

Polygons of Petrović and Fine, algebraic ODEs, and contemporary mathematics

Vladimir Dragović¹, Irina Goryuchkina²

Abstract

Here, we study the genesis and evolution of geometric ideas and techniques in investigations of movable singularities of algebraic ordinary differential equations. This leads us to the work of Mihailo Petrović on algebraic differential equations and in particular his geometric ideas captured in his polygon method from the last years of the XIXth century, which have been left completely unnoticed by the experts. This concept, also developed in a bit a different direction and independently by Henry Fine, generalizes the famous Newton-Puiseux polygonal method and applies to algebraic ODEs rather than algebraic equations. Although remarkable, the Petrović legacy has been practically neglected in the modern literature, while the situation is less severe in the case of results of Fine. Thus, we study the development of the ideas of Petrović and Fine and their places in contemporary mathematics.

MSC2010: 34M35, 01A55, 34M25, 34M30.

Key words: algebraic ODEs, Petrović polygons, Fine polygons, movable poles, movable zeros.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Mihailo Petrović	2
1.2	Henry Fine	4
2	Historic context: the 1880's	6
3	Petrović polygons	9
3.1	Generalized homogeneity and some limitations concerning higher order ODEs	15
4	Fine polygons	16
5	Further development of the methods of polygons of Petrović and Fine	17
6	On movable singularities of algebraic ODEs of the first order	18
7	On single-valued solutions of algebraic ODEs of the first order explicitly resolved w.r.t. the derivative. Generalized Riccati equations	22

¹Department of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Texas at Dallas, 800 West Campbell Road, Richardson TX 75080, USA. Mathematical Institute SANU, Kneza Mihaila 36, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia. E-mail: Vladimir.Dragovic@utdallas.edu

²Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics of RAS, Moscow, Russia. E-mail: igoryuchkina@gmail.com

8	On single-valued transcendental solutions of binomial ODEs of the first order	23
9	About solutions with fixed singular points of binomial ODEs of the first order	24
10	On singularities of algebraic ODEs of higher orders	25
10.1	Instead of a conclusion	27

1 Introduction

Here, we study the genesis and evolution of geometric ideas and techniques related to movable singularities of ordinary differential equations (ODEs). This leads us to the work of Mihailo Petrović on algebraic differential equations and in particular his geometric ideas captured in his polygonal method from the last years of the XIXth century, which have been left completely unnoticed by experts. This concept, also developed independently by Henry Fine in a bit different direction, generalizes the famous Newton-Puiseux polygonal method ([61],[21], [75], see also contemporary sources like [6, 33, 46] and references therein) and applies to algebraic ODEs rather than algebraic equations. Mihailo Petrović (1868-1943) was an extraordinary person and the leading Serbian mathematician of his time. His results are, despite their significance, practically unknown to mathematicians nowadays. The situation is less severe with Fine's results. Thus, we emphasize here the development of the ideas of Petrović and Fine from the point of view of contemporary mathematics.

In its essence, this is a story about two outstanding individuals, Henry Fine and Mihailo Petrović, and their fundamental contributions to the development from the point of view of modern mathematics of an important branch of analytic theory of differential equations. Along with doing science, both made transformational efforts in elevating of the mathematical research in their native countries to a remarkable new level. At the same time both left the deepest trace in the development of their own academic institutions at the moments of their transformation from a local college to a renewed university: Fine to US mathematics and Princeton University and Petrović to Serbian mathematics and the University of Belgrade. The list of striking similarities between the two scientists is not even closely exhausted here. Both mathematicians were sons of a theologian. Both were in love with their own two beautiful rivers. Both actively enjoyed music playing their favorite instruments. Both went abroad, to Western Europe, to get top mathematicians of their time as mentors to do their PhD theses. And both had a state official of the highest rank in their native countries as the closest friend. These friendships heavily shaped their lives. Fine published five scientific papers and had no known students. Petrović published more than 300 papers and had more than 800 scientific decedents. It seems surprisingly that the two did not know each other and did not know about each other's work.

1.1 Mihailo Petrović

Mihailo Petrović defended his PhD thesis at the Sorbonne in 1894. His advisors were Charles Hermite and Emil Picard. During his studies in Paris, he learned a lot from Paul Painlevé and Julius Tannery and they become friends later. He was the founding father of the modern Serbian mathematical school and one of the first eight full professors of the newly formed University of Belgrade. At the same time he was a world traveler who visited both North and South Poles and a very talented travel writer. Petrović also received many awards as an inventor, including the gold medal at the World

Exposition in Paris 1900. His nickname Alas referred to his second profession, a fisherman on the Sava and the Danube rivers. He was even more proud of his fisherman's achievements than any others, and Alas became an integral part of his full name. He played violin and founded a music band called Suz. Professor Petrović was a member of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, a corresponding member of the Yugoslav Academy of Sciences and Arts, and a foreign member of several other academies. He was invited speaker at five international congresses of mathematicians, Rome 1908, Cambridge 1912, Toronto 1924, Bologna 1928, and Zurich 1932. Professor Petrović was also the personal teacher and mentor of the then crown prince George of Serbia, with whom he remained friends for life, even when George abdicated in favor of his younger brother and later king, Alexander I of Yugoslavia (see [45]). Professor Petrović's legacy included 11 PhD students and almost 900 PhD students of his PhD students and their students. He was a veteran of Balkan wars and the First World War. The Serbian and later Yugoslav army used his cryptography works for many years. In 1941, when the Second World War arrived in his country, he got mobilized as a reserve officer. After the Axis powers occupied his country, Petrović ended as a prisoner of war in Nuremberg, at the age of 73. The former crown prince George made a plea to his aunt, the queen Elena of Italy, based on Petrović's illness. Thus, Petrović got released from the prisoners camp and soon died in Belgrade, his place of birth.

A street in the downtown, an elementary school, a high-school, and a fish-restaurant in Belgrade are named after Mihailo Petrović Alas.

The main goal of this paper is to introduce modern readers to the results of Mihailo Petrović and to relate them to the results of modern theory of analytic differential equations. The main source of Petrović's results for us is his doctoral dissertation [65]. It was written in French in 1894. (It was reprinted along with a translation in Serbian, edited by Academician Bogoljub Stanković in Volume 1 of [68] in 1999.) The dissertation consists of two parts. The first part of the thesis mostly presents results related to the first order algebraic ODEs, while the second part is related to algebraic ODEs of higher orders. At the beginning of the first part of the thesis, Petrović introduces a new method. We are going to call it *the method of Petrović polygons*. This method is suitable to study analytic properties of solutions of algebraic ODEs in a neighborhood of the nonsingular points of the equation. The method of Petrović polygons is a certain modification of the method of Newton-Puiseux, applicable to the study of solutions to algebraic equations. Further on, Petrović applies his method to study zeros and singularities of algebraic ODEs of the first order. He formulates and proves necessary and sufficient conditions for the non-existence of movable critical points of solutions (see Theorem 8 below). Contrary to the necessary and sufficient conditions for the non-existence of movable critical points of solutions of algebraic ODEs of the celebrated L. Fuchs Theorem [30] (provided below as Theorem 5), the conditions of Petrović's Theorem 8 do not request either the computation of solutions of the discriminant equation or to have the equation resolved with respect to the derivative. The conditions of Petrović's Theorem 8 can be checked easily and effectively by a simple constructing of a geometric figure corresponding to the given equation. The first part of the dissertation also contains the theorems which provide a classification of rational, first order ODEs explicitly resolved with respect to the derivative which have uniform (single-valued) solutions (see Petrović's Theorem 11 below). Later on, these results of Petrović were essentially improved by J. Malmquist [54] (see Theorem 13 below). In addition, in the first part of the thesis, the class of binomial ODEs of the first order is studied and the equations with solutions without movable singular points are described. Also, Petrović characterizes those binomial equations which possess uniform (single-valued) solutions. The results of Petrović are very similar to those obtained by K. Yosida more than 30 years later [83] (see Theorem 18 below). The second part

of the dissertation is devoted to the applications of the polygon method in the study of zeros and singularities of the algebraic higher order ODEs. We will present some of the results related to higher order ODEs a bit later (see Section 10 and Theorems 20 and 21).

Mihailo Petrović is one of the most respected and influential mathematicians in Serbia. Petrović's collected works in 15 volumes were published in 1999 [68]. The year 2018 was the Year of Mihailo Petrović in Serbia on the occasion of his 150th anniversary. A monograph was published by the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts to celebrate his life and scientific results (see [69]). Nevertheless, it happened that none of his students and followers in Serbia continued to develop further the geometric ideas from his PhD thesis. The first two out of eleven of his direct PhD students, Dr Mladen Berić and Dr Sima Marković were involved in the topics closely related to their mentor's dissertation, see [2] and [58]. However, the life produced unexpected turns: Dr Berić was forced to leave the University of Belgrade at the beginning of 1920s due to the issues related to his personal life. On the other hand, Dr Marković became the first secretary of the Yugoslav Communist Party. When the Communist Party was prohibited by law in 1920, Marković lost his position at the University of Belgrade immediately after. (Later on he came into a dispute with the Party. Finally he was executed after a quick trial in Moscow in 1939, to be rehabilitated in 1958.) These extraordinary circumstances can at least partially explain the lack of continuity within the school founded by Petrović in the field of analytic theory of differential equations, although continuity existed in many other directions pursued by later students of Petrović, like Pejović, Mitrinović, Kašanin, or Karamata. Serbian mathematicians who have been active nowadays in the field of analytic theory of differential equations (see, for example, [23, 24, 43, 44]) neither methodologically nor according to the mathematical genealogy belong to the Petrović school. Certainly, some of the Petrović's results in that field were quite well known at the beginning of the XXth century. Nevertheless, neither Golubev, who extensively used some other results from Petrović's thesis in his famous book [34], nor any other mathematician who used later analogous geometric methods in the study of the solutions of the algebraic ODEs, ever quoted Petrović's foundational results in this field (see [14], [37], [7]).

1.2 Henry Fine

It should be pointed out that, a couple of years prior to Petrović, the American mathematician Henry Fine invented another modification of the Newton-Puiseux method for studying the formal solutions of algebraic ODEs [28]. Let us notice that although the Fine construction was similar to the one of Petrović, they were not identical and the questions they were considering were very much different. As we have said, it seems that Petrović and Fine didn't know about each others results. At the end of the XXth century, the Fine method was developed further by J. Cano [14], [13]. As of today, contemporary methods based on different modification of the Newton-Puiseux polygonal method allow wide classes of formal solutions to be computed for analytic differential equations and their systems [7], and to prove their convergence and analysis of the rate of growth of terms of formal series [14], [53], [76], [80], which is needed to know in the selection of summation methods.

