

2-positive almost order zero maps and decomposition rank

Yasuhiko Sato

Abstract

We consider 2-positive almost order zero (disjointness preserving) maps on C^* -algebras. Generalizing the argument of M. Choi for multiplicative domains, we give an internal characterization of almost order zero for 2-positive maps. It is also shown that complete positivity can be reduced to 2-positivity in the definition of decomposition rank for unital separable C^* -algebras.

1 Introduction

In [33] W. Winter and J. Zacharias gave the structure theorem for completely positive order zero maps based on the work of M. Wolff on disjointness preserving linear maps [32]. Recall that a positive linear map $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ between two C^* -algebras is said to have *order zero*, if $\varphi(a)\varphi(b) = 0$ for any positive elements $a, b \in A$ with $ab = 0$. This notion of order zero maps led to a geometric dimension, known as decomposition rank or nuclear dimension, in the context of the classification theorem of nuclear C^* -algebras [19, 34]. The purpose of this paper is to explore the condition of 2-positivity in connection with order zero maps.

In the first part of the paper we show the following one variable characterization of 2-positive almost order zero maps.

Theorem 1.1. *For $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: for two C^* -algebras A and B , the canonical approximate unit h_λ , $\lambda \in \Lambda$ of A , and a 2-positive contraction φ from A to B , if a positive contraction $a \in A$ satisfies*

$$\limsup_{\lambda} \|\varphi(a)^2 - \varphi(a^2)\varphi(h_\lambda)\| < \delta,$$

then

$$\sup_{b \in A, \|b\| \leq 1} \left(\limsup_{\lambda} \|\varphi(a)\varphi(b) - \varphi(h_\lambda)\varphi(ab)\| \right) < \varepsilon.$$

Particularly, a 2-positive map φ from a unital C^* -algebra A to a C^* -algebra B has order zero if $\varphi(a)^2 = \varphi(a^2)\varphi(1_A)$ for any positive element $a \in A$.

In the second part of the paper, we study the relation between 2-positivity and decomposition rank. The notion of decomposition rank (Definition 6.1) was introduced by E. Kirchberg and W. Winter in their work [19], in which they showed that finiteness of decomposition rank implies quasidiagonality for C^* -algebras. In [30] W. Winter showed

that finiteness of decomposition rank (for separable C^* -algebras, see [11] for non-separable cases) also implies the absorption of the Jiang-Su algebra which plays a central role in the classification theorem of nuclear C^* -algebras [9]. For unital separable simple nuclear monotracial C^* -algebras, we showed the converse, i.e., quasidiagonality and Jiang-Su absorption imply finiteness of decomposition rank [22, 23]. Our second main result characterizes finiteness of decomposition rank by 2-positive maps instead of completely positive maps.

Theorem 1.2. *Let A be a unital separable C^* -algebra. Then the decomposition rank of A is at most d if and only if for a finite subset F of contractions in A and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist finite dimensional C^* -algebras F_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, a 2-positive contraction $\psi : A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^d F_i$, and 2-positive order zero contractions $\varphi_i : F_i \rightarrow A$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^d \varphi_i : \bigoplus_{i=0}^d F_i \rightarrow A$ is contractive and*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^d \varphi_i \right) \circ \psi(x) - x \right\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } x \in F.$$

Here we simply write $\sum_{i=0}^d \varphi_i \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^d x_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^d \varphi_i(x_i)$ for $x_i \in F_i$.

Before closing this section, let us collect some notations and terminologies.

For a C^* -algebra A , we let A_{sa} and A_+ denote the set of self-adjoint elements and the cone of positive elements in A . For a subset $S \subset A$, S^1 denotes the set of contractions in S . If A is a unital C^* -algebra, 1_A denotes the unit of A .

For any two elements a and b in a C^* -algebra A we let $[a, b]$ denote the commutator $ab - ba \in A$, and by $a \approx_\varepsilon b$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ we mean that $\|a - b\| < \varepsilon$.

Unless stated otherwise we consider two C^* -algebras A and B , and by a “map” $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ we mean a “linear map” from A to B . We let id_A denote the identity map on A , i.e., $\text{id}_A(a) = a$ for any $a \in A$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, M_n denotes the C^* -algebra of complex $n \times n$ matrices. A map φ from A to B is called *positive* if $\varphi(A_+) \subset B_+$. For a natural number k , a map φ is called *k-positive* if $\varphi \otimes \text{id}_{M_k} : A \otimes M_k \rightarrow B \otimes M_k$ is positive. If a map $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is k -positive for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, φ is called *completely positive*.

2 Orthogonality domains for 2-positive maps

Definition 2.1. Let A and B be two C^* -algebras, and let $h_\lambda \in A_+^1$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ be an approximate unit. For a bounded linear map φ from A to B , we define a subspace $\text{OD}(\varphi)$ of A by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{OD}(\varphi) &= \{a \in A : \varphi(a)\varphi(b) = \lim_{\lambda} \varphi(h_\lambda)\varphi(ab), \\ &\quad \varphi(b)\varphi(a) = \lim_{\lambda} \varphi(ba)\varphi(h_\lambda) \text{ for any } b \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definition that $\lim_{\lambda} \|\varphi(h_\lambda), \varphi(a)\| = 0$ for any $a \in \text{OD}(\varphi)$.

In this section we mainly deal with 2-positive maps for Kadison's inequality in the following form, which makes $\text{OD}(\varphi)$ into a C^* -algebra. For two (not necessarily unital) C^* -algebras A and B , if a map $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is contractive and 2-positive, then the original Kadison's inequality tells us that

$$\varphi \otimes \text{id}_{M_2} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a^* \\ a & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)^2 \leq \varphi \otimes \text{id}_{M_2} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a^* \\ a & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 \right)$$

for any $a \in A$, see [14, p.770], for example. Then we have $\varphi(a)^* \varphi(a) \leq \varphi(a^*a)$ for any $a \in A$, [3, Corollary 2.8]. By using this inequality, we can see that $\text{OD}(\varphi)$ is a C^* -algebra.

Proposition 2.2. *If a map $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is 2-positive, then the following statements hold.*

- (i) $\text{OD}(\varphi)$ is a C^* -algebra which contains the multiplicative domain of φ .
- (ii) $\text{OD}(\varphi)$ is independent of the choice of the approximate unit.

Proof. Since $\text{OD}(\varphi) = \text{OD}(\varphi/|\varphi|)$, we may assume $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$ in both (i) and (ii).

(i) Since φ is a bounded self-adjoint map, it is straightforward to check that $\text{OD}(\varphi)$ is a self-adjoint Banach space which contains the multiplicative domain of φ . It remains to show that $\text{OD}(\varphi)$ is closed under multiplication. Let a, b be contractions in $\text{OD}(\varphi)$, c a contraction in A , and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Taking a large $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $(1 - t^{1/k})t < \varepsilon^2/8$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$. Because of Kadison's inequality, for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $\|h_\lambda^{1/2}aa^*h_\lambda^{1/2} - aa^*\| < \varepsilon^2/8$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 - \varphi(h_\lambda)^{1/k})\varphi(ab)\varphi(ab)^*(1 - \varphi(h_\lambda)^{1/k})\| &\leq \|(1 - \varphi(h_\lambda)^{1/k})\varphi(aa^*)(1 - \varphi(h_\lambda)^{1/k})\| \\ &< \|(1 - \varphi(h_\lambda)^{1/k})\varphi(h_\lambda)\| + \varepsilon^2/8 < \varepsilon^2/4. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi(h_\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ almost commutes with $\varphi(a)$, it follows that

$$\lim_{\lambda} \|\varphi(h_\lambda)\varphi(ab)\varphi(c) - \varphi(h_\lambda)^2\varphi(abc)\| = 0,$$

which implies $\lim_{\lambda} \|\varphi(h_\lambda)^n(\varphi(ab)\varphi(c) - \varphi(h_\lambda)\varphi(abc))\| = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$\lim_{\lambda} \|\varphi(h_\lambda)^{1/k}(\varphi(ab)\varphi(c) - \varphi(h_\lambda)\varphi(abc))\| = 0$. Thus, there exists $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ such that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$,

$$\|\varphi(ab)\varphi(c) - \varphi(h_\lambda)\varphi(abc)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have $\varphi(ab)\varphi(c) = \lim_{\lambda} \varphi(h_\lambda)\varphi(abc)$. By $\text{OD}(\varphi)^* = \text{OD}(\varphi)$, we also have $\varphi(c)\varphi(ab) = \lim_{\lambda} \varphi(cab)\varphi(h_\lambda)$ for any $a, b \in \text{OD}(\varphi)$ and $c \in A$.

