

GALOIS COHOMOLOGY FOR LUBIN-TATE (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -MODULES OVER COEFFICIENT RINGS

CHANDRAKANT ARIBAM, NEHA KWATRA ¹

ABSTRACT. The classification of local Galois representations using (φ, Γ) -modules by Fontaine has been generalized by Kisin and Ren [8] over Lubin-Tate extensions of local fields using the theory of (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules. We extend the work of Herr [6] by introducing a complex which allows us to compute cohomology over Lubin-Tate extensions and compare it with Galois cohomology groups. That complex is further extended to include certain *non abelian extensions*. We also generalize the notion of (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules over coefficient rings and build up to show the equivalence with Galois representations over R . This allows us to generalize our results to the case of coefficient rings.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a field complete with respect to a discrete valuation whose residue field k is finite of characteristic p , where p is a fixed prime. In other words, K is a local field and we denote by $G_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ the local Galois group. Recall that a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -adic representation of G_K is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module of finite rank equipped with a linear and continuous action of G_K .

Fontaine [5] introduced an approach to understand the category of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -adic representations of G_K . In the equal characteristic case, he constructed a category of étale φ -modules over K and using some elementary techniques, he proved that the category of étale φ -modules over K is equivalent to the category of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -adic representations of G_K . Then using the theory of field of norms due to Fontaine and Wintenberger [16], the mixed characteristic case was deduced from the equal characteristic case. In this case the category of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -adic representations of G_K is equivalent to the category of étale (φ, Γ) -modules.

For the Witt ring $W(k)$, let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ be the p -adic completion of $W(k)((u))$ with field of fractions $\mathcal{E}$, K_{∞} be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of K in $\bar{K}$, $H_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K_{\infty})$ and $\Gamma = G_K/H_K = \text{Gal}(K_{\infty}/K)$. Then one can define the action of a Frobenius φ on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and also an action of Γ . Then an étale φ -module over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a finite rank $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -module with a bijective semi-linear operator φ . An étale (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is an étale φ -module over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ together with a continuous and semi-linear action of Γ commuting with the action of φ .

The well-known work of Colmez, Berger, Wach, and many others has centered on the case when K_{∞} is the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of K . It was desired to extend these ideas to obtain a classification of G_K representations on finite $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules.

In [8], Kisin and Ren generalized Fontaine's theory over the Lubin-Tate extensions. They defined $\mathcal{G}$ to be a Lubin-Tate formal group over a finite extension $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$. For $n \geq 1$, let $K_n \subset K$ be the subfield generated by the π^n -torsion points of $\mathcal{G}$, where $\mathcal{G}$ corresponds to the uniformizer $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_K$. These fields K_n 's are defined as Lubin-Tate extensions of K . Define $K_{\infty} = \cup_{n \geq 1} K_n$.

¹The author is supported by PhD fellowship from IISER Mohali and the results of this paper are the part of author's doctoral dissertation.

Keywords and phrases: Local fields, Lubin-Tate extensions, Galois representations, (φ, Γ) -modules, Herr complex, Galois cohomology, Coefficient rings.

and $\Gamma_{LT} = \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$. They obtained a classification of G_K -representations on finite $\mathcal{O}_K$ -modules via (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules with respect to Lubin-Tate extensions where (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules are (φ, Γ) -modules for Lubin-Tate extensions.

In this paper, first we extend the equivalence of categories of Kisin and Ren [8, Theorem 1.6] to the category of discrete π -primary abelian groups with continuous action of G_K and we show that this category is equivalent to the category of injective limits of π -torsion objects in the category of étale (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules (Corollary 3.5). Using this equivalence, we generalize the Herr complex [6] to the Lubin-Tate extensions and we call it the Lubin-Tate Herr complex (see Definition 1). Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem A (=Theorem 3.16). For a discrete π -primary abelian group V with a continuous action of G_K , we have a natural isomorphism

$$H^i(G_K, V) \cong \mathcal{H}^i \Phi \Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V)) \text{ for all } i \geq 0.$$

The cohomology groups on right side are computed using the Lubin-Tate Herr complex defined for (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules corresponding to V .

We further extend the equivalence of categories of Corollary 3.5 over certain non-abelian extensions and then we generalize the Lubin-Tate Herr complex for (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules to $(\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT,FT})$ -modules over non-abelian extensions and we call it False-Tate type Herr complex (see Definition 2). In this case we deduce the following theorem:

Theorem B (= Theorem 4.8). For any $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K-tor}^{dis}(G_K)$, we have natural isomorphism

$$H^i(G_K, V) \cong \mathcal{H}^i(\Phi \Gamma_{LT,FT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT,FT}(V))) \text{ for all } i \geq 0.$$

In other words, the False-Tate type Herr complex $\Phi \Gamma_{LT,FT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT,FT}(V))$ computes the Galois cohomology of G_K with coefficients in V .

In the second part, we generalize the above results to the case of coefficient rings. Recall that a coefficient ring is a complete local Noetherian ring with finite residue field.

Fontaine's construction was generalized by Dee [2] to the case of a general complete Noetherian local ring R whose residue field is a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$. He extended Fontaine's [5] results to give an understanding of the category of R -modules of finite type with a continuous R -linear action of G_K . More precisely, he constructed a category of étale φ -modules (resp. (φ, Γ) -modules) over K parameterized by R and proved that this category is equivalent to the category of R -linear representations of G_K in the equicharacteristic case (resp. mixed characteristic case) ([2, Theorem 2.1.27 and Theorem 2.3.1]). The category of étale φ -modules (resp. (φ, Γ) -modules) is defined to be a module of finite type over the completed tensor product $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R$ with actions of φ (resp. φ and Γ) as in case of Fontaine. The main point of the proof is the Lemma 2.1.5. and Lemma 2.1.6. in [2]. To prove the equivalence of categories stated above, he used Fontaine's [5] results for the case when the representation V has finite length and for the general case he extended it by taking the inverse limits.

We extend Kisin and Ren's result ([8, Theorem 1.6]) to give a classification of the category of R -representations of G_K . We construct a category of étale (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules over the completed tensor product $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} R$, where the ring $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}$ is constructed using the periods of Tate-module of $\mathcal{G}$. Then using the methods of [2] we prove that this category is equivalent to the category of R -representations of G_K .

Theorem C (=Theorem 6.10). The functor

$$\mathbb{D}_R : \mathbf{Rep}_R(G_K) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q, \acute{e}t}$$

is an equivalence of categories, with quasi- inverse functor

$$\mathbb{V}_R : \mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q, \acute{e}t} \rightarrow \mathbf{Rep}_R(G_K).$$

The above theorem gives us a classification of R -representations of a local Galois group in terms of étale φ_q -modules in case of equal characteristic. The construction of these functors is explained in section 6.1.

Theorem D (=Theorem 6.12). The functor $\mathbb{D}_R$ is an equivalence of categories between $\mathbf{Rep}_R(G_K)$ the category of R -linear representations of G_K and $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \acute{e}t}$ the category of étale (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules over $\mathcal{O}_R$. The functor $\mathbb{V}_R$ is a quasi inverse functor.

This theorem gives us a classification of R -representations of a local Galois group in terms of étale (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules in case of mixed characteristic. For details see section 6.2.

This construction is also compatible with the extension of scalars for an arbitrary local homomorphism of complete Noetherian local rings $R \rightarrow S$.

We also have a generalization of Theorem A to the case of coefficient ring. In this case, we have the following theorem:

Theorem E (=Theorem 7.2). For a discrete $\mathfrak{m}_R$ -primary abelian group V with a continuous action of G_K , we have a natural isomorphism

$$H^i(G_K, V) \cong \mathcal{H}^i \Phi \Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_R(V)) \text{ for all } i \geq 0.$$

Organization of the paper: This paper consists of seven sections. The second one introduces some standard results of Lubin-Tate extensions which are needed for rest of the paper. In section 3, we generalize Herr complex for Lubin-Tate extensions and compute Galois cohomology groups using that complex which appears as Theorem 3.16. In the next section we extend the complex defined in section 3 over certain non abelian extensions which helps us to prove Theorem 4.8. Then in section 5 we record some basic results of coefficient rings. In section 6, we generalize Kisin and Ren's Theorem [8, Theorem 1.6] for coefficient rings that allows us to generalize our Theorem 3.16 over the coefficient rings which comes as Theorem 7.2 in section 7.

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank Prof. Laurent Berger for his valuable suggestions.

2. SOME BASIC RESULTS OF LUBIN-TATE MODULES

In this section we recall some basic results of Lubin-Tate modules. For a local field K , let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be the ring of integers of K with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_K$. Let π be a prime element of K and $k = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}_K$ be its residue field with characteristic p . Assume that $\#k = q$, where q is a power of p . Let $\bar{K}$ be the algebraic closure of K with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ and maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{K}}$.

A *Lubin-Tate module* over $\mathcal{O}_K$, for a prime element π of $\mathcal{O}_K$, is a formal $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module $\mathcal{G}$ such that $[\pi]_{\mathcal{G}}(X) \equiv X^q \pmod{\pi}$.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a formal $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module. Then the set $\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{K}}$ together with the operations

$$x \underset{\mathcal{G}}{+} y := \mathcal{G}(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad a \bullet x := [a]_{\mathcal{G}}(x) \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{K}} \text{ and } a \in \mathcal{O}_K$$

gives rise to an $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module in the usual sense, which we denote by $\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}}$.

Now consider

$$\mathcal{G}(n) := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}} \mid \pi^n \bullet \lambda = 0\} = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}} \mid [\pi^n]_{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda) = 0\} = \ker([\pi^n]_{\mathcal{G}})$$

the group of π^n -division points. Then $\mathcal{G}(n)$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_K/\pi^n \mathcal{O}_K$ -module of rank 1. (See [11, Proposition 7.2]).