Henry Burchard Fine (1858-1928) was a dean of faculty and the first and only dean of the departments of science at Princeton. He was one of a few who did most to help Princeton develop from a college into a university. He made Princeton a leading center for mathematics and fostered the growth of creative work in other branches of science as well. Professor Oswald Veblen, in his memorial article [82] described Fine's contribution on the nationwide scale in his opening sentence by saying that

“Dean Fine was one of the group of men who carried American mathematics forward from a state of approximate nullity to one verging on parity with the European nations.”

Fine grew up between two major rivers, the St. Lawrence and the Mississippi river and was always astonished by them. “He played the flute in the college orchestra, rowed on one of the crews, and served for three years as an editor of the *Princetonian*, where he began a life-long friendship with Woodrow Wilson (in) 1879, whom he succeeded as managing editor.” [51]

He traveled to Leipzig, Germany, in 1884 to attend lectures by Klein, Carl Neumann and others and to prepare his doctoral dissertation. The topic was suggested by Study, and approved by Klein. Fine defended his dissertation “On the singularities of curves of double curvature” in May 1885 at the University of Leipzig. Upon his return from Germany, Fine was appointed assistant professor at Princeton. Despite great promise as a research mathematician, Fine moved very soon into other areas of academic life. He mainly devoted his time to teaching, administration, and the logical exposition of elementary mathematics.

His first research paper came out of his thesis, had the same title “On the singularities of curves of double curvature” and appeared in the *American Journal of Mathematics* in 1886. In the following year he published a generalization of these results to n dimensions in the same journal. Two further papers “On the functions defined by differential equations with an extension of the Puiseux polygon construction to these equations”, and “Singular solutions of ordinary differential equations” from 1889 and 1890 respectively, are of the utmost importance for our current presentation (see [28, 29]). His last research publication appeared in 1917: “On Newton’s method of approximation”.

Fine was one of the founders of the American Mathematical Society. He served as the AMS president in 1911 and 1912.

Fine wrote several books on elementary mathematics, including “Number system of algebra treated theoretically and historically”, “A college algebra”, “Coordinate geometry”, and “Calculus”.

We quote a few more very illustrative parts from [51]: “In 1903, shortly after he became president of the University, Wilson appointed Fine dean of the faculty, and Fine’s energies were thereafter devoted chiefly to university administration. He worked shoulder to shoulder with Wilson in improving the curriculum and strengthening the faculty, and bore the onus of the student dismissals made inevitable by the raising of academic standards. In the controversies over the quad plan and the graduate college, Fine supported Wilson completely. After Wilson resigned to run for governor of New Jersey in 1910, Fine, as dean of the faculty, carried the chief burden of the university administration during an interregnum of two years; and when the trustees elected John Grier Hibben as Wilson’s successor, Fine, who many had thought would receive the election, magnanimously pledged Hibben his wholehearted support. ‘He was singularly free from petty prejudices and always had the courage of his convictions,’ Hibben later recalled. ‘Every word and act was absolutely in character, and he was completely dependable in every emergency.’...After his election as president of the United States, Wilson urged Fine to accept appointment as Ambassador to Germany and later as a member of the Federal Reserve Board, but Fine declined both appointments, saying quite simply that he preferred to remain at Princeton as a professor of mathematics. Fine also declined a call to the presidency of Johns Hopkins University and several to the presidency of Massachusetts Institute of Technology....In the summer of 1928, he went to Europe, where he revisited old scenes and old friends, and recovered to some extent, in the distractions of travel, from the sorrows he had suffered in the recent death of his wife and the earlier deaths of two of his three children. Professor Veblen who talked with him soon after

his return, reported later that Fine ‘spoke with humorous appreciation of the change he had observed in the attitude of European mathematicians toward their American colleagues and with pride of the esteem in which he had found his own department to be held.’

Tall and erect, Dean Fine was a familiar figure on his bicycle, which he rode to and from classes and used for long rides in the country. While riding his bicycle on the way to visit his brother at the Princeton Preparatory School late one December afternoon, he was struck from behind by an automobile whose driver had failed to see him in the uncertain light of dusk. He died the next morning...”

The Mathematics Department of the Princeton University is housed in the Fine Hall, the building named after its first chairman.

2 Historic context: the 1880’s

Across the entire XIXth century there was a significant and constant attention to the study of analytic differential equations and their classification. The French school with Cauchy, Liouville, Picard, Hermite, Briot, Bouquet, Poincaré, and Painlevé gave tremendous contributions. Of course, among those who gave a key contribution to the analytic theory of differential equations were scientists from other countries as well, such as Gauss, Riemann, Weierstrass, L. Fuchs, and Kowalevski. Nevertheless, the center of the attention to analytic theory of differential equations was indeed in France. And that was definitely the case in 1880’s, when French mathematics contributed greatly to further development of complex analysis and to applications of its methods to the study of differential equations. So called algebraic differential equations and systems of such equations occupied a special place. Let us recall that an algebraic ODE has the following form

$$f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n)}) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^j \varphi_i(x) y^{m_{0i}} (y')^{m_{1i}} \dots (y^{(n)})^{m_{ni}}, \quad (2)$$

$j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $m_{0i}, \dots, m_{ni} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and φ_i – are algebraic or analytic functions.

Let us also recall the main notions of the analytic theory of differential equations (see for example [34]), which are necessary to formulate the results of Petrović and his predecessors.

The points where a given solution of the equation (1) is not analytic or it is not defined are called *the singular points of the solution*. Simple examples of singular points of a solution are its poles, i.e. the points such that in their punctured neighborhoods the solution is presented by Laurent series with finite principal parts. Paul Painlevé suggested to classifying singular points of a function according to the number of values it takes while going around the singular points. This led to the division of singular points on *critical* and *noncritical* points. If the function changes its values while going around a singular point, the singular point is *critical*. Examples: the point $x = 0$ is a critical point for the function $\sqrt{x}$ and for the function $\ln x$. If, on the contrary, a function does not change its value while going around a singular point, the singular point is called *noncritical*. Examples: the point $x = 0$ is a noncritical singular point for functions $1/x$ and $e^{1/x}$. If going around a critical singular point $x = a$, the function takes a finitely many values and has a limit in that point (as $x \rightarrow a$ inside any sector with the vertex in a and with a finite angle), then such a point is either an algebraic critical point

or a critical pole. It is called *an algebraic critical point* if in its neighborhood the function has an expansion of the form

$$y = c_0 + c_1(x - a)^{1/s} + c_2(x - a)^{2/s} + \dots$$

(i.e. the limit is finite). The point $x = a$ is called *a critical pole*, if in a punctured neighborhood of the point, the function has an expansion of the form:

$$y = c_{-m}(x - a)^{-m/s} + \dots + c_{-1}(x - a)^{-1/s} + c_0 + c_1(x - a)^{1/s} + \dots$$

(i.e. the limit is infinite). The critical algebraic points, poles, and critical poles form the set of *algebraic singular points*. The singular points which do not fall in any of the above categories are called *nonalgebraic critical points* and are divided on essential singular points and transcendental ones. If there exist paths tending to a critical point $x = a$ such that the function does not have a defined limit along them, then the point $x = a$ is called *an essential singular point*, i.e. the set of the limit values does not consist of a single point when approaching the singular point. For example $x = 0$ is an essential singular point for the function $\sin(1/x)$. If, on the contrary, the function tends to a certain value when approaching a nonalgebraic singular point $x = a$, i.e. the domain of indeterminacy consists of a single point, then the singular point is called *transcendental critical point*. For example, $x = 0$ is a transcendental critical point of the function $\ln x$. The same classification is applicable not only to isolated singular points, but equally applies to singular points which form singular lines.

It is well known that for the linear ODEs the singular points of the solutions form a subset of the set of singular points of the coefficients of the equations. For nonlinear ODEs, the points where the coefficients $\varphi_i(x)$ of the equation (1) are zero or undefined, as a rule, are singular points of its solutions. We will call such points *the singular points of the equation*. But it is important to stress that not only the singular points of a nonlinear ODE can be singular points of its solutions. This property of nonlinear ODEs led L. Fuchs to divide the singular points of the solutions of a nonlinear ODE into movable and fixed singular points. A *fixed singular point of a solution* of the equation (1) is such its singular point whose position does not depend on initial data, which determine the solution, i.e. such a singular point is a common singular point for n -parametric family of solutions, or in yet another words, the common singular point for the general solution (the general solution is also called the integral) of the equation. Example: the point $x = 0$ is a fixed singular point of the general solution $y = (C - \ln x)^2$, where C is an arbitrary constant corresponding to the initial data, for the equation $x^2 y'^2 - 4y = 0$; the point $x = 0$ is a singular point of the last equation. A *movable singular point of a solution* is such an its singular point, whose position depends of the constants of integration. For example, $x = -C$ where C is an arbitrary constant, is a movable singular point of the solution $y = 1/(x + C)$ of the equation $y' + y^2 = 0$. The last equation does not have singular points.

For nonlinear ODEs of the first order, it can be shown that fixed singular points are always singular points of the equation, see for example Ch. 1.8 of [34].

As it has already been said, Petrović was concerned about the conditions on algebraic ODEs, under which their solutions would have or would not have movable singular points. He was also studying the nature of solutions, whether they are single-valued, rational, or elliptic functions. The importance of movable critical points lies in the fact that their presence prevents the possibility for the given differential equation to construct a unique Riemann surface, which could serve as the domain for all the solutions of the given equation as uniform (single-valued) functions. Now we are going to list the results which had been widely known at the moment when Petrović arrived in Paris in 1889 to pursue his graduate education and which had systematically been used in his doctoral dissertation.

Theorem 1 (Small Picard Theorem, [70], [71], [81]) *Outside the image of any nonconstant entire function there could be at most one complex number.*

Theorem 2 (Big Picard Theorem, meromorphic version, [70], [71], [81]) *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Riemann surface and $\mathcal{S}$ the Riemann sphere, and $w \in \mathcal{M}$ an arbitrary point. Let $f : \mathcal{M} \setminus \{w\} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be a holomorphic function with an essential singularity at the point w . Then, all points on the sphere $\mathcal{S}$ except at most two have infinitely many inverse images.*

Let us mention some important results about the first order algebraic differential equations, used frequently by Petrović.

Theorem 3 (Hermite, [34], [38]) *Given an algebraic function P . If solutions of the equation $P(y, y') = 0$ do not have movable critical points, then the genus of the curve $P(x, y) = 0$ is equal either 0 or 1. In such a case the solutions are either rational functions or could be rationally expressed through exponential and elliptic functions.*

Theorem 4 (H. Poincaré, L. Fuchs, 1884-5, [30], [34], [74]) *Algebraic differential equations of the first order without movable critical points reduce to linear, Riccati (see (17)), or Weierstrass equations (see (28)).*

In 1884 Lazarus Fuchs provided necessary conditions of absence of movable critical points of solutions of algebraic ODEs of the first order. Now we are going to formulate the corresponding Theorem which is going to include the sufficient part as well. That sufficient part had been proven as a corollary of a 1887 result of Painlevé which is also going to be stated a paragraphs below as Theorem 6. Let

$$F(x, y, y') = A_s(x, y)(y')^s + A_{s-1}(x, y)(y')^{s-1} + \dots + A_0(x, y), \quad (3)$$

where $A_s, \dots, A_0$ is a polynomial in y and analytic in x . The discriminant equation $D(x, y) = 0$ is the result of the elimination of y' from the equations $F(x, y, y') = 0$ and $\frac{\partial F(x, y, y')}{\partial y'} = 0$.

