

Stochastic ordering of Gini indexes for multivariate elliptical random variables

Chuancun Yin

School of Statistics, Qufu Normal University

Shandong 273165, China

e-mail: ccyin@qfnu.edu.cn

August 7, 2019

Abstract

In this paper, we establish the stochastic ordering of the Gini indexes for multivariate elliptical risks which generalized the corresponding results for multivariate normal risks. It is shown that several conditions on dispersion matrices and the components of dispersion matrices of multivariate normal risks for the monotonicity of the Gini index in the usual stochastic order proposed by Samanthi, Wei and Brazauskas (2016) and Kim and Kim (2019) also suitable for multivariate elliptical risks.

Keywords: Gini index; elliptical distribution; multivariate elliptical risk; multivariate normal risk; usual stochastic order

1 Introduction

Since Corrado Gini introduced an index to measure concentration or inequality of incomes (see Gini (1936), for English translation of the original article), it has been studied extensively because of its importance in many fields such as economics, actuarial science, finance, operations research, queuing theory and statistics. For example, Denuit et al. (2005), McNeil et al. (2005), Brazauskas et al. (2007), Goovaerts et al. (2010), Frees et al. (2011, 2014), Samanthi et al. (2017), to name but a few. The reader is referred to recent papers of Samanthi, Wei and Brazauskas (2016), Kim and Kim (2019) and the references therein for a detailed exposition of the line. Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be n portfolios of risks or random variables, these portfolios can be independent or dependent. To check whether or not the n risk measures X_i 's are all equal. Brazauskas et al. (2007) and Samanthi et al. (2017) proposed a nonparametric test statistic based on the following Gini index:

$$G_n(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |X_i - X_j|.$$

The comparison of Gini indexes of multivariate elliptical risks also shows its own independent interest. For example, for the ordering of Gini indexes of multivariate normal risks, Samanthi et al. (2016) proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. Let random vector $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)'$ follow a multivariate normal distribution $N_n(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$. Then its Gini index $G_n(\mathbf{X})$ decreases in the sense of usual stochastic order as the covariance matrix Σ increases componentwise with diagonal elements remaining unchanged.

Samanthi et al. (2016) pointed out that proving Conjecture 1 is a challenging task. They partially completes this task and claim that generalizes the conclusion to elliptical distributions, yet still leaves some open problems. Recent paper of Kim and Kim (2019) shows that this conjecture is true when $n = 2$. However, this conjecture is not true when $n \geq 3$. By using the positive semidefinite ordering of covariance matrices, they obtain the usual stochastic order of the Gini indexes for multivariate normal risks and generalized to the scale mixture of multivariate normal risks. In this paper we generalize the main results in Samanthi et al. (2016) and Kim and Kim (2019) from multivariate normal risks and scale mixture of multivariate normal risks to multivariate elliptical risks and scale mixture of multivariate elliptical risks.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces some basics about notation, stochastic orders and elliptical distributions. Section 3 establishes the usual stochastic orders between Gini indexes for multivariate elliptical risks. Section 4 provides concluding remarks of the paper.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we fix the notation that will be used in the sequel and we recall some well known results about stochastic orders of random vectors and elliptical distributions. Throughout the paper, we use bold letters to denote vectors or matrices. For example, $\mathbf{X}' = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a row vector and $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})_{n \times n}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix. In particular, the symbol $\mathbf{0}_n$ denotes the n -dimensional column vector with all entries equal to 0, $\mathbf{1}_n$ denotes the n -dimensional column vector with all components equal to 1, and $\mathbf{1}_{n \times n}$ denotes the $n \times n$ matrix with all entries equal to 1. Denote as $\mathbf{O}_{n \times n}$ the $n \times n$ matrix having all components equal to 0 and $\mathbf{I}_n$ denotes the $n \times n$ identity matrix. For symmetric matrices A and B of the same size, the notion $A \preceq B$ or $B - A \succeq \mathbf{O}$ means that $B - A$ is positive semidefinite.