Let $K_n := K(\mathcal{G}(n))$. Since $\mathcal{G}(n) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(n+1)$, we have a chain of fields $K \subseteq K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq K_\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n$. These field extensions are called *Lubin-Tate extensions*. The extension K_n/K is totally ramified abelian extension of degree $q^{n-1}(q-1)$ with Galois group $\text{Gal}(K_n/K) \cong \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{G}(n)) \cong \mathcal{O}_K^\times / \mathcal{O}_K^{\times(n)}$. (See [11, Chapter III, Theorem 7.4]). The above isomorphism fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gal}(K_{n+1}/K) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{O}_K^\times / \mathcal{O}_K^{\times(n+1)} \\ \text{restriction} \downarrow & & \downarrow pr \\ \text{Gal}(K_n/K) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{O}_K^\times / \mathcal{O}_K^{\times(n)} \end{array}$$

By passing to the projective limits we obtain the isomorphism

$$\text{Gal}(K_\infty/K) \cong \mathcal{O}_K^\times. \quad (2.1)$$

3. GALOIS COHOMOLOGY OVER LUBIN-TATE EXTENSIONS

Let K be a local field of characteristic 0. Then K is finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and is complete with respect to a discrete valuation with residue field k which is perfect of characteristic $p > 0$. Assume that p is odd prime. In this section we compare (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules with the continuous Galois cohomology groups.

First we recall the construction of equivalence of categories of [8, Theorem 1.6]. For this, let $W = W(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors over k and $K_0 = W[\frac{1}{p}]$ be the field of fractions of W . Then K_0 is maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ contained in $\bar{K}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be the ring of integers of K and π be its uniformizer and $\#k = q = p^r$. Fix an algebraic closure $\bar{K}$ of K with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ and set $G_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. For an $\mathcal{O}_{K_0}$ -algebra A , we write $A_K = A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K_0}} \mathcal{O}_K$.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the Lubin-Tate group over K corresponding to the uniformizer π . Fix a local coordinate X on $\mathcal{G}$ so that the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$ may be identified with $\mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$. For any $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$, write $[a]_{\mathcal{G}} \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X]] = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$ the power series giving the endomorphism of $\mathcal{G}$.

Let K_∞ be the Lubin-Tate extension of K . Let $H_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K_\infty)$ and $\Gamma_{LT} = G_K/H_K = \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$. Let $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{G}$ be the p -adic Tate-module of $\mathcal{G}$. Then $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{G}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module of rank 1 and the action of Γ_{LT} induces a faithful character $\chi_{LT} : \Gamma_{LT} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ (see 2.1).

Let $\mathcal{R} = \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p$, where the transition maps being given by the Frobenius φ . We may also identify $\mathcal{R}$ with $\varprojlim \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/\pi$ with the transition maps given by the q -Frobenius $\varphi_q = \varphi^r$. The ring $\mathcal{R}$ is a complete valuation ring and it is perfect of characteristic p . The fraction field $\text{Fr}\mathcal{R}$ of $\mathcal{R}$ is a complete, algebraically closed non-archimedean perfect field of characteristic p .

Evaluation of X at π -torsion points then induces a map $\iota : \mathcal{T}\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$. Namely if $v = (v_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}\mathcal{G}$ with $v_n = \mathcal{G}[\pi^n](\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}})$ and $\pi.v_{n+1} = v_n$, then $\iota(v) = (v_n^*(X) + \pi\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}})_{n \geq 0}$.

Lemma 3.1. *There is a unique map $\{ \} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow W(\mathcal{R})_K$ such that $\{x\}$ is a lifting of x and $\varphi_q(\{x\}) = [\pi]_{\mathcal{G}}(x)$. Moreover $\{ \}$ respects the action of G_K and for $v \in \mathcal{T}\mathcal{G}$, we have*

(1) *If $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$ then $\{\iota(av)\} = [a]_{\mathcal{G}}\{\iota(v)\}$.*

(2) *The action of G_K on $\{\iota(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{G})\}$ factors through Γ_{LT} and for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{LT}$,*

$$[\chi(\gamma)]_{\mathcal{G}}(\{\iota(v)\}) = \{\iota(\gamma v)\} = \{\gamma.\iota(v)\} = \gamma.\{\iota(v)\}.$$

In particular, if $v \in \mathcal{T}\mathcal{G}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_K$ -generator, there is an embedding $\mathcal{O}_K[[u]] \hookrightarrow W(\mathcal{R})_K$ sending u to $\{\iota(v)\}$ which identifies $\mathcal{O}_K[[u]]$ with a G_K -stable, φ_q -stable subring of $W(\mathcal{R})_K$ such that $\{\iota(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{G})\}$ lies in the image of $\mathcal{O}_K[[u]]$.

Proof. The lemma follows from [8, Lemma 1.2] which refers to [1, Lemma 9.3]. More details are given in [14, §2.1]. $\square$

The G_K action on $\mathcal{O}_K[[u]]$ factors through Γ_{LT} and we have $\varphi_q(u) = [\pi]_{\mathcal{G}}(u)$ and $\sigma_a(u) = [a]_{\mathcal{G}}(u)$, where $\sigma_a = \chi_{LT}^{-1}(a)$ for any $a \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$. We fix an $\mathcal{O}_K$ -generator $v \in \mathcal{TG}$ and identify $\mathcal{O}_K[[u]]$ with a subring of $W(\mathcal{R})_K$ by sending u to $\{\iota(v)\}$ by using Lemma 3.1.

Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ be the π -adic completion of $\mathcal{O}_K[[u]][\frac{1}{u}]$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is complete discrete valuation ring with uniformizer π and residue field $k((u))$. Since $W(\mathcal{R})$ is p -adically complete, we may view

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \subset W(\mathcal{R})_K \subset W(Fr\mathcal{R})_K.$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}} \subset W(Fr\mathcal{R})_K$ denote the maximal integral unramified extension of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$. We denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}$ the π -adic completion of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$, which is again a subring of $W(Fr\mathcal{R})_K$. Write $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^{ur}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$ for the field of fractions of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}$ respectively. These rings are all stable under the action of φ_q and G_K . Moreover, the G_K -action factors through Γ_{LT} .

Then following lemma is an easy consequence of the definition of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}$.

Lemma 3.2. $(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}})^{\varphi_q=id} = \mathcal{O}_K$.

Proof. Since we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow E^{sep} \xrightarrow[x \mapsto x^q - x]{\varphi_q - id} E^{sep} \rightarrow 0$$

by dévissage we deduce the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/\pi^n \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}/\pi^n \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_q - id} \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}/\pi^n \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \rightarrow 0, \forall n \geq 1.$$

Here the projective system $\{\mathcal{O}_K/\pi^n \mathcal{O}_K\}_{n \geq 1}$ has surjective transition maps, therefore passing to projective limit is exact and gives us an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_q - id} \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, $(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}})^{\varphi_q=id} = \mathcal{O}_K$. □

Next we recall this lemma from [8, Lemma 1.4].

Lemma 3.3. *The residue field of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}$ is a separable closure of $k((u))$. There is a natural isomorphism*

$$Gal(\mathcal{E}^{ur}/\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Gal(\bar{K}/K_{\infty}).$$

The subring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \subset W(Fr\mathcal{R})$, which is constructed using the periods of $\mathcal{TG}$ is naturally a Cohen ring for $X_K(K)$. More details can be found in [8, §1].

Let $G_E = Gal(E^{sep}/E) = Gal(X_K(\bar{K})/X_K(K))$, then by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$H_K \xrightarrow{\sim} G_E.$$

The G_K action on $\mathcal{R}$ induces a G_K action on $W(Fr\mathcal{R})_K$ and the rings $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}$ are stable under the action of G_K . On the other hand G_E acts on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}$ by continuity and functoriality and these actions are compatible with the identification of Galois groups $H_K \xrightarrow{\sim} G_E$.

Let V be an $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module of finite rank with continuous linear action of G_K . Then consider the φ_q -module:

$$\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V) := (\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} V)^{H_K} = (\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} V)^{G_E}.$$

The action of G_K on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} V$ induces a semi linear action of $G_K/H_K = \Gamma_{LT} = Gal(K_{\infty}/K)$ on $\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V)$. We are now going to introduce the category of (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Objects in this category are φ_q -modules equipped with an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -semi linear action of Γ_{LT} commuting with φ . We say that a (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -module is étale if its underlying φ_q -module is étale.

Write $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(G_K)$ for the category of $\mathcal{O}_K$ -linear representations of G_K and $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \acute{e}t}$ for the category of étale (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules over $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$. Then $\mathbb{D}_{LT}$ is a functor from $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(G_K)$ to $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \acute{e}t}$.

Let M be a (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -module over $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$. Then consider the G_K -representation:

$$\mathbb{V}_{LT}(M) := (\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon} M)^{\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M = id}.$$

Here G_K acts on $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{ur}}}$ as before and acts via Γ_{LT} on M . The diagonal action on $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon} M$ is $\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M$ -equivariant, it induces a G_K action on $\mathbb{V}_{LT}(M)$.

Then we have the following result which is established in [KR].

Theorem 3.4. *The functors*

$$V \mapsto \mathbb{D}_{LT}(V) = (\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} V)^{H_K} \quad \text{and} \quad M \mapsto \mathbb{V}_{LT}(M) = (\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon} M)^{\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M = id}$$

are exact quasi-inverse equivalence of categories between $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(G_K)$ (resp. $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K-tor}(G_K)$) and $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \acute{e}t}$ (resp. $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \acute{e}t, tor}$).