(ii) Let $k_\mu \in A_+^1$, $\mu \in I$ be another approximate unit of A and let $\text{OD}(\varphi, k)$ be the subspace in Definition 2.1 determined by $\{k_\mu\}_{\mu \in I}$. Since $\text{OD}(\varphi)$ and $\text{OD}(\varphi, k)$ are C^* -algebras, it suffices to show $\text{OD}(\varphi)_+ \subset \text{OD}(\varphi, k)$.

Let $a \in \text{OD}(\varphi)_+^1$, and let $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ and $\mu_0 \in I$ be such that $\|\varphi((h_\lambda - k_\mu)a)\| < \varepsilon$ for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and $\mu \geq \mu_0$. Then it follows that

$$\|\varphi(h_\lambda - k_\mu)\varphi(a)\| = \lim_{\nu} \|\varphi((h_\lambda - k_\mu)a)\varphi(h_\nu)\| < \varepsilon.$$

By Kadison's inequality, for any $b \in A^1$ we have

$$\|\varphi(h_\lambda - k_\mu)\varphi(ab)\|^2 \leq \|\varphi(h_\lambda - k_\mu)\varphi(a)\varphi(h_\lambda - k_\mu)\| < 2\varepsilon,$$

for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and $\mu \geq \mu_0$. Then it follows that $\lim_{\mu} \varphi(k_\mu)\varphi(ab) = \lim_{\lambda} \varphi(h_\lambda)\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$. Since a is self-adjoint, we also see that $\lim_{\mu} \varphi(ba)\varphi(k_\mu) = \varphi(b)\varphi(a)$ for any $b \in A$. $\square$

To prepare for the Schwartz inequality (Proposition 2.5) and the next section, we need the following calculation of non-invertible positive elements. This argument is a slight variation of [25, Lemma 1.4.4].

Lemma 2.3. *Let A be a C^* -algebra. For two positive elements a and b in the second dual A^{**} with $a \leq b$, there exists a unique contraction x in A^{**} such that $b^{1/2}x = a^{1/2}$ and $p(b)x = x$, where $p(b)$ is the strong limit of $(\frac{1}{n}1_{A^{**}} + b)^{-1}b \in A^{**}$. If furthermore $[a, b] = 0$, then there exists a unique contraction y in A^{**} such that $by = a$ and $p(b)y = y$.*

We write $b^{-1/2}a^{1/2} = x$ and $b^{-1}a = y$.

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $x_n = (\frac{1}{n}1_{A^{**}} + b)^{-1/2}a^{1/2} \in A^{**}$. Then it follows that $x_n^*x_n = a^{1/2}(\frac{1}{n}1_{A^{**}} + b)^{-1}a^{1/2} \leq a^{1/2}(\frac{1}{n}1_{A^{**}} + a)^{-1}a^{1/2} \leq 1_{A^{**}}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the unit ball of A^{**} is compact in the σ -weak (ultraweak) topology, there exists a subnet x_{n_λ} , $\lambda \in \Lambda$ of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which converges to a contraction $x \in A^{**}$. Thus we have that

$$b^{1/2}x = \sigma\text{-weak-}\lim_{\lambda} b^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{n_\lambda}1_{A^{**}} + b \right)^{-1/2} a^{1/2} = p(b)a^{1/2} = a^{1/2}.$$

If $x' \in A^{**}$ satisfies $b^{1/2}x' = a^{1/2}$ and $p(b)x' = x'$, then we have $x - x' = p(b)(x - x') = \text{strong-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\frac{1}{n}1_{A^{**}} + b)^{-1}b(x - x') = 0$.

In the case of $[a, b] = 0$, by a similar argument, we can define a positive contraction y in A^{**} as the strong limit of $a^{1/2}(\frac{1}{n}1_{A^{**}} + b)^{-1}a^{1/2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This y also satisfies the desired conditions. $\square$

Corollary 2.4. *Let A and B be C^* -algebras.*

- (i) *Suppose that $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a 2-positive map and a and b are two elements in A . Then there exists a unique element $\varphi(b^*b)^{-1/2}\varphi(b^*a) \in B^{**}$ satisfying*

$$\varphi(b^*b)^{1/2}(\varphi(b^*b)^{-1/2}\varphi(b^*a)) = \varphi(b^*a)$$

$$\text{and } (1_{B^{**}} - p(\varphi(b^*b)))\varphi(b^*b)^{-1/2}\varphi(b^*a) = 0.$$

- (ii) *Suppose that $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a positive map, x is a normal element in A , and y is a positive element in A satisfying $xx^* \leq \|x\|^2y$. Then there exists a unique element $\varphi(y)^{-1/2}\varphi(x) \in B^{**}$ such that*

$$\varphi(y)^{1/2}(\varphi(y)^{-1/2}\varphi(x)) = \varphi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad (1_{B^{**}} - p(\varphi(y)))\varphi(y)^{-1/2}\varphi(x) = 0.$$

Proof. In both cases we may assume that φ is contractive. We may further assume that a and x are contractions in A .

(i) By Kadison's inequality we have $\varphi(a^*b)^*\varphi(a^*b) \leq \varphi(b^*b)$. From Lemma 2.3, we obtain the contraction $\varphi(b^*b)^{-1/2}|\varphi(a^*b)| \in B^{**}$. By the polar decomposition of $\varphi(b^*a)$ in B^{**} , there exists a contraction $\varphi(b^*b)^{-1/2}\varphi(b^*a) \in B^{**}$ satisfying the desired conditions. The uniqueness of $\varphi(b^*b)^{-1/2}\varphi(b^*a) \in B^{**}$ follows from these conditions automatically.

(ii) Since x is normal, Kadison's inequality implies that

$$\varphi(x)\varphi(x)^* \leq \varphi(xx^*) \leq \varphi(y),$$

see [14, p.770]. By the same argument as in the proof of (i) we obtain a unique element $\varphi(y)^{-1/2}\varphi(x) \in B^{**}$ satisfying the desired conditions. $\square$

The following Schwartz inequality was given by M. Choi in [4, Proposition 4.1] for strictly positive maps and invertible elements. Regarding $\varphi(a^*b)\varphi(b^*b)^{-1}\varphi(b^*a)$ as $(\varphi(b^*b)^{-1/2}\varphi(b^*a))^*(\varphi(b^*b)^{-1/2}\varphi(b^*a))$ obtained in Corollary 2.4, we extend his result to the case of non-invertible elements.

