

# Time-periodic solutions of Hamiltonian PDEs using pseudoholomorphic curves

Oliver Fabert  
Niek Lamoree

## Abstract

We prove the existence of a forced time-periodic solution to general nonlinear Hamiltonian PDEs by using pseudoholomorphic curves as in symplectic homology theory. With a number of assumptions on the nonlinearity, we prove that for a generic time period a solution exists, thereby complementing the result by Rabinowitz [Rab78]. In order to extend the pseudoholomorphic curve methods from Floer theory to our infinite-dimensional setting and prove a type of Gromov-Floer compactness, we use ideas from the theory of Diophantine approximations to overcome the small divisor problem.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Nonlinear Hamiltonian PDEs</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Floer theory in finite dimensions</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Main theorem and finite-dimensional results</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Bubbling-off analysis</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Small divisor problem</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Completing the proof</b>	<b>22</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>25</b>

## 1 Nonlinear Hamiltonian PDEs

Let us start by describing the framework in which we will study our PDEs. Let  $(\mathbb{H}, \omega)$  be a symplectic Hilbert space, meaning a Hilbert space with an anti-symmetric bilinear map  $\omega$  for which  $i_\omega : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^*$  is an isomorphism. Following [Kuk95], we fix a complete Darboux basis  $\{e_n^\pm\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in the sense that  $\omega(e_i^+, e_j^-) = \delta_{ij}$ . We define an anti-symmetric linear operator  $J$  by  $Je_n^\pm := \mp e_n^\mp$  so that we can write the symplectic form as  $\omega = \langle \cdot, J \cdot \rangle$ . For a smooth Hamiltonian function  $H : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the symplectic gradient  $X_H$  is defined by  $dH(\cdot) = \omega(X_H, \cdot)$  so that  $X_H = J\nabla H$ . We then write the Hamiltonian equation as

$$\dot{u} = X_H(u) = J\nabla H(u). \quad (1)$$

In this paper, we consider will study general Hamiltonian PDEs of the form

$$H_t(u) = \frac{1}{2}\langle Au, u \rangle + F_t(u) =: H_A(u) + F_t(u) \quad (2)$$

for some time-dependent and  $T$ -periodic nonlinearity  $F_t$  defined in Definition 1.11, and quadratic term  $H_A$  (also called the free term) defined by a linear, possibly unbounded, self-adjoint (differential) operator  $A : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ . We restrict to the case where the Hilbert space is a space of functions in one variable, which depends on the specific Hamiltonian PDE. The results in this paper can be generalized to functions with more space coordinates. Before we give some examples of Hamiltonian PDEs of this form (2), let us first address the problem that the differential operator  $A$  of the free term  $H_A$  is actually only densely defined. The free flow  $\phi_t^A$ , however, extends to a linear unitary map on the whole space  $\mathbb{H}$ . Even though the existence of the free flow can be established, we have to be careful about the kind of nonlinearities we allow for the flow of the full Hamiltonian to still be guaranteed: even when  $F_t$  is smooth, there need not exist a flow of  $F_t$  due to compactness problems. Let us consider some examples: a number can be found in [Kuk95], [Ber07] and [DG05], here we mention two:

**Example 1.1** (Nonlinear wave equation (NLW)). *We write the nonlinear wave equation as*

$$\ddot{\varphi} - \varphi_{xx} - \partial_1 g_t(\varphi * \psi, x) * \psi = 0; \quad \varphi = \varphi(t, x) = \varphi(t, x + X), \quad x \in S^1 \quad (3)$$

with  $\psi \in C^s(S^1)$  for  $s > 0$  and  $g_t$  smooth in the first component. This is a Hamiltonian PDE on the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H} = H^1(\mathbb{Z}/X\mathbb{Z}) \times L^2(\mathbb{Z}/X\mathbb{Z})$ . It can be written as HPDE as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\varphi} \\ \dot{\pi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi \\ \varphi_{xx} - \partial_1 g_t(\varphi * \psi, x) * \psi \end{pmatrix} = J\nabla_{L^2} H_t(\varphi, \pi)$$

with  $J(\varphi, \pi) = (-\pi, \varphi)$  and with Hamiltonian

$$H_t(\varphi, \pi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^X |\varphi_x|^2 + |\pi|^2 + 2g_t(\varphi * \psi, x) dx.$$

However, this Hilbert space does not admit a complete Darboux basis. We will study a different structure in Example 1.7.

**Example 1.2** (Nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS)). *The nonlinear Schrödinger equation*

$$i\dot{u} + u_{xx} + \partial_1 f_t(|u * \psi|^2, x) (u * \psi) * \psi = 0; \quad u = u(t, x) = u(t, x + X), \quad x \in S^1$$

with  $f_t$  smooth in the first variable and  $\psi \in C^s(S^1)$  for  $s > 0$ . The Hilbert space is  $L^2(S^1, \mathbb{C})$ . The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_t(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^X |u_x|^2 + f_t(|u * \psi|^2, x) dx.$$

This Hamiltonian PDE descends to an infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian system on projective Hilbert space.

See [Fab19] for more details on this last example. In contrast to [Fab19], in this paper we will not focus on specific examples but rather consider nonlinear Hamiltonian PDEs with general nonlinearities on linear space.

In general we would not be able to ensure that the flow of the full Hamiltonian is well-defined on all of  $\mathbb{H}$ . In our examples, we resolve this existence problem by working with nonlinearities

of convolution type, with smoothing kernel  $\psi$  of class  $C^s$ . Then the flow of  $F_t$  does exist when  $s > 0$ . More precisely, we show that they define an sc-Hamiltonian flow which we define below. We start by introducing Hilbert scales in the sense of [Kuk00]: our symplectic Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H}$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $\ell^2$  via the complete Darboux basis  $\{e_n^\pm\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  by

$$\mathbb{H} \ni u = \sum_n \hat{u}(n) e_n^\pm \mapsto (\hat{u}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

We will write  $\mathbb{H}_0 = \mathbb{H}$  and define  $\mathbb{H}_1$  to be the (dense) subspace of  $\mathbb{H}_0$  consisting of those  $u = \sum_n \hat{u}(n) e_n^\pm$  for which  $\sum_n \hat{u}(n) n e_n^\pm$  is in  $\mathbb{H}_0$ . We define  $\ell^{2,1}$  to be the image of  $\mathbb{H}_1$  under the isomorphism between  $\mathbb{H}_0$  and  $\ell^2$  described above. More generally, we define

$$\mathbb{H}_k := \left\{ u \in \mathbb{H}_0 \left| \sum_n \hat{u}(n) n^k e_n^\pm \in \mathbb{H}_0 \right. \right\}, \quad \ell^{2,k} := \left\{ (\hat{u}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2 \left| (\hat{u}(n) n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2 \right. \right\}.$$

The totality  $(\mathbb{H}_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$  is also known as a Hilbert scale. We let  $\mathbb{H}_\infty = \bigcap \mathbb{H}_s$  and  $\mathbb{H}_{-\infty} = \bigcup \mathbb{H}_s$ . Note that  $\mathbb{H}_s$  is dense and embeds compactly in  $\mathbb{H}_r$  when  $s > r$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A map  $F_t : \mathbb{H}_0 = \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called  $s$ -regularizing when it extends to a smooth map

$$F_t : \mathbb{H}_{-s} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Let's observe some implications of this: the differential is a map

$$dF_t : \mathbb{H}_{-s} \rightarrow (\mathbb{H}_{-s})^* \cong \mathbb{H}_s$$

and so, in particular, for the gradient it holds that

$$\nabla F_t : \mathbb{H}_0 \subset \mathbb{H}_{-s} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_s.$$

If we write

$$\nabla F_t(u) = \sum_n \widehat{\nabla F_t(u)}(n) z_n =: \sum_n \hat{v}(n) z_n$$

then we see that  $\hat{v}(n) = o(n^{-s-\frac{1}{2}})$ , which is the statement we will need. Note that this latter notion can also be stated in terms of Kuksin's Hilbert scale theory by saying that  $\nabla F_t$  is a scale morphism of the Hilbert scale  $\{\mathbb{H}_k\}_k$  order  $-s$ .

Let us show that the nonlinearities in the examples above are  $s$ -regularizing: when  $u \in \mathbb{H}_{-s}$  and  $\psi \in C^s$  with  $s = 0$ , then  $u * \psi \in C^0$  for both examples. When  $s > 0$  then the result follows from the fact that  $\partial_x^s u * \psi = u * \partial_x^s \psi$ . For both examples it then follows that the integrands defining the nonlinearities are continuous in  $x$ , and so the nonlinearities themselves are well-defined and smooth. We say that the nonlinearity  $F_t : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\infty$ -regularizing when it is  $s$ -regularizing for all  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . This happens in both examples when we would let  $\psi \in C^\infty$ .

We stress here that we have two different kinds of regularity: one is the smoothness of the map  $F_t : \mathbb{H}_{-s} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the other is the value of  $s$ . The first of these corresponds to the regularity in the time coordinate of the solution to the Hamiltonian PDE, the second to the regularity of the space coordinate. See Section 6 for details and examples. When the nonlinearity is  $\infty$ -regularizing, the solution will be smooth in both the space and time coordinate.

As the full Hamiltonian is only densely defined, its flow is not Hamiltonian in the usual sense. Rather, it's an *sc-Hamiltonian flow*, which we define as follows.

**Definition 1.4.** A map  $H : \mathbb{H}_s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called strongly  $sc^1$  when the differential  $dH : \mathbb{H}_s \times \mathbb{H}_s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  extends to a family of maps

$$dH : \mathbb{H}_{s+\ell} \times \mathbb{H}_{s-\ell} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  be the order of the differential operator  $A$ , then note that  $H_A$  is a map  $H_A : \mathbb{H}_{d/2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  because  $H_A(u) = \left| \sqrt{A}u \right|^2$  (in fact  $H_A$  is smooth and quadratic as  $H_A : W^{d/2,p}(\mathbb{R}/X\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ). It is strongly  $sc^1$  because  $dH_A$  is given by

$$dH_A(u) \cdot v = \langle Au, v \rangle$$

and this defines a family of maps  $dH_A : \mathbb{H}_{d/2+\ell} \times \mathbb{H}_{d/2-\ell} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ . If we write  $k = \ell - d/2$  then  $dH_t : \mathbb{H}_{d+k} \times \mathbb{H}_{-k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Note that  $\omega$  induces an isomorphism  $\omega : \mathbb{H}_k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}_{-k}^*$  and so the (sc-) symplectic gradient  $X_t^H$  defined by  $\omega(X_t^H, \cdot) = dH_t$  is given by a family of maps  $X_t^H : \mathbb{H}_{d+k} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . That is,  $X_t^H$  is a scale morphism of order  $d$  for all  $k$ .