Let $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K-tor}^{dis}(G_K)$ denotes the category of discrete π -primary abelian groups with continuous action of G_K . Firstly we extend the functor $\mathbb{D}_{LT}$ to the category $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K-tor}^{dis}(G_K)$. For any $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K-tor}^{dis}(G_K)$, define

$$\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V) := (\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} V)^{H_K}.$$

Any object $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K-tor}^{dis}(G_K)$ is the filtered direct limit of π -torsion objects in $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(G_K)$. As both the tensor product and taking H_K -invariant commutes with the filtered direct limits, the functor $\mathbb{D}_{LT}$ commutes with the filtered direct limits. Therefore $\mathbb{D}_{LT}$ is an exact functor into the category $\varinjlim \mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \acute{e}t, tor}$ of injective limits of π -torsion objects in $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \acute{e}t}$. Now for any object $M \in \varinjlim \mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \acute{e}t, tor}$, define

$$\mathbb{V}_{LT}(M) := (\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon} M)^{\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M = id}.$$

The functor $\mathbb{V}_{LT}$ commutes with the direct limits. Then by taking direct limits in Theorem 3.4 we have the following corollary:

Corollary 3.5. *The functors $\mathbb{D}_{LT}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{LT}$ are quasi-inverse equivalence of categories between $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K-tor}^{dis}(G_K)$ and $\varinjlim \mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \acute{e}t, tor}$.*

Let $D^{sep} := \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} V$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} V \cong \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon} \mathbb{D}_{LT}(V)$. Therefore $D^{sep} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon} \mathbb{D}_{LT}(V)$ and consider the co-chain complex

$$\Phi^\bullet(D^{sep}) : 0 \rightarrow D^{sep} \xrightarrow{\varphi_q - id} D^{sep} \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 3.6. *For any $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K-tor}^{dis}(G_K)$, the augmentation map $V[0] \rightarrow \Phi^\bullet(D^{sep})$ is a quasi-isomorphism of co-chain complexes where $V[0]$ denotes the complex with V in degree 0 and 0 everywhere else.*

Proof. Since the complex $\Phi^\bullet(E^{sep})$ is acyclic in non-zero degrees with 0th cohomology equal to k , the augmentation map $k[0] \rightarrow \Phi^\bullet(E^{sep})$ is a quasi-isomorphism. By d'Árville, the augmentation map

$$\mathcal{O}_K/\pi^n[0] \rightarrow \Phi^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{ur}}}/\pi^n) \tag{3.1}$$

is also a quasi-isomorphism as each term in both complexes is a flat $\mathcal{O}_K/\pi^n$ -module. If V is finite abelian π -group then it is killed by some power of π and we have $\Phi^\bullet(D^{sep}) = \Phi^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}/\pi^n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K/\pi^n} V$. Since V is free $\mathcal{O}_K/\pi^n$ -module, the tensoring is exact. Thus tensoring (3.1) with V , we have

$$V[0] \rightarrow \Phi^\bullet(D^{sep})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. As the direct limit functor is exact, the general case is deduced by taking direct limits. $\square$

Lemma 3.7. *We have $H^i(H_K, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}/\pi^n) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. By dévissage, we are reduced to the case $n = 1$, i.e., we only need to prove that $H^i(H_K, E^{sep}) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. But this is a standard fact of Galois cohomology. $\square$

Proposition 3.8. *The complex $\Phi^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V))$ computes the H_K -cohomology of V . In other words, $\mathcal{H}^i(\Phi^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V))) \cong H^i(H_K, V)$ as representations of Γ_{LT} .*

Proof. Assume that V is finite. By definition,

$$\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V) = (\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} V)^{H_K} = (D^{sep})^{H_K}.$$

So the complex $\Phi^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V))$ is the H_K -invariant part of $\Phi^\bullet(D^{sep})$. Note that the terms of $\Phi^\bullet(D^{sep})$ are of the form $D^{sep} = E^{sep} \otimes_E \mathbb{D}_{LT}(V)$ and are acyclic objects for the H_K -cohomology. Then by Lemma 3.6, we have $\mathcal{H}^i(\Phi^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V))) \cong H^i(H_K, V)$ as representations of Γ_{LT} .

Since both the functors $\mathcal{H}^i(\Phi^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(-)))$ and $H^i(H_K, -)$ commutes with the filtered direct limits, the general case follows by taking the direct limits. $\square$

Let Δ denotes the torsion subgroup of Γ_{LT} and H_K^* denotes the kernel of the quotient map $G_K \rightarrow \Gamma_{LT} \rightarrow \Gamma_{LT}^* := \Gamma_{LT}/\Delta$. Then Δ will be isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^d (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$.

Proposition 3.9. *The complex $\Phi^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V)^\Delta)$ computes the H_K^* -cohomology of V .*

Proof. Since p is odd, the order of Δ is prime to p so the p -cohomological dimension of Δ is zero. Then the isomorphism $H_K^*/H_K \cong \Delta$ gives the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_K \rightarrow H_K^* \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow 0.$$

The result follows from Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence together with Proposition 3.8. $\square$

Since Γ_{LT}^* is torsion free, we can assume that $\Gamma_{LT}^* \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{Z}_p$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module. Suppose that Γ_{LT}^* is topologically generated by $\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_d \rangle$. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be the set of generators of Γ_{LT}^* . Let A be an arbitrary representation of the group Γ_{LT}^* . Then consider the co-chain complex

$$\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(A) : 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i_1 \in \mathfrak{X}} A \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \in \binom{\mathfrak{X}}{r}} A \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

where for all $0 \leq r \leq |\mathfrak{X}| - 1$ the map $d_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{j_1, \dots, j_{r+1}} : A \rightarrow A$ from the component in the r th term corresponding to $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ to the component corresponding to the $(r+1)$ -tuple $\{j_1, \dots, j_{r+1}\}$ is given by

$$d_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{j_1, \dots, j_{r+1}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \not\subseteq \{j_1, \dots, j_{r+1}\}, \\ (-1)^s (\gamma_j - id) & \text{if } \{j_1, \dots, j_{r+1}\} = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \cup \{j\}, \end{cases}$$

where s is the number of elements in the set $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ smaller than j .

Lemma 3.10. *The functor $A \mapsto \mathcal{H}^i(\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(A))_{i \geq 0}$ is a cohomological δ -functor. Moreover if A is a discrete abelian group with continuous action of Γ_{LT}^* , then we have $\mathcal{H}^0(\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(A)) = A^{\Gamma_{LT}^*}$.*

Proof. Consider a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.2)$$

of representations of Γ_{LT}^* . Then we have a short exact sequence of co-chain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(A) \rightarrow \Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(B) \rightarrow \Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(C) \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.3)$$

The long exact sequence of (3.3) gives maps

$$\delta^i : \mathcal{H}^i(\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(C)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{i+1}(\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(A)),$$

which are functorial in (3.2). Therefore $A \mapsto \mathcal{H}^i(\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(A))$ is a cohomological δ -functor. The second part follows from the fact that the action of Γ_{LT}^* on A factors through a finite quotient. Since the classes of the elements of γ_i ($i \in \mathfrak{X}$) generates finite quotients of Γ_{LT}^* , $A^{\Gamma_{LT}^*} = \bigcap_{i \in \mathfrak{X}} \text{Ker}(\gamma_i - id) = \mathcal{H}^0(\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(A))$. $\square$

Proposition 3.11. *Let A be a discrete π -primary abelian group on which Γ_{LT}^* acts continuously. Then $\mathcal{H}^i(\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(A)) \cong H^i(\Gamma_{LT}^*, A)$ for all $i \geq 0$. In other words, the complex $\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(A)$ computes the Γ_{LT}^* -cohomology of A .*

Proof. Suppose that Γ_{LT}^* is topologically generated by $\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle$ and $\Gamma_{\gamma_1}^*$ be the subgroup of Γ_{LT}^* generated by γ_1 and $\Gamma_{\gamma_2}^*$ the quotient of Γ_{LT}^* by $\Gamma_{\gamma_1}^*$. Now assume that A is an injective object in the category of discrete π -primary abelian groups with continuous action of Γ_{LT}^* . We denote by $\Gamma_{\gamma_i}^\bullet(A)$ the co-chain complex

$$\Gamma_{\gamma_i}^\bullet(A) : 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\gamma_i - id} A \rightarrow 0.$$

Then the co-chain complex $\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(A)$ is the total complex of the double complex $\Gamma_{\gamma_2}^\bullet(\Gamma_{\gamma_1}^\bullet(A))$ and there is a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq} = \mathcal{H}^p \Gamma_{\gamma_2}^\bullet(\mathcal{H}^q \Gamma_{\gamma_1}^\bullet(A)) \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{p+q} \Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(A).$$

Since A is injective, by [13, Corollary 6.41] $\Gamma_{\gamma_1}^\bullet$ is acyclic in non-zero degrees with zeroth cohomology isomorphic to $H^0(\Gamma_{\gamma_1}^*, A)$. But $H^0(\Gamma_{\gamma_1}^*, A)$ is an injective object in the category of discrete π -primary abelian groups with continuous action of $\Gamma_{\gamma_2}^*$. Now by using step 1 and step 2 of [12, Proposition 2.1.7] the spectral sequence degenerates at E_1 and $\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(A)$ is acyclic in non-zero degrees with zeroth cohomology isomorphic to $H^0(\Gamma_{LT}^*, A)$.

Since $H^i(\Gamma_{LT}^*, -)$ is universal δ -functor and $\mathcal{H}^i \Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(-)$ is cohomological δ -functor such that $H^0(\Gamma_{LT}^*, -) \cong \mathcal{H}^0 \Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(-)$, we have a natural transformation of δ -functors from $H^i(\Gamma_{LT}^*, -)$ to $\mathcal{H}^i \Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(-)$. The general case follows from dimension shifting by using the above step.

Since Γ_{LT}^* has finite number of generators, the proposition follows by using induction on number of generators. $\square$

Now we define a complex namely Lubin-Tate Herr complex, which is a generalization of the Herr complex [6].

Definition 1. Let $M \in \varinjlim_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathbf{Mod}_{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \acute{e}t, tor}$. Define the co-chain complex $\Phi \Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(M)$ as the total complex of the double complex $\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\Phi^\bullet(M^\Delta))$ and we call it the *Lubin-Tate Herr complex* for M .

Explicitly for the cases $d = 2$ and 3 , the complexes look like as in the following examples.

Example 3.12. Let $d = 2$, then the Lubin-Tate Herr complex $\Phi \Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(M)$ is defined as:

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_0 x} M^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_1 x} M^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_2 x} M \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_q - id \\ \gamma_1 - id \\ \gamma_2 - id \end{bmatrix}, A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -(\gamma_1 - id) & \varphi_q - id & 0 \\ -(\gamma_2 - id) & 0 & \varphi_q - id \\ 0 & -(\gamma_2 - id) & \gamma_1 - id \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_2 = [\gamma_2 - id \quad -(\gamma_1 - id) \quad \varphi_q - id].$$

Example 3.13. For $d = 3$, the complex $\Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(M)$ is defined as follows:

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_0 x} M^{\oplus 4} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_1 x} M^{\oplus 6} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_2 x} M^{\oplus 4} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_3 x} M \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_q - id \\ \gamma_1 - id \\ \gamma_2 - id \\ \gamma_3 - id \end{bmatrix}, A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -(\gamma_1 - id) & \varphi_q - id & 0 & 0 \\ -(\gamma_2 - id) & 0 & \varphi_q - id & 0 \\ -(\gamma_3 - id) & 0 & 0 & \varphi_q - id \\ 0 & -(\gamma_2 - id) & \gamma_1 - id & 0 \\ 0 & -(\gamma_3 - id) & 0 & \gamma_1 - id \\ 0 & 0 & -(\gamma_3 - id) & \gamma_2 - id \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_2 - id & -(\gamma_1 - id) & 0 & \varphi_q - id & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_3 - id & 0 & -(\gamma_1 - id) & 0 & \varphi_q - id & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_3 - id & -(\gamma_2 - id) & 0 & 0 & \varphi_q - id \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_3 - id & -(\gamma_2 - id) & \gamma_1 - id \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_3 = [-(\gamma_3 - id) \quad \gamma_2 - id \quad -(\gamma_1 - id) \quad \varphi_q - id].$$

Clearly the Lubin-Tate Herr complex $\Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(M)$ depends on the choice of generators of Γ_{LT}^* .

