

# STRUCTURE OF BLOCK QUANTUM DYNAMICAL SEMIGROUPS AND THEIR PRODUCT SYSTEMS

B.V. RAJARAMA BHAT AND VIJAYA KUMAR U.

ABSTRACT. W. Paschke's version of Stinespring's theorem associates a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module along with a generating vector to every completely positive map. Building on this, to every quantum dynamical semigroup (QDS) on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  one may associate an inclusion system  $E = (E_t)$  of Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -modules with a generating unit  $\xi = (\xi_t)$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is a von Neumann algebra, consider  $M_2(\mathcal{B})$ , the von Neumann algebra of  $2 \times 2$  matrices with entries from  $\mathcal{B}$ . Suppose  $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  with  $\Phi_t = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_t^1 & \psi_t \\ \psi_t^* & \phi_t^2 \end{pmatrix}$ , is a QDS on  $M_2(\mathcal{B})$  which acts block-wise and let  $(E_t^i)_{t \geq 0}$  be the inclusion system associated to the diagonal QDS  $(\phi_t^i)_{t \geq 0}$  with the generating unit  $(\xi_t^i)_{t \geq 0}, i = 1, 2$ . It is shown that there is a contractive (bilinear) morphism  $T = (T_t)_{t \geq 0}$  from  $(E_t^2)_{t \geq 0}$  to  $(E_t^1)_{t \geq 0}$  such that  $\psi_t(a) = \langle \xi_t^1, T_t a \xi_t^2 \rangle$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{B}$ . We also prove that any contractive morphism between inclusion systems of von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules can be lifted as a morphism between the product systems generated by them. We observe that the  $E_0$ -dilation of a block quantum Markov semigroup (QMS) on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra is again a semigroup of block maps.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that a block matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{pmatrix}$  of operators on a direct sum of Hilbert spaces  $(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K})$  is positive if and only if  $A, D$  are positive and there exists a contraction  $K : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that  $B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} K D^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . This says that the positivity of a block matrix is determined up to a contraction by the positive diagonals. We want to look at the structure of block completely positive (CP) maps, that is, completely positive maps which send  $2 \times 2$  block operators as above to  $2 \times 2$  block operators. Such maps have already appeared in many different contexts. For example, Paulsen uses the block CP maps in [14] to prove that every completely polynomially bounded operator is similar to a contraction. The structure of completely bounded (CB) maps are understood using the  $2 \times 2$ -block CP maps (See [14, 18, 20], [13, Chap. 8]). The usual way to study the structure of CP maps into  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is via Stinespring dilation theorem ([19]), which says that if  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a CP map then there is a triple  $(\mathcal{K}, \pi, V)$  of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$ , a representation  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$  and a bounded operator  $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$  such that  $\phi(a) = V^* \pi(a) V$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & \psi \\ \psi^* & \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} : M_2(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$  is a block CP map, then the diagonals  $\phi_i, i = 1, 2$  are also CP maps on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Also the Stinespring representation of  $\Phi$  gives us natural Stinespring representations for  $\phi_i$  by the appropriate compressions. In [18, Cor. 2.7], Paulsen and Suen proved that: if  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi & \psi \\ \psi^* & \phi \end{pmatrix} : M_2(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$  is CP and if  $\phi$  has the minimal Stinespring representation  $(\mathcal{K}, \pi, V)$  then there exists a contraction  $T \in \pi(\mathcal{A})'$  such that  $\psi(\cdot) = V^* \pi(\cdot) T V$ . These results show the importance of studying block CP maps. In this article, we want to study one parameter semigroups of block CP maps.

To begin with, the Stinespring's theorem approach is not so convenient to study compositions of CP maps between general  $C^*$ -algebras. In [12] Paschke proved a structure

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary: 46L57; secondary: 46L08, 81S22.

*Key words and phrases.* completely positive maps, product systems, Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, quantum dynamical semigroups, dilation theory.

theorem for CP maps between  $C^*$ -algebras, which is a generalization of Stinespring theorem, we call it as *GNS-construction* for CP maps, in view of its close connection with the familiar GNS-construction for positive linear functionals on  $C^*$ -algebras. This theorem says that given a CP map  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  there is a pair  $(E, \xi)$  of a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $E$  (see below for definitions) and a cyclic vector  $\xi$  in  $E$  such that  $\phi(a) = \langle \xi, a\xi \rangle$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . The advantage of GNS-construction is that we can write the GNS-construction of the composition of two CP maps as a submodule of the tensor product of their GNS-constructions (See Observation 2.10). Employing this scheme we can associate an inclusion system (synonymous with subproduct system)  $(E_t)$  of Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules with a generating unit  $(\xi_t)$  to any one parameter semigroup of unital completely positive maps on  $\mathcal{B}$ . It may be recalled that semigroups of unital completely positive maps on a  $C^*$ -algebra are known as quantum Markov semigroups (QMS) and semigroups of unital endomorphisms are known as  $E_0$ -semigroups. In [4] Bhat proved that any QMS on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  admits a unique  $E_0$ -dilation, and in [5], extended the result to QMS to unital  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Later in [8] Bhat and Skeide constructed the  $E_0$ -dilation for arbitrary quantum Markov semigroups (QMS) on abstract unital  $C^*$ -algebras, using the technology of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. Here one sees for the first time subproduct systems and product systems of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. Muhly and Solel [11] took a dual approach to achieve this, where they have called these Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules as  $C^*$ -correspondences.