Theorem 5 (L. Fuchs, 1884-5, [30], [34]) *The solutions of the equation $F(x, y, y') = 0$, where F is defined in (3), don't have movable critical points if and only if:*

- The coefficient $A_s(x, y)$ does not depend on y .
- The degree of each of the polynomials $A_k(x, y)$ with respect to y does not exceed $2k$.
- The solutions $\phi(x)$ of the discriminant equation $D(x, y) = 0$ have to be integrals of the given equation.
- The expansion of y' in a domain of the solutions $(x_0, \phi(x_0))$ of the discriminant equation has the form

$$y' = \phi'(x_0) + b_k(x, x_0)(y - y_0)^{k/m} + b_{k+1}(x, x_0)(y - y_0)^{(k+1)/m} + \dots, \quad k \geq m - 1.$$

As it has been mentioned in the Introduction, P. Painlevé in his doctoral dissertation [62] in 1887 formulated and proved a remarkable result, which inspired many to further investigations of solutions of algebraic ODEs.

Theorem 6 (P. Painlevé, 1887, [34], [62]) *Differential equations of the first order, algebraic with respect to the unknown function and its derivative, don't possess either movable transcendental critical or movable essential singularities.*

In the process of proving of Theorem 6, Painlevé also verified that the conditions of the L. Fuchs Theorem are also sufficient, as stated above, see Theorem 5. As the last result in this Section, we include a Lemma about a critical point, which was also used by Petrović.

Lemma 1 (Lemma about a critical point, [34]) *Let $f(z_0, w_0) = \infty$ and $1/f(z, w)$ be holomorphic in a neighborhood of the point (z_0, w_0) . Then z_0 is a movable critical algebraic point of the equation $w' = f(w, z)$.*

Let us conclude this Section by mentioning two important papers from 1889, the year when Petrović came to Paris, [49] and [72]. The Kowalevski paper [49] has appeared to become one of the most celebrated papers in the history of mathematics. Kowalevski successfully developed further some of the above ideas and concepts and applied them to the study of a system of algebraic equations, so called Euler-Poisson equations of motion of a heavy rigid body which rotates around a fixed point. Kowalevski investigated the possibility of such a system to have a general solution with poles as only possible movable singularities. In other words, she was looking for the cases where the general solution as a function of complex time is single-valued. As a result, she found what became to be known as the Kowalevski top. She integrated the equations of motion in that case explicitly using genus 2 theta functions and proved that her case indeed satisfied the initial analytic assumption. For these results Kowalevski received the famous Prix Bordin of the French Academy of Science, which was augmented from 3000 francs to 5000 francs. For the second paper on the rotation of a rigid body [50], Kowalevski got a prize of the Swedish Royal Academy. Together with the later work of Painlevé and his students on the second order equations (see Section 10.1), these ideas of Kowalevski laid the foundations of the so-called Kowalevski-Painlevé analysis, which is also known as a test of integrability. With this we conclude a brief description of the scientific atmosphere in which Petrović started the work on his PhD thesis.

3 Petrović polygons

The key preassumption of the main Petrović's construction is that a given point x_0 is a nonsingular point of the equation (1). In such a case, to each term in the sum (2) with the coefficient $\varphi_i(x_0) = \text{const} \neq 0$ one corresponds a point in the *MON* plane, according the following formulae

$$Q_i = (M_i, N_i), \quad M_i = m_{0i} + \dots + m_{ni}, \quad N_i = m_{1i} + 2m_{2i} + \dots + nm_{ni}.$$

It should be noticed that one and the same point in the plane can correspond to one or more terms in the sum (2). Let

$$S = \{Q_i, i = 1 \dots s, s \leq j\}$$

be the set of all points obtained in such a correspondence. We can draw these points in the *MON* plane. In the Petrović dissertation the set S was extended with two segments T_l and T_r which are orthogonal to the axis OM and connect the leftmost and the rightmost point of the set S respectively with their projections to the OM axis. The boundary of the convex hull of the set $S \cup T_l \cup T_r$ is a polygon. Both that polygon and the concave part of the boundary of the convex hull of the set S will be called *the Petrović polygon*. We will denote the Petrović polygon as $\mathcal{N}$. Let us point out

that neither the vertical sides nor the horizontal side which lies at the OM -axis played any role in the applications of Petrović method. They don't correspond to any solution of the equation and in that sense their inclusion can be treated as artificial and unnecessary. However, they were included in Petrović's original definition *not only* by pure formal or aesthetic reasons. They are indeed needed for methodological reasons as well, to allow a precise and elegant derivation of the main properties of the Petrović polygons. Thus, in our considerations, we will at the beginning, use the polygon as Petrović did, but later on in applications and computations, for simplicity we will not add these vertical segments any more and we will operate with the concave part of the convex hull of the set S only. In our further deliberations, the Petrović polygon is an *irregular zig-zag line*. It is important to stress that, nevertheless, **the conclusions from the considerations of the zig-zag line are identical to those coming from the entire polygon**. (Let us recall that Newton himself used irregular zig-zag lines, not polygons, [61], see also [6, 33].)

Let the equation (1) have a solution $y = y(x)$ which, in a neighborhood of a point $x = x_0$, where x_0 is an arbitrary constant distinct from the singular points of the equation, can be presented in the form of a power series with fractional exponents, i.e. in a form of the Puiseux series:

$$y = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k (x - x_0)^{(l+k)\sigma}, \quad (4)$$

$\sigma \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\sigma > 0$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $c_0 \neq 0$. The main idea of Petrović was to use the polygon $\mathcal{N}$ to keep those terms of the equation (1) (called *the leading terms*) which form an equation (called *approximate equation*) having $\chi = c_0(x - x_0)^{l\sigma}$ as its solution. Thus χ would be the asymptotic of the solution (4) in a neighborhood of the point $x = x_0$. In that way we would effectively find such an asymptotic. As we see, these ideas of Petrović resemble the main idea behind the Newton - Puiseux polygons in finding the asymptotics of solutions of algebraic equations. We are going to describe the ideas of Petrović in details, paying attention to the specifics of the case of ODEs.

Let us consider a formal power series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{c}_k (x - x_0)^{(l+k)\sigma}, \quad (5)$$

where $\hat{c}_k$ are undetermined coefficients. By substituting the last expression into the equation (1) and expanding the result of substitution in the series in the neighbourhood of the point $x = x_0$, we are getting the series with the terms of the form

$$C_k(\hat{c}_0, \dots, \hat{c}_k)(x - x_0)^{\gamma_\lambda + k\sigma}. \quad (6)$$

Here C_k are polynomials of their arguments, and

$$\gamma_\lambda = \min_{i=1, \dots, s} \langle R_0, Q_i \rangle,$$

the vector R_0 is given with $R_0 = (\lambda, -1)$, $\lambda = l\sigma$ and $\langle \rangle$ denotes the dot product. If the formal series (5) satisfies the equation (1), then all the coefficients C_k in (6) should be zero. Consequently, if the solution of the equation (1) exists in the form (4), then by solving the equations $C_k(\hat{c}_0, \dots, \hat{c}_k) = 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we are getting the coefficients $c_k = \hat{c}_k$ for which the series (5) gives the solution (4). The leading terms of the equation (1) are

$$\varphi_i(x_0) y^{m_{0i}} \dots (y^{(n)})^{m_{ni}}.$$

They correspond to the points Q_i , for which the dot product is *minimal*:

$$\langle R_0, Q_i \rangle = \langle (\lambda, -1), (M_i, N_i) \rangle = \lambda M_i - N_i = \gamma_\lambda.$$

Consider the line $\lambda M - N = \alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, in the MON plane. When the number α increases, the line $\lambda M - N = \alpha$ moves along the vector R_0 , directed inside the polygon. Thus, for the points Q_i inside the polygon $\mathcal{N}$ there is the relation for the dot product

$$\langle R_0, Q_i \rangle > \gamma_\lambda,$$

and its minimum attains at the boundary of the polygon: for the points Q_i lying at the boundary of the polygon there is the following relation for the dot product

$$\langle R_0, Q_i \rangle = \gamma_\lambda.$$

A point Q_i , such that $\langle R_0, Q_i \rangle = \gamma_\lambda$, either is a vertex of the polygon or lies on one of its edges. Thus, the leading term of the equation (1) corresponds either to a point which is a vertex of the polygon or to the points lying on an edge of the polygon. It follows from the above constructions that there are finitely many values of λ , for which the minimum of the dot product γ_λ attains on the edges, while there are infinitely many (continuum many) values of λ , for which the minimum of γ_λ attains on vertices. The upper half-plane of the plane MON can be decomposed on rays with the angular coefficients λ and the open angular sectors, containing rays with angular coefficients λ , where λ are all the values between the angular coefficients of the edges meeting at the given vertex, see Figure 1.




Figure 1: Construction of Petrović polygon

Obviously, for any value of λ there is a ray with the angular coefficient λ , which either corresponds to an edge or to a vertex. Thus, if the equation (1) has a solution $y(x)$, represented in a neighborhood of the point $x = x_0$ in the form of the series (4), then this solution has to correspond either to a vertex or to an edge of the polygon. Moreover, if $\lambda < 0$, which means that $x = x_0$ is a pole of $y(x)$, then these values correspond either to edges or vertices of the *right part* of the polygon $\mathcal{N}$. Similarly, if $\lambda > 0$, i.e. $x = x_0$ is a zero of the solution $y(x)$, then corresponding edges and vertices belong to the *left part* of the polygon $\mathcal{N}$.

Definition 1 (Petrović, 1894) *The equation*

$$a_\beta \lambda^\beta + \cdots + a_1 \lambda + a_0 = 0 \quad (9)$$

will be called the characteristic equation of a given vertex.

Obviously, if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the characteristic equation (9) and if it is the exponent of the first term of the expansion of a solution of the given ODE, then the minimum of the dot products $\min_i \langle (\operatorname{Re} \lambda, -1), Q_i \rangle = \gamma_\lambda$ attains only on the vertex Q_1 , i. e.

$$\langle (\operatorname{Re} \lambda, -1), Q_1 \rangle = \gamma_\lambda.$$

For an algebraic ODE of the first order, according to the Painlevé Theorem 6, its solutions could possess only algebraic movable singular points, i.e. every solution $y = y(x)$ in a neighborhood of a nonsingular point $x = x_0$ of the equation presents in a form of a power series (4) in a general case with fractional exponents and uniquely defined coefficients. By using a generalized method of Newton-Puiseux polygons, the method of polygons of Petrović or of Fine, one can completely determine the expansions of the solutions of an algebraic ODE of the first order around a point which is nonsingular for the equation. Because of that, Petrović was able to fully resolve the question about the conditions under which the solutions of an algebraic ODE of the first order have or not have movable zeros or movable poles, see Theorems 8-10.