Proposition 3.14. *The Galois cohomology groups computed using the Lubin-Tate Herr complex are independent of the choice of generators of Γ_{LT}^* .*

Proof. For proving this, we are going to use induction on the number of generators of Γ_{LT}^* . Assume that Γ_{LT}^* has only two generators γ_1 and γ_2 . Let $\Gamma_{LT}^* = \langle \gamma'_1, \gamma_2 \rangle$ be another set of generators. Since $\frac{\gamma_1 - id}{\gamma'_1 - id}$ is unit in $\mathcal{O}_K[[\Gamma_{LT}]]$, it is easy to check that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Phi\Gamma_{LT, \gamma_1, \gamma_2}^\bullet(M) : 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_0 x} & M \oplus M \oplus M & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_1 x} & M \oplus M \oplus M \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_2 x} M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \frac{\gamma_1 - id}{\gamma'_1 - id} & & \downarrow \frac{\gamma_1 - id}{\gamma'_1 - id} \oplus id \oplus \frac{\gamma_1 - id}{\gamma'_1 - id} & & \downarrow id \oplus \frac{\gamma_1 - id}{\gamma'_1 - id} \oplus id \downarrow id \\ \Phi\Gamma_{LT, \gamma'_1, \gamma_2}^\bullet(M) : 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A'_0 x} & M \oplus M \oplus M & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A'_1 x} & M \oplus M \oplus M \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A'_2 x} M \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

where

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_q - id \\ \gamma_1 - id \\ \gamma_2 - id \end{bmatrix}, A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -(\gamma_1 - id) & \varphi_q - id & 0 \\ -(\gamma_2 - id) & 0 & \varphi_q - id \\ 0 & -(\gamma_2 - id) & \gamma_1 - id \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_2 = [\gamma_2 - id \quad -(\gamma_1 - id) \quad \varphi_q - id],$$

and

$$A'_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_q - id \\ \gamma'_1 - id \\ \gamma_2 - id \end{bmatrix}, A'_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -(\gamma'_1 - id) & \varphi_q - id & 0 \\ -(\gamma_2 - id) & 0 & \varphi_q - id \\ 0 & -(\gamma_2 - id) & \gamma'_1 - id \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A'_2 = [\gamma_2 - id \quad -(\gamma'_1 - id) \quad \varphi_q - id].$$

is commutative. By passing to the cohomology it induces a natural isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}^i(\Phi\Gamma_{LT, \gamma_1, \gamma_2}^\bullet(M))$ on $\mathcal{H}^i(\Phi\Gamma_{LT, \gamma'_1, \gamma_2}^\bullet(M))$. Similarly we can show that $\mathcal{H}^i(\Phi\Gamma_{LT, \gamma'_1, \gamma_2}^\bullet(M))$ is naturally isomorphic to

$\mathcal{H}^i(\Phi\Gamma_{LT,\gamma'_1,\gamma'_2}^\bullet(M))$. Therefore there is a natural isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}^i(\Phi\Gamma_{LT,\gamma_1,\gamma_2}^\bullet(M))$ and $\mathcal{H}^i(\Phi\Gamma_{LT,\gamma'_1,\gamma'_2}^\bullet(M))$. Now the general case follows by induction on the number of generators of Γ_{LT}^* . $\square$

Lemma 3.15. *The functor $(\mathcal{H}^i\Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(-))_{i \geq 0}$ forms a cohomological δ -functor from the category $\varinjlim_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon} \mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \acute{e}t, tor}$ to the category of abelian groups. Moreover, for any $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K - tor}^{dis}(G_K)$, we have $\mathcal{H}^0\Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V)) \cong V^{G_K}$.*

Proof. Let

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.4)$$

be a short exact sequence in $\varinjlim_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon} \mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \acute{e}t, tor}$. Then we have a short exact sequence of co-chain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(M_1) \rightarrow \Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(M_2) \rightarrow \Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(M_3) \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.5)$$

The long exact sequence of (3.5) gives maps

$$\delta^i : \mathcal{H}^i\Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(M_3) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{i+1}\Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(M_1),$$

which are functorial in (3.4). Therefore $(\mathcal{H}^i\Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(-))_{i \geq 0}$ is a cohomological δ -functor from the category $\varinjlim_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon} \mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \acute{e}t, tor}$ to the category of abelian groups.

For the second part, we know that by definition $\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V) = (\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} V)^{H_K}$. Since φ_q acts trivially on V and it commutes with the action of G_K , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_{LT}(V)^{\varphi_q=1} &= ((\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} V)^{H_K})^{\varphi_q=1} \\ &= (\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}^{\varphi_q=1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} V)^{H_K} \\ &= (\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} V)^{H_K} \text{ (using Lemma 3.2)} \\ &\cong V^{H_K}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } \mathbb{D}_{LT}(V)^{\varphi_q=1, \Gamma_{LT}=1} \cong (V^{H_K})^{\Gamma_{LT}=1} = V^{G_K}.$$

$$\text{But } \mathbb{D}_{LT}(V)^{\varphi_q=1, \Gamma_{LT}=1} = \mathcal{H}^0\Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V)), \text{ Hence}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^0\Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V)) \cong V^{G_K}.$$

$\square$

Theorem 3.16. *Let $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K - tor}^{dis}(G_K)$. Then $H^i(G_K, V) \cong \mathcal{H}^i\Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V))$ for all $i \geq 0$, i.e., the Lubin-Tate Herr complex $\Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V))$ computes the Galois cohomology of G_K with coefficients in V .*

Proof. Let $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K - tor}^{dis}(G_K)$. Then the functor $(H^i(G_K, -))_{i \geq 0}$ is universal δ -functor and $(\mathcal{H}^i\Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(-)))_{i \geq 0}$ is cohomological δ -functor such that $\mathcal{H}^0\Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(-)) \cong H^0(G_K, -)$. Therefore we have a natural transformation

$$H^i(G_K, -) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i\Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(-))$$

of δ -functors. Assume that V is injective in $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K - tor}^{dis}(G_K)$. Then associated to the double complex $\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\Phi^\bullet(M^\Delta))$, we have a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq} = \mathcal{H}^p\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\mathcal{H}^q\Phi^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V)^\Delta)) \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{p+q}\Phi\Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V)). \quad (3.6)$$

Then by Proposition 3.9, the augmentation map $V^{H_K}[0] \rightarrow \Phi^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V)^\Delta)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Also, V^{H_K} is injective as discrete representation of Γ_{LT}^* . Therefore by using Proposition 3.11,

$V^{G_K}[0] \rightarrow \Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(V^{H_K^*})$ is a quasi isomorphism. Then the spectral sequence gives the following isomorphism

$$H^i(G_K, V) \cong \mathcal{H}^i \Phi \Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V)) \text{ for all } i \geq 0.$$

As the category $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K - \text{tor}}^{\text{dis}}(G_K)$ has enough injectives, the general case follows from Lemma 3.15 by dimension shifting. $\square$

4. GALOIS COHOMOLOGY OVER EXTENSIONS OF FALSE-TATE TYPE

For any $x \in \mathfrak{m}_K \setminus \mathfrak{m}_K^2$, choose a system $(x_i)_{i \geq 1}$ such that $[p](x_1) = x$ and $[p](x_{i+1}) = x_i$ for all $i \geq 1$. Now consider $\tilde{K} := K(x_i)_{i \geq 1}$, then the extension $\tilde{K}/K$ is not Galois. Suppose $L := K_\infty \tilde{K}$, the extension L/K is Galois. Moreover L/K is arithmetically profinite. Then due to [16] we can consider the field of norms for this extension. The field $Fr\mathcal{R}$ contains the field of norms $E := N(L/K)$ in a natural way and $\text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/L) \cong \text{Gal}(E^{\text{sep}}/E)$. We can simply take $\mathcal{O}_E$ to be the cohen ring of E . Then $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{ur}}}$ is the completion of the integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_E$ with residue field E^{sep} in $Fr\mathcal{R}$. Now by applying the same theory for $\Gamma_{LT, FT} := \text{Gal}(L/K)$ what we explained in section 3, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *The functor $V \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{LT, FT}(V) := (\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} V)^{\text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/L)}$ defines an equivalence of the categories $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(G_K)$ and $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_E}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT, FT}, \acute{e}t}$ with quasi inverse $\mathbb{V}_{LT, FT}$.*

Remark 4.2. The extension $\tilde{K}$ is not the canonical one. We can also define $\tilde{K}$ as follows:

- (1) Suppose $\mu_{p^n} \in K$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $\tilde{K} := K(\pi^{p^{-r}}, r \geq 1)$, then $L = K_\infty \tilde{K}$ is a Galois extension of K and $\text{Gal}(L/K_\infty) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. This has already been considered by Herr [7].
- (2) We can also define $\tilde{K} := K(y_i)_{i \geq 1}$, where $(y_i)_{i \geq 1}$ is a system satisfying $[\pi](y_1) = y$ and $[\pi](y_{i+1}) = y_i$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $y \in \mathfrak{m}_K \setminus \mathfrak{m}_K^2$. In this case $\text{Gal}(L/K_\infty)$ is isomorphic to an open subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Then using the similar method as explained in section 3, we can extend this equivalence of categories from the category $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K - \text{tor}}^{\text{dis}}(G_K)$ of discrete π -primary abelian groups with continuous action of G_K to the category $\varinjlim \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_E}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT, FT}, \acute{e}t, \text{tor}}$ of injective limits of π -torsion objects in $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_E}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT, FT}, \acute{e}t}$. For any $M \in \varinjlim \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_E}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT, FT}, \acute{e}t, \text{tor}}$, put

$$\mathbb{V}_{LT, FT}(M) := (\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} M)^{\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M = \text{id}}.$$