Proposition 2.5. *Let A and B be C^* -algebras.*

(i) *Suppose that φ is a 2-positive map from A to B . Then for any $a, b \in A$ it follows that*

$$\varphi(a^*b)\varphi(b^*b)^{-1}\varphi(b^*a) \leq \varphi(a^*a).$$

(ii) *Suppose that φ is a positive map from A to B . Then for a self-adjoint element $x \in A$ and a positive element $y \in A$ with $yx = x$, it follows that*

$$\varphi(x)\varphi(y)^{-1}\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(x^2).$$

Proof. (i) Since the 2×2 matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \varphi(a^*a) & \varphi(a^*b) \\ \varphi(b^*a) & \varphi(b^*b) \end{bmatrix} \in B \otimes M_2$ is positive, the matrix

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} 1_{B^{**}} & 0 \\ 0 & (\frac{1}{n}1_{B^{**}} + \varphi(b^*b))^{-1/2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(a^*a) & \varphi(a^*b) \\ \varphi(b^*a) & \varphi(b^*b) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_{B^{**}} & 0 \\ 0 & (\frac{1}{n}1_{B^{**}} + \varphi(b^*b))^{-1/2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(a^*a) & \varphi(a^*b)(\frac{1}{n}1_{B^{**}} + \varphi(b^*b))^{-1/2} \\ (\frac{1}{n}1_{B^{**}} + \varphi(b^*b))^{-1/2}\varphi(b^*a) & (\frac{1}{n}1_{B^{**}} + \varphi(b^*b))^{-1}\varphi(b^*b) \end{bmatrix} \in B \otimes M_2 \end{aligned}$$

is also positive for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From $\|(\frac{1}{n}1_{B^{**}} + \varphi(b^*b))^{-1/2}\varphi(b^*a)\| \leq \|\varphi\|^{1/2}\|a\|$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain an accumulation point $X \in B^{**}$ of $\{(\frac{1}{n}1_{B^{**}} + \varphi(b^*b))^{-1/2}\varphi(b^*a)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the sense of σ -weak topology. It is straightforward to see that $\varphi(b^*b)^{1/2}X = \varphi(b^*a)$ and $(1_{B^{**}} - p(\varphi(b^*b)))X = 0$. By Corollary 2.4, we have $X = \varphi(b^*b)^{-1/2}\varphi(b^*a)$. Then it follows that the 2×2 matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \varphi(a^*a) & (\varphi(b^*b)^{-1/2}\varphi(b^*a))^* \\ \varphi(b^*b)^{-1/2}\varphi(b^*a) & p(\varphi(b^*b)) \end{bmatrix} \in B^{**} \otimes M_2$ is also positive. Because of

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \begin{bmatrix} 1_{B^{**}} & 0 \\ -\varphi(b^*b)^{-1/2}\varphi(b^*a) & 1_{B^{**}} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(a^*a) & (\varphi(b^*b)^{-1/2}\varphi(b^*a))^* \\ \varphi(b^*b)^{-1/2}\varphi(b^*a) & p(\varphi(b^*b)) \end{bmatrix} \\ & \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1_{B^{**}} & 0 \\ -\varphi(b^*b)^{-1/2}\varphi(b^*a) & 1_{B^{**}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(a^*a) - \varphi(a^*b)\varphi(b^*b)^{-1}\varphi(b^*a) & 0 \\ 0 & p(\varphi(b^*b)) \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$\varphi(a^*a) \geq \varphi(a^*b)\varphi(b^*b)^{-1}\varphi(b^*a).$$

(ii) When $yx = x$, the 2×2 matrix $\begin{bmatrix} x^2 & x \\ x & y \end{bmatrix} \in A \otimes M_2$ is positive. By [4, Corollary 4.4], we can see that $\begin{bmatrix} \varphi(x^2) & \varphi(x) \\ \varphi(x) & \varphi(y) \end{bmatrix} \in B \otimes M_2$ is also positive, even for a positive map φ . By the same argument as the proof of (i), we conclude that $\varphi(x^2) \geq \varphi(x)\varphi(y)^{-1}\varphi(x)$. $\square$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1 and applications

In the following lemma, for a unital C^* -algebra A we denote by $\mathcal{H}_A$ the separable Hilbert A -module $A \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and by $(\cdot | \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}_A} : \mathcal{H}_A \times \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow A$ the inner product on $\mathcal{H}_A$, which is defined by

$$(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})_{\mathcal{H}_A} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^* y_i \in A, \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ and } \mathbf{y} = (y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{H}_A,$$

(see [15], [20] for detail). Let $B(\mathcal{H}_A)$ denote the set of adjointable operators on $\mathcal{H}_A$. We let $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ denote the canonical orthonormal basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, and regard $a \in B(\mathcal{H}_A)$ as an ∞ -matrix whose (i, j) -entry is $a_{i,j} := (1_A \otimes \mathbf{e}_i | a 1_A \otimes \mathbf{e}_j)_{\mathcal{H}_A} \in A$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. For $\varepsilon > 0$ the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If a positive contraction $a \in B(\mathcal{H}_A)$ satisfies $\|a_{1,1}\| < \varepsilon$, then $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,1}^* a_{i,1} \right\| < \varepsilon$.*
- (ii) *If a unitary $u \in B(\mathcal{H}_A)$ satisfies $\|u_{1,1}^* u_{1,1} - 1_A\| < \varepsilon$, then $\left\| \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} u_{i,1}^* u_{i,1} \right\| < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. (i) For a positive contraction $a \in B(\mathcal{H}_A)$, we have an element $b \in B(\mathcal{H}_A)$ with $b^*b = a$, which implies that $a_{1,1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{i,1}^* b_{i,1}$, where the right hand side is in the operator norm topology on A . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,1}^* a_{i,1} \right\| &= \|(1_A \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 | a^* a 1_A \otimes \mathbf{e}_1)_{\mathcal{H}_A}\| \\ &\leq \|b\|^2 \|(1_A \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 | b^* b 1_A \otimes \mathbf{e}_1)_{\mathcal{H}_A}\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{i,1}^* b_{i,1} \right\| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) From $u^*u = 1_{B(\mathcal{H}_A)}$, it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_{i,1}^* u_{i,1} = 1_A$ in the operator norm topology. Then we have that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} u_{i,1}^* u_{i,1} \right\| = \|1_A - u_{1,1}^* u_{1,1}\| < \varepsilon.$$

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. When a positive contraction $a \in A$ satisfies

$$\limsup_{\lambda} \|\varphi(a)^2 - \varphi(a^2)\varphi(h_{\lambda})\| < \delta,$$

there exist positive contractions $a_+, a_- \in A$ such that $a_+a_- = a_-$, $\|a - a_-\| < \varepsilon$, and $\limsup_{\lambda} \|\varphi(a_-)^2 - \varphi(a_-^2)\varphi(h_{\lambda})\| < \delta$. Once we show that

$$\sup_{b \in A^1} \left(\limsup_{\lambda} \|\varphi(a_-)\varphi(b) - \varphi(h_{\lambda})\varphi(a_-b)\| \right) < \varepsilon,$$

this implies $\sup_{b \in A^1} \left(\limsup_{\lambda} \|\varphi(a)\varphi(b) - \varphi(h_{\lambda})\varphi(ab)\| \right) < 3\varepsilon$. Then we may assume that $h_{\lambda}a = a$ for a large $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

By the same argument, we may replace the condition

$$\sup_{b \in A^1} \left(\limsup_{\lambda} \|\varphi(a)\varphi(b) - \varphi(h_{\lambda})\varphi(ab)\| \right) < \varepsilon,$$

in Theorem 1.1, by $\limsup_{\lambda} \|\varphi(a)\varphi(b) - \varphi(h_{\lambda})\varphi(ab)\| < \varepsilon$, for any positive contraction $b \in A$ with a large $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $h_{\lambda}b = b$.

For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we set $f_{\alpha}(t) = \min\{\max\{0, \alpha^{-1}t - 1\}, 1\}$. Since $f_{\alpha} \in C_0((0, 1]_+^1)$, there exists $g_{\alpha} \in C([0, 1]_+)$ such that $g_{\alpha} \cdot \text{id}_{[0, 1]} = f_{\alpha}$. Here $\text{id}_{[0, 1]} \in C([0, 1]_+^1)$ means the continuous function defined by $\text{id}_{[0, 1]}(t) = t$ for $t \in [0, 1]$.

For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ we let $\alpha_1 \in (0, 1)$ be such that $\|\text{id}_{[0, 1]} \cdot (1 - f_{\alpha_1})\| < \varepsilon^2/16$. Set $\varepsilon_1 = (\varepsilon/(8\|g_{\alpha_1}\|))^2 > 0$. Let $\alpha_2 \in (0, 1/4)$ be such that $\|\text{id}_{[0, 1]} \cdot (1 - f_{\alpha_2})\| < \varepsilon_1/4$, and let $\delta_1 > 0$ be such that $\delta_1 < \varepsilon_1/(4\|g_{\alpha_2}\|)$. By approximating $(\text{id}_{[0, 1]})^{1/2}$ with polynomials, we let $\delta \in (0, \delta_1)$ be such that for any positive contractions x, y in a C^* -algebra, the condition $\|[x, y]\| < 6\delta$ implies $\|[x^{1/2}, y]\| < \delta_1$.