We should make an important observation. In his studies of algebraic ODEs of the first order, Petrović considered only slanted edges of a polygon. If a considered solution has the initial data $y(x_0) = 0$ or $y(x_0) = \infty$, then, obviously, it corresponds to slanted edges of the Petrović polygon, left or right respectively. The slanted edges have rational angular coefficients. This follows from the fact that the equation is algebraic and thus, all the vertices belong to the integer lattice. This means that the minimum of the dot products

$$\min_i \langle (\lambda, -1), Q_i \rangle = \gamma_\lambda$$

attains on edges only for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$. The vertices and horizontal edges of the Petrović polygon correspond to the solutions of an algebraic ODE with the initial data $y(x_0) = C = \text{const} \neq 0$. In this case, the point $x = x_0$ is not a zero. By making a change of dependent variable $y = C + u$ in the initial equation, and then, by using the method of Petrović polygon to the transformed equation, one can determine the order of the zero of the solution $u = u(x)$, $u(x_0) = 0$.

Let us notice that if $x = x_0$ is not a singular point of a given algebraic ODE of the first order, then it can be:

- either a nonsingular point of the solutions, and in that case there is a one-parametric in C family of holomorphic solutions in a neighborhood of that point; in other words the assumptions of the Cauchy theorem on implicit function are met;
- or a movable pole or a movable algebraic singular point of those solutions; in this case all the coefficients of the corresponding expansions in Laurent or Puiseux series with a finite principal part, are uniquely determined.

Example 1 *Let us consider the equation*

$$f(y', y) = y'^2(y - 1) + 1 = 0. \quad (10)$$

It possesses two general solutions

$$y = \left(\frac{C \pm 3x}{2} \right)^{2/3} + 1.$$

Without calculating the solutions of the equation (10), one can observe that the solutions have no non-movable singular points. This is a consequence of the fact that the coefficients of the equation have no singular points. Moreover, in all the cases when the conditions $f(y'(x_0), y(x_0)) = 0$ and $y(x_0) \neq 1$ are satisfied, the Cauchy theorem on implicit function is applicable. On the other hand, in the case $f(y'(x_0), y(x_0)) = 0$ and $y(x_0) = 1$, the solutions possess movable critical algebraic points $x_0 = \mp C/3$.

Let us investigate the equation (10) by use of the Petrović polygon. The terms $y'^2 y$, $-y'^2$, 1 of the equation (10) correspond to the points $(3, 2)$, $(2, 2)$, $(0, 0)$. The polygon of the equation (10) (see Figure 2a) has two edges: the horizontal one $[(2, 2), (3, 2)]$ and a left-sloped one $[(2, 2), (0, 0)]$ with the angular coefficient equal to 1. There are three vertices: $(3, 2)$, $(2, 2)$, $(0, 0)$.




Figure 2: The Petrović polygons of: a) the equation (10); b) the equation (12).

The vertices $(3, 2)$ and $(2, 2)$ correspond to approximate equations $y'^2 y = 0$ and $y'^2 = 0$ respectively. The approximate equations correspond to the solutions $y = \text{const} \neq 0$, i. e. the exponent is $\lambda = 0$. When we substitute into the equation an expansion of the solution, which begins with a constant, we observe that the minimum of the dot products of the exponents

$$\min_i \langle (\lambda, -1), Q_i \rangle = \min (\langle (0, -1), (3, 2) \rangle, \langle (0, -1), (2, 2) \rangle, \langle (0, -1), (0, 0) \rangle) = -2$$

attains not at a single vertex, $(3, 2)$ or $(2, 2)$ but along the entire horizontal edge $[(2, 2), (3, 2)]$, i. e. $\langle (0, -1), (3, 2) \rangle = -2$ and $\langle (0, -1), (2, 2) \rangle = -2$. Thus, there are no solutions here which would correspond to the vertices. The solution which begins with a constant corresponds to the horizontal edge $[(2, 2), (3, 2)]$ with the approximate equation $y'^2(y - 1) = 0$, which possesses two solutions $y = C_0 = \text{const} \neq 0$ and $y = 1$. By changing the dependent variable $y = C_0 + u$ in the equation (10) we get the equation $u'^2(u + C_0 - 1) + 1 = 0$ with the same polygon as the equation (10). However, there is now an additional condition $u(x_0) = 0$. We should not consider the vertices of the polygon in this new situation because the approximate equations which correspond to them lead to constant solutions, in other words $u(x_0) \neq 0$. Since the expansion of a solution is given in increasing order of powers of $x - x_0$, we are interested in edges with positive slopes only, these are the left-sloped edges. In the new polygon, this is the edge with the slope 1. This edge with $C_0 \neq 1$ corresponds to the approximate equation $u'^2(C_0 - 1) + 1 = 0$ with the solution $u = \pm x / \sqrt{-C_0 + 1}$. Obviously, the solution

$$y = C_0 \pm (x - x_0)/\sqrt{-C_0 + 1} + \dots, \quad C_0 \neq 1, \quad (11)$$

of the equation (10) coincides with the holomorphic solution, according to the Cauchy theorem on implicit function. If we now change the dependent variable $y = 1 + u$, $u(x_0) = 0$ in the equation (10), we come to the equation

$$u'^2 u + 1 = 0. \quad (12)$$

The polygon of this equation consists of only one, slanted edge $[(3, 2), (0, 0)]$ with the positive slope equal to $2/3$, and two vertices $(3, 2)$ and $(0, 0)$ (see Figure 2b). Again, we don't consider the vertices of the new polygon, since they correspond only to solutions of the new equation with expansions with $u(x_0) \neq 0$. The edge $[(3, 2), (0, 0)]$ corresponds to the approximate equation $u'^2 u + 1 = 0$ with the solution $u = \pm(3/2(x - x_0))^{2/3}$. Obviously, with x_0 fixed, we get uniquely determined solution

$$y = 1 \pm (3/2(x - x_0))^{2/3},$$

which is a particular solution of the equation (10). Here the points $x_0 = \mp C/3$ are movable critical algebraic singular points of the solutions. But, they are not zeros of those solutions.

There is one more element of the polygon of the equation (10), which remains to be considered: the slanted edge $[(2, 2), (0, 0)]$ with the angular coefficient 1. This edge corresponds to the approximate equation $-y'^2 + 1 = 0$ with the solution $y = \pm(x - x_0)$. Clearly, the solution $y = \pm(x - x_0) + \dots$ of the equation (10) is holomorphic according to the Cauchy theorem on implicit function and it is a particular solution with $C_0 = 0$ of the family of solutions (11). We conclude this simple example which illustrates the method of investigation of the singularities of the solutions of an algebraic ODE based on the use of the Petrović polygon.

3.1 Generalized homogeneity and some limitations concerning higher order ODEs

Let us recall that an approximate equation is called *generalized homogeneous* in $x - x_0$ (or in y), if it is invariant with respect to the change of $x - x_0$ to $k(x - x_0)$ (of y to ky), $k \in \mathbb{C}$. Approximate equations which are generalized homogeneous often can be solved explicitly. An important feature of the approximate equations, obtained through the Petrović polygons is their *generalized homogeneity*. This means that if there exists an approximate equation

$$h(x_0, y, \dots, y^{(n)}) = 0,$$

corresponding to a slanted edge with the angular coefficient equal to λ , then after the transformation $y = (x - x_0)^\lambda u$ the approximate equation transforms to a new equation $(x - x_0)^\lambda \tilde{h}(x_0, u, (x - x_0)u', \dots, (x - x_0)^n u^{(n)}) = 0$, where $\tilde{h}$ is a polynomial function of its arguments and a generalized homogeneous function in $x - x_0$.

If an edge is horizontal, then the corresponding approximate equation defines a generalized homogeneous function in $x - x_0$. If an edge is vertical, the corresponding equation is a generalized homogeneous in y . An approximate solution which corresponds to a vertex is generalized homogeneous both in $x - x_0$ and in y .

As a benefit from the Painlevé Theorem 6, Petrović did not need to consider a higher-dimensional constructions – the polyhedra of the algebraic ODE of the first order in order to investigate fully the

movable singularities of their solutions. According to the Painlevé Theorem all movable singularities of such equations are algebraic and the planar polygon captures all such singularities. It is very important to stress that Petrović in his dissertation clearly pointed out the limitations of the applications of his polygonal method to the algebraic ODEs of higher orders. He showed that the method of planar polygons could be successfully applied to higher order algebraic ODEs to study some types of movable singularities of the solutions. However, due to the lack of a Painlevé type result for higher order equations, Petrović understood that his method was powerless in proving absence of other types of movable singularities. In other words, the algebraic ODEs starting from order two can have movable singularities which are not algebraic. Moreover, the algebraic ODEs starting from order three can even have non-isolated movable singularities. If we pass from the Petrović polygons to higher dimensional polyhedra with the aim to study essential movable singular points of algebraic ODEs of higher order, we may at first hope to use the results of the modern theory of Newton polyhedra. However, the approximate equations obtained through the polyhedra are quite complex, they don't possess the property of generalized homogeneity, and often are not exactly solvable.

4 Fine polygons

Fine as well generalized the polygonal method of Newton and Puiseux. He used his generalization to study formal asymptotics of the solutions of algebraic ODEs (1) at the point $x = 0$. In his considerations, he includes both cases, when the point $x = 0$ is a singular point of the equation and also when it is not a singular point of the equation. In his papers [28], [29], Fine used Puiseux [75] and Briot-Bouquet [5] results and generalizes them. Fine and Petrović methods of construction of approximate equations are based on the same principles. Therefore, this is natural that the Fine method matches the steps of the Petrović method. In the construction of Fine polygons, we correspond a point to every term of the equation of the type

$$c x^{l_{it}} y^{m_{0i}} (y')^{m_{1i}} \dots (y^{(n)})^{m_{ni}}, \quad c \in \mathbb{C},$$

where the point (N_{it}, M_i) , is determined by the formula $N_{it} = l_{it} - N_i$, where N_i and M_i are defined in the same way as in Petrović's construction above. If the points (N_{it}, M_i) are depicted in the plane and if we consider the boundary of the convex hull of all the points (N_{it}, M_i) , then **the left part of that boundary** (consisting of the edges and vertices where the external normal is pointed to the left) captures the behavior of the solutions in a neighborhood of the point $x = 0$. We will call this left part of the boundary *the Fine polygon*. The vertices and edges of the Fine polygon correspond to the leading terms of the equation, i.e. those terms of the equation (1) which can form approximate equations. The candidates for the role of the asymptotics of the true solutions of the original equation lie among the solutions of the approximate equations. Let us observe that the Fine polygon takes into account the exponents l_{it} of the independent variable x in the coefficients $\varphi_i(x)$ of the equation (1), because here $x = 0$ can be a singular point for the equation (1), i. e. $\varphi_i(0)$ could be zero or be undefined.

