{||}^{[k]} = \delta_{l+m}^k = c_{\text{lm}}^k(0), \quad (4.24)$$

and for $s \geq ||$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\prod_i y_{l_i} \partial_{y_{l_i}} \right) (y_m \partial_{y_m}) (I^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(y))_{[s]} &= \nabla_{H^l} \nabla_{H^m} (J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t))_{[s-||]} \Big|_{\text{sqc}}, \\
&= \sum_k c_{\text{lm}}^k(0) \nabla_k (J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t))_{[s-||+1]} \Big|_{\text{sqc}}, \\
&= \nabla_{H^{l+m}} (J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t))_{[s-||+1]} \Big|_{\text{sqc}}. \tag{4.25}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.8. *We have*

$$\mathfrak{q}_d^X = \left(\prod_{i,j} (w_X)_j^{(i)} \right) \mathfrak{d}^{n_X} \mathfrak{p}_d^X. \tag{4.26}$$

Proof. From the previous Lemma we have, in particular, that

$$\nabla_{H^{n_X}} (J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t))_{[s]} \Big|_{\text{sqc}} = \left(\prod_i (y_i \partial_{y_i})^{n_i} \right) (I^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(y))_{[s+|n_X|-1]}. \tag{4.27}$$

From (3.5) and (4.27) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{q}_d^X &= [y^d] \eta_{n_X 0} \nabla_{H^{n_X}} (J_{\text{big}}^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(t))_{[r_X+1]}^{[0]} \Big|_{\text{sqc}} \\
&= \prod_{i,j} (w_X)_j^{(i)} [y^d] \prod_i (y_i \partial_{y_i})^{n_i} (I^{X_D^{\text{loc}}}(y))_{[|n_X|+r_X]}^{[0]} \\
&= \mathfrak{p}_d^X \prod_i d_i^{n_i} \prod_{i,j} (w_X)_j^{(i)}, \tag{4.28}
\end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof. □

Remark 4.9. The statement of Lemma 4.6 also immediately reconstructs explicitly two-point descendent invariants where the powers of ψ -classes are distributed among the two marked points by standard structure results about $g = 0$ GW theory (namely the symplecticity of the S -matrix, which is WDVV in the big phase space: this is [8, Eq. 6.46]). Their agreement with the corresponding log invariants is an easy exercise left to the reader.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. ABRAMOVICH AND Q. CHEN, Stable logarithmic maps to Deligne-Faltings pairs II, *Asian J. Math.*, 18 (2014), 465–488.
- [2] D. ABRAMOVICH, T. GRABER, AND A. VISTOLI, Gromov-Witten theory of Deligne-Mumford stacks, *Amer. J. Math.*, 130 (2008), 1337–1398.
- [3] P. BOUSSEAU, A. BRINI, AND M. VAN GARREL, On the log-local principle for refined Gromov–Witten theory: log Calabi–Yau surfaces, *in preparation* (2019).
- [4] Q. CHEN, Stable logarithmic maps to Deligne-Faltings pairs I, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 180 (2014), 455–521.
- [5] T. COATES, On the crepant resolution conjecture in the local case, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 287 (2009), 1071–1108.

- [6] T. COATES, A. CORTI, H. IRITANI, AND H-H TSENG, Computing genus-zero twisted Gromov-Witten invariants, *Duke Math. J.*, 147 (2009), 377–438.
- [7] T. COATES AND A. GIVENTAL, Quantum Riemann-Roch, Lefschetz and Serre, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 165 (2007), 15–53.
- [8] B. DUBROVIN, Geometry of 2D topological field theories, in “*Integrable systems and quantum groups*” (Montecatini Terme, 1993), *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1620 (1994), 120–348. Preprint available at [hep-th/9407018](#).
- [9] O. FUJINO AND H. SATO, Smooth projective toric varieties whose nontrivial nef line bundles are big, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 85 (2009), 89–94.
- [10] M. VAN GARREL, D. P. OVERHOLSER, AND H. RUDDAT, Enumerative aspects of the Gross-Siebert program, pp. 337–420, 2015.
- [11] A. GIVENTAL, Equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, 13 (1996), 613–663.
- [12] T. GRABER AND R. PANDHARIPANDE, Localization of virtual classes, *Invent. Math.*, 135 (1999), 487–518.
- [13] M. GROSS AND B. SIEBERT, Logarithmic Gromov-Witten invariants, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 26 (2013), 451–510.
- [14] T. KAWASAKI, Cohomology of twisted projective spaces and lens complexes, *Math. Ann.*, 206 (1973), 243–248.
- [15] T. MANDEL AND H. RUDDAT, Descendant log Gromov-Witten invariants for toric varieties and tropical curves. Preprint available at [1612.02402](#).
- [16] ———, Tropical quantum field theory, mirror polyvector fields, and multiplicities of tropical curves. Preprint available at [1902.07183](#).
- [17] G. MIKHALKIN, Enumerative tropical algebraic geometry in \mathbb{R}^2 , *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 18 (2005), 313–377.
- [18] N. NABIJOU AND D. RANGANATHAN, Gromov-Witten theory with maximal contacts, in preparation.
- [19] T. NISHINOU AND B. SIEBERT, Toric degenerations of toric varieties and tropical curves, *Duke Math. J.*, 135 (2006), 1–51.
- [20] M. VAN GARREL, T. GRABER, AND H. RUDDAT, Local Gromov-Witten invariants are log invariants, *Adv. Math.*, 350 (2019), 860–876.
- [21] J. WISE, Uniqueness of minimal morphisms of logarithmic schemes, *Algebr. Geom.*, 6 (2019), 50–63.

INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL STUDIES, ETH ZURICH, CLAUSIUSSTRASSE 47, 8092 ZURICH, SWITZERLAND

E-mail address: pbousse@ethz.ch

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, EDGBASTON, B15 2TT, BIRMINGHAM, UNITED KINGDOM

ON LEAVE FROM IMAG, UNIV. MONTPELLIER, CNRS, MONTPELLIER, FRANCE

E-mail address: a.brini@bham.ac.uk

UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, COVENTRY CV4 7AL, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: michel.van-garrel@warwick.ac.uk