In order to compare Gini indexes, we recall definitions of some stochastic orders, see, Denuit et al. (2005) and Shaked and Shanthikumar (2007). Let X and Y be two random variables. X is said to be smaller than Y in usual stochastic order, denoted as $X \leq_{st} Y$, if $P(X > t) \leq P(Y > t)$ for all real numbers t .

A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be supermodular if for any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ it holds that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) + f(\mathbf{y}) \leq f(\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}) + f(\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{y}),$$

where the operators $\wedge$ and $\vee$ denote coordinatewise minimum and maximum respectively. Random

vector $\mathbf{X}$ is said to be smaller than random vector $\mathbf{Y}$ in the supermodular order, denoted as $\mathbf{X} \leq_{sm} \mathbf{Y}$, if $E[f(\mathbf{X})] \leq E[f(\mathbf{Y})]$ for any supermodular function f such that the expectations exist.

We next state some basics about elliptical distributions. Elliptical distributions have been used in insurance and finance, see, for example, Owen and Rabinovitch (1983), Landsman and Valdez (2003), Hamada and Valdez (2008) and Landsman, Makov and Shushi (2018). We follow the notation of Cambanis, Huang and Simons (1981) and Fang, Kotz and Ng (1990). Let Ψ_n be a class of functions $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that function $\psi(|\mathbf{t}|^2), \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an n -dimensional characteristic function. It is clear that

$$\Psi_n \subset \Psi_{n-1} \cdots \subset \Psi_1.$$

Denote by Ψ_∞ the set of characteristic generators that generate an n -dimensional elliptical distribution for arbitrary $n \geq 1$. That is $\Psi_\infty = \cap_{n=1}^\infty \Psi_n$.

An $n \times 1$ random vector $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)'$ is said to have an elliptically symmetric distribution if its characteristic function has the form $e^{it'\mu} \phi(\mathbf{t}'\Sigma\mathbf{t})$ for all $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\phi \in \Psi_n$ is called the characteristic generator satisfying $\phi(0) = 1$, μ (n -dimensional vector) is its location parameter and Σ ($n \times n$ matrix with $\Sigma \succeq \mathbf{O}$) is its dispersion matrix (or scale matrix). The mean vector $E(\mathbf{X})$ (if it exists) coincides with the location vector and the covariance matrix $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{X})$ (if it exists), being $-2\phi'(0)\Sigma$. We shall write $\mathbf{X} \sim ELL_n(\mu, \Sigma, \phi)$. It is well known that $\mathbf{X}$ admits the stochastic representation

$$\mathbf{X} = \mu + R\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{U}^{(n)}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is a square matrix such that $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A} = \Sigma$, $\mathbf{U}^{(n)}$ is uniformly distributed on the unit sphere $S^{n-1} = \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{u}'\mathbf{u} = 1\}$, $R \geq 0$ is the random variable with $R \sim F$ in $[0, \infty)$ called the generating variate and F is called the generating distribution function, R and $\mathbf{U}^{(n)}$ are independent. In general, an elliptically distributed random vector $\mathbf{X} \sim ELL_n(\mu, \Sigma, \phi)$ does not necessarily possess a density. However, if density of X exists it must be of the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = c_n |\Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} g((\mathbf{x} - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mu)), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.2)$$

for some non-negative function g satisfying the condition

$$\int_0^\infty z^{\frac{n}{2}-1} g(z) dz < \infty,$$

and a normalizing constant c_n given by

$$c_n = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}} \left(\int_0^\infty z^{\frac{n}{2}-1} g(z) dz \right)^{-1}.$$