Let us also observe that by using the change $x = z + x_0$, the problem of analysis of the solutions in a neighborhood of an arbitrary point $x = x_0$ reduces to the problem of the analysis of solutions in a neighborhood of the point $z = 0$. Then, the Fine polygon of the equation $f(z + x_0, y', \dots, y^{(n)}) = 0$, where x_0 **is not a singular point of the equation** (1), *coincides with the Petrović polygon of the equation (1) rotated by $\pi/2$ in the counterclockwise direction.*

Fine's paper [28] is mostly devoted to the question of calculations of terms in the expansion of formal solutions, (which have a form of Puiseux series) of algebraic ODEs in a neighborhood of the point $x = 0$. Fine also treated the question of the convergence of formal series. Fine proved the following result:

Theorem 7 (Fine, [28]) *If every term of an algebraic ODE contains derivatives of all orders and the dependent variable, i.e. if every term*

$$\varphi_i(x) y^{m_{0i}} (y')^{m_{1i}} \cdot (y^{(n)})^{m_{ni}}$$

of (1) and (2) satisfies $m_{0i}, \dots, m_{ni} > 0$, then all the formal Puiseux series which formally satisfy the given equation converge.

5 Further development of the methods of polygons of Petrović and Fine

A century after this Fine's result, Malgrange [53] gave necessary conditions for convergence of a formal power solution, which solves a given analytic ODE.

As it has been mentioned in Introduction, J. Cano developed further the method of Fine polygons in [14], [13], [1]. He applied these methods in calculations of formal solutions of ODEs and partial differential equations and to prove the convergence of the formal solutions.

Not being aware of the works of other authors, the predecessors, Fine and Petrović, and his contemporaries, Cano, Grigor'ev, and Singer ([37]), A. D. Bruno suggested the methods to calculate formal solutions of algebraic differential equations and systems of equations (see [7], [8]). These methods are also based on generalizations of the Newton-Puiseux polygons and they repeat the ideas of Petrović and Fine, enriching them with some additions and extensions. These additions and extensions are, essentially, related to calculations of finitely-generated semi-groups of exponents of terms of generalized formal series, which formally satisfy a given algebraic ODE and also to extensions of the classes of the considered formal solutions.

To explain these ideas, let us introduce the notion of the order $p(f)$ of function $f(x)$. If there exists the limit

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0, x \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{\ln |f(x)|}{\ln |x|} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty, x \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{\ln |f(x)|}{\ln |x|} = p(f, \mathcal{D}) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\},$$

then the value $p(f, \mathcal{D})$ will be called *the order of the function $f(x)$ with respect to a domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$* , where the closure of $\mathcal{D}$ contains the points 0 and ∞ . Similar definition of the order of a function can be found in the work of Nevanlinna [60]. Notice that the power functions, logarithms, and the products of such functions have finite orders. Moreover, the order of the derivatives of these functions, if not identically equal to zero, with each differentiation decreases its order by 1. For example $p(x^2 \ln \ln x) = 2$, and $p((x^2 \ln \ln x)') = 1$, $x \in \mathbb{C}$. The same rule does not work for the functions $\cos x$, $x \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 < |\operatorname{Im} x| < A$, since: $p(\cos x) = 0$ $p((\cos x)') = 0$. The constructions of polygons of Petrović and Fine take into account the finiteness of the order and the rule of change of the order by 1 with each differentiation of a power function or of a formal series. We will use the sign $\leftrightarrow$ to denote the correspondence between a term of a given algebraic ODE and a point of its polygon

in the plane. For simplicity, let us consider the terms $y, y', \dots, y^{(n)}$. Indeed, Petrović had used the following correspondence:

$$y \leftrightarrow (1, 0), y' \leftrightarrow (1, 1), \dots, y^{(n)} \leftrightarrow (1, n),$$

while Fine's choice was:

$$y \leftrightarrow (0, 1), y' \leftrightarrow (-1, 1), \dots, y^{(n)} \leftrightarrow (-n, 1).$$

Taking into account various classes in which one searches for formal solutions of ODEs, it is possible to generalize the polygons of Petrović and Fine. One of that was accomplished in [10]. See also Section 10.1 for some further considerations.

6 On movable singularities of algebraic ODEs of the first order

In the first part of the dissertation, Petrović considers algebraic ODEs of the first order of a general type:

$$F(x, y, y') = 0, \quad F = \sum_{i=1}^s \varphi_i(x) y^{m_{0i}} (y')^{m_{1i}}. \quad (13)$$

In the sequel, the notions of zeros and poles will include both ordinary and critical zeros and poles.

Consider a point $x = x_0$, where x_0 is not a singular point of the equation (13). Assume that the equation (13) has a solution $y = y(x)$, such that $y(x_0) = 0$ or $y(x_0) = \infty$. According to the Painlevé Theorem 6, the expansion of the solution $y = y(x)$ in a neighborhood of the point $x = x_0$ is a power series, in a general case, with fractional exponents. All such solutions are detectable through the method of Petrović polygons.

At the beginning of Chapter 1 of Part 1 of his thesis, Petrović proved the following statement.

Proposition 1 *If $x = x_0$ is a nonsingular point of the equation (13), and if $y = y(x)$ is a solution of the equation with an expansion (4) with initial conditions $y(x_0) = 0$ or $y(x_0) = \infty$, then the first term $c_0(x - x_0)^{l\sigma}$ of the expansion into a Puiseux series of the solution (4) is a solution of the approximate equation, which corresponds either to a vertex or to a slanted edge of the polygon $\mathcal{N}$ of the equation (13).*

Then Petrović formulates and proves necessary and sufficient conditions for the absence of movable zeros and poles of the solutions of the equation (13).

Theorem 8 (Petrović, 1894) *The necessary and sufficient condition for poles (zeros) of the general solution of a given algebraic ODE of the first order (13) not to depend on the constants of integration is that the polygon $\mathcal{N}$ of the equation (13) does not contain right (left) slanted edges.*

Thus, the situation when both zeros and poles of the general solution of the algebraic differential equation of the first order (13) are not movable can appear if the polygon $\mathcal{N}$ of the equation (13) is a horizontal segment or more generally a part of a rectangle.

Petrović proved Theorem 8 using the methods of analytic theory of differential equations. In particular he used some techniques of L. Fuchs from [30] from the proof of what we presented as Theorem 5. Let us underline that the conditions of Petrović Theorem 8 can be easily verified just through a simple construction of the polygon of the equation, while the verification of the conditions of the Theorem of L. Fuchs require much more elaborated work which includes the discriminant equation to be solved, then the equation $F(x, y, y') = 0$ to be resolved with respect to the derivative, and finally an expansion of the derivative in a series in a neighborhood of a discriminantal solution is needed. However, Petrović's Theorem allows to promptly check the existence or the absence of movable zeros or poles, while a situation of the absence of movable critical singularities which are not zeros, could be detected either by the use of the Fuchs Theorem or by repeated use of Petrović's Theorem, see Example 1 and Examples 2, 3 below.

Further on in Chapter 1 of Part 1 of the thesis, Petrović continues to study the existence of singular points of the general solution of the equation (13). He formulates and proves a few theorems.

Theorem 9 *The necessary and sufficient conditions for the general solution of a given algebraic ODE of the first order (13) to possess a movable zero (or a pole) of order λ is that the polygon $\mathcal{N}$ of the equation (13) contains an edge with the angular coefficient λ (or $-\lambda$).*

Theorem 10 *If the general solution of a given algebraic ODE of the first order (13) possesses poles which are independent of the constants of integration, then there are finitely many such poles.*

Example 2 *Consider the equation*

$$xy^3 + yy' - 1 = 0. \quad (14)$$

This equation solves implicitly, for example with the assistance of computer algebra. Obviously there are three general solutions since the left hand side of the equation (14) is a polynomial of degree three in y' . These solutions are cumbersome and because of that we are not going to list them here. Let us check the conditions of the Fuchs Theorem for the equation (14). As we see, the first two conditions of Fuchs Theorem 5 are satisfied. The discriminant equation $y^3 + 27x/4 = 0$ has a multi-valued solution $y = \sqrt[3]{-27x/4}$, which is not a solution of the equation (14). The third condition of the Fuchs Theorem is not satisfied. Thus, solutions of the equation (14) possess movable critical points.

Let us now turn to Petrović's Theorems 8 and 9. The points $Q_1 = (3, 3)$, $Q_2 = (2, 1)$, and $Q_3 = (0, 0)$ correspond to the equation (14). The Petrović polygon (see Figure 3) consists of one edge $[(3, 3), (0, 0)]$ with the angular coefficient equal to 1. According to Theorem 9 this edge corresponds to the solutions of the equation (14) with movable zeros of order 1. Solutions with movable zeros of order greater than 1 do not exist.

According to the Cauchy Theorem on implicit function in a neighborhood of a nonsingular point $x = x_0$ ($x_0 \neq 0, \infty$) of the equation (14) any of its solutions having zero of order one at that point, $y(x_0) = 0$,




Figure 3: The Petrović polygon of the equation (14).

is presented by a uniformly convergent series

$$y = c_1(x - x_0) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k(x - x_0)^k, \quad (15)$$

where $c_1 = \sqrt[3]{1/x_0}$, and the consecutive complex coefficients c_k are uniquely determined coefficients. Thus, all movable zeros of equation (14) are noncritical, and the existence of movable critical points follows from the Fuchs Theorem.

Example 3 Consider the equation

$$f(x, y, y') = y'^2 - (y' - 1)(y - 1) + x = 0. \quad (16)$$

It has two singular points $x = 1$ and $x = \infty$. It solves implicitly. It has two general solutions since $f(x, y, y')$ is a polynomial of the second degree in y' . These solutions are cumbersome and because of that we are not going to list them here. Let us check the conditions of the Fuchs Theorem for the equation (16). The first condition of Fuchs Theorem 5 is satisfied. The second condition is not satisfied. Thus, solutions of the equation (16) possess movable critical points.

We want to use Petrović's Theorems 8 and 9. The points $Q_1 = (2, 2)$, $Q_2 = (2, 1)$, $Q_3 = (1, 1)$, $Q_4 = (1, 0)$, $Q_5 = (0, 0)$ correspond to the equation (16). The Petrović polygon (see Figure 4) consists of one slanted edge $[(2, 2), (1, 1), (0, 0)]$ with the angular coefficient equal to 1. According to Theorem 9 this edge corresponds to the solutions of the equation (16) with movable zeros of order 1, and movable zeros with order higher than 1 are absent.

Observe that if $y(x_0) = 0$, where x_0 is a nonsingular point of the equation i.e. $x_0 \neq 1, \infty$, then $y'(x_0) \neq 0$.