Let $A, B, h_{\lambda} \in A_+^1$, and φ be as in the theorem. Suppose that a positive contraction $a \in A$ satisfies $\limsup_{\lambda} \|\varphi(a)^2 - \varphi(a^2)\varphi(h_{\lambda})\| < \delta$ and $h_{\lambda}a = a$ for a large $\lambda \in \Lambda$. From $\limsup_{\lambda} \|[\varphi(a^2), \varphi(h_{\lambda})]\| < 2\delta$, it follows that $\limsup_{\lambda} \|[\varphi(a), \varphi(h_{\lambda})]\| \leq \delta_1$. Let b be a positive contraction in A with $h_{\lambda}b = b$ for a large $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Set self-adjoint elements

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ a & b \end{bmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} h_{\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & h_{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} \in A \otimes M_2.$$

By (ii) of Proposition 2.5, we have

$$\varphi \otimes \text{id}_{M_2}(x)\varphi \otimes \text{id}_{M_2}(y_{\lambda})^{-1}\varphi \otimes \text{id}_{M_2}(x) \leq \varphi \otimes \text{id}_{M_2}(x^2).$$

This inequality implies that $\begin{bmatrix} \varphi(a^2) & \varphi(ab) \\ \varphi(ba) & \varphi(a^2 + b^2) \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \left(\begin{bmatrix} \varphi(h_{\lambda}) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(h_{\lambda}) \end{bmatrix}^{-1/2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varphi(a) \\ \varphi(a) & \varphi(b) \end{bmatrix} \right)^* \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(h_{\lambda}) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(h_{\lambda}) \end{bmatrix}^{-1/2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varphi(a) \\ \varphi(a) & \varphi(b) \end{bmatrix} \\ &\geq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varphi(a) \\ \varphi(a) & \varphi(b) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g_{\alpha_2}(\varphi(h_{\lambda})) & 0 \\ 0 & g_{\alpha_2}(\varphi(h_{\lambda})) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varphi(a) \\ \varphi(a) & \varphi(b) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(a)g_{\alpha_2}(\varphi(h_{\lambda}))\varphi(a) & \varphi(a)g_{\alpha_2}(\varphi(h_{\lambda}))\varphi(b) \\ \varphi(b)g_{\alpha_2}(\varphi(h_{\lambda}))\varphi(a) & \varphi(a)g_{\alpha_2}(\varphi(h_{\lambda}))\varphi(a) + \varphi(b)g_{\alpha_2}(\varphi(h_{\lambda}))\varphi(b) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $y = \varphi(a^2 + b^2) - \varphi(a)g_{\alpha_2}(\varphi(h_\lambda))\varphi(a) + \varphi(b)g_{\alpha_2}(\varphi(h_\lambda))\varphi(b)$. Then the following matrix $X \in B \otimes M_2$ is a positive element,

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(h_\lambda)(\varphi(a^2) - \varphi(a)g_{\alpha_2}(\varphi(h_\lambda))\varphi(a))\varphi(h_\lambda) & \varphi(h_\lambda)(\varphi(ab) - \varphi(a)g_{\alpha_2}(\varphi(h_\lambda))\varphi(b))\varphi(h_\lambda) \\ \varphi(h_\lambda)(\varphi(ba) - \varphi(b)g_{\alpha_2}(\varphi(h_\lambda))\varphi(a))\varphi(h_\lambda) & \varphi(h_\lambda) \cdot y \cdot \varphi(h_\lambda) \end{bmatrix}.$$

By the choice of g_{α_2} , f_{α_2} , $\delta_1 > \delta$, and $\alpha_2 \in (0, 1/4)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\lambda} \|\varphi(h_\lambda)(\varphi(a^2) - \varphi(a)g_{\alpha_2}(\varphi(h_\lambda))\varphi(a))\varphi(h_\lambda)\| \\ & \leq \limsup_{\lambda} \|\varphi(h_\lambda)\varphi(a^2)\varphi(h_\lambda) - \varphi(a)f_{\alpha_2}(\varphi(h_\lambda))\varphi(h_\lambda)\varphi(a)\| + 2\delta_1\|g_{\alpha_2}\| \\ & \leq \limsup_{\lambda} \|\varphi(h_\lambda)\varphi(a^2)\varphi(h_\lambda) - \varphi(a)\varphi(h_\lambda)\varphi(a)\| + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \\ & \leq \limsup_{\lambda} \|\varphi(a^2)\varphi(h_\lambda) - \varphi(a)^2\| + \delta_1 + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \\ & < 2\delta_1 + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} < \varepsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (i) of Lemma 3.1 to $X/\|X\| \in B^\sim \otimes M_2$, we have that

$$\limsup_{\lambda} \|\varphi(h_\lambda)(\varphi(ba) - \varphi(b)g_{\alpha_2}(\varphi(h_\lambda))\varphi(a))\varphi(h_\lambda)\|^2 < \|X\|\varepsilon_1 < 5\varepsilon_1.$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\lambda} \|\varphi(h_\lambda)(\varphi(ba)\varphi(h_\lambda) - \varphi(b)\varphi(a))\| & < \sqrt{\varepsilon_1} \left(\sqrt{5} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{4}, \\ \limsup_{\lambda} \|f_{\alpha_1}(\varphi(h_\lambda))(\varphi(ba)\varphi(h_\lambda) - \varphi(b)\varphi(a))\| & < \|g_{\alpha_1}\| \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_1} \left(\sqrt{5} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{4} \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Kadison's inequality and $b^2 \leq h_\lambda$ for a large $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{\alpha_1}(\varphi(h_\lambda))\varphi(ba) - \varphi(ba)\|^2 & \leq \|(1 - f_{\alpha_1}(\varphi(h_\lambda))\varphi(h_\lambda))\| < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16}, \\ \|f_{\alpha_1}(\varphi(h_\lambda))\varphi(b)\varphi(a) - \varphi(b)\varphi(a)\|^2 & \leq \|(1 - f_{\alpha_1}(\varphi(h_\lambda))\varphi(h_\lambda))\| < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we conclude that

$$\limsup_{\lambda} \|\varphi(ba)\varphi(h_\lambda) - \varphi(b)\varphi(a)\| < \varepsilon.$$

□

Theorem 1.1 can be used to give an alternative proof of the structure theorem for completely positive order zero maps [32], [33], [10]. Our approach is effective even for 2-positive maps.

Corollary 3.2. *Let A, B be two C^* -algebras, and $h_\lambda \in A_+^1$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ be the canonical approximate unit of A . Suppose that φ is a 2-positive map from A to B such that*

$$\varphi(a)^2 = \lim_{\lambda} \varphi(a^2)\varphi(h_\lambda),$$

for any positive element $a \in A$. Then there exist a $*$ -homomorphism π from A to B^{**} and a positive element h in the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(C^*(\varphi(A)))$ of $C^*(\varphi(A))$ such that

$$\pi(a) \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(\varphi(A))) \cap \{h\}' \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(a) = h\pi(a),$$

for any $a \in A$. In particular, φ is completely positive.

Proof. We may assume that φ is contractive.

We let h be the strong limit of $\varphi(h_\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ in B^{**}_+ . Since $h\varphi(a) = \varphi(a^{1/2})^2 = \varphi(a)h$ for any $a \in A_+^1$, it follows that $h \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(\varphi(A))) \cap (C^*(\varphi(A)))'$. By Lemma 2.3 and by $h \geq \varphi(a)$ for any $a \in A_+^1$, we can define a positive element $\pi(a) = h^{-1}\varphi(a) \in B^{**}$ for any $a \in A_+^1$. Set $f_n(h) = (\frac{1}{n}1_{B^{**}} + h)^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(\varphi(A))) \subset B^{**}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that for $a, b \in A_+^1$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|\varphi(a)(f_n(h) - f_m(h))\varphi(b)\|^2 \leq \|h^4(f_n(h) - f_m(h))^2\|,$$

then, by Dini's theorem, $\varphi(a)f_n(h)\varphi(b) \in C^*(\varphi(A))$ converges to $\varphi(a)h^{-1}\varphi(b)$ in the operator norm topology. Thus we have $h^{-1}\varphi(a) \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(\varphi(A)))$ for any $a \in A_+^1$.