**Definition 1.5.** We say  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is an sc-Hamiltonian flow of degree  $d$  when

1.  $\phi$  is  $sc^\infty$  in the sense of [HWZ10] for the Hilbert scale  $(\mathbb{H}_{dm})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ . In particular, the time-derivative defines a family of maps  $\partial_t \phi : \mathbb{H}_{d+k} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
2. There exists a strongly  $sc^1$  map  $H_t : \mathbb{H}_{d/2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\partial_t \phi = X_t^H$ .

The free flow  $\phi_t^A$  is an sc-Hamiltonian flow. To show that we still get an sc-Hamiltonian flow after we have added the nonlinearity, it is sufficient to show that the flow of  $F_t$  is smooth on  $\mathbb{H}_k$  for all  $k$ . Then it is immediately sc-Hamiltonian. The first follows from the fact that  $J\nabla F_t$  is smooth as a map from  $\mathbb{H}_k$  to  $\mathbb{H}_{k+s}$  for some  $s > 0$ , as the compact inclusion  $\mathbb{H}_{k+s} \subset \mathbb{H}_k$  guarantees that the flow on  $\mathbb{H}_k$  exists by Picard-Lindelöf. The nonlinearities in our examples satisfy this.

Let us return to our setup: when the Hamiltonian is of the form (2), then the gradient is

$$\nabla H_t(u) = Au + \nabla F_t(u)$$

and so the Hamiltonian equation (1) is

$$\dot{u} = J(Au + \nabla F_t(u)) = X_{H_A}(u) + X_F(u) = X_H(u)$$

where  $X_H = JA + J\nabla F_t$ . Since  $A$  is self-adjoint, we can diagonalize it. Here we have to make an assumption on the operator  $A$ .

**Definition 1.6.** The operator  $A$  of the free term  $H_A$  is called admissible when there exists a complete Darboux basis of eigenvectors of the operator  $A$ .

All known examples (see [Kuk95]) satisfy this condition. From now on, we will work with admissible  $A$ . So let's choose such a complete Darboux basis consisting of eigenvectors of  $A$ , so that  $A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_2, \dots)$  and  $Ae_n^\pm = \lambda_n e_n^\pm$ . Then the operator  $JA$  is diagonal in the complex basis  $z_n := 2^{-1/2}(e_n^+ + ie_n^-)$  with eigenvalues  $i\lambda_n$ . The symplectic form is rewritten as  $\omega = d\bar{z} \wedge dz$ . Following [Kuk95], we note that the flow maps of the free Hamiltonian  $\phi_t^A = e^{tJA}$  define a family of symplectomorphisms on  $\mathbb{H}$ . Motivated by examples, we will write the eigenvalues as  $\lambda_n = an^d$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  depends on the space period and  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  is the order of the differential operator  $A$ . The eigenvalues of the time- $T$  flow are then  $e^{ian^dT}$ . Before continuing, let us again consider two examples to motivate the above assumptions.

**Example 1.7** (NLW). *The nonlinear wave equation in one space dimension was modelled in Example 1.1 on  $\mathbb{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}/X\mathbb{Z}) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}/X\mathbb{Z})$ . However, we want the Hilbert basis to be a complete Darboux basis of eigenvectors of the operator  $A$ . This forces us to choose  $\mathbb{H} = H^{1/2}(S^1) \times H^{1/2}(S^1)$  with  $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/X\mathbb{Z}$ . In this setting, we study equation (3) with the same nonlinearity. Now we write the operator  $A$  as  $A = (B, B)$  with  $B = \sqrt{-\partial_x^2}$  and we write the nonlinear wave equation as*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\varphi} \\ \dot{\pi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -B\pi \\ B\varphi + B^{-1}g_t(\varphi * \psi) * \psi \end{pmatrix}.$$

The inner product on  $H^{1/2}(S^1)$  is

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^X Bf(x)g(x)dx.$$

The complete Darboux basis is then given by

$$e_n^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(\xi_n(x), 0), \quad e_n^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(0, \xi_n(x));$$

$$\xi_n(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi nx}{X}\right) & n \leq 0 \\ \sqrt{2} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi nx}{X}\right) & n > 0 \end{cases}$$

and the eigenvalues of  $A$  are

$$Ae_n^\pm = \frac{2\pi n}{X}e_n^\pm$$

so  $\lambda_n = an^d$  with  $a = 2\pi/X$  and  $d = 1$ . The flow maps are

$$\phi_T^A z_n = e^{iT\frac{2\pi n}{X}} z_n$$

with  $z_n$  defined as above.

**Example 1.8** (NLS). *The Hilbert space for this PDE is  $L^2(S^1, \mathbb{C})$  with complete Darboux basis given by*

$$e_{\pm n}(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i n x}{X}}.$$

This means that the eigenvalues of the free part of the Hamiltonian  $A = -\partial_x^2$  are  $\lambda_{\pm n} = (2\pi n/X)^2$ . So the time- $T$  flow of the free part of the Hamiltonian is

$$\phi_T^A z_n = e^{iT\left(\frac{2\pi n}{X}\right)^2} z_n.$$

Writing the eigenvalues in the form suggested above, we get  $\lambda_n = an^d$  where  $a = (2\pi/X)^2$  and  $d = 2$ .

Here we already catch a glimpse of what will be a problem we need to address, which does not appear in the finite-dimensional case: in order to apply the machinery of Floer theory in our infinite-dimensional situation, we need to ensure that the system is non-degenerate, i.e. that the time- $T$  flow map has no eigenvalue equal to 1. For the examples above this means that  $T/X$  (for Example 1.7) and  $2\pi T/X^2$  (for Example 1.8) should not be rational. Compare this condition for Example 1.7 with [Rab78], who proved existence of forced time-periodic solutions when the number  $T/X$  is rational. For general eigenvalues  $\lambda_n = an^d$  we need  $aT/2\pi$

to be irrational, where we stress that  $a$  implicitly depends on the space period  $X$ . However, even if these numbers are irrational, if  $n$  becomes bigger and bigger (a subsequence of) the eigenvalues of the flow could converge to 1. Let us illustrate this problem somewhat: to prove the existence of a solution to the nonlinear PDE, we have to assume that the time- $T$  flow of the free Hamiltonian  $\phi_T^A$  has only one fixed point, or, alternatively, that the only solution to the free Hamiltonian equation

$$\dot{u} = JAu, \quad u(0) = u(T) \quad (4)$$

is  $u \equiv 0$ . When  $aT/2\pi$  is irrational, this forces the only solution to (4) to be  $u \equiv 0$ : if  $u_0$  is a fixed point of the time- $T$  free flow, then expanding  $u_0$  as  $\sum \hat{u}_0(n)z_n$  shows that

$$\phi_T^A u_0 = \phi_T^A \sum_n \hat{u}_0(n)z_n = \sum_n e^{iaTn^d} \hat{u}_0(n)z_n.$$

So as long as  $aT/2\pi$  is irrational, for any  $n$  there are no eigenvalues equal to one. In the limit, however, this is not guaranteed: there could be a subsequence of  $(e^{i\lambda_n})_n$  converging to 1. This is an instance of the small divisor problem. To solve this problem, we need to control the way in which the eigenvalues (or a subsequence of them) converge to 1. The essence of our approach is that we should not be able to approximate the irrational number  $aT/2\pi$  too well by rational ones. More formally, we make the following definition.

**Definition 1.9.** *We call the pair of time and space periods  $(T, X) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  admissible when the number  $aT/2\pi$  is Diophantine.*

In particular, the Diophantine number  $aT/2\pi$  has finite *irrationality measure*. Let us explain this statement: every real number can be approximated by a continued fraction and this gives a measure of how good a real number can be approximated by rationals. For all  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists  $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$\left| \sigma - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^2}.$$

The irrationality measure gives is a measure of how good this approximation can be. It is defined as the infimum of the set of real numbers  $\rho$  for which

$$\frac{c}{q^\rho} < \left| \sigma - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^2}$$

holds for some fixed  $c > 0$  and is usually denoted by  $r$ . In particular, it is at least 2. It turns out that the set of numbers of irrationality measure 2 (and hence of Diophantine numbers) has full Lebesgue measure [Bug12, theorem E.3]. By *generic time period*  $T$  we will mean  $T$  for which  $aT/2\pi$  has irrationality measure  $r = 2$ . For  $\pi$ , it is shown in [Sal08] that  $r < 8$ . This is used, for example, in [Fab19] to prove a statement similar our main theorem for the NLSE on projective Hilbert space.

In order to deal with the asymptotic nondegeneracy caused by the small divisor problem, our key idea is as follows: in order for Gromov-Floer compactness to hold, we want to assume that the nonlinearity can be approximated by finite-dimensional ones better than the eigenvalues of the time- $T$  flow of the free Hamiltonian approach 1. This puts restrictions on the regularity of the nonlinearity. To explain this, consider the following: expanding  $u \in \mathbb{H}$  as  $u = \sum \hat{u}(n)z_n$  we let  $u^k$  denote the restriction to  $\mathbb{C}^k \subset \mathbb{H}$

$$u^k := \sum_{n=1}^k \hat{u}(n)z_n.$$

The finite-dimensional restriction  $F_t^k$  of the nonlinearity is then

$$F_t^k(u) := F_t(u^k)$$

and we write  $X_t^{F,k}$  for the symplectic gradient of this finite-dimensional restriction. We prove the main theorem for such finite-dimensional restrictions and show that the result still holds as we increase the dimension. This can be done because even though the time- $T$  free flow map is asymptotically non-degenerate, the assumptions we will place on the nonlinearity assure that this is no problem. Key to this generalization to infinite dimensions is the following.

**Lemma 1.10.** *Assume the nonlinearity  $F_t$  is  $s$ -regularizing with  $s > 0$ , the boundedness assumption  $\|F\|_{C^\alpha} < C_\alpha$  and has bounded support  $F_t(u) = 0$  when  $|u| > R$ . Then the flow  $\phi_t^{F,k}$  of the restricted Hamiltonian  $F_t^k$  restricts to the finite dimensional subspace  $\mathbb{C}^k \subset \mathbb{H}$ . The sequence  $(F^k)_k$  converges uniformly in  $k$  and with all derivatives to  $F$ . In particular, the sequences  $X^{F,k}$  and  $\phi_T^{F,k}$  of vector fields and time- $T$  flows converge uniformly as well.*

*Proof.* We have

$$\langle \nabla F_t^k(u), v \rangle = \langle \pi_k \nabla F_t(\pi_k(u)), v \rangle$$

so that the vector field  $X_t^{F,k}$  and flow  $\phi_t^{F,k}$  restrict to  $\mathbb{C}^k$ . For the convergence, note that

$$\begin{aligned} |F_t(u) - F_t^k(u)| &= |F_t(u) - F_t(u^k)| \\ &\leq \left\| \nabla_{\perp}^k F \right\|_{\infty} |u - u^k| \\ &\leq \left\| \nabla_{\perp}^k F \right\|_{\infty} R \end{aligned}$$

where we decompose the gradient into a  $k$ -component  $\nabla^k F_t$  and a normal component  $\nabla_{\perp}^k F_t$  such that their sum is the gradient of  $F_t$ . Because  $F_t$  satisfies the regularity assumption  $\nabla F_t : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_s$ , the coefficients  $\hat{v}(n)$  in the expansion of  $\nabla F_t(u)$  satisfy  $\hat{v}(n) = o(n^{-s-\frac{1}{2}})$ . Hence the  $C^0$ -norm of  $\nabla_{\perp}^k F$  satisfies

$$\left\| \nabla_{\perp}^k F \right\|_{C^0} = o(k^{-s}).$$

Note the importance of the assumption  $\|F\|_{C^\alpha} < \infty$ : even though the finite-dimensional nonlinearities  $F_t^k$  may have compact support, a ball in  $\mathbb{H}$  is only bounded and not compact. We remark that for (higher) gradients of  $F_t$ , the same argument holds and we have convergence with all derivatives:

$$\left\| F - F^k \right\|_{C^\alpha} = o(k^{-s})$$

for all  $\alpha$ . □

Note that when  $F_t$  is  $\infty$ -regularizing then the convergence happens exponentially fast.