Figure 4: The Petrović polygon of the equation (16).

In the case $x_0 \neq 1, \frac{5}{4}, \infty$, $y(x_0) = 0$ the Cauchy Theorem can be applied to the equation (16), and thus, there exists a solution which is represented by a uniformly convergent series

$$y = c_1(x - x_0) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k(x - x_0)^k,$$

where

$$c_1 = -\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5 - 4x_0}}{2},$$

and other complex coefficients c_k are uniquely determined coefficients.

However, the Cauchy Theorem is not applicable in the case $x_0 = \frac{5}{4}$, $y(\frac{5}{4}) = 0$, $y'(\frac{5}{4}) = -\frac{1}{2}$ since $f(\frac{5}{4}, 0, -\frac{1}{2}) = 0$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y'}(\frac{5}{4}, 0, -\frac{1}{2}) = 0$. In the neighborhood of the point $x_0 = \frac{5}{4}$ there exists a solution of the equation (16), which can be presented by the Puiseux series

$$y = -\frac{1}{2} \left(x - \frac{5}{4} \right) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k \left(x - \frac{5}{4} \right)^{(k+1)/2}.$$

The Petrović polygon method is, in that sense, more universal than the Cauchy Theorem. It is able to investigate all solutions with power asymptotics in a neighborhood of a point which is nonsingular for the equation. In this way, there is a movable critical zero of the solution in a neighborhood of a point ($x_0 = 5/4$) which is not singular for the equation.

7 On single-valued solutions of algebraic ODEs of the first order explicitly resolved w.r.t. the derivative. Generalized Riccati equations

Let us recall that the Riccati equations are of the form

$$w' = a_0(z)w^2(z) + a_1(z)w(z) + a_2(z), \quad (17)$$

where $a_0 \neq 0, a_2 \neq 0$, a_i are meromorphic functions. Their well-known properties include:

- The Riccati equations reduce to a linear second order equation.
- The solutions of Riccati equations do not possess movable critical points.
- If one particular solution is known, the Riccati equation reduces to a linear first order equation.
- If three particular solutions w_1, w_2, w_3 are known, then the cross-ratio

$$(w(z) : w_1(z) : w_2(z) : w_3(z))$$

is constant along any solution w . There exists a rational function R , such that $w = R(w_1, w_2, w_3)$.

Mihailo Petrović, in his PhD thesis in 1894 considered the following rational ODEs:

$$w' = \frac{P(w, z)}{Q(w, z)}, \quad (18)$$

where P, Q are polynomials in w, z . He proved the following theorem:

Theorem 11 (Petrović, 1894) *Such an equation can't have more than three single-valued solutions which present essentially distinct transcendental functions.*

This result of Petrović caught an immediate attention of his contemporaries and the Theorem 11 was quoted, for example, in [73], [35], and [34].

Let us outline a draft of the proof. As the first step, Petrović proves that the equations (18) could be reduced to the generalized Riccati equations of the form

$$w' = \frac{P_{n+2}(w, z)}{Q_n(w, z)}, \quad (19)$$

where P_{n+2}, Q_n are polynomials in w, z , of degree $n+2, n$ respectively as polynomials in w . This transformation can be done by a change of variables. Then he considered four cases:

1. Q_n has more than two distinct roots: he proved that then all single-valued solutions are rational.
2. Q_n has exactly two distinct roots: then all single-valued solutions reduce to at most one transcendental function.
3. Q_n has only one root: then all single valued solutions reduce to at most two essentially distinct transcendental function.
4. Q_n does not contain w , thus the equation corresponds to the Riccati equations: then all single valued solutions reduce to at most three essentially distinct transcendental function.

For the illustration, let us show how Petrović treated the first of the above four cases.

Let $f_1(z), f_2(z), f_3(z)$ be the roots of the polynomial Q_n understood as a polynomial in w with a parameter z , i.e. the solutions of $Q_n(w, z) = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}(z)[w]$, and w be a single-valued solution of the differential equation. Then one can consider $\theta(z) = (w(z) : f_1(z) : f_2(z) : f_3(z))$ which is an algebraic function, since it does not have essential singularities by the Big Picard Theorem and Lemma about critical point (see Lemma 1 above). Thus, w is a rational combination of algebraic functions and single-valued, thus it is a rational function.

Let us mention two variations of the Petrović Theorem 11.

Theorem 12 (Golubev, 1911) *If the above equation under the conditions that P, Q are polynomials in w with finitely many isolated singularities in the coefficients, has three rational solutions then every single-valued solution is rational.*

A far reaching generalization of the Petrović Theorem 11 was obtained by Malmquist in [54]. Using a very subtle analytic arguments coming from Boutroux he managed to get a very elegant conclusion about the first three items of the above considerations.

Theorem 13 (Malmquist J. 1913) *If the above equation (18) is not a Riccati equation, then all its single-valued solutions are rational functions.*

A similar result was reproved by Yosida in [83] using then new Nevanlinna theory [59, 60], see also Theorem 18 below. As a matter of fact, Malmquist originally proved a much deeper result:

Theorem 14 (Malmquist J. 1913) *If the above equation (18) with P, Q being polynomial in w with rational coefficients in z has at least one non-algebraic solution which is algebraic over the field of meromorphic functions, then it can be transformed to a Riccati equation (17) with rational coefficients, by a transformation of the form:*

$$v = \frac{P_n(w, z)}{Q_{n-1}(w, z)}, \quad (20)$$

where P_n, Q_{n-1} are monic polynomials in w , of degree $n, n-1$ respectively with coefficients rational in z .

Further results of Malmquist are contained in [55, 56, 57]. Hille [39] prepared a very nice modern survey of the field. While Golubev and Malmquist quoted Petrović's result, Hille [39] did not mention that result. For the most recent developments of this subject see [48] and references therein.

8 On single-valued transcendental solutions of binomial ODEs of the first order

In Part 1 of his thesis [65], Petrović studied also the binomial equations

$$(y')^m = \frac{P(x, X, y)}{Q(x, X, y)}, \quad (21)$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$, P, Q are polynomials in x, X and y ; the variables x and X are assumed to be connected through an algebraic relation $G(x, X) = 0$. Consider the case $m = 2$. Then the equation

(21) can be rewritten in the form

$$y' = \frac{B(x, X, y)\sqrt{R(x, X, y)}}{C(x, X, y)}. \quad (22)$$

Petrović proved the following theorems.

Theorem 15 *If in the equation (22) the number of distinct nonconstant functions $y_i = \varphi_i(x, X)$ which are the roots either of the polynomial C or the polynomial R is greater than two, then all single-valued in x and X solutions of this equation are rational.*

Theorem 16 *If in the equation (22) the polynomial R has one or two nonconstant roots, then this equation does not possess transcendental single-valued solutions.*

Theorem 17 *In order that the equation (22) has single-valued transcendental solutions, it is necessary that the equation has the form*

$$y' = \frac{B(x, X, y)\sqrt{\rho(y)}}{(y - \varphi_1)^{k_1}(y - \varphi_2)^{k_2}}, \quad (23)$$

where the polynomial B has the degree $k_1 + k_2$ in y , and $\rho(y)$ is a degree four polynomial.

Theorems 15–17 were proven analytically. These theorems could be considered as generalizations of Theorem 11. Petrović did not consider the equations (21) with $m > 2$ in his thesis. The study of equations (21) in the case $m > 2$ are technically more involved. But he observed that statements and proofs in these cases are still similar to Theorems 15–17 and their proofs for the case $m = 2$.

Similar results were obtained 38 years later, by Yosida in 1932.

Theorem 18 (Yosida 1932, [83]) *If algebraic ODE of the form*

$$(y')^m = R(x, y), \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where R is a polynomial in y , has a transcendental meromorphic solution, then the degree of the polynomial R is not greater than $2m$.

Yosida in his paper [83] quoted the work of Malmquist, but he did not mention the dissertation of Petrović.

9 About solutions with fixed singular points of binomial ODEs of the first order

Petrović in [65] also extracted those binomial equations without movable singular points.

Theorem 19 *Among all the equations from the class*

$$\left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^m = R(x, y), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (24)$$

where R is a function rational in y , only linear ODEs and the equations of the following two types

$$\left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^m = \chi(x)(y - a)^{m-1} \quad (25)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^2 = \chi(x)(y - a)(y - b), \quad (26)$$

$a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, are such that all solutions have fixed singular points only, i.e. exactly these are the equations for which the set of singular points of the equation coincides with the set of singular points of solutions.

In the first part of the proof, in the case where the degree of R is not less than m , Petrović reduces the equation (24) to a linear equation $y' = \sqrt[m]{\chi(x)}(y - \eta(x))$. In the case where the degree of R is less than m , the equation (24) reduces to

$$\left(\frac{dy}{dz}\right)^m = S(y), \quad (27)$$

where $S(y)$ is a polynomial in y . Petrović then skillfully applied the Hermite Theorem 3 and the results of Briot and Bouquet [4] to narrow down the class of equations and get at the end only those with single-valued solutions and fixed singular points.

10 On singularities of algebraic ODEs of higher orders

We conclude this work with considerations of singular points of higher order algebraic ODEs. Contrary to the first order case, which, as we mentioned above, was quite completely resolved by Petrović and his predecessors, the higher order case is still widely open even now, more than 120 years later. There are, however some important subcases which were successfully studied and we are going to list some of them below. As we have already said, see Section 3.1, Petrović was fully aware of the obstacles preventing his polygonal method to produce complete results in higher orders and he listed them clearly. Nevertheless, the Petrović polygonal method can be successfully applied to get some partial answers about higher order equations and to consider certain types of singularities. Petrović observed that his method could be applied to determine the poles of the solutions in the case of equations not explicitly depending on independent variables.

In order to motivate the next question posed by Petrović, let us go back to the first order case and recall that the Weierstrass equation

$$(y')^2 = P_3(y), \quad (28)$$

where P_3 is a degree three polynomial without multiple zeros, does not depend explicitly on independent variable and has the Weierstrass $\wp$ -function as the solution. Probably motivated by the Hermite Theorem 3 as well, Petrović applied his polygonal method to study elliptic solutions of higher order

algebraic equations, not depending explicitly on independent variables in [66], (for a recent English translation, see [67]).

Petrović singled out the following property.

Property I. *The polygonal line has at least one edge with a negative integer angular coefficient or it has at least a multiple vertex such that the corresponding characteristic equation has one or several negative integer roots, lying between the values of the angular coefficients of the two edges that form the multiple vertex.*

Theorem 20 (Petrović 1899, [66, 67]) *If the equation*

$$Q(y, y', \dots, y^{(q)}) = 0, \quad (29)$$

where Q is a polynomial, has an elliptic solution, then its polygon has the property I.

Petrović also considered transformations of solutions. Let R be a rational function and $z = R(y, y', \dots, y^{(q)})$. Let $\Psi(z, z', \dots, z^{(q)}) = 0$ be a transformation of the equation $Q(y, y', y'', \dots, y^{(q)}) = 0$. If z is not a constant, then the polygon has the above property I.