Then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *The functor $\mathbb{D}_{LT, FT}$ is quasi-inverse equivalence of categories between $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K - \text{tor}}^{\text{dis}}(G_K)$ and $\varinjlim \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_E}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT, FT}, \acute{e}t, \text{tor}}$ with quasi inverse $\mathbb{V}_{LT, FT}$.*

Let $\Gamma_{LT}^* = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_d \rangle$ as $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module and γ' be a topological generator of $\text{Gal}(L/K_\infty)$. We can lift $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_d$ to the elements of $\text{Gal}(L/\tilde{K})$. Then $\Gamma_{LT, FT}^*$ is topologically generated by $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_d$ and γ' with the relations $\gamma_i \gamma' = (\gamma')^{a_i} \gamma_i$ such that $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ where $a_i = \chi_{LT}(\gamma_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$ and χ_{LT} is the Lubin-Tate character. Let A be an arbitrary representation of the group $\Gamma_{LT, FT}^*$ and let $\mathfrak{X}'$ denotes the set of generators of $\Gamma_{LT, FT}^*$. Then consider the complex

$$\Gamma_{LT, FT}^*(A) : 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i_1 \in \mathfrak{X}'} A \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \in \binom{\mathfrak{X}'}{r}} A \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

where for all $0 \leq r \leq |\mathfrak{X}'| - 1$ the map $d_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{j_1, \dots, j_{r+1}} : A \rightarrow A$ from the component in the r th term corresponding to $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ to the component corresponding to the $(r+1)$ -tuple $\{j_1, \dots, j_{r+1}\}$ is given by

$$d_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{j_1, \dots, j_{r+1}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \not\subseteq \{j_1, \dots, j_{r+1}\}, \\ (-1)^s (\gamma_j - id) & \text{if } \{j_1, \dots, j_{r+1}\} = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \cup \{j_j\} \\ & \text{and } \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \text{ doesn't contain } \gamma', \\ (-1)^{s+1} \frac{(\gamma')^{\chi_{LT}(i_1) \cdots \chi_{LT}(i_r)} - id}{(\gamma')^{\chi_{LT}(i_1) \cdots \chi_{LT}(i_{j-1}) \chi_{LT}(i_{j+1}) \cdots \chi_{LT}(i_r)} - id} & \text{if } \{j_1, \dots, j_{r+1}\} = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \cup \{j_j\} \\ & \text{and } \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \text{ contains } \gamma', \\ (\gamma')^{\chi_{LT}(i_1) \cdots \chi_{LT}(i_r)} - id & \text{if } \{j_1, \dots, j_{r+1}\} = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \cup \{\gamma'\}, \end{cases}$$

where s is the number of elements in the set $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ smaller than j .

We explicitly write the complex $\Gamma_{LT, FT}^\bullet(A)$ in case of $d = 2$ and $d = 3$.

Example 4.4. Let $d = 2$, then the complex $\Gamma_{LT, FT}^\bullet(A)$ is defined as:

$$\Gamma_{LT, FT}^\bullet(A) : 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_0 x} A^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_1 x} A^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_2 x} A \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 - id \\ \gamma_2 - id \\ \gamma' - id \end{bmatrix}, A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -(\gamma_2 - id) & \gamma_1 - id & 0 \\ (\gamma')^{a_1} - id & 0 & -(\gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1} - id}{\gamma' - id}) \\ 0 & (\gamma')^{a_2} - id & -(\gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_2} - id}{\gamma' - id}) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} (\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id & \gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id}{\gamma'^{a_1} - id} & -(\gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id}{\gamma'^{a_2} - id}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 4.5. Let $d = 3$, then $\Gamma_{LT, FT}^\bullet(A)$ is defined as follows:

$$\Gamma_{LT, FT}^\bullet(A) : 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_0 x} A^{\oplus 4} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_1 x} A^{\oplus 6} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_2 x} A^{\oplus 4} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_3 x} A \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 - id \\ \gamma_2 - id \\ \gamma_3 - id \\ \gamma' - id \end{bmatrix}, A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -(\gamma_2 - id) & \gamma_1 - id & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -(\gamma_3 - id) & \gamma_2 - id & 0 \\ -(\gamma_3 - id) & 0 & (\gamma_1 - id) & 0 \\ (\gamma')^{a_1} - id & 0 & 0 & -(\gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1} - id}{\gamma' - id}) \\ 0 & (\gamma')^{a_2} - id & 0 & -(\gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_2} - id}{\gamma' - id}) \\ 0 & 0 & (\gamma')^{a_3} - id & -(\gamma_3 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_3} - id}{\gamma' - id}) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_3 - id & \gamma_1 - id & -(\gamma_2 - id) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id & 0 & 0 & \gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id}{\gamma'^{a_1} - id} & -(\gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id}{\gamma'^{a_2} - id}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (\gamma')^{a_1 a_3} - id & \gamma_3 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_1} - id} & 0 & -(\gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_3} - id}) \\ 0 & (\gamma')^{a_2 a_3} - id & 0 & 0 & \gamma_3 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_2 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_2} - id} & -(\gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_2 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_3} - id}) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} (\gamma')^{a_1 a_2 a_3} - id & -(\gamma_3 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_1 a_2} - id}) & \gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_1 a_3} - id} & -(\gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_2 a_3} - id}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The functor $A \mapsto \mathcal{H}^i(\Gamma_{LT, FT}^\bullet(A))_{i \geq 0}$ forms a cohomological δ -functor. Moreover for a discrete π -primary abelian group A with continuous action of $\Gamma_{LT, FT}^*$, the complex $\Gamma_{LT, FT}^\bullet(A)$ computes the $\Gamma_{LT, FT}^*$ -cohomology of A .

Definition 2. Suppose $M \in \varinjlim_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon} \mathbf{Mod}_{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT, FT}, \acute{e}t, tor}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT, FT}, \acute{e}t, tor}$. Then the cochain complex $\Phi \Gamma_{LT, FT}^\bullet(M)$ is defined as the total complex of the double complex $\Gamma_{LT, FT}^\bullet(\Phi^\bullet(M^\Delta))$ and we call it the *False Tate type Herr complex* for M .

Example 4.6. When $d = 2$, then the False Tate type Herr complex is defined as follows:

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_0 x} M^{\oplus 4} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_1 x} M^{\oplus 6} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_2 x} M^{\oplus 4} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_3 x} M \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_q - id \\ \gamma_1 - id \\ \gamma_2 - id \\ \gamma' - id \end{bmatrix}, A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -(\gamma_1 - id) & \varphi_q - id & 0 & 0 \\ -(\gamma_2 - id) & 0 & \varphi_q - id & 0 \\ -(\gamma' - id) & 0 & 0 & \varphi_q - id \\ 0 & -(\gamma_2 - id) & \gamma_1 - id & 0 \\ 0 & (\gamma')^{a_1} - id & 0 & -(\gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1} - id}{\gamma' - id}) \\ 0 & 0 & (\gamma')^{a_2} - id & -(\gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_2} - id}{\gamma' - id}) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_2 - id & -(\gamma_1 - id) & 0 & \varphi_q - id & 0 & 0 \\ -((\gamma')^{a_1} - id) & 0 & \gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1} - id}{\gamma' - id} & 0 & \varphi_q - id & 0 \\ 0 & -((\gamma')^{a_2} - id) & \gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_2} - id}{\gamma' - id} & 0 & 0 & \varphi_q - id \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id & \gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id}{\gamma' - id} & -(\gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id}{\gamma' - id}) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -((\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id) & -(\gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id}{\gamma' - id}) & \gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id}{\gamma' - id} & \varphi_q - id \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 4.7. Let $d = 3$, then $\Phi \Gamma_{LT, FT}^\bullet(M)$ is defined as following:

$$\Phi \Gamma_{LT, FT}^\bullet(M) : 0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_0 x} M^{\oplus 5} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_1 x} M^{\oplus 10} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_2 x} M^{\oplus 10} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_3 x} M^{\oplus 5} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto A_4 x} M \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_q - id \\ \gamma_1 - id \\ \gamma_2 - id \\ \gamma_3 - id \\ \gamma' - id \end{bmatrix}, A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -(\gamma_1 - id) & \varphi_q - id & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -(\gamma_2 - id) & 0 & \varphi_q - id & 0 & 0 \\ -(\gamma_3 - id) & 0 & 0 & \varphi_q - id & 0 \\ -(\gamma' - id) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi_q - id \\ 0 & -(\gamma_2 - id) & \gamma_1 - id & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(\gamma_3 - id) & \gamma_2 - id & 0 \\ 0 & -(\gamma_3 - id) & 0 & \gamma_1 - id & 0 \\ 0 & (\gamma')^{a_1} - id & 0 & 0 & -(\gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1} - id}{\gamma' - id}) \\ 0 & 0 & (\gamma')^{a_2} - id & 0 & -(\gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_2} - id}{\gamma' - id}) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (\gamma')^{a_3} - id & -(\gamma_3 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_3} - id}{\gamma' - id}) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 = & \begin{bmatrix}
\gamma_2 - id & -(\gamma_1 - id) & 0 & 0 & \varphi_q - id & 0 \\
0 & \gamma_3 - id & -(\gamma_2 - id) & 0 & 0 & \varphi_q - id \\
\gamma_3 - id & 0 & -(\gamma_1 - id) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-((\gamma')^{a_1} - id) & 0 & 0 & \gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1} - id}{\gamma' - id} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -((\gamma')^{a_2} - id) & 0 & \gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_2} - id}{\gamma' - id} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -((\gamma')^{a_3} - id) & \gamma_3 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_3} - id}{\gamma' - id} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_3 - id & \gamma_1 - id \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (\gamma')^{a_2 a_3} - id
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\varphi_q - id & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \varphi_q - id & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \varphi_q - id & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi_q - id & 0 \\
-(\gamma_2 - id) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi_q - id \\
0 & \gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id}{\gamma'^{a_1} - id} & -(\gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id}{\gamma'^{a_2} - id}) & 0 & 0 \\
(\gamma')^{a_1 a_3} - id & \gamma_3 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_1} - id} & 0 & -(\gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_3} - id}) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \gamma_3 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_2 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_2} - id} & -(\gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_2 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_3} - id}) & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \\
A_3 = & \begin{bmatrix}
-(\gamma_3 - id) & -(\gamma_1 - id) & \gamma_2 - id & 0 & 0 \\
-((\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id) & 0 & 0 & -(\gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id}{\gamma'^{a_1} - id}) & \gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2} - id}{\gamma'^{a_2} - id} \\
0 & 0 & -((\gamma')^{a_1 a_3} - id) & -(\gamma_3 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_1} - id}) & 0 \\
0 & -((\gamma')^{a_2 a_3} - id) & 0 & 0 & -(\gamma_3 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_2 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_2} - id}) \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \varphi_q - id & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \varphi_q - id & 0 & 0 \\
\gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_3} - id} & 0 & 0 & \varphi_q - id & 0 \\
\gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_2 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_3} - id} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi_q - id \\
0 & (\gamma')^{a_1 a_2 a_3} - id & -(\gamma_3 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_1 a_2} - id}) & \gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_1 a_3} - id} & -(\gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_2 a_3} - id})
\end{bmatrix}, \\
A_4 = & \left[-((\gamma')^{a_1 a_2 a_3} - id) \quad \gamma_3 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_1 a_2} - id} \quad -(\gamma_2 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_1 a_3} - id}) \quad \gamma_1 - \frac{(\gamma')^{a_1 a_2 a_3} - id}{\gamma'^{a_2 a_3} - id} \quad \varphi_q - id \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Now by taking cohomology of the complex $\Phi\Gamma_{LT,FT}^\bullet(-)$, we can define a cohomological functor $(\mathcal{H}^i)_{i \geq 0}$ from $\varinjlim_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathbf{Mod}_{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT,FT}, \acute{e}t, tor}$ to the category of abelian groups and we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.8. *For any $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^{dis-tor}(G_K)$, we have natural isomorphism*