By the uniqueness of $h^{-1}\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{\|a\|+\|b\|}\right)$ for $a, b \in A_+$ in Lemma 2.3, it follows that $\pi\left(\frac{a+b}{\|a\|+\|b\|}\right) = \pi\left(\frac{a}{\|a\|+\|b\|}\right) + \pi\left(\frac{b}{\|a\|+\|b\|}\right)$. Considering the linear span of A_+^1 , we obtain a self-adjoint linear map $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C^*(\varphi(A)))$. Applying Theorem 1.1 to $\varphi/\|\varphi\|$, for $a, b \in A_+$ we have $\varphi(a)\varphi(b) = h\varphi(ab)$, which implies that $\pi(a)\pi(b) = \pi(ab)$. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *Every 2-positive order zero map is completely positive.*

More generally, a 2-positive map is completely positive if its restriction to any commutative C^ -subalgebra is order zero.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ be a 2-positive map between two C^* -algebras, $h_\lambda \in A_+^1$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the canonical approximate unit of A , and a a positive contraction in A . In order to show that $\varphi(a)^2 = \lim_{\lambda} \varphi(a^2)\varphi(h_\lambda)$, we may assume that $ah_{\lambda_0} = a$ for a large $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$. Let C be the commutative C^* -subalgebra of A generated by a and h_{λ_0} . By the assumption, $\varphi|_C$ is an order zero completely positive map. Then it follows that $\varphi(a)^2 = \varphi(a^2)\varphi(h_\lambda)$ for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ (see the proof of [32, Lemma 3.1] for a direct argument). By Corollary 3.2, we conclude that φ is completely positive on A . $\square$

Combining the proof above with Corollary 3.2, we see the following structure theorem.

Corollary 3.4. *Let A and B be two C^* -algebras. For a 2-positive order zero map $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$, there exist a representation π of A on B^{**} , and a positive contraction $h \in B^{**}$ satisfying the same condition in Corollary 3.2.*

The next result is motivated by the question in [13, Section 5] for general C^* -algebras.

Corollary 3.5. *Let A and B be C^* -algebras, and let $h_\lambda \in A_+^1$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ be the canonical approximate unit of A . For a 2-positive linear map φ from A to B , the following holds.*

$$\text{OD}(\varphi) = \text{span}\{a \in A_+^1 : \varphi(a)^2 = \lim_{\lambda} \varphi(a^2)\varphi(h_\lambda)\}.$$

Proof. From Theorem 1.1, the right hand side is contained in $\text{OD}(\varphi)$. Since the orthogonality domain $\text{OD}(\varphi)$ is a C^* -algebra, it can be decomposed into the span of $\text{OD}(\varphi)_+^1$. By the definition of $\text{OD}(\varphi)$, we see that $a \in \text{OD}(\varphi)_+^1$ implies $\varphi(a)^2 = \lim_{\lambda} \varphi(a^2)\varphi(h_\lambda)$. $\square$

4 Examples of k -positive order ε maps

In the previous section we have seen that the class of order zero maps is explicitly divided into the two cases, positive but not completely positive and completely positive (Corollary 3.3). A well-known example of positive order zero map, but not 2-positive, is the transposition on a matrix algebra. This section studies the possibility of constructing k -positive maps of almost order zero but not $k+1$ -positive.

From now on we denote by $\{e_{i,j}^{(n)}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ the canonical matrix units of M_n and tr_n the normalized trace on M_n . The following construction of k -positive almost order zero maps relies on Tomiyama's work in [29].

Example 4.1. Fix a natural number k and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let n be a natural number such that $k < n$. For $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, we let ψ_λ be the linear map from M_n to M_n defined by

$$\psi_\lambda(a) = \lambda \text{tr}_n(a) 1_{M_n} + (1 - \lambda)a \quad \text{for } a \in M_n.$$

Because of [29, Theorem 2], we can see that ψ_λ is k -positive if and only if $\lambda \leq 1 + \frac{1}{nk-1}$. We let $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ be such that $\frac{1}{n(k+1)-1} < \lambda - 1 \leq \frac{1}{nk-1}$.

Let $\iota : M_n \rightarrow (e_{1,1}^{(m)} \otimes 1_{M_n})M_m \otimes M_n(e_{1,1}^{(m)} \otimes 1_{M_n})$ be the canonical isomorphism. We define a linear map $\varphi_\lambda^{(m)}$ from $M_m \otimes M_n$ to $M_m \otimes M_n$ by

$$\varphi_\lambda^{(m)}(x) = (1 - \varepsilon)x + \varepsilon 1_{M_m} \otimes \psi_\lambda \circ \iota^{-1}((e_{1,1}^{(m)} \otimes 1_{M_n})x(e_{1,1}^{(m)} \otimes 1_{M_n})), \quad \text{for } x \in M_m \otimes M_n.$$

Then for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, this map $\varphi_\lambda^{(m)}$ is unital and k -positive, satisfying

$$\left\| \varphi_\lambda^{(m)}(x)^2 - \varphi_\lambda^{(m)}(x^2) \right\| < 6\varepsilon,$$

for any contraction x in $M_m \otimes M_n$. By Theorem 1.1 we can regard $\varphi_\lambda^{(m)}$ as an almost order zero map.

For a large $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $\varphi_\lambda^{(m)}$ is not $(k+1)$ -positive. Actually, setting the unital completely positive map $\Phi_n : M_m \otimes M_n \rightarrow M_n$ by $\Phi_n(a \otimes b) = \text{tr}_m(a)b$, and $\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{m\varepsilon\lambda}{(1-\varepsilon)+m\varepsilon} > 0$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n \circ \varphi_\lambda^{(m)}(\iota(a)) &= \frac{1-\varepsilon}{m}a + \varepsilon(\lambda \text{tr}_n(a) 1_{M_n} + (1 - \lambda)a) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon\lambda}{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{\lambda} \text{tr}_n(a) 1_{M_n} + (1 - \tilde{\lambda})a), \quad \text{for } a \in M_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\lambda} = \lambda \in \left(1 + \frac{1}{n(k+1)-1}, 1 + \frac{1}{nk-1}\right]$, it follows that $\tilde{\lambda} > 1 + \frac{1}{n(k+1)-1}$ for a large $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\Phi_n \circ \varphi_\lambda^{(m)}|_{\iota(M_n)}$ is not $(k+1)$ -positive, so $\varphi_\lambda^{(m)}$ is not.

In contrast to the above example, by fixing the size of the matrix algebras, the following proposition shows how close unital 2-positive almost order zero maps are to being completely positive.