The function g is called the density generator. One sometimes writes $X \sim ELL_n(\mu, \Sigma, g)$ for the n -dimensional elliptical distributions generated from the function g . In this case R in (1.1) has the pdf given by

$$h_R(v) = c_n \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} v^{n-1} g(v^2), \quad v \geq 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Theorem 2.21 in Fang, Kotz and Ng (1990) shows that $\psi \in \Psi_\infty$ if and only if $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathbf{E}_n(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$ is a mixture of normal distributions. Some such elliptical distributions are the multivariate normal

distribution, the multivariate T -distribution, the multivariate Cauchy distribution and the exponential power distribution $EP_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \beta)$ with $\beta \in (0, 1]$. Some elliptical distributions like logistic distribution and Kotz type distribution are not mixture of normal distributions. A comprehensive review of the properties and characterizations of elliptical distributions can be found in Cambanis et al. (1981) and Fang et al. (1990).

3 Main results

In this section, we extended the results of multivariate normal risks and scale mixture multivariate normal risks in Samanthi et al. (2016) and Kim and Kim (2019) to scale mixture multivariate elliptical risks. To compare the usual stochastic orders between Gini indexes for multivariate elliptical risks, we use the following result due to Fefferman, Jodeit, and Perlman (1972); see Eaton and Erlman (1991) for a different proof. In the case of Gaussian distribution, this was proved by Anderson (1955). Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the class of all convex, centrally symmetric (i.e., $C = -C$) subsets C of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $\mathbf{X} \sim ELL_n(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x, \phi)$ and $\mathbf{Y} \sim ELL_n(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y, \phi)$. If $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x \preceq \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y$, then for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$,*

$$P(\mathbf{X} \in C) \geq P(\mathbf{Y} \in C).$$

The following result generalized Proposition 2 in Kim and Kim (2019) in which they only considered a special class of multivariate elliptical risks with zero mean vector, i.e., scale mixture of multivariate normal risks with zero mean vector.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{X} \sim ELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x, \phi)$ and $\mathbf{Y} \sim ELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y, \phi)$. If $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x \preceq \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y$, then*

$$G_n(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \leq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}). \quad (3.1)$$

In particular, if $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$, or $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{1}_n$, then

$$G_n(\mathbf{X}) \leq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{Y}). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. If $\mathbf{X} \sim ELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x, \phi)$ and $\mathbf{Y} \sim ELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y, \phi)$, then $\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu} \sim ELL_n(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x, \phi)$ and $\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu} \sim ELL_n(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y, \phi)$, and thus (3.1) follows from Lemma 3.1 by taking $C_t = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : G_n(\mathbf{x}) \leq t\}$ for $t > 0$ as in Kim and Kim (2019). It is easy to check that the Gini index $G_n(\cdot)$ is invariant under drift $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{1}_n$, i.e., $G_n(\mathbf{X} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{1}_n) = G_n(\mathbf{X})$ for all n -dimensional random vector $\mathbf{X}$. Therefore, (3.2) follows. $\square$

We will extend the result of Proposition 3.1 to the scale mixture of multivariate elliptical risks.

Definition 2.1 A n -dimensional random variable $\mathbf{X}$ is said to have a scale mixture of elliptical distributions with the parameters $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, if

$$\mathbf{X} = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \sqrt{V} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{Z}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\mathbf{Z} \sim ELL_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n, \phi)$, V is a nonnegative, scalar-valued random variable with the distribution F , $\mathbf{Z}$ and V are independent, $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \succeq \mathbf{O}$, and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the square root of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. Here $\mathbf{0}$ is an $n \times 1$ vector of zeros, and $\mathbf{I}_n$ is an $n \times n$ identity matrix. We will use the notation $\mathbf{Y} \sim SMELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \phi; F)$.

Note that when $\mathbf{Z} \sim N_n(0, \mathbf{I}_n)$ we get the multivariate normal variance mixture distribution (see, e.g., McNeil et al., 2005); When $\mathbf{Z} \sim KTD_n(0, \mathbf{I}_n, N, \frac{1}{2}, \beta)$ we have the variance mixture of the Kotz-type distribution introduced by Arslan (2009).

Proposition 3.1 can be generalized to scale mixture of multivariate elliptical risks.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{X} \sim SMELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x, \phi; F)$ and $\mathbf{Y} \sim SMELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y, \phi; F)$. If $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x \preceq \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y$, then*

$$G_n(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \leq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}). \quad (3.4)$$

In particular, if $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mu \mathbf{1}_n$, then

$$G_n(\mathbf{X}) \leq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{Y}). \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. It can be easily seen that for any $v > 0$, $\mathbf{X}|V = v \sim ELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, v\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x, \phi)$ and $\mathbf{Y}|V = v \sim ELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, v\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y, \phi)$. Since $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x \preceq \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y$, one has $v\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x \preceq v\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y$. By Proposition 3.1, given $V = v$, we get

$$G_n(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \leq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}).$$

Or, equivalently,

$$G_n(\sqrt{v}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{Z}) \leq_{st} G_n(\sqrt{v}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{Z}).$$

Therefore, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(G_n(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) > t) &= P(G_n(\sqrt{V}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{Z}) > t) \\ &= \int_0^\infty P(G_n(\sqrt{v}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{Z}) > t) dF(v) \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty P(G_n(\sqrt{v}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{Z}) > t) dF(v) \\ &= P(G_n(\sqrt{V}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{Z}) > t) \\ &= P(G_n(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) > t), \end{aligned}$$

which is (3.4). In particular, if $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mu \mathbf{1}_n$, then $G_n(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) = G_n(\mathbf{X})$ and $G_n(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) = G_n(\mathbf{Y})$, and (3.5) follows. $\square$

An important property of elliptical distributions is that linear transformations of elliptical vectors are also ellipticals, with the same characteristic generator. Specifically

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $\mathbf{X} \sim ELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \phi)$, $\mathbf{B}$ is an $m \times n$ matrix of rank $m \leq n$, and $\mathbf{b}$ is an $m \times 1$ vector, then $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{b} \sim ELL_m(\mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{B}', \phi)$.*

We will give a weaker sufficient condition for stochastic ordering of Gini indexes for multivariate elliptical risks.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\mathbf{X} \sim ELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x, \phi)$ and $\mathbf{Y} \sim ELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y, \phi)$. If $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x\mathbf{A}' \preceq \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y\mathbf{A}'$, where $\mathbf{A}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix defined as

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n-1}{n} & -\frac{1}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{n} \\ -\frac{1}{n} & \frac{n-1}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\frac{1}{n} & -\frac{1}{n} & \cdots & \frac{n-1}{n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$G_n(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}) \leq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}). \quad (3.6)$$

In particular, if $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mu\mathbf{1}_n$, then

$$G_n(\mathbf{X}) \leq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{Y}). \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. If $\mathbf{X} \sim ELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x, \phi)$ and $\mathbf{Y} \sim ELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y, \phi)$, by Lemma 3.2 we get

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} \sim ELL_n(\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x\mathbf{A}', \phi)$$

and

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y} \sim ELL_n(\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y\mathbf{A}', \phi).$$

According to Proposition 3.1, if $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x\mathbf{A}' \preceq \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y\mathbf{A}'$, then

$$G_n(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}) \leq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}).$$

In particular, if $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mu\mathbf{1}_n$, then $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$. Thus

$$G_n(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}) \leq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}),$$

and (3.7) follows since $G_n(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}) = G_n(\mathbf{X})$ and $G_n(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}) = G_n(\mathbf{Y})$. $\square$

The following proposition generalized the result of Proposition 4.4 in Samanthi et al. (2016) in which only the multivariate normal risks with zero mean vectors were considered. Moreover, we provide a short proof.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\mathbf{X} \sim ELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x, \phi)$ and $\mathbf{Y} \sim ELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y, \phi)$. If there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x + \varepsilon\mathbf{1}_{n \times n} \succeq \mathbf{0}_{n \times n}$, then

$$G_n(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}) \leq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}), \quad (3.8)$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is defined in Proposition 3.3. In particular, if $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mu\mathbf{1}_n$, then

$$G_n(\mathbf{X}) \leq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{Y}). \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, it suffices to show that $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x\mathbf{A}' \preceq \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y\mathbf{A}'$. In fact,

$$\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y\mathbf{A}' - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x + \varepsilon\mathbf{1}_{n \times n})\mathbf{A}' \succeq \mathbf{0}_{n \times n},$$

since $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{1}_{n \times n}\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{0}$, as desired. $\square$

Remark 3.1. If $\Sigma_x \preceq \Sigma_y$, then for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$, then $\Sigma_y - \Sigma_x + \varepsilon \mathbf{1}_{n \times n} \succeq \mathbf{O}_{n \times n}$. But conversely is not true in general.

Proposition 3.5 can be generalized to scale mixture of multivariate elliptical risks. The proof is very similar to that used in extending Propositions 3.1 to 3.2 and hence is omitted.

Proposition 3.5. Let $\mathbf{X} \sim SMELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma_x, \phi; F)$ and $\mathbf{Y} \sim SMELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma_y, \phi; F)$. If $\mathbf{A}\Sigma_x\mathbf{A}' \preceq \mathbf{A}\Sigma_y\mathbf{A}'$, where $\mathbf{A}$ is defined in Proposition 3.3, then

$$G_n(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}) \leq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}). \quad (3.10)$$

In particular, if $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mu \mathbf{1}_n$, then

$$G_n(\mathbf{X}) \leq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{Y}). \quad (3.11)$$

Proposition 3.6. Let $\mathbf{X} \sim SMELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma_x, \phi; F)$ and $\mathbf{Y} \sim SMELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma_y, \phi; F)$. If there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Sigma_y - \Sigma_x + \varepsilon \mathbf{1}_{n \times n} \succeq \mathbf{O}_{n \times n}$, then

$$G_n(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}) \leq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}). \quad (3.12)$$

In particular, if $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mu \mathbf{1}_n$, then

$$G_n(\mathbf{X}) \leq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{Y}), \quad (3.13)$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is defined in Proposition 3.3.

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.5 since the condition $\Sigma_y - \Sigma_x + \varepsilon \mathbf{1}_{n \times n} \succeq \mathbf{O}_{n \times n}$ implies $\mathbf{A}\Sigma_x\mathbf{A}' \preceq \mathbf{A}\Sigma_y\mathbf{A}'$ as shown in the proof of Proposition 3.4. $\square$

The condition on the components of dispersion matrix of multivariate normal risk or scale mixture of multivariate normal risk $\mathbf{X}$ for the monotonicity of the Gini index $G_n(\mathbf{X})$ in the usual stochastic order proposed by Kim and Kim (2019) also suitable for general multivariate elliptical risk or scale mixture of multivariate elliptical risk, as shown below.

The following result generalized Propositions 3 and 4 in Kim and Kim (2019) in which they only considered a special class of multivariate elliptical risks with zero mean vector, i.e., the multivariate normal risks and scale mixture of multivariate normal risks with zero mean vector.

Proposition 3.7. Let $\mathbf{X} \sim SMELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma_x, \phi; F)$ and $\mathbf{Y} \sim SMELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma_y, \phi; F)$ with $\Sigma_x = (\sigma_{ij}^x)_{n \times n}$ and $\Sigma_y = (\sigma_{ij}^y)_{n \times n}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, if $\sigma_{ij}^y = \sigma_{ij}^x + \varepsilon, j = 2, \dots, n, \sigma_{i1}^y = \sigma_{i1}^x + \varepsilon, i = 2, \dots, n$ and for other $1 \leq i, j \leq n, \sigma_{ij}^y = \sigma_{ij}^x$. Then

$$G_n(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \geq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}). \quad (3.14)$$

In particular, if $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mu \mathbf{1}_n$, then

$$G_n(\mathbf{X}) \geq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{Y}). \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. According to Kim and Kim (2019), under the assumed condition, we know that,

$$\Sigma_x - \Sigma_y = \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbf{1}'_{n-1} \\ -\mathbf{1}_{n-1} & \mathbf{O}_{(n-1) \times (n-1)} \end{pmatrix},$$

from which we get

$$\Sigma_x - \Sigma_y + \varepsilon \mathbf{1}_{n \times n} = \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0}'_{n-1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{n-1} & \mathbf{1}_{(n-1) \times (n-1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We conclude that the latter matrix is positive semidefinite. In fact, for any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)' \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathbf{x}' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0}'_{n-1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{n-1} & \mathbf{1}_{(n-1) \times (n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} = x_1^2 + (x_2 + \dots + x_n)^2 \geq 0.$$

Therefore, $\Sigma_x - \Sigma_y + \varepsilon \mathbf{1}_{n \times n} \succeq \mathbf{O}_{n \times n}$. Then Proposition 3.6 implies the desired results. $\square$

The following result generalized Propositions 5 and 6 in Kim and Kim (2019) in which they only considered the multivariate normal risks and scale mixture of multivariate normal risks with zero mean vector.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\mathbf{X} \sim SMELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma_x, \phi; F)$ and $\mathbf{Y} \sim SMELL_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma_y, \phi; F)$ with $\Sigma_x = (\sigma_{ij}^x)_{n \times n}$ and $\Sigma_y = (\sigma_{ij}^y)_{n \times n}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, if*

$$\sigma_{ij}^y = \begin{cases} \sigma_{ij}^x + \varepsilon, & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ \sigma_{ij}^x, & \text{if } i = j, \end{cases}$$

then

$$G_n(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \geq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}). \quad (3.16)$$

In particular, if $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mu \mathbf{1}_n$, then

$$G_n(\mathbf{X}) \geq_{st} G_n(\mathbf{Y}). \quad (3.17)$$

Proof. Under the assumed condition, Kim and Kim (2019) found that,

$$\Sigma_x - \Sigma_y = \varepsilon(\mathbf{I}_{n \times n} - \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n'),$$

from which we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}(\Sigma_x - \Sigma_y)\mathbf{A}' &= \varepsilon \mathbf{A} \mathbf{I}_{n \times n} \mathbf{A}' - \varepsilon \mathbf{A} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n' \mathbf{A}' \\ &= \varepsilon \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}' - \varepsilon \mathbf{A} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n' \mathbf{A}' \\ &= \varepsilon \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}' \succeq \mathbf{O}_{n \times n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is defined in Proposition 3.3. We find that $\mathbf{A} \Sigma_x \mathbf{A}' \succeq \mathbf{A} \Sigma_y \mathbf{A}'$. Therefore, Proposition 3.5 provides the desired result. $\square$

4 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have considered usual stochastic order problem about the Gini indexes for multivariate elliptical random variables. The related issues for multivariate normal risks and scale mixture of multivariate normal risks have been studied by Samanthi et al. (2016) and Kim and Kim (2019). Here, we have investigated the issues for multivariate elliptical risks and scale mixture of multivariate elliptical

risks. This paper also answered the following open problems proposed in the Concluding Remarks in Samanthi et al. (2016): To what extent can Gini indexes of multivariate elliptical risks be ordered in the sense of usual stochastic order? Does the conclusion still hold for high dimensional risks with general elliptical distribution? Another research topics would be study the supermodular order and moment inequalities for the Gini indexes of multivariate elliptical risks. In addition, a large deviation result for the Gini indexes of multivariate elliptical risks is also need to be established.

Acknowledgements

The research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11171179, 11571198).

References

- [1] Anderson, T. W., 1955. The integral of a symmetric unimodal function over a symmetric convex set and some probability inequalities. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 6, 170-176.
- [2] Anderson, T.W., 1996. Some inequalities for symmetric convex sets with applications. *Ann. Statist.* 24 (2), 753-762.
- [3] Arslan, O., 2009. Variance-mean mixture of Kotz-Type distributions. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods* 38(2), 272-284.
- [4] Brazauskas, V., Jones, B.L., Puri, M.L., Zitikis, R., 2007. Nested L-statistics and their use in comparing the riskiness of portfolios. *Scand. Actuar. J.* 3, 162-179.
- [5] Cambanis, S., Huang, S., Simons, G., 1981. On the theory of elliptically contoured distributions. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* 11, 365-385.
- [6] Das Gupta, S., Eaton, M.L., Olkin, I., Perlman, M.D., Savage, L.J., Sobel, M., 1972. Inequalities on the probability content of convex regions for elliptically contoured distributions. In: *Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Probability and Statistics, II*, pp. 241-265.
- [7] Denuit, M., Dhaene, J., Goovaerts, M., Kaas, R., 2005. *Actuarial Theory for Dependent Risks: Measures, Orders and Models*, Wiley, New York.
- [8] Eaton, M.L., Perlman, M.D., 1991. Multivariate Probability Inequalities: Convolution Theorems, Composition Theorems, and Concentration Inequalities. In: *Lecture Notes-Monograph Series*, vol. 19, *Stochastic Orders and Decision under Risk*, pp. 104-122.
- [9] Fang, K.W., Kotz, S., Ng, K.W., 1990. *Symmetric Multivariate and Related Distributions*. Chapman & Hall.
- [10] Fefferman, C., Jodeit, M., Perlman, M. D., 1972. A spherical surface measure inequality for convex sets. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 33, 114-119.

- [11] Frees, E.W., Meyers, G., Cummings, A.D., 2011. Summarizing insurance scores using a Gini index. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 106 (495), 1085-1098.
- [12] Frees, E.W., Meyers, G., Cummings, A.D., 2014. Insurance ratemaking and a Gini index. *J. Risk Insurance* 81 (2), 335-366.
- [13] Gini, C., 1936. On the Measure of Concentration with Special Reference to Income and Statistics. In: *General Series*, vol. 208. Colorado College Publication, pp. 73-79.
- [14] Goovaerts, M.J., Kaas, R., Laeven, R.J.A., Tang, Q., Vernic, R., 2005. The tail probability of discounted sums of pareto-like losses in insurance. *Scand. Actuar. J.* 2005 (6), 446-461.
- [15] Hamada, M., Valdez, E. A., 2008. Capm and option pricing with elliptically contoured distributions. *J. Risk Insurance* 75(2), 387-409.
- [16] Kim, B., Kim J., 2019. Stochastic ordering of Gini indexes for multivariate elliptical risks. *Insurance Math. Econom.* 88, 151-158.
- [17] Landsman, Z. M., Valdez, E. A., 2003. Tail Conditional Expectations for Elliptical Distributions. *North American Actuarial Journal* 7(4), 55-71.
- [18] Landsman, Z. M., Makov, U., Shushi, T., 2018. A multivariate tail covariance measure for elliptical distributions. *Insurance Math. Econom.* 81, 27-35.
- [19] McNeil, A.J., Frey, R., Embrechts, P., 2005. *Quantitative Risk Management: Concepts, Techniques and Tools*. Princeton University Press.
- [20] Owen, J., Rabinovitch, R., 1983. On the class of elliptical distributions and their applications to the theory of portfolio choice. *J. Finance* 38, 745-752.
- [21] Samanthi, R.G.M., Wei, W., Brazauskas, V., 2016. Ordering Gini indexes of multivariate elliptical risks. *Insurance Math. Econom.* 68, 84-91.
- [22] Samanthi, R.G.M., Wei, W., Brazauskas, V., 2017. Comparing the riskiness of dependent portfolios via nested L-statistics. *Ann. Actuar. Sci.* 11, 237-252.
- [23] Shaked, M., Shanthikumar, J.G., 2007. *Stochastic Orders*. Springer, New York.