$$H^i(G_K, V) \cong \mathcal{H}^i(\Phi\Gamma_{LT,FT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT,FT}(V))) \text{ for all } i \geq 0.$$

Proof. Since $(\mathcal{H}^i \Phi\Gamma_{LT,FT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT,FT}(-)))_{i \geq 0}$ is a cohomological δ -functor such that we have the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}^0 \Phi\Gamma_{LT,FT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_{LT,FT}(-)) \cong H^0(G_K, -)$, the proof follows as in the proof of Theorem 3.16. $\square$

Next we give a generalization of Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.16 to the case of any complete local Noetherian ring whose residue field is finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$. For this first we recall some basic definitions and results from [2].

5. BACKGROUND ON COEFFICIENT RINGS

In this section we recall some basic results on coefficient rings.

Definition 3. A *coefficient ring* R is a complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field k_R of characteristic p , i.e., k_R is a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$.

A coefficient ring R has a natural pro-finite topology with a base of open ideals given by the powers of its maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_R$. In other words,

$$R = \varprojlim_n R/\mathfrak{m}_R^n R.$$

Definition 4. A *coefficient ring homomorphism* is a continuous homomorphism of coefficient rings

$$R' \rightarrow R$$

such that the inverse image of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_R$ is the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{R'} \subset R'$ and the induced homomorphism on residue fields is an isomorphism.

Remark 5.1. Let $W(k_R)$ be the ring of Witt vectors of k_R . Then the coefficient ring R with residue field k_R is naturally equipped with a continuous coefficient ring homomorphism $W(k_R) \rightarrow R$. Thus a coefficient ring R is naturally a topological $W(k_R)$ -algebra. However, the map $W(k_R) \rightarrow R$ need not be injective [10].

The following is what we shall mean by p -rings for the purpose of this paper.

Definition 5. A *p -ring* is a complete discrete valuation ring whose valuation ideal is generated by a prime element.

Let R and S be arbitrary rings and $I \subset R, J \subset S$ be two ideals. Assume that R and S are both T -algebras for some third ring T .

Definition 6. The *completed tensor product* $R \hat{\otimes}_T S$ is defined as the completion of $R \otimes_T S$ with respect to the $(I \otimes S + R \otimes J)$ -adic topology.

If $\mathcal{O}$ is a p -ring and R is a coefficient ring then we write

$$\mathcal{O}_R := \mathcal{O} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} R,$$

where $\mathcal{O}_K$ is finite extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then by [2, Proposition 1.2.3.], $\mathcal{O}_R$ is a complete Noetherian semi-local ring. But its residue field may not be finite as there is no restriction on the residue field of $\mathcal{O}$.

Suppose that R and S are two coefficient rings and $\mathcal{O}$ is a p -ring (or indeed any local ring with residue field of characteristic p). If

$$\theta : R \rightarrow S$$

is a ring homomorphism then it induces

$$\theta : \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} R \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} S.$$

If θ is local, then we know that

$$\theta(\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_R + \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}} \otimes R) \subset \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_S + \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}} \otimes S$$

and θ is continuous with respect to the obvious topologies. So it induces a semi-local homomorphism

$$\theta : \mathcal{O}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S.$$

Proposition 5.2. *Let*

$$\theta : \mathcal{O}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2$$

be a local homomorphism of p -rings and let R be a coefficient ring. If θ is flat then the induced homomorphism

$$\theta_R : \mathcal{O}_{1,R} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{2,R}$$

is faithfully flat.

Proof. This is [2, Proposition 1.2.6], which uses [3, 0.19.7.1.2] and [9, Theorem 22.3]. $\square$

Proposition 5.3. *Let A be a Noetherian, semi-local commutative ring with unity and $\mathfrak{m}_A$ be the radical (intersection of all maximal ideals) of A then $A/\mathfrak{m}_A^n$ is Artinian for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. We prove this by induction on n . For $n = 1$, using Chinese Remainder theorem, we have

$$A/\mathfrak{m}_A \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A/\mathfrak{m}_i. \quad (5.1)$$

The map is natural projection map. Now each $A/\mathfrak{m}_i$ is Artinian being a field as each $\mathfrak{m}_i$ is maximal ideal of A . So right hand side of (5.1) is Artinian being the finite direct sum of Artinian rings. Therefore $A/\mathfrak{m}_A$ is Artinian and result is true for $n = 1$. Suppose the result is true for $n - 1$. For general n , the result follows from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_A^{n-1}/\mathfrak{m}_A^n \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}_A^n \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}_A^{n-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now $A/\mathfrak{m}_A^{n-1}$ is Artinian by induction hypothesis. Since A is Noetherian thus $\mathfrak{m}_A^{n-1}/\mathfrak{m}_A^n$ is finitely generated module over $A/\mathfrak{m}_A$. Since every finitely generated module over an Artinian ring is Artinian therefore $\mathfrak{m}_A^{n-1}/\mathfrak{m}_A^n$ is Artinian. Hence $A/\mathfrak{m}_A^n$ is Artinian. $\square$

Remark 5.4. Since $\mathcal{O}_R$ is complete, semi-local, Noetherian ring then by above Proposition $\mathcal{O}_R/\mathfrak{m}_R^n \mathcal{O}_R$ is Artinian for all $n \geq 1$.

6. AN EQUIVALENCE OF CATEGORIES OVER COEFFICIENT RINGS

6.1. The Characteristic p Case. Let E be a local field of characteristic $p > 0$. Recall that the Cohen ring $\mathcal{C}(E)$ is the unique (up to isomorphism) absolutely unramified discrete valuation ring of characteristic 0 with residue field E . Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ be the Cohen ring $\mathcal{C}(E)$ of E and $\mathcal{E}$ be the field of fractions of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} = \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}/\pi^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}},$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}/\pi \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} = E, \quad \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}[\frac{1}{\pi}].$$

The field $\mathcal{E}$ is of characteristic 0, with a complete discrete valuation, whose residue field is E and whose maximal ideal is generated by π . Moreover, If $\mathcal{E}'$ is another field with the same property then there is a continuous homomorphism $\iota : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ of valued fields inducing identity on E and ι is always an isomorphism. If E is perfect then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ may be identified with the ring $W(E)$ of Witt vectors with coefficients in E and ι is unique. So, we have a p -ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ of characteristic zero with fraction field $\mathcal{E}$ and residue field E . Fix a choice of $\mathcal{E}$.

For any homomorphism $f : E \rightarrow F$ of fields of characteristic p , then by using the functoriality of Cohen rings [4, Theorem A.45] there is a unique local homomorphism $\mathcal{C}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(F)$ which induces f on the residue fields. Then for any finite separable extension F of E there is a unique unramified extension $\mathcal{E}_F = \text{Frac } \mathcal{C}(F)$ of $\mathcal{E}$ whose residue field is F . Moreover, if F/E is Galois then $\mathcal{E}_F/\mathcal{E}$ is also Galois with Galois group

$$\text{Gal}(\mathcal{E}_F/\mathcal{E}) = \text{Gal}(F/E).$$

Let E^{sep} be the separable closure of E , then

$$E^{sep} = \bigcup_{F \in S} F,$$

where S runs over the finite extensions of E contained in E^{sep} . If $F, F' \in S$ and $F \subset F'$, then $\mathcal{E}_F \subset \mathcal{E}_{F'}$. Define

$$\mathcal{E}^{ur} := \bigcup_{F \in S} \mathcal{E}_F.$$

Then $\mathcal{E}^{ur}$ is a Galois extension of $\mathcal{E}$ and there is an identification of Galois groups

$$G_E = \text{Gal}(E^{sep}/E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(\mathcal{E}^{ur}/\mathcal{E}).$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$ be the ring of integers of $\mathcal{E}^{ur}$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$ is a strict Hensalization of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ with field of fractions $\mathcal{E}^{ur}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$ has a valuation induced from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and the valuation ring in the completion $\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$ of $\mathcal{E}^{ur}$ is a p -ring with residue field E^{sep} , a separable closure of E . Write $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}$ for this ring and G_E acts by continuity on $\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$.

From now on R will always denote the coefficient ring, unless stated otherwise.

Remark 6.1. Let K be a p -adic field with residue field k such that $\text{card}(k) = q$. Since E is a local field of characteristic p and the residue field of E has cardinality q therefore $E \cong k((\pi))$ and we have $\mathcal{O}_K \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Define the rings

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_R &= \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} R, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} &= \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} R. \end{aligned}$$

$\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_R$ -algebra and is faithfully flat over $\mathcal{O}_R$ by Proposition 5.2. The action of G_E on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$ induces an action on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}$ and hence on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} R$, via the trivial action on R . Being continuous on $\mathcal{E}^{ur}$ this action is continuous on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} R$, so it induces a G_E action on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}}$, continuous with respect to the $\mathfrak{m}_R \widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}}$ -adic topology.