Proposition 4.2. *For $\varepsilon > 0$, we let $\delta > 0$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Let φ is a unital 2-positive map from M_n to a unital C^* -algebra B . Suppose that $\|\varphi(a)^2 - \varphi(a^2)\| < \delta$ for any positive contraction $a \in M_n$. Then the linear map $M_n \ni a \mapsto \varphi(a) + n\varepsilon \text{Tr}_n(a)1_B$ is completely positive, where Tr_n denotes the non-normalized trace on M_n .*

Proof. We set $b_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n e_{1,i}^{(n)} \otimes e_{1,i}^{(n)} \in M_n \otimes M_n$ and $b = b_0^* b_0 \in M_n \otimes M_n$. It is enough to show that the Choi matrix $(\varphi + \varepsilon n \text{Tr}_n) \otimes \text{id}_{M_n}(b)$ is a positive element in $B \otimes M_n$, (see [2, Proposition 1.5.12] for example). Since $\left\| \varphi(e_{1,1}^{(n)})\varphi(e_{1,j}^{(n)}) - \varphi(e_{i,j}^{(n)}) \right\| < \varepsilon$, it follows that

$$\left\| \varphi \otimes \text{id}_{M_n}(b_0)^* \varphi \otimes \text{id}_{M_n}(b_0) - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \varphi(e_{i,j}^{(n)}) \otimes e_{i,j}^{(n)} \right\| < n\varepsilon.$$

Thus we have that

$$(\varphi + n\varepsilon \text{Tr}_n) \otimes \text{id}_{M_n}(b) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \varphi(e_{i,j}^{(n)}) \otimes e_{i,j}^{(n)} + n\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n 1_B \otimes e_{i,i}^{(n)} \geq 0.$$

□

5 One-way CPAP

In the rest of this paper, we focus on nuclear C^* -algebras and aim to show the second main result Theorem 1.2. The following weaker characterization of nuclearity has implicitly appeared in Ozawa's survey [24], which was obtained in the context of [16] and [17]. Let us revisit this argument for our self-contained proof.

For a C^* -algebra B and a net A_λ , $\lambda \in \Lambda$ of C^* -subalgebras of B , we denote by $\prod_\lambda A_\lambda$ the ℓ^∞ -direct sum of $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ (i.e., the set of nets $(a_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ such that $a_\lambda \in A_\lambda$ and $\sup_\lambda \|a_\lambda\| < \infty$), and $\bigoplus_\lambda A_\lambda$ the c_0 -direct sum (i.e., the set of $(a_\lambda)_\lambda \in \prod_\lambda A_\lambda$ such that $\lim_\lambda \|a_\lambda\| = 0$). It is well-known that $\prod_\lambda A_\lambda$ is a C^* -algebra and $\bigoplus_\lambda A_\lambda$ is an ideal of $\prod_\lambda A_\lambda$. When $A_\lambda = A$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we let

$$\ell^\infty(\Lambda, A) = \prod_\lambda A_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad c_0(\Lambda, A) = \bigoplus_\lambda A_\lambda.$$

We identify a C^* -algebra A with the C^* -subalgebra of $\frac{\ell^\infty(\Lambda, A)}{c_0(\Lambda, A)}$ consisting of equivalence classes of constant nets.

Theorem 5.1. *A C^* -algebra A is nuclear if and only if there exists a net $\varphi_\lambda : M_{N_\lambda} \rightarrow A$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ of completely positive contractions such that the canonical completely positive contraction*

$$\Phi = (\varphi_\lambda)_\lambda : \frac{\prod_\lambda M_{N_\lambda}}{\bigoplus_\lambda M_{N_\lambda}} \longrightarrow \frac{\ell^\infty(\Lambda, A)}{c_0(\Lambda, A)} \quad \text{satisfies} \quad \Phi \left(\left(\frac{\prod_\lambda M_{N_\lambda}}{\bigoplus_\lambda M_{N_\lambda}} \right)^1 \right) \supset A^1.$$

The following lemma is essentially given in [16, Lemma 3.5] for completely positive maps. A generalization for 2-positive maps may be of independent interest.

For a given unital C^* -algebra A , we define

$$\Lambda_A = \{F \subset A^1 : \text{a finite subset of unitaries in } A\} \times \{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R} : \varepsilon > 0\},$$

and regard Λ_A as the (upward-filtering) ordered set by the inclusion order on 2^{A^1} and the standard order on $\mathbb{R}$. For a C^* -algebra A , we let $\text{dist}(x, F)$ denote $\inf_{y \in F} \|x - y\|$ for $x \in A$ and $F \subset A$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{M}$ a unital C^* -algebra which is closed under the polar decomposition by unitaries, i.e., for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$ there exists a unitary $u \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $x = u|x|$. Suppose that for $\lambda = (F, \varepsilon) \in \Lambda_A$, a 2-positive contraction $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$ satisfies $\text{dist}(x, \varphi(\mathcal{M}^1)) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in F$. Then there exist unitaries $U_x \in \mathcal{M}$, $x \in F$ such that*

$$\|\varphi(U_x) - x\| < 3\sqrt{\varepsilon} \quad \text{for all } x \in F.$$

Proof. Let $y_x \in \mathcal{M}^1$ be such that $\|\varphi(y_x) - x\| < \varepsilon$ for $x \in F$. For $x \in F$, by the polar decomposition of y_x , there exists a unitary $U_x \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $y_x = U_x|y_x|$. Since $x \in F$ is a unitary, it follows that $\|\varphi(y_x)^* \varphi(y_x) - 1_A\| < 2\varepsilon$. Then Kadison's inequality implies that

$$(1 - 2\varepsilon)1_A \leq \varphi(y_x)^* \varphi(y_x) \leq \varphi(y_x^* y_x) \leq \varphi(1_{\mathcal{M}}) \leq 1_A.$$

By $\varphi(1 - |y_x|) \leq \varphi(1 - y_x^* y_x) \leq 2\varepsilon 1_A$, we have that

$$\|\varphi(U_x) - x\| < \|\varphi(U_x - y_x)\| + \varepsilon \leq \|\varphi((1 - |y_x|)^2)\|^{1/2} + \varepsilon \leq \sqrt{2\varepsilon} + \varepsilon < 3\sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

□

Lemma 5.3 (Lemma 3.6 of [16], see also Lemma 4.1.4 of [10]).

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(F, \varepsilon) \in \Lambda_{M_N}$, there exist unitaries $v_i \in M_N$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$ and permutations σ_x , $x \in F$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ such that

$$\max_{i=1,2,\dots,M} \|v_i \cdot x - v_{\sigma_x(i)}\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in F.$$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. It is shown in [18, Theorem], [5, Theorem 3.1] that the nuclearity of A implies the completely positive approximation property (CPAP) which is stronger than the condition in Theorem 5.1. Then it is enough to show the converse direction.

It is well-known that A is nuclear if and only if the unitization $A^\sim$ of A is nuclear. Thus we may assume that A is unital. Actually, for $\tilde{\lambda} = (F^\sim, \varepsilon) \in \Lambda_{A^\sim}$, taking an approximate unit of A we have a positive contraction $e \in A$ and $\lambda_x \in \mathbb{C}$ for $x \in F^\sim$ such that $(1_{A^\sim} - e)x \approx_\varepsilon \lambda_x(1_{A^\sim} - e)$ and $[x, e] \approx_\varepsilon 0$ for all $x \in F^\sim$. Let $\tilde{e} \in A_+^1$ be such that $e^{1/2} \tilde{e}^{1/2} \approx_\varepsilon e^{1/2}$. By the assumption of A , we now obtain a completely positive contraction $\varphi : M_N \rightarrow A$ such that $\text{dist}(y, \varphi(M_N^1)) < \varepsilon$ for all $y \in \{\tilde{e}\} \cup \{\tilde{e}^{1/2} x \tilde{e}^{1/2} : x \in F^\sim\} \subset A^1$. Then we have $e^{1/2} \varphi(1_{M_N}) e^{1/2} \approx_{3\varepsilon} e$. Define a completely positive map $\tilde{\varphi} : M_N \oplus \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A$ by $\tilde{\varphi}(x \oplus c) = e^{1/2} \varphi(x) e^{1/2} + c(1_{A^\sim} - e)$ for $x \in M_N$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\tilde{\varphi}(1_{M_N} \oplus 1) \approx_{3\varepsilon} 1_{A^\sim}$,

the canonical extension $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}} : M_{N+1} \rightarrow A$ of $\frac{1}{1+3\varepsilon}\tilde{\varphi}$ is a completely positive contraction, which satisfies the condition in Theorem 5.1 for $A^{\sim}$.