He also derived the following result.

Theorem 21 (Petrović 1899, [66, 67]) *If the polygon, corresponding to the equation $\Psi(z, z', \dots, z^{(q)}) = 0$ does not possess the property I, then the equation*

$$R(y, y', \dots, y^{(q)}) = \text{const} \quad (30)$$

plays the role of a partial first integral along double-periodic solutions of the equation (29), i.e. all solutions of the equation (29) of a double-periodic nature also satisfy (30).

These partial first integrals could serve to reduce the order to get eventually an equation of the form $Q_1(y, y') = 0$, and to treat it further along the lines indicated by Briot-Bouquet (see [41], part 2, Ch XIII).

Example 4 (Petrović 1899, [66, 67]) *As an example, Petrović considered the equations of the form*

$$P_m(y'') = Q_n(y), \quad (31)$$

where P_m, Q_n are given polynomials of degrees m, n respectively. The polygon $\mathcal{N}$ is a triangle with vertices $A(0, 0)$, $B(n, 0)$, $C(m, 2m)$, see Figure 5. In order to satisfy the Property I, the triangle $\triangle ABC$ has to be acute. The only edge with the negative angular coefficient is BC , provided $n > m$. The angular coefficient is equal to

$$\frac{2m}{m - n} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

With $n > m$, the examples of (m, n) such that $2m/(m - n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ include $(m, n) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 4), (2, 6)\}$.




Figure 5: The Petrović polygon of the equation (31): a) $n > m$; b) $n < m$.

10.1 Instead of a conclusion

A year after this paper of Petrović appeared, Painlevé published his seminal paper [63] followed by [64], which didn't mark only the turn of the centuries, but opened a new era in the analytic theory of differential equations of higher order. On the level of ideas, Painlevé put forward the Kowalevski position from [49] and set the program to investigate the second order ODEs of the form

$$y'' = Q(x, y, y'),$$

where Q is a function rational in y, y' and meromorphic in x , with the following property:

(P) – *all solutions are single-valued around all movable singular points.*

This property is called *the Painlevé property*. Since there were some gaps and errors in Painlevé's calculations in [63, 64], the program was completed by the students of Painlevé, Gambier in [32] and Fuchs (Richard, a son of Lazarus) in [31]. As the outcome, they provided 50 equations from which any equation with the Painlevé property can be obtained by gauge transformations based on Möbius transformations. Among these 50 equations, there are six (some of which are multi-parameter families) which can not be solved in terms of existing classical functions (solutions of linear equations or elliptic functions). These equations are known as *the Painlevé equations I–VI*. For a general set of parameters the solutions are new transcendental functions not expressible in terms of formerly known functions, called *the Painlevé transcendents*.

The question whether indeed the Painlevé equations possess the Painlevé property was immediately addressed by Painlevé for the Painlevé I equation. His considerations were not quite complete. These questions for all six Painlevé equations occupied attention of scientists for more than a century. For example Golubev in [36] showed that the solutions of the equations Painlevé I–V possess the Painlevé property. His method was analytic, with a few noncomplete spots, which could be briefly restored. In early 1980's Jimbo and Miwa in [42] proved the Painlevé property for the Painlevé VI equations using the connection with the Schlesinger equations. Malgrange obtained a similar result about the same time in [52].

A full published answer to this question for all six families of Painlevé equations appeared in the work of Shimomura [77], see also [40].

Although a close friend of Painlevé and very active in the period of early 1900's, Petrović did not pay enough attention to the new program of Painlevé. Neither him nor his students made any contribution in that direction.

Nevertheless, the ideas of Petrović polygonal method have been quite recently applied to the Painlevé equations in [9], [11], and [10], through a recently established mathematical discipline, Power Geometry, as a modern reincarnation of Fine and Petrović's method. As we have already mentioned, at that time the authors were unaware of the results of Petrović and Fine.

For example in [10] a method was suggested which allowed to compute elliptic asymptotics of formal solutions of the equations Painlevé I-IV. The method is based on the extraction of approximate equations with the use of the polyhedra associated with systems of ODEs of the first order. The main idea consists in transformations of the equations Painlevé I-IV, which all have a form $y'' = f(x, y, y')$, where f is rational in y, y' and meromorphic in x , by use of power transformations of the form

$$y = x^\alpha v, \quad u = x^\beta, \quad \beta > 0, \quad (32)$$

which lead to the equations of the form

$$\ddot{v} = h_0(v, \dot{v}) + \sum_{i=1}^m u^{-\gamma_i} h_i(v, \dot{v}), \quad \gamma_i > 0. \quad (33)$$

Here, the choice of numbers α and β in the transformation (33) is related to the coordinates of the external normals to the faces of the polyhedra of the systems of ODEs corresponding to the equations Painlevé I-IV. If the approximate equation $\ddot{v} = h_0(v, \dot{v})$ has a solution $\varphi_0(u)$, which is periodic or double-periodic with a singular point $u = \infty$ (which obviously is also a singular point for the equation (33)), then this function is a candidate for the first term of the series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j(u) u^{-j},$$

where $\varphi_j(u)$ are periodic or double - periodic functions with a singular point $u = \infty$, which formally satisfy the equation (33). It should be mentioned that Boutroux found elliptic asymptotics of the formal solutions of the Painlevé I and II equations in [3].

Applying the same methods to the Painlevé VI equations, Bruno and his collaborator and student I. Goryuchkina, found all formal solutions for all values of parameters in neighborhoods of all singular and nonsingular points of the equations. These formal solutions are not reduced to power series only. Among them, there are generalized power series (power series with complex exponents), Dulac series (integer power series with polynomial in logarithms coefficients), exotic series (integer power series with coefficients, which are meromorphic functions in $x^{i\gamma}$, here i is the imaginary unit and we assume $\gamma \neq 0$), composite series (integer power series with coefficients, which are formal Laurent series with a finite principal part in powers of $\ln^{-1} x$). The formal solutions of the Painlevé VI equations of the first three types are uniformly convergent in some open sectors with the vertex in the considered point. For the Painlevé V equations, all the solutions of five types were found, however the formal solutions of the Painlevé V equations are not exhausted through these types. They require further study and interpretation.

Let us mention that Painlevé type program has not yet been completed for the second order ODEs if they are not explicitly resolved in terms of the second derivative. For higher order ODEs the similar questions are meaningful although very complex. Only some partial answers are known so far. Chazy was the first to consider the order three case in [16]. Very interesting results for the orders four and five with special forms of Q (for example Q being a polynomial) were obtained quite recently, see [19, 18, 20] and references therein. There are also very recent extensions of the Painlevé program to the so-called *quasi-Painlevé property* which assumes the study of movable algebraic singularities and which has been performed for some classes of second order algebraic ODEs in [78, 79], [25, 26, 27], [47, 48], and references therein.

Along with the Painlevé equations, the generalized polygons of Petrović and Fine have been intensively applied in the studies of solutions of algebraic partial differential equations [1], [12], and also to the studies of solutions of Pfaff systems [13], solutions of q -difference equations [15]. The polygons have even been used in the proof of Maillet – Malgrange Theorem [14], which provides estimates on the growth of the coefficients of power series, which is an important ingredient in the selection of summation methods. It is clear that the methods based on polygons of Petrović and Fine have wide applications and they continue to develop.

We hope we were able to bring to the attention of the specialists in this actively developing field of mathematics and also to a more general audience the gems almost buried in the past not only to restore the historic justice which these beautiful pioneering results and their outstanding authors deserve but even more – to propel these powerful ideas and put them in the synergy with modern techniques and questions.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Renat Gontsov for numerous valuable comments and suggestions. They are also grateful to Joseph Minich for careful reading of the manuscript and his suggestions. The research of the first author has been partially supported by the grant 174020 “Geometry and topology of manifolds, classical mechanics, and integrable dynamical systems” of the Ministry of Education and Sciences of Serbia and by the University of Texas at Dallas. He would like to thank Professors Božidar Jovanović, Zoran Petrić, and Vera Vujičić, the heads of the departments for Mechanics, for Mathematics, and for Applied Mathematics & Computer Science for the invitation to deliver a talk about the work of Mihailo Petrović at the first joint meeting of all three colloquia of the Mathematical Institute of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts and to Academicians Stevan Pilipović and Gradimir Milovanović, the co-chairs of the public manifestation in Serbia “2018-the Year of Mihailo Petrović Alas” for the invitation to talk at the round table on May 22nd, 2018, at the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, see [22]. The second author gratefully acknowledges the grant PRAS-18-01 (PRAN 01 “Fundamental mathematics and its applications”).

References

- [1] Aroca F., Cano J., Jung F. *Power series solutions for non-linear PDEs*. Conference: Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, International Symposium ISSAC 2003, Drexel University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA, August 3-6, 2003, Proceedings.
- [2] Berić M. *Figural polygons of first order ODEs and their connection to the properties of the integrals*. 1912. PhD thesis, in Serbian, University of Begrade.

- [3] Boutroux P. *Recherches sur les transcendentes de M. Painlevé et l'étude asymptotique des équations différentielles du second ordre*. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. Vol. 30. 1913. P. 255–375. Vol. 31. 1914. P. 99–159.
- [4] Briot C., Bouquet J. *Théorie des fonctions elliptiques*, 1875. P. 389.
- [5] Briot C., Bouquet J. *Propriétés des fonctions définies par des équations différentielles*. J. l'Ecole Polytechnique, Cah. 36. 1856. P. 133–198.
- [6] Brieskorn E., Knörrer H. *Plane Algebraic Curves*, 1986. pp. 370–383.
- [7] Bruno A. D. *Asymptotic behaviour and expansions of solutions of an ordinary differential equation*. Russian Math. Surv. Vol. 59(3). 2004. P. 429–480.
- [8] Bruno A. D. *Power Geometry in Algebraic and Differential Equations*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, 2000.
- [9] Bruno A. D., Goryuchkina I. V. *Asymptotic expansions of the solutions of the sixth Painlevé equation*. Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 2010. P. 1–104.
- [10] Bruno A. D., Goryuchkina I. V. *Boutroux asymptotic forms of solutions to Painlevé equations and Power Geometry*. Doklady Mathematics. Vol. 78 (2). 2008. P. 681–685.
- [11] Bruno A. D., Parusnikova A. V. *Expansions of solutions to the fifth Painlevé equation near its nonsingular point*. Doklady Mathematics. Vol. 85 (1). 2012. P. 87–92.
- [12] Bruno A. D., Shadrina T. V. *Axisymmetric boundary layer on a needle*. Transactions of Moscow Math. Soc. Vol. 68. 2007. P. 201–259.
- [13] Cano J. *An extension of the Newton-Puiseux polygon construction to give solutions of Pfaffian forms*. Annales de l'institut Fourier. Vol. 43. 1993. P. 125–142.
- [14] Cano J. *On the series defined by differential equations, with an extension of the Puiseux polygon construction to these equations*. Analysis. Vol. 13. 1993. P. 103–119.
- [15] Cano J., Fortuny Ayuso P. *Power series solutions of non-linear q -difference equations and the Newton-Puiseux polygon*, arXiv:1209.0295v1. 2012.
- [16] Chazy J. *Sur les équations différentielles du troisième ordre et d'ordre supérieur dont l'intégrale générale a ses points critiques fixes*. Acta Math., 34:317–385, 1911.
- [17] O'Connor J.J. and Robertson E.F. *"Henry Burchard Fine"*, MacTutor History of Mathematics archive, University of St Andrews.
- [18] Cosgrove C. M. *Chazy classes IX–XI of third-order differential equations*. Stud. Appl. Math., 2000, vol. 104, pp. 171–228.
- [19] Cosgrove C. M. *Higher-order Painlevé equations in the polynomial class. I. Bureau symbol P2*. Stud. Appl. Math. 2000. 104, pp. 1–65.
- [20] Cosgrove C. M. *Higher-order Painlevé equations in the polynomial class. II. Bureau symbol P1*. Stud. Appl. Math. 2006. 116, pp. 321–413.
- [21] Cramer G. *Introduction à l'analyse des lignes courbes algébriques*, Fretres Cramer et Cl. Philibert, 1750.