Remark 6.2. It follows from [2, Proposition 1.2.3.] that $\mathcal{O}_R$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}}$ are Noetherian semi-local rings, complete with respect to the $\mathfrak{m}_R$ -adic topology and that $\mathfrak{m}_R$ generates the radical of these rings.

Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is equipped with a lift of q -Frobenius: a ring homomorphism φ_q such that

$$\varphi_q(x) \equiv x^q \pmod{\pi}.$$

Assume that φ_q is flat. By tensoring with R we deduce an R -linear homomorphism

$$\varphi_q := \varphi_q \otimes \text{id}_R : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} R.$$

Since the ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes R + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_R$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} R$ is generated by $\mathfrak{m}_R$, clearly φ_q maps this radical to itself.

Lemma 6.3. *The induced homomorphism*

$$\varphi_q : \mathcal{O}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_R$$

is flat.

Proof. Since φ_q is flat by our assumption, the proof follows from Proposition 5.2. $\square$

The q -Frobenius on $\mathcal{O}_E$ extends uniquely by functoriality and continuity to a q -Frobenius on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{E^{ur}}}$ and then to a flat homomorphism from $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}}$ to itself as in Lemma 6.3.

Definition 7. An R -representation of G_E is a finitely generated R -module with continuous, R -linear action of G_E .

Let $\mathbf{Rep}_R(G_E)$ denotes the category of R -linear representations of G_E .

Definition 8. A φ_q -module over $\mathcal{O}_R$ is an $\mathcal{O}_R$ -module M together with a map

$$\varphi_M : M \rightarrow M,$$

which is semi-linear with respect to the morphism φ_q .

Remark 6.4. Let M be an $\mathcal{O}_R$ -module and $M_{\varphi_q} = M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R, \varphi_q} \mathcal{O}_R$ denotes the base change of M by $\mathcal{O}_R$ via φ_q . Then a semi-linear map

$$\varphi_M : M \rightarrow M$$

is equivalent to an $\mathcal{O}_R$ -linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_M^{lin} : M_{\varphi_q} &\rightarrow M \\ \lambda \otimes x &\mapsto \lambda \varphi_M(x), \quad \text{for } x \in M \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathcal{O}_R. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q}$ denotes the category of φ_q -modules over $\mathcal{O}_R$. Morphisms in $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q}$ are $\mathcal{O}_R$ -linear homomorphisms commuting with φ .

Now we define a functor from $\mathbf{Rep}_R(G_E)$ to $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q}$. Let V be any R -representation of G_E . Define

$$\mathbb{D}_R(V) := (\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_R V)^{G_E},$$

where G_E acts diagonally. Then $\mathbb{D}_R(V)$ carries the structure of an $\mathcal{O}_R$ -module since multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_R$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_R V$ is G_E -equivariant. The q -Frobenius φ_q on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}}$ acts G_E -equivariantly. We extend the definition of q -Frobenius to $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_R V$ as follows :

$$\varphi_q(\lambda \otimes v) = \varphi_q(\lambda) \otimes v, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \text{ and } v \in V,$$

and we have

$$\varphi_q(g(\lambda \otimes v)) = g(\varphi_q(\lambda \otimes v)) \quad \text{for all } g \in G_E.$$

So it induces a φ_q -semi linear homomorphism

$$\varphi_{\mathbb{D}_R(V)} : \mathbb{D}_R(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_R(V).$$

Usually we write $\varphi := \varphi_{\mathbb{D}_R(V)}$ for our convenience.

Then $V \mapsto \mathbb{D}_R(V)$ is a functor from $\mathbf{Rep}_R(G_E)$ to $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q}$ and we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.5. *Suppose $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_R(G_E)$ such that $\mathfrak{m}_R^n V = 0$ for some n , then as $\mathcal{O}_K$ -modules we have*

$$\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V) \cong \mathbb{D}_R(V).$$

Proof. Since V is finite as an R -module and $\mathfrak{m}_R^n V = 0$ so V must be finite as $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module as R has finite residue field. Hence

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_R V = (\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} R) \hat{\otimes}_R V \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} V = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} V.$$

The last equality follows from the fact that $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}$ is complete and V is finitely generated as $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module. Therefore taking G_E -invariant we get the desired result. $\square$

Note that using the same lines we can prove the similar results as in section 2.1 in [2] even if we replace the absolute Frobenius with q -Frobenius. Thus the functor $\mathbb{D}_R$ is an exact and faithful functor and it commutes with restriction of scalars and inverse limits. Moreover, for $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_R(G_E)$, $\mathbb{D}_R(V)$ is finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_R$ -module and the canonical $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur}$ -linear homomorphism of G_E -modules

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} \mathbb{D}_R(V) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_R V$$

is an isomorphism.

Now we introduce a category which is full subcategory of $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q}$ and we shall show that this category is the essential image of $\mathbb{D}_R$.

Definition 9. An object M in $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q}$ is said to be étale if φ_M^{lin} is an isomorphism and M is finitely generated as $\mathcal{O}_R$ -module.

Let $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q, \text{ét}}$ denotes the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q}$ consisting of étale φ_q -modules. A morphism of étale φ_q -modules is a morphism of the underlying φ_q -modules.

Then it follows from [2, Lemma 2.1.16. and 2.1.17.] that the category $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q, \text{ét}}$ is an abelian category. It is stable under sub-objects, quotients, tensor products and $\mathbb{D}_R(V) \in \mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q, \text{ét}}$.

Now we introduce a functor which is an inverse functor to $\mathbb{D}_R$. The functor

$$\mathbb{V}_R : \mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q, \text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Rep}_R(G_K)$$

is defined as following:

Let M be an étale φ_q -module. We may view $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M$ as a φ_q -module via

$$\varphi_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M}(\lambda \otimes x) = \varphi_q(\lambda) \otimes \varphi_M(x).$$

We often write $\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M$ rather than $\varphi_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M}$ for our convenience.

Define the G_E -action on it by

$$g(\lambda \otimes x) = g(\lambda) \otimes x, \quad \text{for } g \in G_E.$$

Also

$$g.(\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M) = (\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M).g.$$

Define

$$\mathbb{V}_R(M) := (\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M)^{\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M = id},$$

which is a sub R -module stable under G_E . Thus the association $M \mapsto \mathbb{V}_R(M)$ extends in a natural way to a functor from $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q, \text{ét}}$ to the category $\mathbf{Rep}_R(G_E)$.

Next, without any extra work using [2, Proposition 2.1.21.] we can easily prove that the functor $\mathbb{V}_R$ commutes with inverse limits.

Lemma 6.6. *Let V be an R -representation of G_E . Then $\varphi_q \otimes id_V - id$ is a surjective homomorphism of abelian groups acting on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_R V$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathfrak{m}_R V = 0$. The map

$$\varphi_q - id : E^{sep} \rightarrow E^{sep}$$

is surjective since for all $\lambda \in E^{sep}$ the polynomial $x^q - x - \lambda$ is separable. Since k_R is finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$ and φ_q acts trivially on k_R , this means

$$\varphi_q - id : E^{sep} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k_R \rightarrow E^{sep} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k_R$$

is also surjective. Since $\varphi_q - id$ is continuous so

$$\varphi_q - id : k_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}}} \rightarrow k_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}}}$$

is surjective, where $k_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}}}$ is the residue field of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}}$. Also V is free over k_R and φ_q acts on $k_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}}} \otimes_{k_R} V$ via its action on $k_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}}}$, it follows that $\varphi_q \otimes id_{V_1} - id$ is surjective on $k_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}}} \otimes_{k_R} V$. Then by dévissage,

$$\varphi_q \otimes id_{V_n} - id : \widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} / \mathfrak{m}_R^n \otimes_R V_n \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} / \mathfrak{m}_R^n \otimes_R V_n$$

is surjective. Since $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} / \mathfrak{m}_R^n$ is Artinian for all n and V_n has finite length so Mittag-Leffler condition holds for $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} / \mathfrak{m}_R^n \otimes_R V_n$. Therefore by passage to the inverse limits the result holds for general V . $\square$

To prove the next proposition, we need analogous result of Lemma 6.5 which can be easily proved.

Lemma 6.7. *If $\mathfrak{m}_R^n M = 0$, then as $\mathcal{O}_K$ -modules*

$$\mathbb{V}_{LT}(M) = \mathbb{V}_R(M).$$

The above lemma shows that the functor $\mathbb{V}_R$ commutes with restriction of scalars.

Proposition 6.8. *Let M be an étale φ_q -module. Then $\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M - id$ is a surjective homomorphism of abelian groups on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M$.*

Proof. Let M be an étale φ_q -module. If M has finite length, i.e., $\mathfrak{m}_R^n M = 0$, then by Lemma 6.7 we have the following isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_K$ -modules

$$\mathbb{V}_{LT}(M) = \mathbb{V}_R(M).$$

Using [5], we know that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_R \mathbb{V}_R(M_n) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M_n$$

is an isomorphism and $\mathbb{V}_R(M_n)$ is an R -representation of G_K . Moreover this isomorphism respects the action of $\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M$, therefore by Lemma 6.6, the map $\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M - id$ is surjective on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M_n$. The general case follows by passage to the limits. $\square$

Proposition 6.9. *The functor $\mathbb{V}_R$ is an exact functor.*

Proof. Let

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of étale φ_q -modules. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M' & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M'' \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M - id \downarrow & & \varphi_q \otimes \varphi_{M'} - id \downarrow & & \varphi_q \otimes \varphi_{M''} - id \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M' & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M'' \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

By applying the Snake lemma we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_R(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_R(M') \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_R(M'') \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M / (\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M - id) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Using Lemma 6.8 we know that the map $\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M - id$ is a surjective homomorphism acting on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M$, therefore the last term vanishes and we have exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_R(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_R(M') \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_R(M'') \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus the functor $\mathbb{V}_R$ is exact. $\square$

Similarly for an étale φ_q -module M , it follows from [2, Proposition 2.1.26] that $\mathbb{V}_R(M)$ is finitely generated over R and the homomorphism of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur}$ -modules

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_R \mathbb{V}_R(M) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M$$

is an isomorphism. The next theorem shows the equivalence of categories between $\mathbf{Rep}_R(G_E)$ and $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q, \acute{e}t}$.