Let $\lambda = (F, \varepsilon) \in \Lambda_A$ be such that $\varepsilon < 1$. By the assumption, we now obtain a completely positive contraction $\varphi : M_N \rightarrow A$ such that $\text{dist}(x, \varphi(M_N^1)) < (\varepsilon/6)^4$ for all $x \in F$. By Lemma 5.2, there are unitaries $U_x \in M_N$, $x \in F$ such that $\|\varphi(U_x) - x\| < \varepsilon^2/12$ for $x \in F$. By Lemma 5.3, for $(\{U_x\}_{x \in F}, \varepsilon/2) \in \Lambda_{M_N}$, there exist unitaries $v_i \in M_N$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$ and permutations σ_x , $x \in F$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ such that

$$\|v_i \cdot U_x - v_{\sigma_x(i)}\| < \varepsilon/2 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, M, \text{ and } x \in F.$$

Due to the Kasparov-Stinespring dilation theorem [15], (see also [20, Theorem 6.5]), there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : M_N \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_A)$ such that $\varphi(a) = \pi(a)_{1,1} \in A$, where the notations of $\mathcal{H}_A$ and $a_{i,j} \in A$ for $a \in B(\mathcal{H}_A)$ are same as in Lemma 3.1. We set $a_j^{(i)} = \pi(v_i)_{j,1} \in A$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

From (ii) of Lemma 3.1 and $\|\pi(U_x)_{1,1}^* \pi(U_x)_{1,1} - 1_A\| = \|\varphi(U_x)^* \varphi(U_x) - 1_A\| < \varepsilon^2/6$ it follows that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \pi(U_x)_{j,1}^* \pi(U_x)_{j,1} \right\| < \varepsilon^2/6 \quad \text{for all } x \in F.$$

Combining this with $\|\pi(v_i) \cdot \pi(U_x) - \pi(v_{\sigma_x(i)})\| < \varepsilon/2$, we have that for $x \in F$

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| a_j^{(i)} x - a_j^{(\sigma_x(i))} \right|^2 \right\|^{1/2} = \left\| (a_j^{(i)} x)_j - (a_j^{(\sigma_x(i))})_j \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_A} < \varepsilon.$$

Since v_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$ are unitaries, we obtain $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^L a_j^{(i)*} a_j^{(i)} - 1_A \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

Let A^{**} be the second dual of A faithfully represented on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ i.e., $A \subset A^{**} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_A$, we define a completely positive map $\Phi_{\lambda} : B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$\Phi_{\lambda}(y) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^L a_j^{(i)*} y a_j^{(i)} \quad \text{for } y \in B(\mathcal{H}).$$

Thus we have that for $x \in F$ and $y \in B(\mathcal{H})^1$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\lambda}(y)x &\approx_{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^L a_j^{(i)*} y a_j^{(\sigma_x(i))} \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^L a_j^{(\sigma_x^{-1}(i))*} y a_j^{(i)} \\ &\approx_{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^L (a_j^{(i)} x^*)^* y a_j^{(i)} = x \Phi_{\lambda}(y). \end{aligned}$$

From $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^L a_j^{(i)*} a_j^{(i)} - 1_A \right\| < \varepsilon$, for $y \in B(\mathcal{H})^1 \cap A'$ it follows that $\Phi_\lambda(y) \approx_\varepsilon y$. So, Φ_λ is close to a conditional expectation onto A' . Let ω be a (cofinal) ultrafilter on the ordered set Λ_A . Then one can define a bounded map $\Phi : B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ by the weak* limit $\Phi(y) = \text{weak}^* - \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \omega} \Phi_\lambda(y)$ in $B(\mathcal{H})$. By the above conditions of Φ_λ , it is straightforward to check that Φ is a conditional expectation on $B(\mathcal{H}) \cap A'$. Hence A' is an injective von Neumann algebra, and so is $A'' = A^{**}$. Because of [7], we can see that A^{**} is AFD which implies the CPAP of A . $\square$

Remark 5.4. In [28] R. Smith showed that the complete positivity of contractive maps in the CPAP can be replaced by the complete contractivity. However, we cannot expect to replace completely positive contractions φ_λ in Theorem 5.1 by completely contractive maps. In fact, there are many non-nuclear C^* -algebras with the completely contractive approximation property (CCAP), although any C^* -algebra A with the CCAP satisfies the following condition : there exists a net of complete contractions $\varphi_\lambda : M_{N_\lambda} \rightarrow A$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that for $a \in A^1$ there are $x_{a,\lambda} \in M_{N_\lambda}^1$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ satisfying $\lim_{\lambda} \varphi_\lambda(x_{a,\lambda}) = a$.

6 Decomposition rank by 2-positive maps

Before proving Theorem 1.2, let us recall the definition of decomposition rank.

Definition 6.1 (E. Kirchberg - W. Winter, [19]). For $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, a C^* -algebra A is said to have *decomposition rank at most d* , if for a finite subset F of contractions in A and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist finite dimensional C^* -algebras F_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, a completely positive contraction $\psi : A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^d F_i$, and completely positive order zero contractions $\varphi_i : F_i \rightarrow A$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^d \varphi_i : \bigoplus_{i=0}^d F_i \rightarrow A$ is contractive and

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^d \varphi_i \right) \circ \psi(x) - x \right\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } x \in F.$$

Theorem 6.2 (Theorem 1.2). *Let A be a unital separable C^* -algebra and $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) *The decomposition rank of A is at most d .*
- (ii) *For $\lambda = (F, \varepsilon) \in \Lambda_A$, there are finite dimensional C^* -algebras F_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, a 2-positive contraction $\psi : A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^d F_i$, and 2-positive order zero contractions $\varphi_i : F_i \rightarrow A$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^d \varphi_i : \bigoplus_{i=0}^d F_i \rightarrow A$ is contractive and*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^d \varphi_i \right) \circ \psi(x) - x \right\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } x \in F.$$

- (iii) *There exist finite dimensional C^* -algebras $F_{i,\lambda}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and nets $\varphi_{i,\lambda} : F_{i,\lambda} \rightarrow A$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ of 2-positive order zero contractions such that*

$\sum_{i=0}^d \varphi_{i,\lambda} : F_\lambda \rightarrow A$ is contractive for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, where $F_\lambda = \bigoplus_{i=0}^d F_{i,\lambda}$, and the canonical contraction

$$\Phi = \left(\sum_{i=0}^d \varphi_{i,\lambda} \right)_\lambda : \frac{\prod_\lambda F_\lambda}{\bigoplus_\lambda F_\lambda} \rightarrow \frac{\ell^\infty(\Lambda, A)}{c_0(\Lambda, A)} \quad \text{satisfies} \quad \Phi \left(\left(\frac{\prod_\lambda F_\lambda}{\bigoplus_\lambda F_\lambda} \right)^1 \right) \supset A^1.$$

Proof. The implications (i) $\implies$ (ii) $\implies$ (iii) are trivial. We shall show (iii) $\implies$ (i). By Corollary 3.3, we see that $\sum_{i=0}^d \varphi_{i,\lambda}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ are completely positive contractions. Taking a conditional expectation from a matrix algebra onto F_λ , by Theorem 5.1 we know that A is nuclear.

From the assumption of (iii), for $\mu = (F, \varepsilon) \in \Lambda_A$ we obtain finite dimensional C^* -algebras $F_{i,\mu}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, and completely positive order zero contractions $\varphi_{i,\mu} : F_{i,\mu} \rightarrow A$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$ such that

$$\text{dist} \left(x, \sum_{i=0}^d \varphi_{i,\mu} \left(\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^d F_{i,\mu} \right)^1 \right) \right) < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } x \in F.$$

Set $F_\mu = \bigoplus_{i=0}^d F_{i,\mu}$ and $\varphi_\mu = \sum_{i=0}^d \varphi_{i,\mu} : F_\mu \rightarrow A$ for $\mu \in \Lambda_A$. By Lemma 5.2 and $\|\varphi_\mu\| \leq 1$, there are unitaries $U_{x,\mu} \in F_\mu$, $x \in F$, $\mu = (F, \varepsilon) \in \Lambda_A$, such that $\|\varphi_\mu(U_{x,\mu}) - x\| < 3\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ for all $x \in F$. For any unitary $x \in A$, we set $U_{x,\mu} = 1_{F_\mu}$ if $x \notin F$ and $\mu = (F, \varepsilon)$, and set $U_x = (U_{x,\mu})_\mu \in \prod_\mu F_\mu$. We let $Q : \prod_\mu F_\mu \rightarrow \frac{\prod_\mu F_\mu}{\bigoplus_\mu F_\mu}$ be the quotient map, $\overline{U}_x = Q(U_x)$, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be the C^* -subalgebra of $\frac{\prod_\mu F_\mu}{\bigoplus_\mu F_\mu}$ generated by $\{\overline{U}_x : x \text{ is a unitary in } A\}$.