In order to be able to use the results from Floer and symplectic homology for open sets in finite dimensions as in [HF94], [Oan04], [Wen10], we need a sequence of finite-dimensional Hamiltonians which converges in the proper sense to our infinite-dimensional one as above. As we show above, these can be constructed for bounded  $s$ -regularizing nonlinearities with bounded support. To be more general, though, we assume that for our nonlinearities such an approximating sequence exist. More precisely, we impose the following restrictions on the nonlinearity.

**Definition 1.11.** A nonlinearity  $F_t : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called  $A$ -admissible if  $A$  is admissible and  $F_t : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the following conditions:

1.  $F_t$  is  $T$ -periodic with  $(T, X)$  admissible.
2. The nonlinearity  $F_t$  can be approximated by its finite-dimensional restrictions  $F_t^k$  uniformly in  $k$  sufficiently well, that is,

$$\|F - F^k\|_{C^\alpha} = o(k^{-s})$$

with  $s > s_0 := 2 + 4d(r - 1)$ . Here  $r$  is the irrationality measure of  $aT/2\pi$  and  $d$  the order of the differential operator  $A$ .

3. For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $R_k > 0$  such that  $F_t(u^k) = 0$  for all  $u^k \in \mathbb{C}^k \subset \mathbb{H}$  with  $|u^k| > R_k$ .

Throughout this paper all  $C^\alpha$ -norms are with respect to  $u \in \mathbb{H}$  (or the appropriate subspace) as well as  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Note that the approximation assumption 2. in particular implies that the  $C^\alpha$ -norms of the nonlinearity are bounded. We stress that the notion of  $A$ -admissibility depends on the operator  $A$  because the irrationality measure of the number  $aT/2\pi$  associated to the eigenvalues of  $A$ , as well as the order of the PDE, dictate what regularity we need for the nonlinearity. Observe as well that the Diophantine condition is generic in the sense that Diophantine numbers have full Lebesgue measure. Our results do not hold when the number  $aT/2\pi$  is not Diophantine (for example, when it is rational). Note, though, that the Diophantine condition should rather be thought of as a condition on the time period, rather than on the eigenvalues of  $A$ : we start with a Hamiltonian PDE and this condition restricts what time periods the solutions can have. So the result proven in this paper holds for almost all time periods  $T$ . The main result is the following:

**Theorem 1.12.** For a Hamiltonian PDE with  $A$ -admissible nonlinearity there exists a forced time-periodic solution. In particular, when  $s > 14$  we find a strong solution to the nonlinear wave equation and when  $s > 20$  we find a strong solution to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, for generic time period  $T$ .

When  $s$  is big enough to have a forced periodic solution for generic  $T$  depends on the specific PDE one is studying. For details about the minimal regularity for NLW and NLS, we refer to the end of Section 6. We remark that the nonlinearities in Example 1.1 and Example 1.2 are  $A$ -admissible only after employing cut-off functions. The main theorem uses ideas from Floer theory, which we will now introduce.

## 2 Floer theory in finite dimensions

Before stating the main theorem and explaining our approach, let us first give a brief introduction to Hamiltonian Floer theory (following [Sal97] and [AD13]) and symplectic homology (following [HF94]) in finite dimensions. Floer homology was initially introduced as a tool to prove the Arnold conjecture about 1-periodic solutions to the Hamiltonian equation

$$\dot{x} = X_H(x) \tag{5}$$

on a closed finite-dimensional symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$ . The notion of a symplectic manifolds generalizes the concept of linear phase spaces and is defined as a manifold with a closed non-degenerate 2-form  $\omega$ . For the discussion of Floer homology below, we assume that  $\pi_2(M) = 0$ . Examples of such spaces are surfaces of positive genus<sup>1</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup>The theory discussed here in fact holds for *monotone* manifolds as well, meaning that the first Chern class is a positive multiple of the symplectic form. Examples of monotone spaces are projective space  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ .

The key idea of Floer homology is to do Morse homology on the loop space of such a manifold. Symplectic homology, which we discuss later in this section, is a generalization of Floer homology to open symplectic manifolds with cylindrical ends. To make the machinery of Floer theory for closed manifolds work in the open setting, one needs some extra restrictions and ingredients, as we will see below. An example of such an open manifold for which symplectic homology can be defined, is linear space, which is what we consider in this paper. In both the closed and open case, the construction realizes the 1-periodic solutions of the Hamiltonian equation as critical points of a certain functional on the loop space of the manifold. One then introduces a boundary operator (in the sense of homology) which counts flow lines connecting periodic orbits, thus forming a chain complex similar to the Morse chain complex. Under certain assumptions, it can be proven that the homology of this chain complex is isomorphic to the simplicial homology of the manifold, which proves the Arnold conjecture. We will now introduce Floer theory in more detail.

Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a closed symplectic manifold of dimension  $2n$  and  $\mathcal{LM} \subset C^\infty(S^1, M)$  the connected component of the loop space of  $M$  which contains the constant loop (and hence all smooth contractible loops in  $M$ ). We will view such loops as smooth maps  $x : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M$ . This space is an infinite-dimensional Fréchet manifold. At a point  $x \in \mathcal{LM}$ , the tangent space  $T_x\mathcal{LM}$  consists of vector fields along  $x$ : an element  $\xi \in T_x\mathcal{LM}$  is a smooth map  $\xi : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow TM$  such that  $\xi(t) \in T_{x(t)}M$ . Alternatively,  $T_x\mathcal{LM} = C^\infty(S^1, x^*TM)$ . For a 1-periodic Hamiltonian  $H_t$ , we can define a 1-form on  $T\mathcal{LM}$  by

$$\psi_H : T\mathcal{LM} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (x, \xi) \mapsto \int_0^1 \omega(\dot{x}(t) - X_t(x(t)), \xi(t)) dt.$$

Since  $\omega$  is non-degenerate, we see that the zero points correspond to 1-periodic solutions of (5). This form is the differential of the *symplectic action functional*

$$\mathcal{A}_H : \mathcal{LM} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto - \int_{D^2} u^* \omega - \int_0^1 H_t(x(t)) dt$$

where  $u : D^2 \rightarrow M$  is a smooth extension of  $x : S^1 \rightarrow M$ , meaning that  $u(e^{2\pi it}) = x(t)$ . So we see that critical points of the action functional are the 1-periodic solutions to equation (5). It can be shown that the value of  $\mathcal{A}_H$  is independent of the choice of extension  $u$ .

Let us fix a 1-periodic Hamiltonian  $H_t$  and assume all its 1-periodic orbits are non-degenerate in the sense that  $\det(1 - d\phi_1^H) \neq 0$ , where  $\phi_t^H$  is the flow of the Hamiltonian vector field  $X_t$ . Since we want to do Morse theory for  $\mathcal{A}_H$ , we need a metric on  $\mathcal{LM}$  in order to study its flow lines. Let  $J_t$  be a 1-periodic family of  $\omega$ -compatible almost-complex structures. This induces a time-dependent metric  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_t = \omega(\xi, J_t \eta)$ . The inner product on  $T_{x(t)}\mathcal{LM}$  is

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle := \int_0^1 \langle \xi(t), \eta(t) \rangle_t dt.$$

The gradient  $\nabla \mathcal{A}_H$  satisfies

$$\langle \nabla \mathcal{A}_H(x), \xi \rangle = \int_0^1 \omega(\nabla \mathcal{A}_H(x)(t), J_t \xi(t)) dt$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla \mathcal{A}_H(x), \xi \rangle &= d\mathcal{A}_H(x)(\xi) \\ &= \psi_H(x, \xi) \\ &= \langle J_{x(t)}(\dot{x}(t) - X_t(x(t))), \xi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\nabla \mathcal{A}_H(x) = J_{x(t)} \dot{x}(t) - \nabla H_t(x(t))$ , where  $\nabla H_t = J_t X_t$ . A gradient flow line, then, is defined as a map  $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}M : s \mapsto u(s, \cdot)$  such that  $\partial_s u + \nabla \mathcal{A}_H(u(s, \cdot)) = 0$ , or

$$\partial_s u + \nabla \mathcal{A}_H(u(s, \cdot)) = \partial_s u + J_t(u) \partial_t u - \nabla H_t(u) = 0 \quad (6)$$

where  $u$  is a smooth map  $u : \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \rightarrow M$  satisfying  $u(s, t+1) = u(s, t)$ . This perturbed Cauchy-Riemann equation is known as the Floer equation. We define the *moduli space of Floer curves* as

$$\mathcal{M}(x^-, x^+; H, J) := \left\{ u : \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \rightarrow M \mid u \text{ solves (6) and } \lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(s, t) = x^\pm(t) \text{ solve } \dot{x}^\pm = X_H(x^\pm) \right\}.$$

Solutions of the Floer equation (6) are flow lines in  $\mathcal{L}M$ , which can be seen as cylinders in  $M$  whose limit points are 1-periodic solutions  $x^\pm$  of the Hamiltonian equation. The *energy* of a solution  $u$  is defined as

$$E(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial s} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - X_t(u) \right|^2 \right) ds dt.$$

For  $u \in \mathcal{M}(x^-, x^+; H, J)$  the identity

$$E(u) = \mathcal{A}_H(x^-) - \mathcal{A}_H(x^+)$$

holds. It turns out that a solution  $u$  to (6) has finite energy  $E(u) < \infty$  if and only if the limits  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(s, t)$  are 1-periodic solutions  $x$  to  $\dot{x} = X_H(x)$ , assuming all such solutions are non-degenerate. For generic  $H_t$ , the moduli spaces are finite-dimensional - although the dimension depends on the connected component. For the one-dimensional component  $\mathcal{M}_1(x^-, x^+; H, J)$ , we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** (Gromov-Floer compactness). *For a compact symplectically aspherical symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$ , the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_1(x^-, x^+; H, J)/\mathbb{R}$  is compact, where the quotient mods out the  $\mathbb{R}$ -action on the cylinders.*

The higher-dimensional components may not be compact, but they can be compactified by adding *broken curves*. This will be explained in more detail in the next section.

One can then go further to define a boundary operator as in Morse homology. Assume now that we have constructed an appropriate analogue of the Morse index which assigns to every 1-periodic solution  $x$  an integer  $\mu_{CZ}(x) \in \mathbb{N}$  (called the Conley-Zehnder index), such that the  $k$ -dimensional component of the moduli space contains Floer curves connecting  $x$  and  $y$  with  $\mu_{CZ}(x) - \mu_{CZ}(y) = k$ . We can then define the Floer chain complex. Let  $\mathcal{P}(H)$  be the set of 1-periodic solutions of (5). The chain groups are defined as



$$CF_k(H) = \bigoplus_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{P}(H) \\ \mu_{CZ}(x) = k}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\langle x \rangle.$$

The Floer boundary operator counts flow lines (in  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  to avoid trouble with orientations) between critical points of the action functional and is defined as

$$\partial^F \langle x \rangle = \sum_{\substack{y \in \mathcal{P}(H) \\ \mu_{CZ}(y) - \mu_{CZ}(x) = 1}} \left( \# (\mathcal{M}(x, y; H, J)/\mathbb{R}) \pmod{2} \right) \langle y \rangle$$

where the quotient is with respect to the natural  $\mathbb{R}$ -action on solutions  $u$ . Taking the quotient lowers the dimension by 1 and so Gromov compactness Theorem 2.1 ensures that the quotient

in the definition of  $\partial^F$  is a finite set of points. The homology of this complex is called *Floer homology*  $FH_\bullet(H, J) := H(CF_\bullet(H), \partial^F)$ . Now the crucial step in proving the Arnold conjecture is to prove that this construction is, in fact, independent of the choice of Hamiltonian so that it makes sense to write  $FH_\bullet(M)$ . This can be used to construct an isomorphism between the Floer homology and simplicial homology of the symplectic manifold, which proves the Arnold conjecture. This idea of invariance plays a crucial role in our considerations as well and we will come back to it after the following summarized comparison between Morse and Floer theory [Oan04]:

Morse theory	Floer theory
Manifold $M$	Loop space $\mathcal{LM}$
Metric $g$	$L^2$ -metric $\int_0^1 \omega(\cdot, J_t \cdot) dt$ on $T_x \mathcal{LM}$
Function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$	Action functional $\mathcal{A}_H : \mathcal{LM} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
$df(x)$	$d\mathcal{A}_H = \psi_H$
Non-degenerate critical point of $f$	Non-degenerate 1-periodic orbit satisfying (5)
Morse index	Conley-Zehnder index
$\nabla f$	$\nabla \mathcal{A}_H(x) = J_t(\dot{x} - X_H)$
Negative gradient trajectory	Map $u : \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \rightarrow M$ satisfying (6) and $E(u) < \infty$
 <p>Critical points of <math>df</math> in <math>M</math> connected by a flow line</p>	 <p>1-periodic solutions of (5) in <math>M</math>, which are endpoints of a solution <math>u</math> to (6)</p>

So far we have outlined the ideas and concepts used in the construction. Let us now also mention the general technical details, to give an idea of the analysis involved. In Floer theory (or in general when studying perturbed  $J$ -holomorphic curves), one more or less follows the following approach [Wen16]:

1. Construct a smooth Banach manifold  $\mathcal{B}^{1,p}$  of maps  $u : \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \rightarrow M$  such that the solutions to the Floer equation with the correct limit behavior are elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ . The tangent spaces  $T_u \mathcal{B}^{1,p}$  consist of sections of  $u^*TM$ .
2. Construct a smooth bundle  $\mathcal{E}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{1,p}$  such that for  $u \in \mathcal{B}^{1,p}$  the fiber  $\mathcal{E}_u^p = \pi^{-1}u$  is the space of sections of the vector bundle of complex-antilinear maps  $(T(\mathbb{R} \times S^1), j) \rightarrow (u^*TM, J)$ .
3. Show that  $\partial_H : \mathcal{B}^{1,p} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^p : u \mapsto \partial_s u + J(u)(\partial_t u - X_H(u))$  defines a smooth section whose zero set is the space of solutions to the Floer equation.
4. After (possibly) perturbing  $J$ , show that for  $u \in \partial_H^{-1}(0)$  the linearization at  $u$  of  $\partial_H$  given by  $D\partial_H : T_u \mathcal{B}^{1,p} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}u$  is a surjective Fredholm operator, i.e. that  $\partial_H$  is transverse to the zero-section.
5. Using the implicit function theorem, it follows from the surjectivity of  $D\partial_H(u)$  that  $\partial_H^{-1}(0)$  is a smooth finite-dimensional manifold with tangent space  $\ker D\partial_H(u)$ . From this, it follows that the dimension of  $\partial_H^{-1}(0)$  near  $u$  is the Fredholm index of the linearized operator at  $u$ .

For details, see e.g. [Sal97], [AD13] or [Wen16].

We can adapt this construction of Floer homology to the setting of linear space  $(\mathbb{C}^k, \omega)$  as done in [HF94] (see also [Vit99], [BO09], [Wen10] or [Oan04] for Floer homology of open symplectic manifolds). One of the main difficulties one has to overcome in the transition from a compact to a non-compact space, is the fact that compactness of the moduli space will not automatically hold due to the fact that the Floer curves could escape to infinity. In [HF94] Floer homology is constructed for open sets in  $\mathbb{C}^k$  by considering Hamiltonians  $H_t$  which, among some other more technical assumptions, satisfy the following two conditions:

- $H_t$  is *asymptotically quadratic*, meaning that there exists a positive definite linear operator  $A$  such that

$$\frac{|\nabla H_t(u) - Au|}{|u|} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } |u| \rightarrow \infty;$$

- The linear Hamiltonian system  $\dot{x} = iAx$  only has the trivial solution.

If we restrict all notions in the setup from Section 1 to  $\mathbb{C}^k$ , the free part of the Hamiltonian  $H_A(u) = \langle Au, u \rangle$  plays this role of quadratic Hamiltonian with linear operator  $A$ . The assumption that  $(T, X)$  is admissible ensures that the second condition is also satisfied. Compactness can be proven by invoking the maximum principle, which gives  $C^0$ -bounds on the Floer curves. One can then construct Floer homology as in the closed case and this is called symplectic homology. Because we have to ensure that the Floer curves remain bounded, in general invariance of the Hamiltonian in the case of symplectic homology is more subtle than for Floer homology for closed spaces though. Assume  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are two (asymptotically quadratic) Hamiltonians as above and  $H^s : [-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a *monotone* homotopy between them. By this we mean a smooth family of Hamiltonians for which  $H^{-1} = H_1$  and  $H^1 = H_2$  and  $\partial_s H^s \geq 0$ . Then the symplectic homology constructed using  $H^s$  is invariant of  $s$ . In our setting things are slightly different: the homotopy we use in Section 3 is not monotone. To overcome this, we could start with the free Hamiltonian  $H_A$  and add a nonlinear term as long as it has bounded support. This does not change the homology because the maximum principle still holds. This means that the symplectic homology constructed using the free Hamiltonian  $H_A$  is the same as the symplectic homology constructed using  $H_t = H_A + F_t$ . Since the existence of a (trivial) solution is guaranteed when the nonlinearity is the zero map, we find a solution to the nonlinear PDE (1). Here we remark that in this paper, we don't go as far as to construct symplectic homology for Hilbert spaces. Rather, we only need that the moduli space of Floer curves is compact to deduce the existence of a single solution to (1). In upcoming papers we will extend the results in this paper by defining Floer homology for Hamiltonian PDEs in full, in order to exhibit the full power of symplectic homology theory.

### 3 Main theorem and finite-dimensional results

Before stating the main theorem, let us rewrite the setting a little: when the Hamiltonian  $H_t$  is a sum of two terms  $H_A$  and  $F_t$ , then (see for example [MS17, p.102]) the flows of  $H_t$  and of  $H_A$  and  $F_t$  are related via

$$\phi^{H_t} = \phi^{H_A + F_t} = \phi^{H_A \# (G_t \circ \phi_t^A)} = \phi^A \circ \phi^{G_t}$$

where  $G_t := F_t \circ \phi_t^A$  and where  $(H_A \# f)_t := H_A + f_t \circ \phi_t^A$  for any function  $f_t$ . So  $T$ -periodic solutions of (1) are in one-to-one correspondence with  $u$  satisfying

$$\dot{u} = X_t^G(u), \quad u(t+T) = \phi_t^A(u(t)). \quad (7)$$

We call such solutions  $\phi_T^A$ -periodic. We will prove existence of  $\phi_T^A$ -periodic solutions, which by the above correspondence implies existence of a true  $T$ -periodic solution. From now on we will use  $G_t$  as in (7) instead of  $F_t$  and say that  $G_t$  is  $A$ -admissible when  $F_t$  is. Note that the norms of  $F$  and  $G$  coincide because the free flow is unitary. Recall that when  $G_t \equiv 0$ , the only solution to the PDE is  $u \equiv 0$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *For a Hamiltonian with  $A$ -admissible nonlinearity  $G_t$  there exists a (weak) solution  $u_1(t)$  of the nonlinear Hamiltonian PDE (7) and hence of (1), as well as a Floer curve  $\tilde{u} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  for which*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \tilde{u}(s, t) = u_0(t), \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{u}(s, t) = u_1(t) \quad (8)$$

The solution  $u_1(t) = u_1(t, x)$  to (7) is periodic in both variables as  $u_1(t + T, x) = \phi_T^A u_1(t, x)$  and  $u(t, x) = u_1(t, x + X)$ .

A result similar to our main theorem is proven in [Fab19] for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on projective Hilbert space (see also Example 1.2). Because of the extra topology on projective Hilbert space, the author can prove the existence of infinitely many solutions rather than just one. *We stress that our paper is self-contained, as in contrast to [Fab19] we study the case of general Hamiltonian PDEs.*

As a corollary to our main theorem we get

**Corollary 3.2.** *For a Hamiltonian PDE with  $A$ -admissible nonlinearity there exists a forced time-periodic solution.*

Let us explain the statement of the main theorem: by a Floer curve we mean a smooth map  $\tilde{u} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  which satisfies the Floer equation and  $\phi_T^A$ -periodicity condition

$$\bar{\partial}\tilde{u} - \varphi(s)\nabla G_t(\tilde{u}) = 0; \quad \tilde{u}(s, t + T) = \phi_T^A \tilde{u}(s, t)$$

where  $\bar{\partial} = \partial_s + i\partial_t$ . Here (and after) we write  $i$  instead of  $J$  because we are working in the complex eigenbasis for the operator  $JA$ , where  $J$  is multiplication by  $i$  in each complex plane  $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{z_n\}$ . The map  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is a cut-off function specified by

$$\varphi(s) = 0 \text{ for } s \leq -1; \quad \varphi(s) = 1 \text{ for } s \geq 0; \quad 0 \leq \varphi'(s) \leq 2.$$

The Floer curve  $\tilde{u}$  connects the two solutions in the sense of the asymptotic conditions (8).


The approach we take is to restrict ourselves to a finite-dimensional subspace  $\mathbb{C}^k \subset \mathbb{H}$  and prove the result there, using the well established results of Floer theory in finite dimensions. Since the Hamiltonian  $H_A$  is only densely defined but its flow  $\phi_t^A$  is an everywhere defined symplectomorphism, we use Floer theory for symplectomorphisms as in [DS94]. We then show that the result holds as we increase the dimension: this crucially uses our assumptions on the nonlinearity, which ensure that the higher-dimensional contributions decrease fast enough so that the finite-dimensional results carry over to infinite dimensions.

We start with the case of *finite-dimensional nonlinearities*: let  $F_t : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any smooth (time-dependent) map with bounded support, then it is automatically  $A$ -admissible for any  $A$  and any periods  $(T, X)$ . We refer to  $G_t := F_t \circ \phi_t^A$  as a finite-dimensional nonlinearity. Consider now the  $s$ -dependent Floer equation in  $\mathbb{C}^k$

$$\bar{\partial}\tilde{u} - \varphi_\tau(s)\nabla G_t(\tilde{u}) = 0; \quad \tilde{u}(s, t + T) = \phi_T^A \tilde{u}(s, t) \quad (9)$$

with  $\varphi_\tau(s)$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\tau(s) = 0 \text{ for } s \leq -1 \text{ and } s \geq 2\tau + 1; & \quad \varphi_\tau(s) = 1 \text{ for } s \in [0, 2\tau]; \\ 0 \leq \varphi'_\tau(s) \leq 2 \text{ for } s < 0; & \quad -2 \leq \varphi'_\tau(s) \leq 0 \text{ for } s > 0. \end{aligned}$$




As explained in Section 2, our results stem from a careful analysis of the moduli space of curves satisfying this Floer equation (9). We define the moduli space for the finite-dimensional problem by

$$\mathcal{M}^k := \left\{ \tilde{u}_\tau := (\tilde{u}, \tau) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^k) \times \mathbb{R}_+ \mid \tilde{u} \text{ satisfies (9) and } \|\partial_s \tilde{u}\|_2^2 < \infty \right\}.$$


As mentioned in Section 2, this latter condition is equivalent to the asymptotic condition that  $u_\pm(t) := \lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} \tilde{u}(s, t)$  satisfies

$$u_\pm(t) = X_t^{G, \pm}(u_\pm(t))$$

where  $X_t^{G, \pm}$  is the symplectic gradient of  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} \varphi_\tau(s)G_t$ . If  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  then  $X_t^{G, \pm} = 0$  of course, so that  $u_\pm(t) \equiv 0$ . Assuming transversality (as in step 4 in Section 2) for the moment, the moduli space of such pairs  $(\tilde{u}, \tau)$  is a 1-dimensional manifold. Since for  $\tau = 0$  the unique Floer curve  $(\tilde{u}, 0)$  is the constant curve  $\tilde{u} \equiv 0$ , Floer curves  $(\tilde{u}, \tau)$  exist for all  $\tau > 0$  by Gromov-Floer compactness. Pictorially such Floer curves look like



where the gray area depicts the part where  $\varphi_\tau(s) = 1$ . The idea is that when we compactify the moduli space, we add broken holomorphic curves. By this we mean the following: a Floer curve  $\tilde{u}_\tau$  with  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  connects the trivial solution to itself. If we now let  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ , we say the curve *breaks* if the limit consists of two concatenated curves, one connecting the trivial solution  $u_0$  to a solution  $u_1$  of the nonlinear PDE, and one connecting  $u_1$  to  $u_0$ . We then have found a Floer curve which satisfies the asymptotic condition (8) in  $\mathbb{C}^k$ .



Note that the assumption that the free Hamiltonian PDE only has the trivial solution is essential here to conclude that the solution  $u_1$  lives in the ball where the nonlinearity has support, so that it indeed is a solution to the nonlinear PDE.

In order to show that we can compactify  $\mathcal{M}^k$ , we crucially use the condition (4) in Definition 1.11 and the following result.

**Proposition 3.3** (Maximum principle). *If  $(\Sigma, j)$  is a Riemann surface and  $\tilde{u} : (\Sigma, j) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^k, i)$  a holomorphic map, then*

$$\Sigma \rightarrow [0, \infty) : z \mapsto |\tilde{u}(z)|^2$$

*has no local maximum.*

This implies that Floer curves  $\tilde{u}$  cannot escape the ball  $B_{R_k}(0)$ : if they would, they would be holomorphic outside the ball, where  $G_t = 0$ , and so by the above they could not have a maximum which is impossible. So even though the target space of the Floer curve is not compact, the image is contained in a compact set. This means that Gromov-Floer compactness holds, provided that transversality of the perturbed Cauchy-Riemann operator  $\bar{\partial} - \varphi_\tau(s)\nabla G_t^k$  holds. To apply Gromov-Floer compactness as in [DS94], we first note that  $(\phi_T^A)_*i = i$  as required. Since we cannot expect transversality to hold, we approximate  $i$  by a family of time-dependent almost-complex structures  $J_t^\nu$  satisfying  $(\phi_T^A)_*J_t^\nu = J_t^\nu$ , in the sense that  $J_t^\nu \rightarrow J_t^0 = i$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$  (cf. step 4 in Section 2). Gromov-Floer compactness then holds for all  $\nu \neq 0$  and this can be used to deduce the existence of a Floer curve for  $\nu = 0$  and all  $\tau > 0$ .

Finally, we also mention that existence of holomorphic spheres is excluded due to the fact that the symplectic form is exact. This shows that Gromov-Floer compactness indeed holds for  $\mathcal{M}^k$  and that the limit curve connects the trivial solution  $u_0(t) \equiv 0$  to a non-trivial solution of the finite-dimensional Hamiltonian system.

## 4 Bubbling-off analysis

Let now  $G_t$  be an  $A$ -admissible nonlinearity and  $G_t^k$  its finite-dimensional restriction with symplectic gradient  $X_t^{G,k}$ . The main theorem for such finite-dimensional nonlinearities is proven in the previous section. We show that the finite-dimensional Floer curves  $\tilde{u}^k = (\tilde{u}^k, k) \in \mathcal{M}^k$  for the restricted nonlinearity  $G_t^k$  converge in the  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$ -sense as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  to a Floer curve on the infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, as in the main theorem. This can be done because even though the time- $T$  free flow map is asymptotically non-degenerate, our assumptions on the nonlinearity assure that this is no problem. Also, the finite-dimensional bubbling-off argument (see [MS04, chapter 4]) to uniformly bound the derivatives still works in our setting as well, and there are uniform  $C^0$  bounds on the nonlinearity which ensure the energy is finite.

As a first step we would like to bound the Floer curves  $\tilde{u}^k$ , for all  $k$ , in the  $C^\alpha$ -norm for all  $\alpha$ . We will do this by showing the first derivatives are bounded and then using an elliptic bootstrapping argument. Showing that the first derivatives are bounded is done by assuming that

$$\sup_k \|T\tilde{u}^k\| = \infty \tag{10}$$

and showing that this assumption leads to the formation of a sphere. We will not argue, as in the finite-dimensional case, that because  $\omega$  is exact no holomorphic spheres can exist: this would require Gromov-Floer compactness in infinite dimensions. Rather, assuming the first derivative is unbounded, we show that a sphere is being formed as image of the disc where the length of the image of the boundary of the disc converges to zero. We then bound the derivative

of the Floer curve by the symplectic area of these discs, which by exactness of  $\omega$  is the length of the image of the boundary, thereby deriving a contradiction. This implies boundedness in the  $C^1$ -norm. An elliptic bootstrapping argument then gives us boundedness in all  $C^\alpha$ -norms. We use ideas similar to those in [Fab19]. We stress, however, that contrary to [Fab19] for our problem we work on linear space and with general Hamiltonians with minimal regularity.

We start with a lemma about the first derivatives.

**Lemma 4.1.** *The first derivatives of the Floer curves  $\tilde{u}^k$  are bounded uniformly in  $k$ , i.e.  $\sup_k \left\| T\tilde{u}^k \right\|_\infty < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the strategy outlined above: assume that the first derivative is unbounded in the sense that for

$$C_k := \max_{z=(s,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \left\{ |\partial_s \tilde{u}^k(z)| \right\} =: \tilde{u}^k(z_k)$$

the sequence  $(C_k)_{k \in I}$  converges to  $\infty$  for some index-set  $I$ . We can assume that Floer curve attains this maximum at some point  $z_k$  because of the asymptotic conditions. Now we reparametrize

$$\tilde{v}^k : D^2_{\sqrt{C_k}}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k : z \mapsto \tilde{u}^k \left( \frac{z}{C_k} + z_k \right)$$

so that  $|\partial_s \tilde{v}^k(0)| = 1$  and  $|\partial_s \tilde{v}^k(z)| \leq 1$  for  $|z| \leq \sqrt{C_k}$ . Then we define a family of maps  $\gamma_r^k$  for  $0 \leq r \leq \sqrt{C_k}$  by

$$\gamma_r^k : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k : \theta \mapsto \tilde{v}^k(re^{i\theta}).$$

Let  $L : C^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{C}^k) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the map which assigns to a loop its length with respect to the metric  $\omega(\cdot, i\cdot)$  restricted to  $\mathbb{C}^k$ . Let  $A : C^\infty(D^2_{\mathbb{R}}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the area functional  $A(v) := \int v^* \omega$ , where again we restrict the symplectic form  $\omega$  to  $\mathbb{C}^k$ . Now by exactness

$$A(\tilde{v}^k) = L(\gamma_{\sqrt{C_k}}^k)$$

Now we show that for increasing dimension  $k$ , the length of the image of the boundary circle decreases. More precisely, we show that for all  $k$ , there exists  $\frac{\sqrt{C_k}}{2} \leq r_k \leq \sqrt{C_k}$  such that  $L(\gamma_{r_k}^k) = A(\tilde{v}_{r_k}^k) \rightarrow 0$  for  $\tilde{v}_{r_k}^k : D^2_{r_k}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ . As a first step, we show that  $A$  converges to the energy of the solution  $\tilde{u}^k$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , which will show that the area is bounded.

$$\begin{aligned} A(\tilde{v}^k) &= \int_{D^2_{\sqrt{C_k}}(0)} \tilde{v}^{k*} \omega \\ &= \int_{D^2_{\sqrt{C_k}}(0)} \omega(\partial_s \tilde{v}^k, \partial_t \tilde{v}^k) ds \wedge dt \\ &= E(\tilde{u}^k) + \int_{D^2_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{C_k}}}(z_k)} \varphi_k(s) dG_t^k(\partial_s \tilde{u}^k) ds \wedge dt \\ &\leq E(\tilde{u}^k) + \int_{D^2_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{C_k}}}(z_k)} \left\| G^k \right\|_{C^1} ds \wedge dt \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sqrt{C_k} \rightarrow \infty$  by our assumption (10), the second term vanishes. Now we write  $\tilde{v}^k(z) = \tilde{v}^k(re^{i\theta})$  and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\sqrt{C_k/2}}^{\sqrt{C_k}} r L(\gamma_r^k)^2 dr &= \int_{\sqrt{C_k/2}}^{\sqrt{C_k}} r \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |\partial_\theta \tilde{v}^k(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \right)^2 dr \\ &\leq 2\pi \int_{\sqrt{C_k/2}}^{\sqrt{C_k}} \int_0^{2\pi} r |\partial_\theta \tilde{v}^k|^2 d\theta dr \\ &\leq 2\pi E(\tilde{u}^k) \end{aligned}$$

using Cauchy-Schwarz and the the previous inequality. By setting  $L_0^k$  to be the minimum of  $L(\gamma_r^k)$  for  $\sqrt{C_k/2} \leq r \leq \sqrt{C_k}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi E(\tilde{u}^k) &\geq \int_{\sqrt{C_k/2}}^{\sqrt{C_k}} r (L_0^k)^2 dr \\ &= \frac{3(L_0^k)^2}{8} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$L_0 \leq \sqrt{\frac{16\pi E(\tilde{u}^k)}{3C_k}}$$

which tends to zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Now the a priori estimate [MS04, chapter 4]

$$\left| \partial_s \tilde{v}^k(0) \right|^2 < \frac{A(\tilde{v}_{r_k}^k)}{r_k^2}$$

gives us the contradiction we are looking for: for  $k \rightarrow \infty$  the symplectic area  $A(\tilde{v}_{r_k}^k)$  converges to  $E(\tilde{u}^k) < \infty$ , while  $r_k \rightarrow \infty$  so that the derivative at 0 is zero, which we assumed it was not.  $\square$

We can now apply the aforementioned bootstrapping argument, to show boundedness of the Floer curves in all  $C^\alpha$ -norms.

**Proposition 4.2.** *For all  $k, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have*

$$\sup_k \left\| \tilde{u}^k \right\|_{C^\alpha} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* By the result above, and the discussion following the maximum principle, we know that  $\left\| \tilde{u}^k \right\|_{C^1}$  is bounded. We will use the fact that our sequence of finite-dimensional nonlinearities approximates the original one and an elliptic bootstrapping argument, to show boundedness in all  $C^\alpha$ -norms. By the Sobolev embedding theorem (see e.g. [Bre10, section 9.3]), the inequality

$$\left\| \tilde{u}^k \right\|_{C^\beta} \leq c_0 \left\| \tilde{u}^k \right\|_{W^{\alpha,p}}$$

holds for  $p > 2$ , with  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $\beta \leq \alpha - 2/p$ , and a constant  $c_0 > 0$  which is independent of the dimension of the codomain.

Now we use induction on  $\alpha$  to show boundedness of  $\tilde{u}^k$  in the  $W^{\alpha,p}$ -norms to get boundedness in all  $C^\beta$ -norms. We have that boundedness in  $C^1$  implies boundedness in  $W^{1,p}$ . Assume now that  $\left\| \tilde{u}^k \right\|_{W^{\alpha,p}}$  is bounded for some  $\alpha > 1$ , uniformly in  $k$ . We have that  $\tilde{u}^k$  satisfies

$$\bar{\partial} \tilde{u}^k = \varphi_k(s) \nabla G_t^k(\tilde{u}^k) =: \eta^k$$

and  $\eta^k$  is bounded in the  $W^{\alpha,p}$ -norm iff  $\nabla G_t^k$  is. By the A-admissibility assumption,  $\|\nabla G^k\|_{C^\alpha}$  converges to  $\|\nabla G\|_{C^\alpha}$  and so the  $\|\nabla G^k\|_{C^\alpha}$  is bounded. By [MS04, appendix B]

$$\|\nabla G_t^k(\tilde{u}^k)\|_{W^{\alpha,p}} \leq c_1 \left( \|\nabla G^k\|_{C^\alpha} + 1 \right) \|\tilde{u}^k\|_{W^{\alpha,p}}$$

and the induction hypothesis, we get boundedness of  $\nabla G_t^k$  in the  $W^{\alpha,p}$ -norm, with some constant  $c_1 > 0$  independent of the dimension of the codomain. Now by local regularity of the Cauchy-Riemann operator  $\bar{\partial}$  and boundedness of  $\eta$  in the  $W^{\alpha,p}$ -norm, we have

$$\|\tilde{u}^k\|_{W^{\alpha+1,p}} \leq c_2 \left( \|\bar{\partial}\tilde{u}^k\|_{W^{\alpha,p}} + \|\tilde{u}^k\|_p \right)$$

with, again,  $c_2 > 0$  independent of the dimension of the codomain, which completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5 Small divisor problem

Informally, we have chosen the setting such that the contributions of the finite-dimensional approximations of the nonlinearity in higher dimensions are negligible compared to the lower-dimensional contributions. In this section, we will make this statement precise by giving bounds on the norms of the tail of  $\tilde{u}^k$ , and invoke a result from number theory to overcome the small divisor problem.

Let us write a finite-dimensional solution of the  $(\tau, s)$ -dependent Floer equation (9) as

$$\tilde{u}^k = (\tilde{u}^{k,\ell}, \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell}) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\ell \oplus \mathbb{C}^{k-\ell} = \mathbb{C}^k \subset \mathbb{H}.$$

and call the tail  $\tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell}$  of  $\tilde{u}^k$  the *normal component*. The desired statement (Proposition 5.6) needed for the proof in Section 6 of the main theorem, is then that

$$\sup_{k \geq \ell} \|\tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell}\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \ell \rightarrow \infty. \quad (11)$$

In order to prove this, we need three lemmas and some corollaries: we first bound the 2-norm of  $\partial_s \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell}$  in terms of the  $C^0$ -norm of the nonlinearity. We then bound its supremum norm in terms of its 2-norm and the  $C^1$ -norm of the differential of  $\tilde{u}^k$ , which is bounded by Proposition 4.2. These two lemmas are followed by corollaries, specifying how fast convergence of the norms to zero occurs as the dimension increases. We then address the small divisor problem and show that the higher dimensional contributions to  $\tilde{u}$  go to 0 faster than the eigenvalues of the free time- $T$  flow  $\phi_T^A$  go to 1. Here we use a result from number theory, which states that almost all numbers are Diophantine and hence cannot be approximated by rational numbers too well. We then combine these bounds to prove (11), which will allow us to prove the main theorem.

**Lemma 5.1.** *The inequality*

$$\|\partial_s \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell}\|_2^2 \leq c \|G^k - G^\ell\|_{C^0}$$

holds for some constant  $c > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let us define the energy of a map as the squared  $L^2$ -norm of its  $s$ -derivative. Then, because we are working in an orthogonal basis, the identity

$$E(\tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell}) = E(\tilde{u}^k) - E(\tilde{u}^{k,\ell}) \quad (12)$$

holds when we restrict the domain of the maps to  $\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]$ . Then by the theory in [MS04, chapter 8], we can write the energy of  $\tilde{u}^k$  as

$$E(\tilde{u}^k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^T (\tilde{u}^k)^* \omega + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{G_t^k}(\tilde{u}^k) dt ds$$

where  $R_{G_t^k}(\tilde{u}^k)$  is the Hamiltonian curvature, which is equal to  $\varphi'_k(s)G_t^k$ . An *inequality* of this form holds for  $\tilde{u}^{k,\ell}$ , because  $\tilde{u}^{k,\ell}$  doesn't necessarily satisfy the Floer equation:

$$E(\tilde{u}^{k,\ell}) \geq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^T (\tilde{u}^{k,\ell})^* \omega + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^T R_{G_t^k}(\tilde{u}^{k,\ell}) dt ds.$$

In both the expressions, the first integral is zero because the (truncated) solution is homotopic to the constant solution via the cut-off function  $\varphi(s)$ . Since the energy of a holomorphic sphere only depends on the classes of the symplectic form and of the map, this shows that the first integrals are zero. Since

$$\begin{aligned} R_{G_t^k}(\tilde{u}^k) - R_{G_t^k}(\tilde{u}^{k,\ell}) &= \varphi'_k(s) \left( G_t^k(\tilde{u}^k) - G_t^k(\tilde{u}^{k,\ell}) \right) \\ &= \varphi'_k(s) \left( G_t^k(\tilde{u}^k) - G_t^\ell(\tilde{u}^k) \right) \end{aligned}$$

the identity (12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} E(\tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell}) &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^T \varphi'_k(s) \left( G_t^k(\tilde{u}^k) - G_t^\ell(\tilde{u}^k) \right) ds dt \\ &\leq 2 \| \| G^k - G^\ell \| \| \end{aligned}$$

where  $\| \| F_t \| \|$  is the Hofer norm, defined as

$$\| \| F \| \| := \int_0^T \max F_t - \min F_t dt.$$

The above inequality involving the Hofer norm can be found in [MS04, section 9.1] and uses the fact that  $|\varphi'(s)| \leq 2$ .

From the definition of the Hofer norm, we see that it is bounded from above by twice the  $C^0$ -norm of  $F$ , and so

$$E(\tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell}) \leq 4 \| \| G^k - G^\ell \| \|_{C^0}$$

which concludes the proof.  $\square$

Combining this with our approximation assumption on the nonlinearity, we immediately get

**Corollary 5.2.** *The equality*

$$\| \| \partial_s \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell} \| \|_2 = o(\ell^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}})$$

*holds.*

Using the above, we can bound the  $\infty$ -norm of  $\partial_s \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell}$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *The bound*

$$\left\| \partial_s \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell} \right\|_\infty^2 \leq \frac{8}{\pi} \left\| \partial_s \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell} \right\|_2 \left\| T^2 \tilde{u}^k \right\|_{C^1}$$

holds.

*Proof.* Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of class  $C^2$  and assume without loss of generality that  $|f(0)| = \|f\|_\infty$ . Let

$$R := \sqrt{\frac{\|f\|_\infty}{2\|T^2 f\|_\infty}}.$$

Because  $Tf(0) = 0$ , we have  $|Tf(x)| \leq \|T^2 f\|_\infty R$  and so  $|f(x)| \geq \|f\|_\infty - \|T^2 f\|_\infty R^2 = \frac{1}{2}\|f\|_\infty$  for  $|x| \leq R$ . Integrating  $f$  over a ball of radius  $R$  around 0, this implies

$$\|f\|_1 \geq \frac{\pi R^2}{2} \|f\|_\infty = \frac{\pi \|f\|_\infty^2}{4\|T^2 f\|_\infty}$$

If we let  $f = |\partial_s \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell}|^2$  the result follows.  $\square$

Since the  $C^1$ -norm of  $T^2 \tilde{u}^k$  is bounded by Proposition 4.2, we can combine Corollary 5.2 and Lemma 5.3 to get

**Corollary 5.4.** *The equality*

$$\sup_{k \geq \ell} \left\| \partial_s \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell} \right\|_\infty = o(\ell^{-\frac{3}{4}})$$

holds.

Now we show that the normal component contributes less, as the dimension increases, than the eigenvalues of the free time- $T$  flow converge to 1.

**Lemma 5.5.** *For  $m > l$ , the norm of the  $m^{\text{th}}$  component  $(\tilde{u}^k)_m$  of the vector  $\tilde{u}^k$ , which is part of the normal component, satisfies*

$$\left\| (\tilde{u}^k)_m \right\|_\infty \leq cm^{d(r-1)} \left\| \phi_T^A \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell} - \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell} \right\|_\infty \quad (13)$$

for some  $c > 0$ . Recall  $\lambda_m = am^d$  is the eigenvalue of  $e^{TJA}$  in the eigenspace  $\mathbb{C} = \text{Span}\{z_m\}$  and  $r$  is the irrationality measure of the (by assumption) Diophantine number  $aT/2\pi$ .

*Proof.* We start with

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (e^{i\lambda_m T} - 1)(\tilde{u}^k)_m \right\|_\infty &= \left\| \left( \phi_T^A \tilde{u}^k \right)_m - (\tilde{u}^k)_m \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq \left\| \phi_T^A \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell} - \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell} \right\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{i\lambda_m T} - 1| &\geq \inf_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_m T - p2\pi| \\ &= 2\pi m^d \inf_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \frac{aT}{2\pi} - \frac{p}{m^d} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence of eigenvalues  $(\lambda_k)_k$  could very well have subsequence converging to an integer multiple of  $2\pi/T$ . By our assumption that  $aT/2\pi$  is a Diophantine number, this has an irrationality measure  $r < \infty$  which gives a bound on how well these approximations are. The last term in the expression above is bounded from below by  $m^d \frac{c}{(m^d)^r}$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c}{m^{d(r-1)}} \left\| (\tilde{u}^k)_m \right\|_\infty &\leq \left| e^{i\lambda_m T} - 1 \right| \left\| (\tilde{u}^k)_m \right\|_\infty \\ &= \left\| \left( \phi_T^A \tilde{u}^k \right)_m - (\tilde{u}^k)_m \right\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

so that indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (\tilde{u}^k)_m \right\|_\infty &\leq cm^{d(r-1)} \left\| \left( \phi_T^A \tilde{u}^k \right)_m - (\tilde{u}^k)_m \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq cm^{d(r-1)} \left\| \phi_T^A \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell} - \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell} \right\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

Combining all this, we can prove the statement (11).

**Proposition 5.6.** *The  $\infty$ -norm of the normal component  $\tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell}$  converges to zero as  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ , that is*

$$\sup_{k \geq \ell} \left\| \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell} \right\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \ell \rightarrow \infty$$

*Proof.* We use Corollary 5.4 to show that the  $\infty$ -norm of  $\partial_t \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell}$  converges to zero as the dimension increases. From this, it follows that the right hand side of (13) converges to zero, after which we can use Lemma 5.5.

First, note that the normal component  $\tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell}$  of a solution is itself not a solution of the Floer equation. However, if we decompose the gradient into an  $\ell$ -component and a normal component as

$$\nabla G_t^k(\tilde{u}^k) = \nabla^\ell G_t^k(\tilde{u}^k) + \nabla_\perp^\ell G_t^k(\tilde{u}^k)$$

then  $\tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell}$  satisfies

$$(\partial_s + i\partial_t)\tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell} = -\varphi_k(s)\nabla_\perp^\ell G_t^k(\tilde{u}^k).$$

Since

$$\sup_{k \geq \ell} \left\| \nabla G^k - \nabla^\ell G^k \right\|_\infty = o(\ell^{-s}) \tag{14}$$

it follows that

$$\sup_{k \geq \ell} \left\| \partial_s \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell} \right\|_\infty = o(\ell^{-\frac{s}{4}})$$

by Corollary 5.4. Therefore, the same holds for the  $t$ -derivative

$$\sup_{k \geq \ell} \left\| \partial_t \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell} \right\|_\infty = o(\ell^{-\frac{s}{4}}).$$

For the integral of  $\partial_t \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell}$ , we then have:

$$\sup_{k \geq \ell} \left\| \phi_T^A \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell} - \tilde{u}_\perp^{k,\ell} \right\|_\infty = o(\ell^{-\frac{s}{4}}).$$

Lemma 5.5 then implies that

$$\left\| (\tilde{u}^k)_{l+1} \right\|_{\infty} \leq c(2l)^{d(r-1)} \left\| \phi_T^A \tilde{u}_{\perp}^{k,\ell} - \tilde{u}_{\perp}^{k,\ell} \right\|_{\infty}$$

and so

$$\sup_{k \geq \ell} \left\| (\tilde{u}^k)_{l+1} \right\|_{\infty} = o(\ell^{-\frac{s}{4} + d(r-1)}).$$

Therefore

$$\sup_{k \geq \ell} \left\| \tilde{u}_{\perp}^{k,\ell} \right\|_{\infty} = o(\ell^{-\frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + d(r-1)}).$$

This concludes the proof because assumed that the nonlinearity was  $A$ -admissible, so that  $s > 2 + 4d(r-1)$  and hence  $-\frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + d(r-1) < 0$ .  $\square$

Since almost all numbers have  $r = 2$ , generically this bound comes down to  $s > 2 + 4d$ . In the case of the Schrödinger equation this means we need  $s > 10$  and for wave equation  $s > 6$ .

## 6 Completing the proof

We now complete the proof of the main Theorem 3.1. This consists of three parts: first, we prove convergence of the sequence (or a subsequence) of Floer curves  $(\tilde{u}^k)_k$  to a solution  $\tilde{u}$  of the Floer equation on the full Hilbert space. This is not immediate, since  $\mathbb{H}$ , or even the support of the nonlinearity in  $\mathbb{H}$ , is not compact, so that we cannot use Gromov-Floer compactness. We will prove this convergence in the  $C_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}$ -topology.

Secondly, we establish the asymptotic properties to conclude that this Floer curve connects the single (trivial) solution of the free Hamiltonian equation, to a (non-trivial) solution of the full Hamiltonian equation.

Finally, we spend some time establishing regularity of the solution. The regularity of the solution we find will, of course, depend on the regularity of the nonlinearity. We stress here that the existence of finite-dimensional Floer curves  $\tilde{u}^k$  for the finite-dimensional nonlinearities  $G_t^k$ , which make up the sequence  $(\tilde{u}^k)_k$ , is proven in Section 3.

**Lemma 6.1.** *The sequence (or a subsequence of)  $(\tilde{u}^k)_k$  of Floer curves  $\tilde{u}^k : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$   $C_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}$ -converges to a smooth solution  $\tilde{u} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  of the Floer equation*

$$(\partial_s + i\partial_t)\tilde{u} - \varphi(s)\nabla G_t(\tilde{u}) = 0$$

satisfying  $\tilde{u}(s, t+T) = \phi_T^A \tilde{u}(s, t)$ .

*Proof.* We first show that the normal component converges to zero in all  $C^{\alpha}$ -norms as the dimension increases. For this, we use that

$$\sup_{k \geq \ell} \left\| \nabla G^k - \nabla^{\ell} G^k \right\|_{C^{\alpha}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \ell \rightarrow \infty$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since the  $p$ -norm of  $\tilde{u}_{\perp}^{k,\ell}$  converges to zero uniformly in  $k \geq \ell$ , and by Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\sup_{k \geq \ell} \left\| (\partial_s + i\partial_t)\tilde{u}_{\perp}^{k,\ell} \right\|_{W^{\alpha,p}} = \sup_{k \geq \ell} \left\| \nabla_{\perp}^{\ell} G_t^k(\tilde{u}^k) \right\|_{W^{\alpha,p}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Elliptic regularity for the Cauchy-Riemann operator then gives us

$$\sup_{k \geq \ell} \left\| \tilde{u}_{\perp}^{k,\ell} \right\|_{W^{\alpha,p}} \rightarrow 0$$

and so

$$\sup_{k \geq \ell} \left\| \tilde{u}_{\perp}^{k,\ell} \right\|_{C^{\alpha}} \rightarrow 0.$$

By Proposition 5.6 and (14), we even get

$$\sup_{k \geq \ell} \left\| \tilde{u}_{\perp}^{k,\ell} \right\|_{C^{\alpha}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \ell \rightarrow \infty$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . So if a limit exists, convergence will be in the  $C_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}$ -topology.

To show that the limit exists, we start with the observation that there is a subsequence of  $(\tilde{u}^{k,\ell})_k$  of maps from  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{C}^k$  which  $C_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}$ -converges to a smooth map  $\tilde{u}^{\ell} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\ell}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and this sequence  $(\tilde{u}^{k,\ell})_k$  converges for all  $\ell$ . To see this, let us fix some  $\ell_0$ . Then there is a subsequence  $(\tilde{u}^{k_i,\ell_0})_{k_i} \subseteq (\tilde{u}^{k,\ell_0})_k$  which converges to a smooth map  $\tilde{u}^{\ell_0} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\ell_0}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\ell_1 = \ell_0 + 1$ , then there is a subsequence of  $(\tilde{u}^{k_i,\ell_0})_{k_i}$  which converges to a smooth map  $\tilde{u}^{\ell_1} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\ell_1}$ . Continuing in this fashion, we get a sequence of sequences  $((\tilde{u}^{k_i,\ell_n})_{k_i})_n$ , each sequence being a subsequence of the previous one, and each converging to a map  $\tilde{u}^{\ell_n} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\ell_n}$ . Taking the diagonal then yields a sequence which converges for all given  $\ell$  to a Floer curve taking values in  $\mathbb{C}^{\ell}$ .

Now we show that in each  $C^{\alpha}$ -norm, the sequence of maps  $(\tilde{u}^k)_k$  thus obtained is Cauchy, which is sufficient to conclude  $C_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}$ -convergence. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there is an  $\ell$  such that  $\sup_{k \geq \ell} \left\| \tilde{u}_{\perp}^{k,\ell} \right\|_{C^{\alpha}} < \epsilon/3$ . For this  $\ell$ , the sequence  $(\tilde{u}^{k,\ell})_k$  converges to  $\tilde{u}^{\ell}$ , so there is  $k_0 \geq \ell$ , so that for  $k, k' \geq k_0$  we have  $\left\| \tilde{u}^{k,\ell} - \tilde{u}^{k',\ell} \right\|_{C^{\alpha}} < \epsilon/3$ . Hence

$$\left\| \tilde{u}^k - \tilde{u}^{k'} \right\|_{C^{\alpha}} \leq \left\| \tilde{u}_{\perp}^{k,\ell} \right\|_{C^{\alpha}} + \left\| \tilde{u}^{k,\ell} - \tilde{u}^{k',\ell} \right\|_{C^{\alpha}} + \left\| \tilde{u}_{\perp}^{k',\ell} \right\|_{C^{\alpha}} < \epsilon.$$

□

Let us now establish the asymptotic behaviour of the Floer curve. Specifically, we have

**Lemma 6.2.** *The limit Floer curve  $\tilde{u} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  satisfies the asymptotic conditions*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \tilde{u}(s, t) = u_0(t), \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{u}(s, t) = u_1(t)$$

where  $u_0 = 0$  is the trivial and only fixed point of the free flow and  $u_1$  is a fixed point of the flow of  $G_t$ . The solution  $u_1(t) = u_1(t, x)$  is periodic in both variables as  $u_1(t, x + X) = u_1(t, x)$  and  $u_1(t + T, x) = \phi_T^A u_1(t, x)$ .

*Proof.* Because the energy is bounded by the  $C^0$ -norm of  $G^k$  (and hence that of  $F^k$ ), we get

$$E(\tilde{u}^k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \left| \partial_t \tilde{u}^k(s, t) - \varphi(s) X_t^{G,k}(\tilde{u}^k(s, t)) \right|^2 dt ds < 4 \|F_t\|_{\infty}.$$

Let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$  and consider the curve  $\tilde{u}^{\gamma} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ . Choose  $\gamma \leq |s_{\gamma}^{\pm}| \leq 2\gamma$  such that, when restricting the  $s$ -integral to  $[\gamma, 2\gamma]$ , the inequality

$$\gamma \int_0^1 \left| \partial_t \tilde{u}^{\gamma}(s_{\gamma}^{\pm}, t) - \varphi_{\gamma}(s_{\gamma}^{\pm}) X_t^{G,\gamma}(\tilde{u}^{\gamma}(s_{\gamma}^{\pm}, t)) \right|^2 dt \leq E(\tilde{u}^{\gamma})$$

holds. This implies


$$\int_0^1 \left| \partial_t \tilde{u}^\gamma(s_\gamma^\pm, t) - \varphi_\gamma(s_\gamma^\pm) X_t^{G, \gamma}(\tilde{u}^\gamma(s_\gamma^\pm, t)) \right|^2 dt < \frac{4 \|F_t\|_\infty}{\gamma}.$$

Since  $(\tilde{u}^\gamma)_\gamma$  converges uniformly to a smooth map  $\tilde{u}$  as  $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$  by the previous lemma, we get that indeed

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{u}(s_\gamma^-, t) = u_0(t), \quad \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{u}(s_\gamma^+, t) = u_1(t)$$

which both satisfy the Hamiltonian equation (7). Because  $\varphi_\gamma(s_\gamma^-) = 0$ , the solution  $u_0(t)$  is indeed the trivial solution. Because of  $\varphi_\gamma(s_\gamma^+) = 1$  and the discussion in Section 3, we have indeed found a solution to (7).  $\square$

Pictorially, the limit looks like the breaking



Since there is no other fixed point of the free flow than the trivial solution, we indeed find a non-trivial fixed point of the full flow.

We finish the proof by showing that we have found a non-trivial solution  $u_1 \in \mathbb{H}$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** *The solution  $u_1 : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of the Hamiltonian PDE  $\partial_t u_1 = X_t^G(u_1)$  is a weak (in the  $x$ -variable) solution  $u_1 \in \mathbb{H}$  and it is of class  $C^\infty$  in the  $t$ -variable.*

*Proof.* Let  $F_t$  be  $A$ -admissible. From the proof of Proposition 5.6 we know that

$$\sup_{k \geq \ell} \left\| \tilde{u}_\perp^{k, \ell} \right\|_\infty = o(\ell^{-\frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + d(r-1)}).$$

Writing the limit curve as  $\tilde{u} = (\tilde{u}^\ell, \tilde{u}_\perp^\ell)$ , it follows that

$$\left\| \tilde{u}_\perp^\ell \right\|_\infty = o(\ell^{-\frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + d(r-1)}).$$

This means that the coefficients in the (Fourier) expansion satisfy

$$\widehat{u_1(t, \cdot)}(n) n^\delta \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for  $\delta < s_0 - \frac{s}{4} = 2 + 4d(r-1) - \frac{s}{4}$ , which is equivalent to saying that the partial derivatives  $\partial_x^\delta u_1(t, x)$  exists for all such  $\delta$  and all  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ . If  $s$  is large enough, i.e.  $s > s_0 + 4d$ , then the solution will be an element of  $\mathbb{H}_d$  so that  $Au_1 \in \mathbb{H}_0$ .

For the  $t$ -coordinate, we again recall from the proof of Lemma 6.1 that

$$\sup_{k \geq \ell} \left\| \widetilde{u}_\perp^{k, \ell} \right\|_{C^\alpha} = o(\ell^{-\frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + d(r-1)})$$

and so

$$\left\| \widetilde{u}_\perp^\ell \right\|_{C^\alpha} = o(\ell^{-\frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + d(r-1)}).$$

This implies that

$$\partial_t^\alpha \widehat{u_1}(t, \cdot)(n)n^\delta \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

holds for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta$  as above.  $\square$

We again stress that for generic time period  $T$ , the irrationality measure is  $r = 2$ , so that  $s_0 = 2 + 4d$ . As we mentioned in Section 1 already, the regularity of the solution depends on  $s$  but also on the specific Hilbert space on which the Hamiltonian PDE is modeled. Let us apply our results to our two examples.

**Proposition 6.4.** *The nonlinear wave equation and nonlinear Schrödinger equation with  $A$ -admissible nonlinearities admit strong  $T$ -periodic solutions for generic  $T$  when, respectively,  $s > 20\frac{1}{2}$  and  $s > 26\frac{1}{2}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that the Hilbert space for the nonlinear wave equation is  $\mathbb{H} = H^{1/2} \times H^{1/2}$ . In Hilbert scale notation we have  $(H^{1/2})_k = H^{1/2+k}$ . By the Sobolev embedding theorem [Bre10, corollary 9.3] we have  $H^{k,2} \subset C^{\lfloor k-1/2 \rfloor}$ . Since  $A$  is of order 1, we need our solution  $u_1$  to be an element of  $H^{1/2+1}$  in order for it to be in  $C^1$ . For generic time period  $T$  the irrationality measure of  $aT/2\pi$  is  $r = 2$ , so  $s_0 = 6$ . For the solution to land in  $\mathbb{H}_1 = H^{3/2} \times H^{3/2} \subset C^1 \times C^1$  and be a strong solution to the Hamiltonian system, we need  $s > 10$ . However, since we would like to solve the actual nonlinear wave equation, we need  $u_1 \in \mathbb{H}_2 \subset C^2 \times C^2$ . In order to achieve this, we need  $s > 14$ .

The Hilbert space for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation is  $L^2(\mathbb{R}/X\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$ . We have  $(L^2)_k = H^{k,2}$ . In order to get a strong solution  $u_1$  to NLS, we need  $u_1 \in C^2$ . For generic time period  $T$  the irrationality measure of  $aT/2\pi$  is  $r = 2$  and so  $s_0 = 10$ . This means that when the  $A$ -admissible nonlinearity  $F_t$  is  $s$ -regularizing with  $s > 20$ , we have  $u_1 \in \mathbb{H}_{2+\frac{1}{2}} = H^{2+\frac{1}{2},2} \subset C^2$  so that we get a strong solution.

Note that smoothness in the time coordinate of the  $\phi_T^A$ -periodic solution does not imply smoothness in  $t$  for the corresponding  $T$ -periodic solution of the Hamiltonian PDE. This is because the  $t$ -derivative of  $\phi_t^A$  involves  $JA$  which is only densely defined. So our results do *not* follow from elliptic regularity and the Hamiltonian PDE itself.  $\square$

## References

- [AD13] M. Audin and M. Damian. *Morse Theory and Floer Homology (Universitext)*. Springer, 2013.
- [Ber07] M. Berti. *Nonlinear Oscillations of Hamiltonian PDEs*. Birkhäuser Boston, 2007.
- [BO09] F. Bourgeois and A. Oancea. Symplectic homology, autonomous Hamiltonians, and Morse-Bott moduli spaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 146(1):71–174, 01 2009.
- [Bre10] H. Brezis. *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*. Springer New York, 2010.

- [Bug12] Yann Bugeaud. *Distribution Modulo One and Diophantine Approximation*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [DG05] L. Di Gregorio. *Infinitesimal dimensional Hamiltonian systems and nonlinear wave equation: periodic orbits with long minimal period*. PhD thesis, Roma Tre University, 2005.
- [DS94] S. Dostoglou and D. Salamon. Self-Dual Instantons and Holomorphic Curves. *Annals of Mathematics*, 139(3):581–640, May 1994.
- [Fab19] O. Fabert. Hamiltonian Floer theory for nonlinear Schrödinger equations and the small divisor problem, 2019.
- [HF94] H. Hofer and A. Floer. Symplectic homology I. Open Sets in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 215(1):37–88, 1994.
- [HWZ10] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder. Sc-smoothness, retractions and new models for smooth spaces. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, 28(2):665–788, April 2010.
- [Kuk95] S. B. Kuksin. Infinite-dimensional symplectic capacities and a squeezing theorem for Hamiltonian PDE’s. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 167(3):531–552, Feb 1995.
- [Kuk00] S. B. Kuksin. *Analysis of Hamiltonian PDEs*. Oxford University Press, Sep 2000.
- [MS04] D. McDuff and D. Salamon. *J-holomorphic Curves and Symplectic Topology*. Number v. 52 in American Mathematical Society colloquium publications. American Mathematical Society, 2004.
- [MS17] D. McDuff and D. Salamon. *Introduction to Symplectic Topology (Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics)*. Oxford University Press, 2017.
- [Oan04] A. Oancea. A survey of Floer homology for manifolds with contact type boundary or symplectic homology. *Ensaïos Matemáticos*, 7:51–91, September 2004.
- [Rab78] P. H. Rabinowitz. Free vibrations for a semilinear wave equation. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 31(1):31–68, 1978.
- [Sal97] D. Salamon. Lectures on Floer homology, 1997. URL: <https://people.math.ethz.ch/~salamon/PREPRINTS/floer.pdf>.
- [Sal08] V. Kh. Salikhov. On the irrationality measure of  $\pi$ . *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 63(3):570–572, jun 2008.
- [Vit99] C. Viterbo. Functors and Computations in Floer Homology with Applications, I. *Geometric And Functional Analysis*, 9(5):985–1033, dec 1999.
- [Wen10] C. Wendl. A beginner’s overview of symplectic homology, 2010. URL: <https://www.mathematik.hu-berlin.de/~wendl/pub/SH.pdf>.
- [Wen16] C. Wendl. Lectures on Symplectic Field Theory, 2016.