Theorem 6.10. *The functor*

$$\mathbb{D}_R : \mathbf{Rep}_R(G_E) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q, \acute{e}t}$$

is an equivalence of categories, with quasi- inverse functor

$$\mathbb{V}_R : \mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q, \acute{e}t} \rightarrow \mathbf{Rep}_R(G_E).$$

Proof. It is enough to construct functorial isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{V}_R(\mathbb{D}_R(V)) \rightarrow V$$

and

$$\mathbb{D}_R(\mathbb{V}_R(M)) \rightarrow M$$

for an R -representation V of G_E and étale φ_q -module M over $\mathcal{O}_R$ respectively. By [2, Proposition 2.1.14.], we have an isomorphism of G_E -modules

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} \mathbb{D}_R(V) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_R V.$$

On taking $\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M$ invariant, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{V}_R(\mathbb{D}_R(V)) \rightarrow (\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_R V)^{\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M = id}.$$

Since the action of $\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M$ on V is trivial so there is map

$$V \rightarrow (\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_R V)^{\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M = id}.$$

For finite length modules the above map is an isomorphism. By taking the inverse limits the map will be an isomorphism for any V . Therefore we have the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{V}_R(\mathbb{D}_R(V)) \rightarrow V.$$

Similarly we can show that the map

$$M \rightarrow (\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M)^{G_E}$$

is an isomorphism. Moreover, we have the isomorphism

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_R \mathbb{V}_R(M) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M$$

Then taking G_E -invariant we have

$$\mathbb{D}_R(\mathbb{V}_R(M)) = (\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_R \mathbb{V}_R(M))^{G_E} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_R^{ur} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M)^{G_E}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{D}_R(\mathbb{V}_R(M)) \rightarrow M$$

is an isomorphism and this proves the theorem. $\square$

Remark 6.11. The functors $\mathbb{D}_R$ and $\mathbb{V}_R$ are compatible with the tensor product.

6.2. The Characteristic Zero Case. Let K be a local field of characteristic 0. We recall from section 3 that the ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the π -adic completion of $\mathcal{O}_K[[u]][\frac{1}{u}]$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$ is the maximal integral unramified extension of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$. The ring $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}}$ is the π -adic completion of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$.

Let V be a finite-dimensional R -representation of G_K . Then

$$\mathbb{D}_R(V) = (\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_R V)^{H_K} = (\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_R V)^{G_E}$$

is a φ_q -module over $\mathcal{O}_R$. The action of G_K on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_R V$ induces a semi linear action of $G_K/H_K = \Gamma_{LT} = \text{Gal}(K_{\infty}/K)$ on $\mathbb{D}_R(V)$. We are thus led to introduce the category of (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules over K . Objects in this category are φ_q -modules equipped with an $\mathcal{O}_R$ -semi linear action of Γ_{LT} commuting with φ . We say that a (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -module is étale if its underlying φ_q -module is étale.

Write $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \text{ét}}$ for the category of étale (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules over $\mathcal{O}_R$.

Then $\mathbb{D}_R$ yields a functor from the category of R -linear representations of G_K to the category of (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules over $\mathcal{O}_R$.

If M is a (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -module, then consider the G_K -representation:

$$\mathbb{V}_R(M) = (\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M)^{\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M = \text{id}}.$$

Here G_K acts on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}}$ as before and acts via Γ_{LT} on M . The diagonal action on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_R^{ur}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_R} M$ is $\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_M$ -equivariant, it induces a G_K action on $\mathbb{V}_R(M)$.

If V is an R -representation of G_K , there is a canonical R -linear homomorphism of representations of G_K ,

$$V \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_R(\mathbb{D}_R(V)).$$

By Theorem 6.10 this is an isomorphism when restricted to H_K , it must be an isomorphism of G_K -representations. Similarly, if M is an étale (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -module, the canonical homomorphism of (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules

$$M \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_R(\mathbb{V}_R(M))$$

is an isomorphism. Indeed the underlying map of φ_q -modules is an isomorphism using Theorem 6.10 and this proves the following theorem.

Theorem 6.12. *The functor $\mathbb{D}_R$ yields an equivalence of categories between $\mathbf{Rep}_R(G_K)$ the category of R -linear representations of G_K and $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \text{ét}}$ the category of étale (φ_q, Γ_{LT}) -modules. The functor $\mathbb{V}_R$ is a quasi-inverse functor.*

7. GALOIS COHOMOLOGY OVER THE COEFFICIENT RING

In this section we give a generalization of Theorem 3.16 to the case of coefficient ring. For this, first we extend the functor $\mathbb{D}_R$ to the category $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathfrak{m}_R\text{-tor}}^{\text{dis}}(G_K)$ of discrete $\mathfrak{m}_R$ -primary abelian groups with continuous action of G_K . Then for any $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathfrak{m}_R\text{-tors}}^{\text{dis}}(G_K)$, $\mathbb{D}_R(V)$ is an object into the category $\varinjlim \mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \text{ét}, \text{tor}}$ of injective limits of $\mathfrak{m}_R$ -power torsion objects in $\mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \text{ét}}$. Also the functor $\mathbb{V}_R$ commutes with the direct limits so we have the following result.

Theorem 7.1. *The functor $\mathbb{D}_R$ and $\mathbb{V}_R$ are quasi-inverse equivalences of categories between $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathfrak{m}_R\text{-tor}}^{\text{dis}}(G_K)$ and $\varinjlim \mathbf{Mod}_{/\mathcal{O}_R}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma_{LT}, \text{ét}, \text{tor}}$.*

Theorem 7.2. *For any $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathfrak{m}_R\text{-tor}}^{\text{dis}}(G_K)$, we have a natural isomorphism*

$$H^i(G_K, V) \cong \mathcal{H}^i \Phi \Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_R(V)) \text{ for all } i \geq 0.$$

Proof. If V is a finite abelian $\mathfrak{m}_R$ -group then $\mathfrak{m}_R^n V = 0$ for some n . Then by using Lemma 6.5, we have $\mathbb{D}_{LT}(V) \cong \mathbb{D}_R(V)$ as an $\mathcal{O}_K$ -modules. Then by Theorem 3.16, we have

$$H^i(G_K, V) \cong \mathcal{H}^i \Phi \Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_R(V)) \text{ for all } i \geq 0.$$

Since the functors $\mathcal{H}^i \Phi \Gamma_{LT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_R(-))$ and $H^i(G_K, -)$ commute with the direct limits therefore the general case follows by taking the direct limits. $\square$

Remark 7.3. It is possible to extend Theorem 4.1 to the case of coefficient ring and using that we can prove that for any $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathfrak{m}_R\text{-tor}}^{\text{dis}}(G_K)$

$$H^i(G_K, V) \cong \mathcal{H}^i \Phi \Gamma_{LT, FT}^\bullet(\mathbb{D}_R(V)) \text{ for all } i \geq 0$$

which is a generalization of Theorem 4.8 over the coefficient rings.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Colmez: *Espaces de Banach de dimension finie*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 1,331-439 (2002). 4
- [2] J. Dee, $\Phi - \Gamma$ -modules for families of Galois representations, Journal of Algebra 235 (2001), 636-664. 2, 15, 16, 17, 19, 21
- [3] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Eléments de géométrie algébrique* 0_{IV}, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. 20 (1964). 16
- [4] J.-M. Fontaine and Y. Ouyang, “*Theory of p-adic Galois Representations*”, Springer. <https://www.math.u-psud.fr/~fontaine/galoisrep.pdf>. 17
- [5] J.-M. Fontaine, *Représentations p-adiques des corps locaux, I*, in “The Grothendieck Festschrift”, pp. 249-310, Birkhäuser, Basel, (1990). 1, 2, 20
- [6] L. Herr, *Sur la cohomologie Galoisienne des corps p-adiques*, Bull. Soc. math. France 126 (1998), no. 4, 563-600. 1, 2, 8
- [7] L. Herr, $\Phi - \Gamma$ -modules and Galois cohomology, Invitation to higher local fields (Münster, 1999), 263-272, Geom. Topol. Monogr., 3, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2000. 11
- [8] M. Kisin and W. Ren, *Galois Representations and Lubin-Tate Groups*, Doc. Math. 14 (2009), 441-461. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
- [9] H. Matsumura, “*Commutative Ring Theory*”, Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid. Second edition. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 8. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989. xiv+320 pp. ISBN: 0-521-36764-6 13-01 16
- [10] B. Mazur, *Deformation theory of Galois Representations*, in “*Modular Forms and Fermat’s Last Theorem*”, pp. 243-313, Springer, New York, 1997. 15
- [11] J. Neukirch, “*Class Field Theory*”. Class field theory. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 280. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. viii+140 pp. ISBN: 3-540-15251-2 3, 4
- [12] A. Pal and G. Zábrádi, *Cohomology and overconvergence for representations of powers of Galois groups*, to appear in J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, arXiv:1705.03786. 8
- [13] J. J. Rotman, “*An Introduction to Homological Algebra*”, Second edition. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2009. xiv+709 pp. ISBN: 978-0-387-24527-0 8
- [14] P. Schneider, *Galois representations and (φ, Γ) -modules*, Lecture Notes, Münster 2015, <http://wwwmath.uni-muenster.de/u/schneider/publ/lectnotes/index.html> 4
- [15] C. Weibel, “*An Introduction to Homological Algebra*”, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 38. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. xiv+450 pp. ISBN: 0-521-43500-5; 0-521-55987-1
- [16] J.-P. Wintenberger, *Le corps des normes de certaines extensions infinies des corps locaux; applications*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 16 (1983) 59-89. 1, 11

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH (IISER) MOHALI, KNOWLEDGE CITY, SECTOR 81, MANAULI, SAS NAGAR, PUNJAB, 140306, INDIA.

E-mail address: aribam@iisermohali.ac.in

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH (IISER) MOHALI, KNOWLEDGE CITY, SECTOR 81, MANAULI, SAS NAGAR, PUNJAB, 140306, INDIA.

E-mail address: `nehakwatra@iisermohali.ac.in`