- [22] Dragović, V. *Mihailo Petrović, Algebraic Geometry and Differential Equations*, pp. 257-266, in Mihailo Petrović Alas: life work, times, Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Editor-in-chief: Marko Andjelković Editors of publication: Stevan Pilipović, Gradimir V. Milovanović, Žarko Mijajlović, Belgrade, 2019.
- [23] Dragović V., Shramchenko V. *Algebro-geometric approach to an Okamoto transformation, the Painlevé VI and Schlesinger equations* Annales Henri Poincaré, 2019.
- [24] Dragović V., Gontsov R., Shramchenko V. *Triangular Schlesinger systems and superelliptic curves*, ArXiv: 1812.09795.
- [25] Filipuk G. and Halburd R. G. *Movable algebraic singularities of second-order ordinary differential equations*. 2009. J. Math. Phys, 50:023509.
- [26] Filipuk G. and Halburd R. G. *Movable singularities of equations of Lienard type*. Comput. Methods Funct. Theory. 2009. 9. pp. 551–563.
- [27] Filipuk G. and Halburd R. G. *Rational ODEs with movable algebraic singularities*. Stud. Appl. Math. 2009. 123. pp. 17–36.
- [28] Fine H. *On the functions defined by differential equations, with an extension of the Puiseux polygon construction to these equations*. American J. Math. Vol. 11 (4). 1889. pp. 317–328.
- [29] Fine H. *Singular solutions of ordinary differential equations*. American J. Math, Vol. 12, (3) 1890. pp. 295–322.
- [30] Fuchs L. *Über Differentialgleichungen, deren Integrale feste Verzweigungspunkte besitzen*. Ges Werke. 1885. Vol. II. P. 355.
- [31] Fuchs R. *Sur quelques équations différentielles linéaires du second ordre*, Comptes Rendus. 1906.
- [32] Gambier B. *Sur les équations différentielles du second ordre et du premier degré dont l'intégrale générale est à points critiques fixes*, Acta Mathematica. 1910.
- [33] Ghys E. *A Singular Mathematical Promenade*, ENS Editions, 2017. p. 302.
- [34] Golubev V. V. *Lectures on analytic theory of differential equations*, Moscow-Leningrad, in Russian 1941, pp. 436.
- [35] Golubev V. V. *About one application of Picard's theorem to the theory of differential equations*, in Russian, Math. Sb. 1911.
- [36] Golubev V. V. *On the theory of Painlevé equations*, in Russian, Math. Sb. 28 (2) 1912.
- [37] Grigor'ev D. Yu., Singer M. F. *Solving ordinary differential equations in terms of series with real exponents*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 327 (1). 1991. P. 329–351.
- [38] Hermite C. *Cours lithographié de l'Ecole polytechnique*. 1873.
- [39] Hille, E. *On some generalizations of the Malmquist theorem*. Math. Scand. Vol. 39, 1979. P. 59–79.
- [40] Hinkkanen A., Laine I.J. *The meromorphic nature of the sixth Painleve transcendents*. Anal. Math. 2004. 94: 319.
- [41] Ince E.L. *Ordinary Differential Equations*, New York, 1956.

- [42] Jimbo M., Miwa T., Ueno K. *Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients: I. General theory and τ -function*. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena. Vol. 2. Issue 2. 1981. P. 306–352.
- [43] Joshi N., Radnović M. *Asymptotic behaviour of the fourth Painlevé transcendents in the space of initial values*, Constructive Approximation **44**. 2016. No. 2, pp. 195–231.
- [44] Joshi N., Radnović M. *Asymptotic behaviour of the third Painlevé transcendents in the space of initial values*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (3) 116 2018. no. 6, 1329–1364.
- [45] Karadjordjevic D. The truth about my life, autobiography, in Serbian, publisher: Marković Sontić, pp. 494, Belgrade 2017.
- [46] Kaveh K., Khovanskii A. *Newton-Okounkov bodies, semigroups of integral points, graded algebras and intersection theory*. Annals of Mathematics, V. 176, No 2, 925– 978, 2012.
- [47] Kecker T. *A class of non-linear ODEs with movable algebraic singularities*. Comput. Methods Funct. Theory, 12:653–667, 2012.
- [48] Kecker T. *On the singularity structure of differential equations in the complex plane*, PhD thesis, UCL, 2014.
- [49] Kowalevski S. *Sur le problème de la rotation d'un corps solide autour d'un point fixe*, Acta Mathematica, 1889. 12, 177–232.
- [50] Kowalevski S. *Sur une propriété du système d'équations différentielles qui définit la rotation d'un corps solide autour d'un point fixe* Acta Math. 1889. 14. pp. 81–93.
- [51] Leitch A. *A Princeton Companion*, copyright Princeton University Press (1978).
- [52] Malgrange B. *Sur les déformations isomonodromiques. I. Singularités régulières*, Mathematics and physics (Paris, 1979/1982), Progr. Math., 37, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983, 401–426.
- [53] Malgrange B. *Sur le théorème de Maillet*, Asympt. Anal. Vol. 2. 1989. P. 1–4.
- [54] Malmquist J. *Sur les fonctions à un nombre fini de branches définies par les équations différentielles du premier ordre*. Acta mathematica. Vol. 36. 1913. pp. 297–334.
- [55] Malmquist J. *Sur les fonctions à un nombre fini de branches satisfaisant à une équation différentielle du premier ordre*. Acta Math, 42:317–325, 1920.
- [56] Malmquist J. *Sur les équations différentielles du second ordre, dont l'intégrale générale a ses points critiques fixes*. Ark. för Mat., Astron. och Fys. 17:1–89, 1923.
- [57] Malmquist J. *Sur les fonctions à un nombre fini de branches satisfaisant à une équation différentielle du premier ordre*. Acta Math, 74:175–196, 1941.
- [58] Marković S. *General Riccati equation of the first order*. 1913. PhD thesis, in Serbian, University of Begrade.
- [59] Nevanlinna R. *Zur Theorie der Meromorphen Funktionen*, Acta Mathematica, 46 (1925): pp. 1–99, 1925.
- [60] Nevanlinna R. *Meromorphic functions*, German original 1936, Russian translation 1941.

- [61] Newton, I. *De methodis serierum et fluxionum*. 1670-1671. in Newton, MWP, vol. 3, chapter 1, pp. 32-254.
- [62] Painlevé P. *Thèse: Sur les lignes singulières des fonctions analytiques*. Paris. 1887.
- [63] Painlevé P. Mémoire sur les equations differentielles dont l'intégrale générale est uniforme. Bull. Soc. Math. France. 1900. 28. P. 201–261.
- [64] Painlevé P. *Sur les équations différentielles du second ordre et d'ordre supérieur dont l'intégrale générale est uniforme*, Acta Mathematica. 1902.
- [65] Petrowitch M. *Thèses: Sur les zéro et les infinis des intégrales des équations différentielles algébriques. Propositions données par la Faculté*. Paris. 1894.
- [66] Petrovitch M. *Sur une propriété des équations différentielles intégrables à l'aide des fonctions méromorphes doublement périodiques*. Acta mathematica. Vol. 22. 1899. P. 379–386.
- [67] Petrovitch M. *On a property of differential equations integrable using meromorphic double-periodic functions*, Theoretical and Applied Mechanics, Volume 45 (2018) Issue 1, 121–127. English translation of the above Petrovitch's Acta mathematica paper.
- [68] Collected works of Mihailo Petrović, 15 volumes, in Serbian, The State Textbook Company, Belgrade, 1999.
- [69] Mihailo Petrović Alas: life work, times, Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Editor-in-chief: Marko Andjelković Editors of publication: Stevan Pilipović, Gradimir V. Milovanović, Žarko Mijajlović, Belgrade, 2019.
- [70] Picard E. C.r. Acad. sci. 1879. T. 88, p. 1024-1027. T. 89, p. 662–665.
- [71] Picard E. Ann. École norm. super. 1880. T. 9, p. 145–166.
- [72] Picard E. *Memoire sur la théorie des fonctions algébriques de deux variables*, J. de Math. pures appl. 1889.
- [73] Picard, E. Traité d'analyse, 1908. T. III, p. 378.
- [74] Poincare H. *Sur un théorème de M. Fuchs*. Acta Math. 1885. T. 7, p. 1–32.
- [75] Puiseux V. *Recherches sur les fonctions algébriques*. Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées 1re série. Tome 15. 1850. P. 365–480.
- [76] Ramis J.-P. *Dévissage Gevrey*. Astérisque. Vol. 59/60. 1978. P. 173–204.
- [77] Shimomura S. *Proofs of the Painlevé property for all Painlevé equations*. Japan. J. Math. (N.S.), 29:159–180, 2003.
- [78] Shimomura S. *A class of differential equations of PI-type with the quasi-Painlevé property*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl, 186:267–280, 2007.
- [79] Shimomura S. *Nonlinear differential equations of second Painlevé type with the quasi-Painlevé property along a rectifiable curve*. Tohoku Math. J, 60:581–595, 2008.
- [80] Sibuya Y. *Linear Differential Equations in the Complex Domain: Problems of Analytic Continuation*. Transl. Math. Monographs. Vol. 82. A.M.S. 1990.

- [81] Solomencev E. D. *Picard's theorem* Mathematical Enciclopedia, edited by I. M. Vinogradov, Soviet Enciclopedia, 1984, V. 4. p. 286–287.
- [82] Veblen O. *Henry Burchard Fine – In memoriam*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 35, (1929), pp. 726–730.
- [83] Yosida K. *A generalization of a Malmquist's theorem*. J. Math. Japan. (3) 15. 1933. pp. 253–256.