Let $\varphi : \frac{\prod_\mu F_\mu}{\bigoplus_\mu F_\mu} \rightarrow \frac{\ell^\infty(\Lambda_A, A)}{c_0(\Lambda_A, A)}$ be the completely positive contraction defined by $\varphi \circ Q((x_\mu)_\mu) = (\varphi_\mu(x_\mu))_\mu$ in $\ell^\infty(\Lambda_A, A)/c_0(\Lambda_A, A)$. By regarding A as the C^* -subalgebra of $\ell^\infty(\Lambda_A, A)/c_0(\Lambda_A, A)$, it follows that $\varphi(\overline{U}_x) = x$ for any unitary $x \in A$, then $\varphi(\mathcal{C}) = A$. Because of

$$\varphi(\overline{U}_x)^* \varphi(\overline{U}_x) = 1_A = \varphi(\overline{U}_x^* \overline{U}_x) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(\overline{U}_x) \varphi(\overline{U}_x)^* = 1_A = \varphi(\overline{U}_x \overline{U}_x^*),$$

we see that $\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow A$ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism. Let $\tilde{\varphi}$ be the $*$ -isomorphism from $\mathcal{C}/\ker(\varphi|_{\mathcal{C}})$ onto A and $\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}$.

Applying the Choi-Effros lifting theorem [6] to $\tilde{\psi}$, we obtain a unital completely positive map $\psi : A \rightarrow \prod_\mu F_\mu$ such that $\varphi \circ Q \circ \psi(a) = a$ for any $a \in A$. Note that A is required to be nuclear and separable in order to apply [6, Theorem 3.10]. Taking unital completely positive maps $\psi_\mu : A \rightarrow F_\mu$, $\mu \in \Lambda_A$ with $(\psi_\mu(a))_\mu = \psi(a)$ for $a \in A$, we conclude that ψ_μ and $\varphi_{i,\mu}$ satisfy the conditions in (i). $\square$

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Professor Marius Dadarlat for helpful comments on this research, and Professor Narutaka Ozawa for showing him the valuable survey [24]. He also expresses his gratitude to Professor Huaxin Lin and the

organizers of Special Week on Operator Algebras 2019 for their kind hospitality during the author's stay in East China Normal University. This work was supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 15K17553, JSPS.

References

- [1] J. Bosa, N. P. Brown, Y. Sato, A. Tikuisis, S. White, and W. Winter, *Covering dimension of C^* -algebras and 2-coloured Classification*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 257 (2019), no. 1233, vii+97 pp, arXiv:1506.03974.
- [2] N. P. Brown, and N. Ozawa, *C^* -algebras and finite-dimensional approximations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 88, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [3] M. D. Choi, *A Schwarz inequality for positive linear maps on C^* -algebras*, Illinois J. Math. 18 (1974), 565–574.
- [4] M. D. Choi, *Some assorted inequalities for positive linear maps on C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory 4 (1980), no. 2, 271–285.
- [5] M. D. Choi and E. G. Effros, *Nuclear C^* -algebras and the approximation property*, Amer. J. Math. 100 (1978), 61–79.
- [6] M. D. Choi and E. G. Effros, *The completely positive lifting problem for C^* -algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) 104 (1976), no. 3, 585–609.
- [7] A. Connes, *Classification of injective factors Cases II_1 , II_∞ , III_λ , $\lambda \neq 1$* , Ann. of Math. (2), 104 (1976), 73–115.
- [8] M. Dadarlat and A. S. Toms, *Ranks of operators in simple C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. 259 (2010), no. 5, 1209–1229.
- [9] G. A. Elliott and A. S. Toms, *Regularity properties in the classification program for separable amenable C^* -algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 45 (2008), no. 2, 229–245.
- [10] I. Farah, *Combinatorial Set Theory of C^* -algebras*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, (2019).
- [11] I. Farah, D. Hathaway, T. Katsura, and A. Tikuisis, *A simple C^* -algebra with finite nuclear dimension which is not $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable*, Munster J. Math. 7 (2014), no. 2, 515–528.
- [12] X. Jiang and H. Su, *On a simple unital projectionless C^* -algebra*, Amer. J. Math. 121 (1999), no. 2, 359–413.
- [13] N. Johnston and D. W. Kribs, *Generalized multiplicative domains and quantum error correction*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), no. 2, 627–639.
- [14] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras, Vol. II. Advanced theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 100. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, (1986), 399–1074.

- [15] G. G. Kasparov, *Hilbert C^* -modules: theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu*, J. Operator Theory 4 (1980), no. 1, 133–150.
- [16] A. Kishimoto and S. Sakai, *Homogeneity of the pure state space for the separable nuclear C^* -algebras*. Surikaiseikikenkyusho Kokyuroku No. 1250 (2002), 22–41.
- [17] A. Kishimoto, N. Ozawa, and S. Sakai, *Homogeneity of the pure state space of a separable C^* -algebra*, Canad. Math. Bull. 46 (2003), no. 3, 365–372.
- [18] E. Kirchberg, *C^* -nuclearity implies CPAP*, Math. Nachr. 76 (1977), 203–212.
- [19] E. Kirchberg and W. Winter, *Covering dimension and quasidiagonality*, Internat. J. Math. 15 (2004), no. 1, 63–85.
- [20] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -modules. A toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 210. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1995).
- [21] H. Lin and Z. Niu, *Lifting KK -elements, asymptotic unitary equivalence and classification of simple C^* -algebras*, Adv. Math., **219** (2008), 1729–1769.
- [22] H. Matui and Y. Sato, *Strict comparison and $\mathcal{Z}$ -absorption of nuclear C^* -algebras*, Acta Math. 209 (2012), no. 1, 179–196.
- [23] H. Matui and Y. Sato, *Decomposition rank of UHF-absorbing C^* -algebras*, Duke Math. J., 163(14) (2014), 2687–2708.
- [24] N. Ozawa, *A survey on Characterization of nuclear C^* -algebras*, Surikaiseikikenkyusho Kokyuroku No. 1291 (2002), 45–54.
- [25] G. K. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*. London Mathematical Society Monographs, 14. Academic Press, Inc. (1979).
- [26] Y. Sato, *Trace spaces of simple nuclear C^* -algebras with finite-dimensional extreme boundary*, preprint, arXiv:1209.3000.
- [27] Y. Sato, S. White, and W. Winter, *Nuclear dimension and $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability*, Invent. Math. 202 (2015), no. 2, 893–921.
- [28] R. R. Smith, *Completely contractive factorizations of C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. 64 (1985), no. 3, 330–337.
- [29] J. Tomiyama, *On the geometry of positive maps in matrix algebras. II*, Linear Algebra Appl. 69 (1985), 169–177.
- [30] W. Winter, *Decomposition rank and $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability*, Invent. Math. 179 (2010), no. 2, 229–301.
- [31] W. Winter, *Nuclear dimension and $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability of pure C^* -algebras*, Invent. Math. 187 (2012), no. 2, 259–342.

- [32] M. Wolff, *Disjointness preserving operators on C^* -algebras*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 62 (1994), no. 3, 248–253.
- [33] W. Winter and J. Zacharias, *Completely positive maps of order zero*, Munster J. Math. 2 (2009), 311–324.
- [34] W. Winter and J. Zacharias, *The nuclear dimension of C^* -algebras*, Adv. Math. 224 (2010), no. 2, 461–498, arXiv:0903.4914.

Yasuhiko Sato
 Graduate School of Science
 Kyoto University
 Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502
 Japan
 ysato@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp