

## HYPERSPACES OF INFINITE COMPACTA WITH FINITELY MANY ACCUMULATION POINTS

PAWEŁ KRUPSKI AND KRZYSZTOF OMILJANOWSKI

**ABSTRACT.** Vietoris hyperspaces  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  ( $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)$ ) of infinite compact subsets of a metric space  $X$  which have at most  $n$  (finitely many, resp.) accumulation points are studied. If  $X$  is a dense-in-itself, 0-dimensional Polish space, then  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  is homeomorphic to the product  $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . The hyperspaces  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q}, \{q\})$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}, \{p\})$  of all  $A \in \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})$  (resp.  $A \in \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})$ ) which accumulate at  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  (resp.  $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ ) are also homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . If  $X$  is a nondegenerate locally connected metric continuum then hyperspaces  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  are absolute retracts for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$ . If  $X = J = [-1, 1]$  or  $X = S^1$ , the hyperspaces  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  are characterized as  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbers in hyperspaces  $\mathcal{K}(J)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(S^1)$  of all compacta in  $J$  and  $S^1$ , respectively. Consequently, they are homeomorphic to the linear space  $\{(x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : \lim x_k = 0\}$  with the product topology. The hyperspaces  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)$  for  $X$  being a Euclidean cube, the Hilbert cube, the  $m$ -dimensional unit sphere  $S^m$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , or a compact  $m$ -manifold with boundary in  $S^m$ ,  $m \geq 3$ , are true  $F_{\sigma\delta\sigma}$ -sets which are strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in the respective hyperspaces of all compacta.

Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  be the hyperspace of all nonempty compact subsets of  $X$  with the Vietoris topology. Denote by  $A'$  the derived set of all accumulation points of  $A \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we will consider the closed subspace  $\mathcal{F}_n(X) \subset \mathcal{K}(X)$  of all  $A \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  such that  $|A| \leq n$  and  $\mathcal{A}_n(X) \subset \mathcal{K}(X)$  of all  $A \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  such that  $1 \leq |A'| \leq n$ . Let also  $\mathcal{F}(X) = \mathcal{F}_\omega(X) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n(X)$  be the set of all finite subsets of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n(X)$  the family of all infinite compacta in  $X$  with finitely many accumulation points.

The set  $\mathcal{A}_1(X)$  has been recently studied in a wider context of topological spaces  $X$  (not necessarily metric) (e.g., [4, 18, 19]) and called “the hyperspace of non-trivial convergent sequences”, denoted by  $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ . Intuitively, this hyperspace for  $X = \mathbb{R}$  has a natural association with the linear space of sequences converging to 0, taken with the coordinate-wise convergence topology. We establish topological characterizations of  $\mathcal{A}_1(X)$  for various  $X$  that confirm such intuitions and also answer a couple of questions from [4, 18]. Our approach often allows us to include hyperspaces  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  for  $n > 1$ .

Under a mild condition on  $X$  that it contain a copy of  $\text{cl}(\{\frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2^{j+k}} : j, k \in \mathbb{N}\})$ , we show that  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  is true  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)$  is true  $F_{\sigma\delta\sigma}$  in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ .

---

*Date:* August 9, 2019.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57N20; Secondary 54B20, 54H05.

*Key words and phrases.* absorber, absolute retract, accumulation point, Borel set, derived set, Hilbert cube, hyperspace, manifold with boundary, strongly universal set.

The first author was partially supported by the Faculty of Fundamental Problems of Technology, Wrocław University of Science and Technology grant.

Next, we focus on 0-dimensional spaces  $X$ . Applying a characterization of the product  $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$  of the rationals due to van Engelen [15], we identify  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  topologically as  $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$  for 0-dimensional Polish spaces  $X$  with no isolated points.

The same characterization is obtained also for hyperspaces

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}_1(X, \{q\})$  of all  $A \in \mathcal{A}_1(X)$  with  $A' = \{q\}$ , where  $X$  is a 0-dimensional Polish or  $\sigma$ -compact space without isolated points,
- (2)  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{Q}, F)$  of all  $A \in \mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{Q})$  with  $A' \subset F$ , where  $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $|F| \geq n$ .

These results are related to an open question posed in [18] if  $\mathcal{S}_c(\mathbb{Q}) \approx \mathcal{S}_c(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})$  (symbol  $\approx$  means the homeomorphism relation). The question can be reduced to the problem whether or not  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})$  is absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ . We obtain a complete solution only for a ‘‘pointed’’ version:  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q}, \{q\}) \approx \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}, \{p\}) \approx \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

If  $X$  is a locally connected metric continuum then  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$ , is an absolute retract. In particular, the hyperspace is contractible which answers a question in [4] of whether  $\mathcal{S}_c(S^1)$  is contractible.

Using standard, yet powerful, infinite-dimensional topology techniques, we prove that, for  $X = J = [-1, 1]$  or  $X = (-1, 1)$ , or  $X = S^1$  the unit circle, and each  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in the Hilbert cube  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ . Since all  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbers in Hilbert cubes are homeomorphic (via ambient homeomorphisms of the respective Hilbert cubes,  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  is homeomorphic to the space

$$c_0 = \{(x_k) \in (-1, 1)^{\mathbb{N}} : \lim x_k = 0\}$$

(with the coordinate-wise convergence topology) which is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in  $J^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Incidentally, this provides a positive answer to [18, Question 2.17] if  $\mathcal{S}_c([0, 1]) \approx \mathcal{S}_c((0, 1))$ .

We do not know whether  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(J)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta\sigma}$ -absorber but we are able to show that  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)$  is strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  if  $X = J^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\mathbb{N}\}$ , or  $X = S^m$  the  $m$ -dimensional unit sphere,  $m \geq 1$ , or  $X$  a compact  $m$ -manifold with boundary in  $S^m$ ,  $m \geq 3$ . It follows that pairs  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \mathcal{A}_\omega(X))$  are AR-pairs for such  $X$ .

We also study hyperspaces  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)^F$  and  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)_F$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$ , of those compacta from  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  that contain or intersect, respectively, a given  $F \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ . We prove, among other things, that if  $X$  is a nondegenerate locally connected continuum and  $F$  is finite and contains a point of order at least two then  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)^F$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)^F$  is strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $\mathcal{K}(X)^F$ .

## 1. BOREL COMPLEXITY OF $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$ AND $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)$

A subspace  $Y \subset Z$  is said to be nowhere of Borel class  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $Z$  if no nonempty, relatively open subset of  $Y$  is in  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $Z$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{A}_n(X, F) = \{A \in \mathcal{A}_n(X) : A' \subset F\}$ .

The set  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)$  is contained in the Hurewicz set  $\mathcal{H}(X)$  of all countable compact subsets of  $X$ . It is well known that  $\mathcal{H}(X)$  is coanalytic complete if  $X$  is an uncountable Polish space [22, Theorem (27.5)]. The Borel complexity of  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)$  is determined in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.**  *$\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)$  is  $F_{\sigma\delta\sigma}$ . If  $X$  contains a compactum of the Cantor-Bendixson rank 3, then  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  is not  $G_{\delta\sigma}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)$  is not  $G_{\delta\sigma\delta}$ . If  $X$  has no isolated points then*

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)$  are nowhere  $G_{\delta\sigma}$  and nowhere  $G_{\delta\sigma\delta}$ , respectively,

(2)  $\mathcal{A}_1(X, \{q\})$  is nowhere  $G_{\delta\sigma}$  for any point  $q \in X$ .

If  $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $|F| \geq n$  then  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{Q}, F)$  is nowhere  $G_{\delta\sigma}$ .

*Proof.* K. Kuratowski proved in [24] that the derived set map

$$D : \mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X), \quad D(A) = A'$$

is Borel of the second class. Actually, it is convenient to consider  $D$  as a map from the whole  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  to the space  $\mathcal{K}(X) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  with the isolated point  $\{\emptyset\}$  and then a direct proof in [8] of Kuratowski's theorem shows that the preimage under  $D$  of each closed set in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ .

Observe that  $\mathcal{A}_n(X) = D^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_n(X))$  which establishes the first part of Theorem 1.1.

Now let the Cantor-Bendixson rank of some  $A \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  equals 3. Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$A = \text{cl}\left(\left\{\frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2^{j+k}} : j, k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right) \subset [0, 1].$$

The set

$$P_3 = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} : \forall j \forall^\infty k (x(j, k) = 0)\}$$

belongs to the Borel class  $F_{\sigma\delta} \setminus G_{\delta\sigma}$  [22, Exercise (23.1)].

Define a continuous map  $f : \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$  by

$$f(x) = \text{cl}\left(\left\{\frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{x(j, k)}{2^{j+k}} : j, k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right) \quad \text{for } n = 1$$

and, for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$f(x) = \text{cl}\left(\left\{\frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2^{j+k}} : 1 \leq j \leq n-1, k \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \cup \left\{\frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{x(j-n+1, k)}{2^{j+k}} : j \geq n, k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right).$$

One easily checks that  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_n(X)) = P_3$ . This guarantees that  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  is not  $G_{\delta\sigma}$ .

For  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)$  one can replace  $P_3$  with the  $(F_{\sigma\delta\sigma} \setminus G_{\delta\sigma\delta})$ -set

$$S_4 = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} : \forall^\infty j \forall^\infty k (x(j, k) = 0)\}$$

[22, Exercise (23.6)] and the same map  $f$  satisfies  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)) = S_4$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)$  is not  $G_{\delta\sigma\delta}$ .

Now, assume that  $X$  has no isolated points. Then every nonempty open subset of  $X$  contains a copy of  $A$ . Consider a basic open set  $\mathcal{U}$  in the Vietoris topology in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ :

$$\mathcal{U} = \langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle = \{K \in \mathcal{K}(X) : K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i, (\forall i) U_i \cap K \neq \emptyset\},$$

$k \geq 1$ , where  $U_i$ 's are open subsets of  $X$ . Assume, without loss of generality, that  $A \subset U_1$  and pick points  $u_i \in U_i$  for  $i = 2, \dots, k$ . Then  $A \cup \{u_2, \dots, u_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$  and the map  $\tilde{f} : \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  defined as  $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x) \cup \{u_2, \dots, u_k\}$  satisfies

$$\tilde{f}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_n(X) \cap \mathcal{U}) = P_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\omega(X) \cap \mathcal{U}) = S_4$$

which completes the proof of (1).

Given  $q \in X$ , we can assume that  $q = 0 \in A \subset U_1$ . Then  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_1(X, \{q\}) \cap \mathcal{U}) = P_3$  which gives (2).

In order to show the last part, in view of (2), consider  $n > 1$ . Recall that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $n$ -homogeneous for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which means that for any two  $n$ -point subsets of  $\mathbb{Q}$  there is an autohomeomorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}$  that maps one of the sets onto the other. So, since  $U_1 \approx \mathbb{Q}$ , we can assume that  $F$  is located in  $U_1$  so that  $\{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{2^j} : j = 1, \dots, n-1\} \subset F$ . Now,  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{Q}, F) \cap \mathcal{U}) = P_3$ . □

Determining the absolute descriptive class of a space is of special importance. Recall that a metric separable space  $M$  is of absolute Borel class  $\mathcal{M}$  (absolute  $\mathcal{M}$ , briefly) if, for each metric separable space  $S$ , each topological copy of  $M$  in  $S$  is a Borel subset of  $S$  in class  $\mathcal{M}$ . It is known that  $M$  is absolute  $\mathcal{M}$  if and only if  $M$  embeds in a compact metric space as a subset of class  $\mathcal{M}$ . If in Theorem 1.1  $X$  is compact then  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  is absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)$  is absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta\sigma}$ . This fact is also true for Polish spaces  $X$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** *If  $X$  is a Polish space then  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_n(X, K)$  for  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , are absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)$  is absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta\sigma}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume, without loss of generality, that  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of the Hilbert cube  $Q = J^\mathbb{N}$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is  $G_\delta$  in  $\mathcal{K}(Q)$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{A}_n(X) = \mathcal{A}_n(Q) \cap \mathcal{K}(X)$  is  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  in  $\mathcal{K}(Q)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X) = \mathcal{A}_\omega(Q) \cap \mathcal{K}(X)$  is  $F_{\sigma\delta\sigma}$  in  $\mathcal{K}(Q)$ .

If  $D : \mathcal{K}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Q) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  is the derived set operator, then  $D^{-1}(K)$  is  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  in  $\mathcal{K}(Q)$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{A}_n(X, K) = D^{-1}(K) \cap \mathcal{A}_n(X)$  is absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ . □

The above argument cannot be applied to  $(F_\sigma \setminus G_\delta)$ -subsets  $X$  of a compact space  $S$ , since  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is coanalytic-complete in  $\mathcal{K}(S)$  [22, 33.B].

The following fact can also be observed.

**Proposition 1.3.** *If a subset  $X$  of  $[0, 1]$  does not contain its supremum, has no isolated points and is not analytic in  $[0, 1]$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  is not analytic in  $\mathcal{K}([0, 1])$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$ .*

*Proof.* Continuous maps between Polish spaces transform analytic sets onto analytic ones. So, it suffices to note that the map

$$m : \mathcal{K}([0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad m(A) = \min A$$

is continuous and  $m(\mathcal{A}_n(X)) = X$ . □

## 2. HYPERSPACES $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$ FOR 0-DIMENSIONAL $X$

It was shown in [18] that  $\mathcal{A}_1(X)$  is first category for any second countable topological space  $X$ . We provide a quick argument for this fact valid for any  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  in the case of a metric, separable, 0-dimensional space  $X$  without isolated points.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a metric, separable, 0-dimensional space without isolated points,  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$  and  $F \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  be a set of cardinality  $\geq n$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_n(X, F)$  are first category spaces.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{B_1, B_2, \dots\}$  be a clopen base in  $X$  which is closed under finite unions and  $\mathcal{B}_{i,k}$  be the family of all  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{A}_n(X, F)$ ) such that  $1 \leq |A \setminus B_i| \leq k$ . Since  $X$  has no isolated points, the sets  $\mathcal{B}_{i,k}$  are nowhere dense in  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{A}_n(X, F)$ ). Clearly,  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{A}_n(X, F)$ ) is the union  $\bigcup_{i,k} \mathcal{B}_{i,k}$ . □

The infinite product  $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$  of rational numbers was topologically characterized by van Engelen in [15] as a metric separable 0-dimensional space which is absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ , first category and nowhere absolute  $G_{\delta\sigma}$ . Hence, the following theorem follows from Proposition 1.2, Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.1.

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $X$  is a 0-dimensional Polish space without isolated points then  $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})(\forall q \in X) \mathcal{A}_n(X) \approx \mathcal{A}_1(X, \{q\}) \approx \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .*

In view of the van Engelen's characterization of  $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and by Theorem 2.2, Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.1, the question asked in [18] if  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})$  reduces to the problem of the  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absoluteness of  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})$ ; equivalently, whether or not  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})$  is  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  in  $\mathcal{K}(C)$ , where  $C$  is a Cantor set. Aiming at this direction, we can merely prove the following facts.

**Proposition 2.3.** *If  $X$  is a 0-dimensional  $\sigma$ -compact metric space then  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  is absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta\sigma}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$ .*

*Proof.* Represent  $X$  as a union  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$  of compacta  $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots \subset C$ . Consider the derived set operator  $D$  on  $\mathcal{K}(C)$ . If  $A \in \mathcal{A}_n(X)$  then  $D(A)$  is contained in some  $K_i$ . The preimage  $D^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_n(K_i))$  is  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  in  $\mathcal{K}(C)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $F_{\sigma\delta\sigma}$  for  $n = \omega$ . Let  $C = U_1 \supsetneq U_2 \supsetneq \dots$  be clopen subsets of  $C$  whose intersection is  $K_i$ . The sets  $X_j = X \cap (U_j \setminus U_{j+1})$  are  $\sigma$ -compact. It follows that sets  $\mathcal{F}(X_j)$  are also  $\sigma$ -compact. Hence, each  $\mathcal{F}(X_j) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  as a subset of a compact space  $\mathcal{K}(C) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  is  $\sigma$ -compact.

Let

$$\mathcal{B}_j = \{A \in \mathcal{K}(C) : A \cap (U_j \setminus U_{j+1}) \in \mathcal{F}(X_j) \cup \{\emptyset\}\}.$$

The intersection map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{K}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C) \cup \{\emptyset\}, \quad \Phi(A) = A \cap (U_j \setminus U_{j+1})$$

is continuous [25, Theorems 2 and 3, p.180], consequently,  $\mathcal{B}_j = \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(X_j) \cup \{\emptyset\})$  is  $F_{\sigma}$  in  $\mathcal{K}(C)$ .

Observe that

$$\mathcal{A}_n(X, K_i) = D^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_n(K_i)) \cap \mathcal{A}_n(X) = D^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_n(K_i)) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_j$$

which shows that

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{A}_n(X, K_i) \text{ is absolute } F_{\sigma\delta} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and is absolute } F_{\sigma\delta\sigma} \text{ for } n = \omega.$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}_n(X) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n(X, K_i)$ , we are done.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $X$  is a 0-dimensional  $\sigma$ -compact metric space without isolated points and  $q \in X$  then  $\mathcal{A}_1(X, \{q\}) \approx \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . If  $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $|F| \geq n$  then  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{Q}, F) \approx \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{A}_n(X, F)$  is absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  by (2.1). Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.1 guarantee that all the hyperspaces are nowhere  $G_{\delta\sigma}$  and first category.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.**  $(\forall q \in \mathbb{Q})(\forall p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q}, \{q\}) \approx \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}, \{p\}) \approx \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *Every nonempty clopen subset of  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{Q})$  contains a closed copy of  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{Q})$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$ .*

*Proof.* We can assume that a clopen subset  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{Q})$  is of the form  $\mathcal{U} = \langle U_1, \dots, U_m \rangle$  for nonempty disjoint clopen subsets  $U_i$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The set  $\mathcal{A}_n|_{U_1} = \{A \in \mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{Q}) : A \subset U_1\}$  is a closed copy of  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ .

Fix points  $u_i \in U_i$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, m$ . Then

$$(2.2) \quad \{A \cup \{u_2, \dots, u_m\} : A \in \mathcal{A}_n|_{U_1}\} \text{ is a closed copy of } \mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \text{ in } \mathcal{U}.$$

□

By [16, Theorem 4.1] and Lemmas 2.1, 2.6 we get

**Proposition 2.7.**  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{Q})$  is strongly homogeneous (i.e., every nonempty clopen subset of  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{Q})$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ ) and  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \approx \mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{Q}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$ .

One can easily see

$$\text{Lemma 2.8. } \text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q}, \{q\}) = \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{q\}}.$$

Another result by van Engelen we finally wish to use appears (misprinted) in [16, Lemma 3.1]. Its correct formulation looks as follows:

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be weight-homogeneous 0-dimensional metric spaces of the same weight,  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ ,  $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_i$  with  $X_i$  (resp.  $Y_i$ ) closed and nowhere dense in  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ) and let every nonempty clopen subset of  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ) contain a closed nowhere dense copy of each  $Y_i$  (resp.  $X_i$ ). Then  $X \approx Y$ .

We are going to apply the lemma to metric separable spaces without isolated points, in which case the assumptions on their weights are irrelevant.

**Proposition 2.10.**  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{q\}} \approx \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \approx \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{q\}} \setminus \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q}, \{q\})$  for every  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

*Proof.* We have:

$$\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{q\}} = \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{q\}} \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{p, q\}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q}) = \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{p\}}.$$

Each  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{p, q\}}$  is closed and nowhere dense in  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{q\}}$ . Similarly, each  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{p\}}$  is closed and nowhere dense in  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})$ . If  $\mathcal{U} = \langle U_1, \dots, U_m \rangle \cap \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{q\}}$  is nonempty for nonempty disjoint clopen subsets  $U_i$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $q \in U_1$ , then, as in (2.2),

$$\{A \cup \{u_2, \dots, u_m\} : A \in (\mathcal{A}_1|_{U_1}) \cap \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{q\}}\}$$

is a closed and nowhere dense copy of  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{p\}}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Analogously, each nonempty clopen set  $\langle U_1, \dots, U_m \rangle \cap \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})$  in  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})$  contains a closed nowhere dense copy of  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{p, q\}}$ . Now apply Lemma 2.9.

To prove the second equivalence, represent  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{q\}} \setminus \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q}, \{q\})$  as a union  $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_k$ , where

$$\mathcal{B}_k = \left\{ A \in \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{q\}} : A \subset \{q\} \cup \left( \mathbb{Q} \setminus \left( q - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k}, q + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k} \right) \right) \right\}.$$

One can easily check that each  $\mathcal{B}_k$  is closed, nowhere dense in  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{q\}} \setminus \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q}, \{q\})$  as well as it can be embedded as a closed nowhere dense subset in each nonempty clopen subset of  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})$ . Conversely, each nonempty clopen subset of  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{q\}} \setminus \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q}, \{q\})$  contains a closed nowhere dense copy of  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})^{\{p\}}$ .

□

A map  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q}, \{0\}) \times \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})$  given by the translation  $(A, q) \mapsto A + q$  is a continuous bijection (it is not a homeomorphism, though). Hence, by Corollary 2.5, Lemma 2.8 and Proposition 2.10, we get

**Corollary 2.11.**  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q})$  is a one-to-one continuous image of  $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Equivalently,  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q}, \{q\}))$  is a one-to-one continuous image of  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{Q}, \{q\})$ .

### 3. PRELIMINARIES ON ABSORBERS IN ANR'S

We first recall a basic terminology and facts related to absorbers. The reader is referred to book [27] and [1, 2, 3] for more advanced details of the theory.

The standard Hilbert cube  $J^{\mathbb{N}}$  is considered with the metric

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|x_k - y_k|}{2^{k+1}}.$$

A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to approximate map  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  arbitrarily closely if, for any open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y$ ,  $f$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -close to  $g$ , i.e., for each  $x \in X$  there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\{f(x), g(x)\} \subset U$ .

Let  $E$  be a metric, separable, absolute neighborhood retract (ANR). A closed subset  $B \subset E$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $E$  if the identity map of  $E$  can be approximated arbitrarily closely by a continuous map  $f : E \rightarrow E$  such that  $f(E) \cap B = \emptyset$ . A countable union of (compact)  $Z$ -sets in  $E$  will be called a ( $\sigma$ -compact)  $\sigma Z$ -set in  $E$ . A subset  $A \subset E$  is *homotopy dense* in  $E$  if there is a deformation  $H : E \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E$  such that  $H(E \times (0, 1]) \subset A$  (a deformation *through*  $A$ ). It is known that

- $B$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $E$  if and only if  $E \setminus B$  is homotopy dense in  $E$  (see [28, Corollary 3.3]),
- if  $E$  is completely metrizable and  $B$  is a  $\sigma Z$ -set in  $E$  then  $E \setminus B$  is homotopy dense in  $E$  ([3, Exercise 3, p. 31]),
- $A$  is homotopy dense in  $E$  if and only if the pair  $(X, A)$  is an ANR-pair (AR-pair if  $E$  is an absolute retract (AR))(see [27, Theorem 4.1.6]).

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a class of spaces such that if  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  then each homeomorphic image of  $M$  is in  $\mathcal{M}$  and each closed subset of  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{M}$ . A subset  $A$  of an ANR-space  $E$  is *strongly  $\mathcal{M}$ -universal* in  $E$  if

**(SU):** for each  $M \subset J^{\mathbb{N}}$  from the class  $\mathcal{M}$  and each compact set  $K \subset J^{\mathbb{N}}$ , any embedding  $f : J^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow E$  such that  $f(K)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $E$  can be approximated arbitrarily closely by an embedding  $g : J^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow E$  such that  $g(J^{\mathbb{N}})$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $E$ ,  $g|_K = f|_K$  and  $g^{-1}(A) \setminus K = M \setminus K$ .

A subset  $A$  of  $E$  is called an  $\mathcal{M}$ -*absorber* in  $E$  if

- (1)  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ ,
- (2)  $A$  is strongly  $\mathcal{M}$ -universal in  $E$ ,
- (3)  $A$  is contained in a  $\sigma$ -compact  $\sigma Z$ -set in  $E$ .

A fundamental theorem on  $\mathcal{M}$ -absorbers in Hilbert cubes says that they are homeomorphic and equivalently embedded:

**Theorem 3.1.** [13] *Suppose  $Q$  is a Hilbert cube, i.e., a homeomorphic copy of  $J^{\mathbb{N}}$ . If  $A \subset Q$  is an  $\mathcal{M}$ -absorber in  $Q$  and  $B \subset J^{\mathbb{N}}$  is an  $\mathcal{M}$ -absorber in  $J^{\mathbb{N}}$ , then there is a homeomorphism  $h : J^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow Q$  such that  $h(B) = A$ .*

We will need the following well known fact.

**Fact 3.2.** ([1, Corollary 4.4] and [27, Theorem 4.1.6]) *If  $\mathcal{M}$  contains the class of all compacta and  $A \subset E$  is strongly  $\mathcal{M}$ -universal in  $E$ , then  $A$  and  $E \setminus A$  are homotopy dense in  $E$ , thus the pairs  $(E, A)$  and  $(E, E \setminus A)$  are ANR-pairs (AR-pairs if  $E$  is AR).*

For  $\mathcal{M}$  the  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  Borel class, standard  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbers in the Hilbert cube  $J^{\mathbb{N}}$  are its subspaces

$$c_0 = \{(x)_k \in (-1, 1)^{\mathbb{N}} : \lim x_k = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{c}_0 = \{(x)_k \in J^{\mathbb{N}} : \lim x_k = 0\} \quad [13].$$

More examples of  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbers can be found in [6, 7, 13, 14, 20, 21, 23, 27].

In the next sections we will consider Vietoris hyperspaces of the form  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{K}(X)_F = \{K \in \mathcal{K}(X) : K \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $\mathcal{K}(X)^F = \{K \in \mathcal{K}(X) : K \supset F\}$ , where  $X$  is a locally connected nondegenerate metric continuum and  $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ . They are all homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube by [11, 10].

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is the Borel class of  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets, we have

**Proposition 3.3.** [23, Corollary 5.4] *The hyperspace  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  of all closed separators of a compact connected  $m$ -manifold  $X$  with boundary,  $m \geq 3$ , is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -absorber in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ .*

For  $\mathcal{M} = \Pi_1^1$  the class of coanalytic spaces, a standard  $\Pi_1^1$ -absorber in  $Q = \mathcal{K}(J)$  is the Hurewicz set  $\mathcal{H}(J)$  (this follows from [5, Section 5 and Lemma 2.6] and [3, Theorem 3.1.3]).

#### 4. HYPERSPACES $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$ AS AR'S

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $X$  is a nondegenerate locally connected continuum then  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  is an AR for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $\text{dist}$  the Hausdorff distance in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ . Consider basic open sets  $\langle U_0, U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle$  in the Vietoris topology in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , where  $U_i$ 's are open connected subsets of  $X$ . The sets  $\mathcal{A}_n(X) \cap \langle U_0, U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle$  form an open base in  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  which is closed under finite intersections. We are going to show that each of them is contractible in itself.

Choose points  $x_0 \in U_0$  and  $s_i \in U_i$  for each  $0 < i \leq k$ . Let  $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow h([0, 1]) \subset U_0$  be a homeomorphism such that  $h(0) = x_0$ . For  $r \in [0, 1]$ , let

$$C_r = h \left( \{0\} \cup \left\{ \frac{r}{j} : j \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad S = C_1 \cup \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}.$$

There is a homotopy  $H : \mathcal{K}(X) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$  through finite sets, i.e.

$$H(K, 0) = K \quad \text{and} \quad H(K, t) \in \mathcal{F}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad t > 0$$

such that  $\text{dist}(H(K, t), K) < t$  [27, Corollary 4.2.24 and Proposition 4.1.7].

The subspace  $U = \bigcup_{i=0}^k U_i$  is locally path-connected and

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}(X) \cap \langle U_0, U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle$$

is an expansion hyperspace in  $U$  in the sense of [12]. Moreover, each element of  $\mathcal{E}$  intersects each component of  $U$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{E}$  is an AR [12, Lemma 3.6], so it is contractible. Since  $\{x_0, s_1, \dots, s_k\} \in \mathcal{E}$ , there is a homotopy  $F : \mathcal{E} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  such that

$$F(Y, 0) = Y \quad \text{and} \quad F(Y, 1) = \{x_0, s_1, \dots, s_k\}.$$

For each  $K \in \langle U_0, U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle$ , let  $\delta(K) = \text{dist}(K, \mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \langle U_0, U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle)$ . Define a homotopy  $G : \mathcal{A}_n(X) \cap \langle U_0, U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n(X) \cap \langle U_0, U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle$

$$G(Y, t) = \begin{cases} Y \cup H(Y, 4t\delta(Y)), & \text{for } t \in [0, 1/4]; \\ Y \cup F(H(Y, \delta(Y)), 4(t - 1/4)), & \text{for } t \in [1/4, 1/2]; \\ H(Y, 4(t - 1/2)\delta(Y)) \cup C_{4(t-1/2)} \cup \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}, & \text{for } t \in [1/2, 3/4]; \\ F(H(Y, \delta(Y)), 4(t - 3/4)) \cup S, & \text{for } t \in [3/4, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Homotopy  $G$  is a deformation which contracts  $\mathcal{A}_n(X) \cap \langle U_0, U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle$  in itself to the point  $S$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{A}_n(X) = \mathcal{A}_n(X) \cap \langle X \rangle$  is contractible.

Summarizing:  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  is a contractible locally connected space with an open base closed under finite intersections, each of whose elements is connected and homotopically trivial. It means that  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  is a contractible ANR (see [27, Corollary 4.2.18]), hence an AR.  $\square$

## 5. HYPERSPACES $\mathcal{A}_n(J)$

In this section we assume  $Q = \mathcal{K}(J)$  and  $\mathcal{M} = F_{\sigma\delta}$ . In proving that  $\mathcal{A}_n(J)$  is an absorber in  $Q$  we apply techniques developed in [5, 20].

**5.1. Strong  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universality of  $\mathcal{A}_n(J)$ .** Let  $M$  be an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -subset of  $J^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $K \subset J^{\mathbb{N}}$  a compact set and  $f : J^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow Q$  an embedding such that  $f(K)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $Q$ . Fix an arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ .

The first ingredient in a construction of an approximation  $g$  of  $f$  as in (SU) above is the following embedding  $\psi : J^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow Q$ ,

$$(5.1) \quad \psi((x_k)) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{cl} \left( \left\{ -\left( \frac{1}{2^k} + \frac{x_k}{2^{k+2}} \right) : k \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cup \{-1\} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{2^k} + |x_k| : k \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \right) \right)$$

for  $n = 1$  and for  $n \geq 2$

$$(5.2) \quad \psi((x_k)) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left( \text{cl} \left( \left\{ -(n-1 + \frac{1}{2^k} + \frac{x_k}{2^{k+2}}) : k \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cup \{-n\} \right) \cup \frac{1}{n+1} \left( \text{cl} \left( \left\{ -m + \frac{1}{2^k} : 0 \leq m \leq n-2, k \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{2^k} + |x_k| : k \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \right) \right)$$

(we use standard notation  $\alpha A := \{\alpha a : a \in A\}$  and  $x + A := \{x + a : a \in A\}$ ). Sets  $\psi((x_k))$  play the role of testers: their ‘‘positive’’ parts are responsible for the property  $\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_n(J)) = \hat{c}_0$ , while ‘‘negative’’ parts exhibit 1-1 correspondence  $\psi : J^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow Q$ .

The set  $\hat{c}_0$  being an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in  $J^{\mathbb{N}}$ , there is an embedding  $\zeta : J^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow J^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\zeta^{-1}(\hat{c}_0) = M$ . Put

$$(5.3) \quad \xi = \psi\zeta.$$

We have

$$(5.4) \quad \xi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_n(J)) = M.$$

Next we need a homotopy through finite sets, i.e. a continuous map  $H : Q \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Q$  such that  $H(A, 0) = A$  and  $H(A, t)$  is finite for any  $A \in Q$  and  $t > 0$ . Deformation  $H$  can be easily modified to satisfy

$$\text{dist}(A, H(A, t)) \leq 2t \quad \text{and} \quad H(A, t) \subset [-1 + t, 1 - t],$$

where  $\text{dist}$  denotes the Hausdorff distance in  $Q$  (see [20, (1-4), p. 183]).

We are going to verify that an embedding  $g : J^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow Q$  defined by

$$(5.5) \quad g(x) = H(f(x), \mu(x)) \cup (\min H(f(x), \mu(x)) + \mu(x)\xi(x)),$$

where

$$(5.6) \quad \mu(x) = \frac{1}{4} \min\{\epsilon, \min\{\text{dist}(f(x), f(z)) : z \in K\}\},$$

satisfies the definition of strong  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universality of  $\mathcal{A}_n(J)$ .

Clearly,  $g$  is continuous and since  $\mu(x) = 0$  for  $x \in K$ , it agrees with  $f$  on  $K$ . It also  $\epsilon$ -approximates  $f$ , as

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{dist}(f(x), g(x)) &\leq \text{dist}(f(x), H(f(x), \mu(x))) + \text{dist}(H(f(x), \mu(x)), g(x)) \leq \\ &3\mu(x) = \frac{3}{4} \min\{\epsilon, \min\{\text{dist}(f(x), f(z)) : z \in K\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Mapping  $g$  is 1-1 on  $K$ . Let  $x, y \in J^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus K$ . Then both numbers  $\mu(x)$  and  $\mu(y)$  are positive. Suppose  $g(x) = g(y)$ . It follows that

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{-n\mu(x)}{n+1} = \min g(x) = \min g(y) = \frac{-n\mu(y)}{n+1}$$

hence  $\mu(x) = \mu(y)$  and  $\zeta(x)_k = \zeta(y)_k$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $x = y$ . Suppose  $x \in K$ ,  $y \notin K$  and  $g(x) = g(y)$ . Then  $g(y) = f(x)$ . On the other hand,

$$\text{dist}(g(y), f(K)) \geq \text{dist}(f(y), f(K)) - \text{dist}(f(y), g(y)) \geq \frac{1}{4} \text{dist}(f(y), f(K)) > 0,$$

by (5.7) and since  $f$  is 1-1, a contradiction. Thus,  $g$  is 1-1.

It follows from (5.4) that  $g^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_n(J)) \setminus K = M \setminus K$ .

$g(J^{\mathbb{N}})$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $Q$ . Indeed,  $g(J^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus K) = g(J^{\mathbb{N}}) \setminus g(K)$  is a  $\sigma Z$ -set in  $Q$  because deformation  $H$  through finite sets satisfies

$$\forall (t > 0) \quad H(g(J^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus K), t) \cap g(J^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus K) = \emptyset$$

(since, for each  $x \notin K$  and  $t > 0$ , the set  $H(g(x), t)$  is finite whereas  $g(x)$  is not). Now,  $g(K)$  is a  $Z$ -set, so the union  $g(K) \cup g(J^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus K) = g(J^{\mathbb{N}})$  is a compact  $\sigma Z$ -set, hence a  $Z$ -set in  $Q$ .

**5.2. Covering  $\mathcal{A}_n(J)$  by  $\sigma Z$ -sets.** This property of  $\mathcal{A}_n(J)$  follows immediately from the fact that the Hurewicz set  $\mathcal{H}(J)$  is a  $\Pi_1^1$ -absorber in  $Q$  and from inclusion  $\mathcal{A}_n(J) \subset \mathcal{H}(J)$ . However, for the readers's convenience and a more general purpose, we present the proof due to Cauty [5, Lemme 5.6] which, in fact, is valid for any locally connected nondegenerate continuum  $X$  and  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$ . So, let  $d$  be a convex metric in  $X$  and  $Q = \mathcal{K}(X)$ . Define

$$Z_k = \{K \in Q : |K| \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad (\exists x \in K) d(x, K \setminus \{x\}) \geq \frac{1}{k}\}.$$

Each  $Z_k$  is a closed subset of  $Q$ . In order to show that the sets are  $Z$ -sets in  $Q$ , we apply to them the, so called, expansion deformation

$$E : Q \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Q, \quad E(K, t) = \{x \in X : d(x, K) \leq t\}.$$

Each  $Z_k$  contains an isolated point, while  $E(K, t)$  for  $t > 0$  has no isolated points. Hence, for sufficiently small  $t > 0$ ,

$$E_t : Q \rightarrow Q, \quad E_t(K) = E(K, t)$$

maps  $Q$  into  $Q \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Z_k$  and approximates the identity map on  $Q$ . Moreover, since each  $A \in \mathcal{A}_n(X)$  contains an isolated point, we get the desired inclusion  $\mathcal{A}_n(X) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Z_k$ .

Now, Theorem 1.1 together with Subsections 5.1 and 5.2 yield our main result.

**Theorem 5.1.**  *$\mathcal{A}_n(J)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in  $Q$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular, all  $\mathcal{A}_n(J)$ 's are homeomorphic to  $c_0$ .*

**Corollary 5.2.**  *$\mathcal{A}_n((-1, 1))$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_n([-1, 1))$  and  $\mathcal{A}_n((-1, 1])$  are also  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbers in  $Q$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence they are all homeomorphic to  $c_0$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that the set  $\mathcal{B} = \{A \in Q : A \cap \{-1, 1\} \neq \emptyset\}$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $Q$  and  $\mathcal{A}_n((-1, 1)) = \mathcal{A}_n(J) \setminus \mathcal{B}$ . It is known from [1, Corollary 9.4] that the difference of an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber and a  $Z$ -set in a Hilbert cube  $Q$  is again an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in  $Q$ . The argument for the remaining intervals is similar.  $\square$

*Remark 5.3.* Corollary 5.2 absorbs [18, Theorem 2.4, Corollary 2.5], [4, Theorem 5.1] and provides a positive answer to the question in [18, Question 2.17] if  $\mathcal{S}_c([0, 1])$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{S}_c((0, 1))$ .

*Remark 5.4.* Using Corollary 5.2 and general facts about strongly  $\mathcal{M}$ -universal sets, it is shown in Section 7 that  $\mathcal{A}_n(S^1)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in  $\mathcal{K}(S^1)$ . One may ask for what other compact spaces  $X$  the hyperspace  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in  $Q = \mathcal{K}(X)$ . In order that  $Q$  be a Hilbert cube,  $X$  has to be a locally connected non-degenerate continuum. The above method of showing the strong  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universality is specific for  $X$  an arc—we continuously select a point from a finite set (the point  $\min H(f(x), \mu(x))$  from  $H(f(x), \mu(x))$ ) and such selections are characteristic for arcs [26].

## 6. HYPERSPACES $\mathcal{A}_\omega(J^n)$

An immediate consequence of Theorem 5.1, Corollary 5.2 and Fact 3.2, is that the hyperspaces  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(J)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_\omega((-1, 1))$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_\omega([-1, 1))$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\omega((-1, 1])$  form AR-pairs with the ambient hyperspace  $\mathcal{K}(J)$ . One can extend these phenomena on finite-dimensional cubes or the Hilbert cube in the following way.

**Theorem 6.1.**

- (1)  *$\mathcal{A}_\omega(J^n)$  is strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $Q = \mathcal{K}(J^n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\mathbb{N}\}$ . In particular,  $(Q, \mathcal{A}_\omega(J^n))$  is an AR-pair.*
- (2) *If  $n \geq 3$  then  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(J^n)$  is strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $Q \setminus \mathcal{S}(J^n)$ , where  $\mathcal{S}(J^n)$  is the family of all closed subsets of  $J^n$  that separate  $J^n$ .*

*Proof.* (1) We can suitably adapt the reasoning from Subsection 5.1 by replacing  $J$  with  $J^n$ . So, we choose a deformation  $H : Q \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Q$  through finite sets such that

$$\text{dist}(A, H(A, t)) \leq 2t \quad \text{and} \quad H(A, t) \subset [-1 + t, 1 - t]^n.$$

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $K \in Q$  and an embedding  $f : J^n \rightarrow Q$  such that  $f(K)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $Q$ , put  $\mu(x) = \frac{1}{4} \min\{\epsilon, \min\{\text{dist}(f(x), f(z)) : z \in K\}\}$ . Define an  $\epsilon$ -approximation of  $f$  as

$$(6.1) \quad g(x) = \bigcup_{q \in H(f(x), \mu(x))} (q + \mu(x)\overline{\xi(x)}),$$

where  $\overline{\xi(x)} = (\xi(x), 0, 0, \dots) \in J^{\mathbb{N}}$  with  $\xi = \psi\zeta$  as in (5.3), but now the ‘‘positive part’’ of  $\psi$  has to be modified: put

$$(6.2) \quad \psi((x_k)) = \frac{1}{2}(\text{cl}(\{-(\frac{1}{2^k} + \frac{x_k}{2^{k+2}}) : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{-1\} \cup \{\frac{1}{2^k} + \frac{|x_k|}{2^j} : 1 \leq j \leq k, k \in \mathbb{N}\})).$$

Note that  $\psi$  is an embedding in  $\mathcal{K}(J)$  and

$$(6.3) \quad \psi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\omega(J)) = \hat{c}_0.$$

Clearly,  $g$  is continuous and  $f = g$  on  $K$ . Verifying that  $g$  is an  $\epsilon$ -approximation of  $f$  is the same as in (5.7). It follows from (6.3) that  $g^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_\omega(J^n)) \setminus K = M \setminus K$ .

To check that it is 1-1, let  $x, y \in J^n \setminus K$  be such that  $g(x) = g(y)$  (other cases can be handled exactly as in Subsection 5.1). Then numbers  $\mu(x)$  and  $\mu(y)$  are positive. Taking projections  $\pi_1(g(x)), \pi_1(g(y))$  onto the first coordinate space, we get

$$(6.4) \quad \min \pi_1(g(x)) = \min \pi_1(g(y)).$$

Denote  $q(x) = \min(\pi_1(H(f(x), \mu(x))))$ ,  $q(y) = \min(\pi_1(H(f(y), \mu(y))))$ . Since points of  $\pi_1(H(f(x), \mu(x)))$  and  $\pi_1(H(f(y), \mu(y)))$  are the only left-hand limit points of  $\pi_1(g(x)) = \pi_1(g(y))$  we conclude that

$$(6.5) \quad q(x) = q(y).$$

By (6.4), (6.5) and (6.2),

$$(6.6) \quad q(x) - \frac{\mu(x)}{2} = q(y) - \frac{\mu(y)}{2} = q(x) - \frac{\mu(y)}{2},$$

hence  $\mu(x) = \mu(y)$ . It follows that  $\zeta(x)_k = \zeta(y)_k$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $x = y$ .

Finally, in order to see that  $g(J^n)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $Q$ , we argue similarly as in Subsection 5.1.

For part (2), first notice that  $Q \setminus \mathcal{S}(J^n)$  is a homotopy dense in  $Q$  absolute retract, by Proposition 3.3 and Fact 3.2. Suppose the range of embedding  $f$  (from part (1)) is in  $Q \setminus \mathcal{S}(J^n)$ . The compact set  $\psi((x_k))$  from (6.2) is at most 1-dimensional, so  $g(x)$  in (6.1) is at most 1-dimensional. Since  $J^n$  is an  $n$ -dimensional Cantor manifold [17, (1.8.14)], the image  $g(J^n)$  is contained in  $Q \setminus \mathcal{S}(J^n)$ . Moreover, the argument used in part (1) to show that  $g(J^n)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $Q$  works for  $Q \setminus \mathcal{S}(J^n)$  because  $H(g(x), t)$  is finite for  $t > 0$  and it does not separate  $J^n$ . □

Let  $\partial J^n$  be the combinatorial boundary of the Euclidean cube  $J^n$  or the pseudo-boundary of the Hilbert cube  $J^n$  in case  $n = \mathbb{N}$ . It is easy to see that if  $K$  is a compact subset of  $\partial J^n$  then the set  $\mathcal{B}_n = \{A \in \mathcal{K}(J^n) : A \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $Q = \mathcal{K}(J^n)$ . The set  $\mathcal{B}_n \setminus \mathcal{S}(J^n)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $Q \setminus \mathcal{S}(J^n)$  because

$$H(Q \times \{t\}) \subset \mathcal{K}([-1 + t, 1 - t]) \cap (Q \setminus \mathcal{S}(J^n)).$$

Subtracting the  $Z$ -sets from the strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal set  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(J^n)$  in  $Q$  and in  $Q \setminus \mathcal{S}(J^n)$ , respectively, yields, by [1, Theorem 9.3], the following

**Corollary 6.2.**  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(J^n \setminus K)$  is strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $Q = \mathcal{K}(J^n)$  and in  $Q \setminus \mathcal{S}(J^n)$  if  $n \geq 3$ .

*Question 6.3.* Is  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(J)$  strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal?

7. HYPERSPACES  $\mathcal{A}_n$  AND  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  FOR UNIT SPHERES  $S^m$

**Theorem 7.1.**

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}_n(S^1)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in  $\mathcal{K}(S^1)$
- (2)  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(S^m)$  is strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $\mathcal{K}(S^m)$ .

*Proof.* We provide a simultaneous proof of the strong  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universality for both parts assuming  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$  and in case (1) we assume  $n \in \mathbb{N} \wedge m = 1$ , of course. The hyperspace  $E = \mathcal{K}(S^m) \setminus \{S^m\}$  is an AR. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be its open cover by sets  $U_p = \mathcal{K}(S^m \setminus \{p\})$ ,  $p \in S^m$ . The set  $\mathcal{A}_n((-1, 1)^m)$  is strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $\mathcal{K}(J^m)$  by Corollaries 5.2 and 6.2. By [1, Lemma 7.1],  $\mathcal{A}_n((-1, 1)^m)$  is strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $\mathcal{K}((-1, 1)^m)$ . Let  $h : (-1, 1)^m \rightarrow S^m \setminus \{p\}$  be a homeomorphism and  $\tilde{h} : \mathcal{K}((-1, 1)^m) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(S^m \setminus \{p\})$  be the induced homeomorphism. Clearly,  $\tilde{h}(\mathcal{A}_n((-1, 1)^m)) = \mathcal{A}_n(S^m \setminus \{p\})$  is strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $U_p$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}_n(S^m \setminus \{p\}) = U_p \cap \mathcal{A}_n(S^m)$ , we infer by [1, Proposition 7.2] that  $\mathcal{A}_n(S^m)$  is strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $E$ . The singleton  $\{S^m\}$  being a  $Z$ -set in  $\mathcal{K}(S^m)$ ,  $E$  is homotopy dense in  $\mathcal{K}(S^m)$ . Then, by [1, Corollary 6.2], the hyperspace  $\mathcal{A}_n(S^m)$  is also strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $\mathcal{K}(S^m)$ .

The proof that  $\mathcal{A}_n(S^1)$  is contained in a  $\sigma Z$ -set in  $\mathcal{K}(S^1)$  is the same as in Subsection 5.2. □

8. HYPERSPACE  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  FOR MANIFOLDS IN  $S^m$

By a compact  $m$ -manifold with boundary in  $S^m$  we understand a set  $X \subset S^m$  of the form  $X = S^m \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i$ ,  $D_i$ 's are open  $m$ -discs in  $S^m$  such that  $\text{cl } D_i \cap \text{cl } D_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ . The manifold boundary of  $X$  is denoted by  $\partial X$ . The set  $X \setminus \partial X$  is a punctured sphere.

Corollary 6.2 can be extended over punctured spheres or compact manifolds with boundary in spheres in the following way.

**Theorem 8.1.** *If  $m \geq 3$  and  $X$  is a compact  $m$ -manifold with boundary in  $S^m$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X \setminus \partial X)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)$  are strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $Q = \mathcal{K}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.3 and Fact 3.2,  $Q \setminus \mathcal{S}(X)$  is homotopy dense in  $Q$ . Using the isotopy deformation of  $X$  through  $X \setminus \partial X$ , it is easy to show that

$$(8.1) \quad E = \mathcal{K}(X \setminus \partial X) \setminus \mathcal{S}(X) \text{ is homotopy dense in } Q.$$

If  $A \in E$  then  $X$  being a locally connected continuum, the set  $X \setminus A$  is arcwise connected. Specifically, there are disjoint arcs  $\alpha(i, j) \subset X \setminus A$  joining discs  $\text{cl } D_i$ ,  $\text{cl } D_j$  for each  $i \neq j$ . Each  $\alpha(i, j)$  has a closed neighborhood  $D(i, j) \subset X \setminus A$  which is a closed  $m$ -disc such that  $D(i, j)$ 's are disjoint for different pairs  $(i, j)$  and  $D = \bigcup_{i \neq j} D(i, j) \cup \bigcup_i \text{cl } D_i$  is a closed  $m$ -disc. Thus, we get the following formulae.

$$(8.2) \quad E = \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{K}(X \setminus D) \cap E \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_\omega(X \setminus \partial X) = \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{A}_\omega(X \setminus D),$$

where  $\mathcal{D} = \{D \in Q : D \text{ is a closed } m\text{-disc}\}$

(notice that elements of  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X \setminus \partial X)$  do not separate  $X$ ).

Recall next that  $\mathcal{A}_\omega((-1, 1)^m)$  is strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $\mathcal{K}(J^m) \setminus \mathcal{S}(J^m)$  (see Corollary 6.2). It is also strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in

$$\mathcal{K}((-1, 1)^m) \setminus \mathcal{S}((-1, 1)^m) = \mathcal{K}((-1, 1)^m) \setminus \mathcal{S}(J^m),$$

an open subset of  $\mathcal{K}(J^m) \setminus \mathcal{S}(J^m)$ , by [1, Lemma 7.1]. Since  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X \setminus D) = \mathcal{A}_\omega(S^m \setminus D)$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}_\omega((-1, 1)^m)$ , we infer that

(8.3)  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X \setminus D)$  is strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in

$$\mathcal{K}(X \setminus D) \setminus \mathcal{S}(X \setminus D) = \mathcal{K}(X \setminus D) \setminus \mathcal{S}(X) = \mathcal{K}(X \setminus D) \cap E.$$

It follows from (8.2) and [1, Proposition 7.2] that  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X \setminus \partial X)$  is strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $E$ . By (8.1) and [1, Corollary 6.2],  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X \setminus \partial X)$  is strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $Q$ , which establish the first part of Theorem 8.1.

Since

$$\mathcal{A}_\omega(X) = \mathcal{A}_\omega(X \setminus \partial X) \cup \{A \in \mathcal{A}_\omega(X) : A \cap \partial X \neq \emptyset\}$$

and the set  $\{A \in Q : A \cap \partial X \neq \emptyset\}$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $Q$ , we conclude, by [1, Theorem 9.5], that  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(X)$  is strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $Q$ , as well.  $\square$

*Question 8.2.* Is Theorem 8.1 true for  $m = 2$ ?

## 9. HYPERSPACES $\mathcal{A}_n(X)^F$ , $\mathcal{A}_n(X)_F$ FOR $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$

As we have noticed in Remark 5.4, there is an essential obstacle in proving that  $\mathcal{A}_1(X)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  for nondegenerate locally connected continua other than  $J$  and  $S^1$ . The obstacle disappears in hyperspace  $\mathcal{A}_1(X, \{p\})$  if  $p$  is a point of order  $\geq 2$  in  $X$  (i.e., there is an arc  $L \subset X$  containing  $p$  in its combinatorial interior). This hyperspace is a natural counterpart of  $c_0$  where elements converge to the same number 0.

**Theorem 9.1.** *Suppose  $X$  is a locally connected nondegenerate continuum,  $F \subset X$  is finite and contains a point of order  $\geq 2$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)^F$  is strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $Q = \mathcal{K}(X)^F$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$ . If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)^F$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in  $Q$ . If  $F = \{p\}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_1(X, \{p\})$  is also an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in  $Q$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $Q = \mathcal{K}(X)^F$  is a Hilbert cube. Clearly,  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)^F = \mathcal{A}_n(X) \cap Q$  is  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  in  $Q$ . Also  $\mathcal{A}_1(X, \{p\})$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  in  $Q$ , since it equals the preimage  $D^{-1}(\{p\})$ , where  $D$  is the derived set operator on  $Q$ . In order to prove the strong  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universality we proceed similarly to the proof from Subsection 5.1. There is a deformation  $H : \mathcal{K}(X) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$  through finite sets such that  $\text{dist}(H(A, t), A) \leq 2t$ . If we add  $F$  to each  $H(A, t)$  we get a continuous deformation  $Q \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Q$  through finite sets satisfying  $\text{dist}(H(A, t), A) \leq 2t$  for  $A \in Q$ . So, we can assume that  $H : Q \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Q$  is a deformation through finite sets and  $\text{dist}(H(A, t), A) \leq 2t$ . Choose a point  $p \in F$  of order  $\geq 2$  and an arc  $L \subset X$  containing  $p$  in its combinatorial interior. Note that each set  $H(A, t)$  contains  $p$ . To simplify further description, assume without loss of generality that  $L = J$  and  $p = 0$ . We modify the definition of embedding  $\psi$  from (6.2) in its “negative” part in which the sequence  $\{-\frac{1}{2^k} + \frac{x_k}{2^{k+2}} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is now replaced with an increasing sequence  $l(x)$  obtained in the following way. For each  $x = (x_k) \in J^{\mathbb{N}}$ , let

$$a(x)_k = \left( -(2^{2^k} + \frac{x_k}{2^{2^k}}) \right)^{-1}.$$

Observe that the sequence  $a(x) = (a(x)_k)$  satisfies

- (1)  $a(x)$  is strictly increasing and converging to 0,
- (2) for each  $x, y \in J^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $i < j$ , vectors  $(a(x)_i, a(x)_{i+1})$  and  $(a(y)_j, a(y)_{j+1})$  are not parallel.

Let  $x' \in J^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the sequence  $1, x_1, 1, x_1, x_2, 1, x_1, x_2, x_3, 1, \dots$ . Put  $l(x) = a(x')$ . Clearly,  $l(x)$  also satisfies conditions (1-2).

Now, let

$$(9.1) \quad \psi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{cl}(l(x)) \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{2^k} + \frac{|x_k|}{2^j} : 1 \leq j \leq k, k \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \right)$$

Note that, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$ , we have

$$(9.2) \quad \psi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_n(J)) = \hat{c}_0 = \psi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_1(J, \{0\})).$$

Define

$$(9.3) \quad g(x) = H(f(x), \mu(x)) \cup \mu(x)\xi(x).$$

where  $\mu(x)$  is defined in (5.6) and  $\xi(x)$  is defined by (5.3) with  $\psi$  modified as above. Now,  $l(x)$  is responsible for  $g(x)$  being 1-1. Indeed, suppose  $x, y \in J^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus K$  and  $g(x) = g(y)$ . Then  $\mu(x)$  and  $\mu(y)$  are positive. Let

$$\alpha = \max\{ (H(f(x), \mu(x)) \cup H(f(y), \mu(y))) \cap (-\infty, 0) \}.$$

Notice that, for sufficiently large  $k$ , say for  $k \geq j$ , numbers  $\mu(x)l(\zeta(x))_k$  and  $\mu(y)l(\zeta(y))_k$  are greater than  $\alpha$ . So, we can assume that  $\mu(x)l(\zeta(x))_j = \mu(y)l(\zeta(y))_i$  for some  $i \leq j$ . Since sequences  $\mu(x)l(\zeta(x))$  and  $\mu(y)l(\zeta(y))$  are increasing, it follows that also  $\mu(x)l(\zeta(x))_{j+1} = \mu(y)l(\zeta(y))_{i+1}$ . Thus  $i = j$  by property (2). But then  $\mu(x)l(\zeta(x))_k = \mu(y)l(\zeta(y))_k$  for each  $k \geq j$ . Choose  $m \geq j$  such that  $(\zeta(x)')_m = 1 = (\zeta(y)')_m$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x) \left( -\left(2^{2^m} + \frac{1}{2^{2^m}}\right) \right)^{-1} &= \mu(x)a(\zeta(x)')_m = \mu(x)l(\zeta(x))_m = \\ &= \mu(y)l(\zeta(y))_m = \mu(y)a(\zeta(y)')_m = \mu(y) \left( -\left(2^{2^m} + \frac{1}{2^{2^m}}\right) \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\mu(x) = \mu(y)$ . Hence, for each  $k \geq j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( -\left(2^{2^k} + \frac{\zeta(x)'_k}{2^{2^k}}\right) \right)^{-1} &= a(\zeta(x)')_k = l(\zeta(x))_k = \\ &= l(\zeta(y))_k = a(\zeta(y)')_k = \left( -\left(2^{2^k} + \frac{\zeta(y)'_k}{2^{2^k}}\right) \right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\zeta(x)'_k = \zeta(y)'_k$ . Consequently,  $\zeta(x) = \zeta(y)$  and  $x = y$ .

The remaining arguments from the proof of Theorem 5.1 are exactly the same.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.2.** *If  $X$  is a locally connected nondegenerate continuum and each point of a nonempty finite set  $F \subset X$  is of order  $\geq 2$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)_F$  is an absolute retract for  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)_F = \bigcup_{F' \subset F} \mathcal{A}_n(X)^{F'}$ . It follows from Theorem 9.1 that, for each pair of subsets  $F, F' \subset F$ , the intersection

$$\mathcal{A}_n(X)^F \cap \mathcal{A}_n(X)^{F'} = \mathcal{A}_n(X)^{F \cup F'}$$

is an absolute retract. So,  $\mathcal{A}_n(X)_F$  is an absolute retract by the union theorem for absolute retracts.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.3.** *If  $F \subset (-1, 1)^n$  is nonempty compact, then  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(J^n)_F$  is strongly  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $Q = \mathcal{K}(J^n)_F$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\mathbb{N}\}$ . In particular,  $(Q, \mathcal{A}_\omega(J^n)_F)$  is an AR-pair.*

*Proof.* A mild adjustment of the proof of Theorem 6.1 is necessary. Now, we work with the Hilbert cube  $Q = \mathcal{K}(J^n)_F$  for which, by [9, Corollary 3.3 and Theorem 4.1], there is a deformation  $H : Q \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Q$  through finite sets intersecting  $F$ , such that  $\text{dist}(A, H(A, t)) \leq 2t$ . Since  $F \subset (-1, 1)^n$ , we can also assume  $H(A, t) \subset [-1 + t, 1 - t]^n$ . Then follow the proof of Theorem 6.1.  $\square$

*Remark 9.4.* In the case of  $n = \mathbb{N}$  in Theorem 9.3, the assumption  $F \subset (-1, 1)^n$  can be replaced by “ $F$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $J^{\mathbb{N}}$ ”, because then there is a homeomorphism  $h : J^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow J^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $h(F) \subset (-1, 1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Baars, H. Gladdines and J. van Mill, Absorbing systems in infinite-dimensional manifolds, *Topology Appl.* 50 (1993), 147-182.
2. T. Banach and R. Cauty, Interplay between strongly universal spaces and pairs, *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)*, 386 (2000), 38 pp.
3. T. Banach, T. Radul and M. Zarichnyi, Absorbing sets in Infinite-Dimensional Manifolds, VNTL Publishers, Lviv, 1996.
4. J. Camargo, D. Maya and P. Pellicer-Covarrubias, Path connectedness, local path connectedness and contractibility of  $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ , *Colloq. Math.*, to appear.
5. R. Cauty, Caractérisation topologique de l'espace des fonctions dérivables, *Fund. Math.* 138 (1991), 35-58.
6. R. Cauty, L'espace des arcs d'une surface, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 332 (1992), 193-209.
7. R. Cauty, T. Dobrowolski, H. Gladdines and J. van Mill, Les hyperespaces des rétractes absolus et des rétractes absolus de voisinage du plan, *Fund. Math.* 148 (1995), 257-282.
8. D. Cenzer, and R. D. Mauldin, On the Borel class of the derived set operator, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 110 (1982), no. 4, 357-380.
9. D. W. Curtis, Hyperspaces of finite subsets as boundary sets, *Topology Appl.* 22 (1986), 97-107.
10. D. W. Curtis and R. M. Schori, Hyperspaces which characterize simple homotopy type. *General Topology and Appl.* 6 (1976), no. 2, 153-165.
11. D. W. Curtis and R. M. Schori, Hyperspaces of Peano continua are Hilbert cubes. *Fund. Math.* 101 (1978), no. 1, 19-38.
12. D. W. Curtis and N. To Nhu, Hyperspaces of finite subsets which are homeomorphic to  $\alpha_0$ -dimensional linear metric spaces. *Topology Appl.* 19 (1985), no. 3, 251-260.
13. J. J. Dijkstra, J. van Mill and J. Mogilski, The space of infinite-dimensional compacta and other topological copies of  $(I_f^2)^\omega$ , *Pacific J. Math.* 152 (1992), 255-273.
14. T. Dobrowolski and L. R. Rubin, The hyperspaces of infinite-dimensional compacta for covering and cohomological dimension are homeomorphic, *Pacific J. Math.* 164 (1994), 15-39.
15. A.J.M. van Engelen, Homogeneous Zero-Dimensional Absolute Borel Sets, *CWI Tract*, Vol. 27, Centre for Mathematics and Computer Science, Amsterdam (1986).
16. F. van Engelen, On the homogeneity of infinite products. *Topology Proc.* 17 (1992), 303-315.
17. R. Engelking, *Theory of dimensions, finite and infinite*, Heldermann, 1995.
18. S. García-Ferreira and Y. F. Ortiz-Castillo, The hyperspace of convergent sequences. *Topology Appl.* 196 (2015), part B, 795-804.
19. S. García-Ferreira and R. Rojas-Hernández, Connectedness like properties on the hyperspace of convergent sequences. *Topology Appl.* 230 (2017), 639-647.
20. H. Gladdines and J. van Mill, Hyperspaces of Peano continua of Euclidean spaces, *Fund. Math.* 142 (1993), 173-188.

21. H. Gladdines and J. van Mill, Hyperspaces of infinite-dimensional compacta, *Compositio Math.* 88 (1993), 143–153.
22. A. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Springer, 1995.
23. P. Krupski and A. Samulewicz, More absorbers in hyperspaces, *Topology Appl.* 221 (2017), 352–369.
24. K. Kuratowski, Les fonctions semi-continues dans l'espace des ensembles fermés, *Fund. Math.* 18 (1932), 148–159.
25. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, vol. I, Academic Press and PWN, 1966.
26. K. Kuratowski, S. B. Nadler, Jr. and S. G. Young, Continuous selections on locally compact separable metric spaces, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sr. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* 18 (1970), 5–11.
27. J. van Mill, *The Infinite-Dimensional Topology of Function Spaces*, North-Holland, 2002.
28. H. Toruńczyk, Concerning locally homotopy negligible sets and characterization of  $l_2$ -manifolds, *Fund. Math.* 101, (1978), 93–110.

*E-mail address:* `pawel.krupski@pwr.edu.pl`

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, FACULTY OF FUNDAMENTAL PROBLEMS OF TECHNOLOGY,  
WROCLAW UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27, 50-370  
WROCLAW, POLAND.

*E-mail address:* `Krzysztof.Omiljanowski@math.uni.wroc.pl`

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, UNIwersytet WROCLAWSKI, PL. GRUNWALDZKI 2/4, 50-384  
WROCLAW, POLAND