While studying units of  $E_0$ -semigroups of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  Powers was led into considering block CP semigroups (See [15] and [7], [17]). In [6], Bhat and Mukherjee proved a structure theorem for block QMS on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K})$ . The main point is that when we have a block QMS, there is a contractive morphism between inclusion systems of diagonal CP semigroups. Moreover, this morphism lifts to associated product systems. The main goal of this paper is to explore the structure of block quantum dynamical semigroups on general von Neumann algebras. The extension of the theory from  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  case is not straight forward for the following reason. In the case of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , we need only consider product systems of Hilbert spaces, where as now we need to deal with both product systems of Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ -modules and also product systems of Hilbert- $M_2(\mathcal{B})$  modules (See Theorem 3.3) and their inter-dependences. But a careful analysis of these modules does lead us to a morphism between inclusion systems as in the  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  case and this morphism can also be lifted to a morphism at the level of associated product systems (Theorem 5.5). At various steps we consider adjoints of maps between our modules and so it is convenient to have von Neumann modules. The picture is unclear for Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules.

In Section 2, we recall the preliminaries. In Section 3 we prove a structure theorem for block CP maps from  $M_2(\mathcal{A})$  to  $M_2(\mathcal{B})$  when  $\mathcal{B}$  is a von Neumann algebra also we give an example to indicate that we can not replace  $\mathcal{B}$  by arbitrary  $C^*$ -algebras. We extend this result to semigroups of block CP maps on  $M_2(\mathcal{B})$  in Section 4.1. In Section 4.2, we show that the  $E_0$ -dilation of a block QMS is again block semigroup. In the final section we prove that any morphism between inclusion systems of von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules can be lifted as a morphism between the product systems generated by them. Throughout  $\mathbb{T}$  denotes the set of all non-negative real numbers  $\mathbb{R}_+$  or the set of all non-negative integers  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ . For a linear map  $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  between  $C^*$ -algebras, we define the linear map  $\psi^* : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  by  $\psi^*(a) = \psi(a^*)^*$  for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Subproduct systems and inclusion systems are synonyms. The word ‘subproduct systems’ seems to be better established now. Since we are mostly following the ideas and notations of [6], we will continue to call these objects as inclusion systems.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

For the basic theory about Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules we refer to [9, 8, 3, 12, 16]. Here we recall only the most required definitions and results about Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. A Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $E$  is a right  $\mathcal{B}$ -module with a  $\mathcal{B}$ -valued inner product which is complete in the norm given by  $\|x\| = \sqrt{\|\langle x, x \rangle\|}$  for  $x \in E$ . If we have just semi inner product on a  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $E$ , then using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality  $\langle x, y \rangle \langle y, x \rangle \leq \|\langle y, y \rangle\| \|\langle x, x \rangle\|$ , we can see that

$N = \{x \in E : \langle x, x \rangle = 0\}$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -submodule. Now  $E/N$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -module with the natural inner product. A *Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module*  $E$  is a Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ -module with a nondegenerate action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $E$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{B}^a(E, F)$  the set of all adjointable  $\mathcal{B}$ -linear maps from  $E$  to  $F$  and we write  $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$  for  $\mathcal{B}^a(E, E)$ . When  $E$  and  $F$  are Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules, we denote the set of all bilinear (two-sided) maps from  $E$  to  $F$  by  $\mathcal{B}^{bil}(E, F)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $E$  be a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module and  $F$  be a Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -module. Then  $\langle x \otimes y, x' \otimes y' \rangle = \langle y, \langle x, x' \rangle y' \rangle$  defines a semi inner product on (the algebraic tensor product)  $E \otimes F$  with the natural right  $\mathcal{C}$ -action. Let  $N = \{w \in E \otimes F : \langle w, w \rangle = 0\}$ . The *interior tensor product* of  $E$  and  $F$  is defined as the completion of  $E \otimes F/N$  and it is denoted by  $E \odot F$ .

Observe that  $E \odot F$  in previous definition is a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -module with the natural left action of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We denote the equivalence class of  $x \otimes y$  in  $E \odot F$  by  $x \odot y$ . It may be noted that for  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $xb \odot y = x \odot by$ . Let  $E, E'$  be Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules and  $F, F'$  be Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -modules. If  $T : E \rightarrow E'$  and  $S : F \rightarrow F'$  are bounded bilinear maps then,  $T \odot S : E \odot F \rightarrow E' \odot F'$  is a bounded bilinear map defined by  $(T \odot S)(x \odot y) = Tx \odot Sy$  for  $x \in E, y \in F$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a Hilbert space on which  $\mathcal{B}$  is represented nondegenerately ( $\mathcal{G}$  can be viewed as a Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -module). Let  $E$  be a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module. Consider the tensor product  $\mathcal{H} = E \odot \mathcal{G}$ , which is a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -module. That is,  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space with a representation  $\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . We refer  $\rho$  as the *Stinespring representation* of  $\mathcal{A}$  associated with  $E$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  (see Observation 2.6 below). For  $x \in E$  let  $L_x : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be defined by  $L_x(g) = x \odot g$ , then  $L_x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$  with  $L_x^* : x' \odot g \mapsto \langle x, x' \rangle g$ . Define  $\eta : E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$  by  $\eta(x) = L_x$ . Then we have  $L_x^* L_y = \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G})$ , hence, if the representation of  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathcal{G}$  is faithful then so is  $\eta$ . Also we have  $L_{axb} = \rho(a)L_x b$  so that we may identify  $E$  as a concrete  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ . The map  $\eta$  is referred as the *Stinespring representation of  $E$*  (associated with  $\mathcal{G}$ ).

In particular, if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{G}$ , we always consider  $E$  as a concrete subset of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, E \odot \mathcal{G})$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{G}$ . A Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $E$  is said to be a *von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ -module* if  $E$  is strongly closed in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, E \odot \mathcal{G})$ . Further if,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a von Neumann algebra, a von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $E$  is said to be *von Neumann  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module* if it is a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module such that the Stinespring representation  $\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E \odot \mathcal{G})$  is normal.

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $E$  be a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module. Then  $E$  can be completed in strong operator topology to get,  $\overline{E}^s$  which is a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module and is a von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ -module. Here the left action by  $\mathcal{A}$  need not be normal.

**Remark 2.4.** If  $E$  is a von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ -module, then  $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$  is a von Neumann subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(E \odot \mathcal{G})$ . von Neumann modules are self-dual and hence any bounded right linear map between von Neumann module is adjointable. If  $F$  is a von Neumann submodule of  $E$ , then there exists a projection  $p$  ( $p = p^2 = P^*$ ) in  $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$  onto  $F$ , that is,  $p(E) = F$  and  $E$  decomposes as  $E = F \oplus F^\perp$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a CP map. Consider the algebraic tensor product  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ . For  $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}, b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$  define  $\langle a \otimes b, a' \otimes b' \rangle = b^* \phi(a^* a') b$ . Then  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a semi inner product on  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ . Let  $N_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}} = \{w \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} : \langle w, w \rangle = 0\}$ . Let  $E$  be the completion of  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}/N_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}}$ . Then  $E$  is a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module in a natural way. Let  $\xi = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + N_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}}$ , then we have  $\phi(a) = \langle \xi, a\xi \rangle$ . Moreover,  $\xi$  is cyclic (i.e.,  $E = \overline{\text{span}}(\mathcal{A}\xi\mathcal{B})$ ). The pair  $(E, \xi)$  is called the *GNS-construction* of  $\phi$  and  $E$  is called the *GNS-module* for  $\phi$ . Obviously,  $\phi$  is unital if and only if  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = \mathbf{1}$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a CP map. Let  $E$  be a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module and  $\xi \in E$ , We call  $(E, \xi)$  as a *GNS-representation* for  $\phi$  if  $\phi(a) = \langle \xi, a\xi \rangle$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . It is said to be *minimal* if  $E = \overline{\text{span}}(\mathcal{A}\xi\mathcal{B})$ .

Note that the GNS-module in the GNS-construction is minimal. If  $(E, \xi)$  and  $(F, \zeta)$  are two minimal GNS-representations for  $\phi$  then the map  $\xi \mapsto \zeta$  extends as a bilinear unitary from  $E$  to  $F$ . Hence the GNS representation is unique up to unitary equivalence.

**Observation 2.6.** Let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G})$  be a CP map. Let  $(E, \xi)$  be the GNS-construction of  $\phi$ . If we apply the construction above to the GNS-module  $E$  we get

$$\phi(a) = \langle \xi, a\xi \rangle = L_\xi^* L_{a\xi} = L_\xi^* \rho(a) L_\xi.$$

Note that  $L_\xi$  is an isometry in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$  if and only if  $\phi$  is unital. So we obtain the usual Stinespring construction. For this reason we called the construction above as Stinespring representation.

**Proposition 2.7.** *If  $E$  is the GNS-module of a normal completely positive map  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  between von Neumann algebras, then  $\overline{E}^s$  is a von Neumann  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module.*

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $E$  be a von Neumann  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module and let  $F$  be a von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -module where  $\mathcal{C}$  acts on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then the strong closure  $E \overline{\odot}^s F = \overline{E \odot F}^s$  of the tensor product  $E \odot F$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, E \odot F \odot \mathcal{G})$ , is a von Neumann  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -module.*

**Definition 2.9.** Due to Propositions 2.7, and 2.8 we make the following conventions:

- (1) Whenever  $\mathcal{B}$  is a von Neumann algebra and  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a CP map, by GNS-module we always mean  $\overline{E}^s$ , where  $E$  is the GNS-module, constructed above.
- (2) If  $E$  and  $F$  are von Neumann modules, by tensor product of  $E$  and  $F$  we mean the strong closure  $\overline{E \odot F}^s$  of  $E \odot F$  and we still write  $E \odot F$ .

**Observation 2.10.** Let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $\psi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be CP maps with GNS-representations  $(E, \xi)$  and  $(F, \zeta)$  respectively. Let  $(K, \kappa)$  be the GNS-construction of  $\psi \circ \phi$ . Then the mapping  $\kappa \mapsto \xi \odot \zeta$  extends to a unique two-sided isometric homomorphism from  $K$  to  $E \odot F$ . Also we have

$$\psi \circ \phi(a) = \langle \xi \odot \zeta, a\xi \odot \zeta \rangle \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (1)$$

Using the above isometry we may identify  $K$  as the submodule  $\overline{\text{span}}(\mathcal{A}\xi \odot \zeta\mathcal{C})$  of  $E \odot F$ . Note that  $E \odot F = \overline{\text{span}}(\mathcal{A}\xi\mathcal{B} \odot \mathcal{B}\zeta\mathcal{C}) = \overline{\text{span}}(\mathcal{A}\xi \odot \mathcal{B}\zeta\mathcal{C}) = \overline{\text{span}}(\mathcal{A}\xi\mathcal{B} \odot \zeta\mathcal{C})$ .

In the following we define the Quantum dynamical semigroups and see their connection with inclusion system. We may  $\mathbb{T}$  as either the semigroup of non-negative integers  $\mathbb{Z}_=$  or as the semigroup of non-negative reals  $\mathbb{R}_+$  under addition, but our real interest lies in  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}_+$ , in view of quantum theory of open systems. For more details on this theory look at [2, 1, 8]

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. A family  $\phi = (\phi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  of CP maps on  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be a *quantum dynamical semigroup* (QDS) or *one-parameter CP-semigroup* if

- (1)  $\phi_{s+t} = \phi_s \circ \phi_t$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ ,
- (2)  $\phi_0(a) = a$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,
- (3)  $\phi_t(\mathbf{1}) \leq \mathbf{1}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ , (contractivity)
- (4) The map  $t \mapsto \phi_t(a)$  is continuous for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . (strong continuity)

It is said to be *conservative QDS* or *Quantum Markov semigroup* (QMS) if  $\phi_t$  is unital for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ .

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. An *inclusion system*  $(E, \beta)$  is a family  $E = (E_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  of Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules with  $E_0 = \mathcal{B}$  and a family  $\beta = (\beta_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathbb{T}}$  of two-sided isometries  $\beta_{s,t} : E_{s+t} \rightarrow E_s \odot E_t$  such that, for all  $r, s, t \in \mathbb{T}$ ,

$$(\beta_{r,s} \odot \text{id}_{E_t})\beta_{r+s,t} = (\text{id}_{E_r} \odot \beta_{s,t})\beta_{r,s+t}. \quad (2)$$

It is said to be a *product system* if every  $\beta_{st}$  is unitary.

**Remark 2.13.** If  $\mathcal{B}$  is von Neumann algebra in the Definition 2.12, then we consider inclusion system of von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules.

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $(E, \beta)$  be an inclusion system. A family  $\xi^\odot = (\xi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  of vectors  $\xi_t \in E_t$  is called a *unit* for the inclusion system, if  $\beta_{s,t}(\xi_{s+t}) = \xi_s \odot \xi_t$ . A unit is called *unital*, if  $\langle \xi_t, \xi_t \rangle = 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ . A unit is called *generating*, if  $E_t$  is spanned by images of elements  $b_n \xi_{t_n} \odot \cdots \odot b_1 \xi_{t_1} b_0$  ( $t_i \in \mathbb{T}, \sum t_i = t, b_i \in \mathcal{B}$ ) under successive applications of appropriate mappings  $\text{id} \odot \beta_{s,s'}^* \odot \text{id}$ .

**Observation 2.15.** Suppose  $(E, \beta)$  is an inclusion system with a (unital) unit  $\xi^\odot$ . Consider  $\phi_t : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  defined by

$$\phi_t(b) = \langle \xi_t, b \xi_t \rangle \text{ for } b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Then as  $\beta_{s,t}$ 's are two-sided isometries and  $\xi^\odot$  is a unit, for  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  we have

$$\phi_t \circ \phi_s(b) = \phi_t(\langle \xi_s, b \xi_s \rangle) = \langle \xi_t, \langle \xi_s, b \xi_s \rangle \xi_t \rangle = \langle \xi_s \odot \xi_t, b(\xi_s \odot \xi_t) \rangle = \langle \xi_{t+s}, b \xi_{t+s} \rangle = \phi_{t+s}(b).$$

That is,  $(\phi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  is a (QMS) QDS.

In the converse direction we have the following observation:

**Observation 2.16.** Let  $\phi = (\phi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  be a QDS on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and let  $(E_t, \xi_t)$  be the (minimal) GNS-construction for  $\phi_t$ . (Recall that  $\xi_t$  is a cyclic vector in  $E_t$  such that  $\phi_t(b) = \langle \xi_t, b \xi_t \rangle$  for all  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ ). Note that  $E_0 = \mathcal{B}$  and  $\xi_0 = \mathbf{1}$ . Define  $\beta_{s,t} : E_{s+t} \rightarrow E_s \odot E_t$  by

$$\xi_{t+s} \mapsto \xi_s \odot \xi_t. \quad (3)$$

Then by Observation 2.10  $\beta_{s,t}$ 's are two-sided isometries. Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_{r,s} \odot I_{E_t}) \beta_{r+s,t}(\xi_{r+s+t}) &= (\beta_{r,s} \odot I_{E_t})(\xi_{r+s} \odot \xi_t) = (\xi_r \odot \xi_s) \odot \xi_t \\ &= \xi_r \odot (\xi_s \odot \xi_t) = (I_{E_r} \odot \beta_{s,t})(\xi_r \odot \xi_{s+t}) \\ &= (I_{E_r} \odot \beta_{s,t}) \beta_{r,s+t}(\xi_{r+s+t}) \end{aligned}$$

shows that  $(E, \beta)$  is an inclusion system of Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module. It is obvious to see that  $\xi^\odot = (\xi_t)$  is a generating unit for  $(E, \beta)$ .

**Remark 2.17.** If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a von Neumann algebra and  $\phi = (\phi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  is a *normal* QDS on  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $(E, \beta)$  as defined in Observation 2.16 is an inclusion system of von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules.

**Definition 2.18.** For a QDS  $\phi = (\phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ , the inclusion system with the generating unit  $(E, \beta, \xi^\odot)$  as given in Observation 2.16 is called the inclusion system associated to  $\phi$ .

**Definition 2.19.** Let  $(E, \beta)$  and  $(F, \gamma)$  be two inclusion systems. Let  $T = (T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  be a family of two-sided (bilinear) maps  $T_t : E_t \rightarrow F_t$ , satisfying  $\|T_t\| \leq e^{tk}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $T$  is said to be a *morphism* or a *weak morphism* from  $(E, \beta)$  to  $(F, \gamma)$  if every  $\gamma_{s,t}$  is adjointable and

$$T_{s+t} = \gamma_{s,t}^*(T_s \odot T_t) \beta_{s,t} \text{ for all } s, t \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (4)$$

It is said to be a *strong morphism* if

$$\gamma_{s,t} T_{s+t} = (T_s \odot T_t) \beta_{s,t} \text{ for all } s, t \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (5)$$

### 3. BLOCK CP MAPS

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  be a projection. Set  $p' = \mathbf{1} - p$ . Then for every  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  we have the following block decomposition:

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} p x p & p x p' \\ p' x p & p' x p' \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} p \mathcal{A} p & p \mathcal{A} p' \\ p' \mathcal{A} p & p' \mathcal{A} p' \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras. Let  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $q \in \mathcal{B}$  be projections. We say that a map  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a *block map* (with respect to  $p$  and  $q$ ) if  $\Phi$  respects the above block decomposition. i.e., for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  we have

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(p x p) & \Phi(p x p') \\ \Phi(p' x p) & \Phi(p' x p') \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} q \mathcal{B} q & q \mathcal{B} q' \\ q' \mathcal{B} q & q' \mathcal{B} q' \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

If  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a block map, then we get the following four maps:  $\phi_{11} : p\mathcal{A}p \rightarrow q\mathcal{B}q$ ,  $\phi_{12} : p\mathcal{A}p' \rightarrow q\mathcal{B}q'$ ,  $\phi_{21} : p'\mathcal{A}p \rightarrow q'\mathcal{B}q$ , and  $\phi_{22} : p'\mathcal{A}p' \rightarrow q'\mathcal{B}q'$ . So we write  $\Phi$  as

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} \\ \phi_{21} & \phi_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Observation 3.2.** Let  $F$  be a Hilbert(von Neumann)  $M_2(\mathcal{B})$ -module. Then  $F$  can be treated as a Hilbert(von Neumann)  $\mathcal{B}$ -module with right  $\mathcal{B}$ -module action on  $F$  given by

$$wb := w \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, w \in F, b \in \mathcal{B}$$

and with the  $\mathcal{B}$ -valued semi-inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$  on  $F$  given by

$$\langle z, w \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} := \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \langle z, w \rangle_{i,j}, z, w \in F. \quad (8)$$

(Indeed, we consider  $F/N$ , where  $N = \{w : \langle w, w \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = 0\}$ , and we still write  $F$  instead of  $F/N$ ).

Moreover, if  $F$  is a Hilbert(von Neumann)  $M_2(\mathcal{A})$ - $M_2(\mathcal{B})$ -module, then  $F$  can be considered as a Hilbert(von Neumann)  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module with the left action of  $\mathcal{A}$  given by

$$aw := \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} w, a \in \mathcal{A}, w \in F.$$

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $\phi_i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be  $CP$  maps and let  $(F_i, y_i)$  be a GNS-representation for  $\phi_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Suppose  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & \psi \\ \psi^* & \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} : M_2(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathcal{B})$  is a block  $CP$  map for some  $CB$  map  $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , then, there is a adjointable bilinear contraction  $T : F_2 \rightarrow F_1$  such that  $\psi(a) = \langle y_1, T a y_2 \rangle$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(E, x)$  be the (minimal) GNS-construction for  $\Phi$ . Then  $E$  is a Hilbert  $M_2(\mathcal{A})$ - $M_2(\mathcal{B})$ -module and von Neumann  $M_2(\mathcal{B})$ -module. Let  $\mathbb{E}_{ij} := \mathbf{1} \otimes E_{ij}$  in  $\mathcal{A} \otimes M_2$ , or  $\mathcal{B} \otimes M_2$ , depending upon the context, where  $\{E_{ij}\}$ 's are the matrix units in  $M_2$ . Set  $\hat{E}_i := \mathbb{E}_{ii}E \subseteq E$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $\hat{E}_i$ 's are SOT closed (as  $\mathbb{E}_{ii}$ 's are projections)  $M_2(\mathcal{B})$ -submodules of  $E$  such that  $E = \hat{E}_1 \oplus \hat{E}_2$ .

Let  $x_i := \mathbb{E}_{ii}x \in \hat{E}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Clearly  $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = 0$ . Also we have for  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ ,

$$\|x_i - \mathbb{E}_{ii}x\|^2 = \|\mathbb{E}_{ii}x\mathbb{E}_{jj}\|^2 = \|\langle \mathbb{E}_{ii}x\mathbb{E}_{jj}, \mathbb{E}_{ii}x\mathbb{E}_{jj} \rangle\| = \|\mathbb{E}_{jj}\Phi(\mathbb{E}_{ii})\mathbb{E}_{jj}\| = 0,$$

and

$$\|x_i - x\mathbb{E}_{ii}\|^2 = \|\mathbb{E}_{jj}x\mathbb{E}_{ii}\|^2 = \|\langle \mathbb{E}_{jj}x\mathbb{E}_{ii}, \mathbb{E}_{jj}x\mathbb{E}_{ii} \rangle\| = \|\mathbb{E}_{ii}\Phi(\mathbb{E}_{jj})\mathbb{E}_{ii}\| = 0.$$

Thus

$$x_i = \mathbb{E}_{ii}x = x\mathbb{E}_{ii}, i = 1, 2, \text{ and hence } x = (\mathbb{E}_{11} + \mathbb{E}_{22})x = x_1 + x_2. \quad (9)$$

As  $\Phi$  is a block map, for  $A \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$ , using (9) we have

$$\Phi(A) = \langle x, Ax \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \langle x_i, Ax_j \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \langle x_1, Ax_1 \rangle_{11} & \langle x_1, Ax_2 \rangle_{12} \\ \langle x_2, Ax_1 \rangle_{21} & \langle x_2, Ax_2 \rangle_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\langle a, b \rangle_{ij}$  denotes the  $(i, j)$ -th entry of  $\langle a, b \rangle \in M_2(\mathcal{B})$ .

Now we shall consider  $\hat{E}_i$ 's as  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules as explained in the Observation 3.2. Now consider for  $a \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\langle x_i, ax_i \rangle = \left\langle \mathbb{E}_{ii}x, \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}_{ii}x \right\rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \Phi \left( \mathbb{E}_{ii} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}_{ii} \right)_{i,j} = \phi_i(a).$$

This shows that  $(\hat{E}_i, x_i)$  is a GNS-representation (not necessarily minimal) for  $\phi_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

Define  $U : \hat{E}_2 \rightarrow \hat{E}_1$  by  $U(x) = \mathbb{E}_{12}x$  for all  $x \in \hat{E}_2$ . Then for all  $x, y \in \hat{E}_2$  we have

$$\langle Ux, Uy \rangle = \langle \mathbb{E}_{12}x, \mathbb{E}_{12}y \rangle = \langle x, \mathbb{E}_{21}\mathbb{E}_{12}y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle.$$

in the  $M_2(\mathcal{B})$ -valued (original) inner product and hence  $\langle Ux, Uy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$  in the new  $\mathcal{B}$ -valued inner product. Also for  $w \in \hat{E}_1$  we have  $\mathbb{E}_{21}w \in \hat{E}_2$  such that

$$U(\mathbb{E}_{21}w) = \mathbb{E}_{12}\mathbb{E}_{21}w = \mathbb{E}_{11}w = w.$$

Therefore  $U$  is a unitary from the von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $\hat{E}_2$  to the von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $\hat{E}_1$ . Now for  $a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \hat{E}_2$ ,

$$U(ax) = U\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} x\right) = \mathbb{E}_{12}\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} x\right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}_{12}x = aUx.$$

Thus  $U : \hat{E}_2 \rightarrow \hat{E}_1$  is a bilinear (adjointable) unitary between the Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$  modules. Let  $\tilde{F}_i := \overline{\text{span}}^s \mathcal{A}y_i\mathcal{B} \subseteq F_i$  be the minimal GNS-module for  $\phi_i$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $\mathcal{I}_i : \tilde{F}_i \rightarrow F_i$  be the inclusion map. Then  $\mathcal{I}_i$ 's are adjointable bilinear contractions. Define  $\tilde{V}_i : \tilde{F}_i \rightarrow \hat{E}_i$  by

$$\tilde{V}_i(ay_ib) = ax_ib$$

and extend it to the span (strong)closure. Then  $\tilde{V}_i$  is a bilinear adjointable isometry. Now define  $V_i : F_i \rightarrow \hat{E}_i$  by  $V_i = \tilde{V}_i\mathcal{I}_i^*$ . Hence  $V_i$  is a bilinear adjointable contraction (Indeed,  $V_i$  is the partial isometry with initial space  $\tilde{F}_i$  and final space  $\hat{E}_i = \overline{\text{span}}^s \mathcal{A}x_i\mathcal{B}$ ). Now take  $T := V_1^*UV_2$ . Then as  $V_i$ 's and  $U$  are bilinear adjointable contractions  $T$  is a bilinear adjointable contraction. Now consider, for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y_1, T ay_2 \rangle &= \langle y_1, V_1^*UV_2 ay_2 \rangle = \langle \tilde{V}_1\mathcal{I}_1^*y_1, U\tilde{V}_2\mathcal{I}_2^*ay_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{V}_1y_1, U\tilde{V}_2ay_2 \rangle = \langle x_1, Uax_2 \rangle = \left\langle \mathbb{E}_{11}x, \mathbb{E}_{12}\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}_{22}x \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle x, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x \right\rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \Phi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{i,j} = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \psi(a) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{i,j} \\ &= \psi(a). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4** (Uniqueness). With the notations of Theorem 3.3 let  $T, T' : F_2 \rightarrow F_1$  be any two adjointable bilinear contractions such that  $\psi(a) = \langle y_1, T ay_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, T' ay_2 \rangle$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_1y_1b_1, T(a_2y_2b_2) \rangle &= b_1^* \langle y_1, T((a_1^*a_2)y_2) \rangle b_2 \\ &= b_1^* \langle y_1, T'((a_1^*a_2)y_2) \rangle b_2 \\ &= \langle a_1y_1b_1, T'(a_2y_2b_2) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}, b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  and hence  $P_{\tilde{F}_1}TP_{\tilde{F}_2} = P_{\tilde{F}_1}T'P_{\tilde{F}_2}$  where  $P_{\tilde{F}_i} : F_i \rightarrow F_i$  is the projection onto  $\tilde{F}_i$ . This in particular shows that the contraction  $T$  in Theorem 3.3 is *unique* if  $F_i$ 's are minimal GNS-modules.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $\varphi_i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), i = 1, 2$  be completely positive maps and let  $(\mathcal{K}_i, \eta_i, V_i)$  be a Stinespring representation for  $\phi_i, i = 1, 2$ . Suppose  $\Phi : M_2(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ , defined by  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & \psi \\ \psi^* & \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$  is block completely positive for some CB map  $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , then there is a contraction  $T : \mathcal{K}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1$  with  $\eta_1(a)T = T\eta_2(a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\psi(a) = V_1^*T\eta_2(a)V_2$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

The above corollary is a generalization of [18, Cor. 2.7].

The following example shows that we cannot replace the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  in Theorem 3.3 by an arbitrary  $C^*$ -algebra.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = C([0, 1])$ , the commutative unital  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $E = C([0, 1])$ . It is a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module with the natural actions and standard inner product:  $\langle f, g \rangle = f^*g$ . Let

$$h_1(t) = t, \quad h_2(t) = 1 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1].$$

Consider the CP map  $\Phi : M_2(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathcal{B})$  defined by

$$\Phi \begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & h_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & 0 \\ 0 & h_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_1^* f_{11} h_1 & h_1^* f_{12} h_2 \\ h_2^* f_{21} h_1 & h_2^* f_{22} h_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that  $\Phi$  is the block CP map  $\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & \psi \\ \psi^* & \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\phi_i, \psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  are given by

$$\psi(f) = \langle h_1, fh_2 \rangle \text{ and } \phi_i(f) = \langle h_i, fh_i \rangle \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{A}, i = 1, 2. \quad (10)$$

Therefore  $(E, h_i)$  is a GNS-representation for  $\phi_i, i = 1, 2$ . Let  $E_i = \overline{\text{span}} \mathcal{A}h_i\mathcal{B} \subseteq E$ . Then  $(E_i, h_i)$  is the GNS-construction for  $\phi_i, i = 1, 2$ . Note that  $E_1 = \{f \in C([0, 1]) : f(0) = 0\}$  and  $E_2 = \mathcal{A}$ .

Now suppose that there exists a bilinear contraction  $T : E_2 \rightarrow E_1$  such that  $\psi(f) = \langle h_1, Tfh_2 \rangle$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\psi(1) = h_1h_2 = h_1T(h_2)$ . That is,  $t = tT(h_2)(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . This implies that  $T(h_2)(t) = 1$  for all  $t \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction to  $T(h_2) \in E_1$ .

**Remark 3.7.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras, and let  $\Phi : M_2(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathcal{B})$  be a block CP map  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & \psi \\ \psi^* & \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is a unital subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the von Neumann algebra  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^s$ . Now enlarge the codomain of  $\Phi$  to  $M_2(\mathcal{C})$ . That is, consider the block CP map  $\tilde{\Phi} : M_2(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathcal{C})$ , such that  $\tilde{\Phi}(A) = \Phi(A)$ .

Let  $\tilde{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_1 & \tilde{\psi} \\ \tilde{\psi}^* & \tilde{\phi}_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then by Theorem 3.3, we get a bilinear contraction  $\tilde{T} : \tilde{E}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{E}_1$  such that  $\psi(a) = \tilde{\psi}(a) = \langle x_1, \tilde{T}ax_2 \rangle$ , for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $(\tilde{E}_i, x_i)$  is the GNS-construction for  $\tilde{\phi}_i, i = 1, 2$ . Note that  $(\tilde{E}_i, x_i)$  is not the minimal GNS-representation for  $\phi_i, i = 1, 2$  as  $\tilde{E}_i$  is an Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -module which need not be an Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$  module.

In particular, in the Example 3.6, if we enlarge the codomain  $\mathcal{B}$  to  $\mathcal{C} = \overline{\mathcal{B}}^s = L^\infty([0, 1])$ , then with the above notations, we have  $\tilde{E}_i = \overline{\text{span}} \mathcal{A}h_i\mathcal{C} = L^\infty([0, 1]), i = 1, 2$ . Also note that there exists the bilinear contraction  $\tilde{T} : \tilde{E}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{E}_1$  given by  $\tilde{T}f = f, f \in E_2$  such that  $\psi(f) = \tilde{\psi}(f) = \langle h_1, Tfh_2 \rangle$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The following example is a modification of Example 3.6 to get an example with a unital block CP map  $\Phi : M_2(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{B})$ .

**Example 3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $C([0, 1])$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}$  and let  $F = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}$  be the Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -module with the module actions and inner product are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} k = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 k \\ f_2 k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 f_1 \\ k_2 f_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = f_1^* g_1 + f_2^* g_2$$

for  $k \in \mathcal{A}, \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}, \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{pmatrix} \in F$ . Consider  $E := F \oplus F$  as a Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module with right action

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} f = \begin{pmatrix} xf_1 \\ yf_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in E,$$

inner product

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \left( \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle \right),$$

and the left action

$$f \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} fx \\ fy \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{B}, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in E.$$

Let  $h_{11}(t) = t, h_{12}(t) = \sqrt{1-t^2}, h_{21}(t) = 1, h_{22}(t) = 0$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Let

$$h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} \\ h_{12} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} \\ h_{12} \end{pmatrix}, h_2 = \begin{pmatrix} h_{21} \\ h_{22} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} h_{21} \\ h_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in E = F \oplus F$$

Let  $\Phi : M_2(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathcal{B})$  be the block CP map  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & \psi \\ \psi^* & \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\phi_i, \psi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  are defined by

$$\phi_i(f) = \langle h_i, fh_i \rangle \text{ and } \psi(f) = \langle h_1, fh_2 \rangle \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{B}, i = 1, 2.$$

Let  $E_i = \overline{\text{span}} \mathcal{B} h_i \mathcal{B} \subseteq E, i = 1, 2$ . Then  $E_1 = F_1 \oplus F_1$  with  $F_1 = C_0([0, 1]) \oplus C_1([0, 1])$  where  $C_j([0, 1]) = \{f \in C([0, 1]) : f(j) = 0\}$  for  $j = 0, 1$ , and  $E_2 = F_2 \oplus F_2$  with  $F_2 = C([0, 1]) \oplus 0$ . Now suppose there exists a bilinear contraction  $T : E_2 \rightarrow E_1$  such that  $\psi(f) = \langle h_1, Tfh_2 \rangle$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then for  $f = \begin{pmatrix} h_{21} \\ h_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_{11} \\ h_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \langle h_1, fh_2 \rangle = \psi(f) = \langle h_1, fTh_2 \rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} \\ h_{12} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} \\ h_{12} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} l_{11} \\ h_{22} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} l_{21} \\ h_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

where  $Th_2 = \begin{pmatrix} l_{11} \\ l_{12} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} l_{21} \\ l_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in E_1$ . Therefore  $h_{11} = h_{11}l_{11} + h_{12}h_{22}$ . Hence  $t = tl_{11}$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Hence  $l_{11}(t) = 1$  for  $t \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction to the assumption that  $Th_2 \in E_1$ . So no such  $T$  exists.

We could not get any reasonable answer to the following question.

**Problem 3.9.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $p \in \mathcal{A}, q \in \mathcal{B}$  be projections. Let  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & \psi \\ \psi^* & \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$  be a block CP map from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  with respect to  $p$  and  $q$ . Let  $(E_i, \xi_i)$  be GNS-representation of  $\phi_i, i = 1, 2$ . Can we prove a theorem similar to Theorem 3.3? or What is the structure of  $\psi$  in terms of  $(E_i, \xi_i)$ ?

#### 4. SEMIGROUPS OF BLOCK CP MAPS

**4.1. Structure of block quantum dynamical semigroups.** In this section, we shall prove a structure theorem similar to (or using) Theorem 3.3 for semigroup of block CP maps. We shall start with a few basic examples of semigroups of block CP maps, which are of interest.

**Example 4.1.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. Let  $(\theta_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be an  $E_0$ -semigroup on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Let  $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a family of unitaries in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  forming left cocycle for  $\theta$ , that is,  $U_0 = I, U_{s+t} = U_s \theta_s(U_t), t \mapsto U_t$  continuous in SOT. Let  $\psi_t(X) = U_t \theta_t(X) U_t^*$  for  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then  $(\psi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is an  $E_0$ -semigroup, cocycle conjugate to  $(\theta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . Define  $\tau_t : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$  by

$$\tau_t \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & W \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & U_t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \theta_t(X) & \theta_t(Y) \\ \theta_t(Z) & \theta_t(W) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & U_t^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_t(X) & \theta_t(Y) U_t^* \\ U_t \theta_t(Z) & U_t \theta_t(W) U_t^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then clearly  $(\theta_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a block  $E_0$ -semigroup.

**Example 4.2.** Let  $(a_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(b_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be semigroups on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and let  $(\phi_t^i)_{t \geq 0}, i = 1, 2$ , be two QDSs on  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\phi_t^1(\cdot) - a_t(\cdot)a_t^*$  and  $\phi_t^2(\cdot) - b_t(\cdot)b_t^*$  are CP maps. Define  $\tau_t : M_2(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathcal{B})$  by

$$\tau_t \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_t^1(a) & a_t b b_t^* \\ b_t c a_t^* & \phi_t^2(d) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\tau_t$  is CP, for all  $t \geq 0$ , as

$$\tau_t \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_t & 0 \\ 0 & b_t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_t^* & 0 \\ 0 & b_t^* \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \phi_t^1(a) - a_t a a_t^* & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_t^2(d) - b_t d b_t^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly  $(\tau_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a block QDS.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Given two inclusion systems  $(E^i, \beta^i, \xi^i)$  associated to the CP semigroups  $\phi^i = (\phi_t^i)_{t \geq 0}, i = 1, 2$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  and a contractive morphism  $T = (T_t) : E^2 \rightarrow E^1$ , there is a block CP semigroup  $\Phi = (\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $M_2(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $\Phi_t = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_t^1 & \psi_t \\ \psi_t^* & \phi_t^2 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\psi_t(a) = \langle \xi_t^1, T_t(a\xi_t^2) \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $\Phi_t : M_2(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathcal{B})$  as the block maps  $\Phi_t := \begin{pmatrix} \phi_t^1 & \psi_t \\ \psi_t^* & \phi_t^2 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\psi_t(b) := \langle \xi_t^1, T_t(b\xi_t^2) \rangle$ . We shall first prove that  $\Phi_t$  is CP for all  $t \geq 0$ . Set  $\eta_t := T_t\xi_t^2 \in E_t^1$ . Then

$$\Phi_t \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \xi_t^1, a\xi_t^1 \rangle & \langle \xi_t^1, b\eta_t \rangle \\ \langle \eta_t, c\xi_t^1 \rangle & \langle \eta_t, d\eta_t \rangle \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \langle \xi_t^2, d(I_{E_t^2} - T_t^*T_t)\xi_t^2 \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \langle \xi_t^1, a\xi_t^1 \rangle & \langle \xi_t^1, b\eta_t \rangle \\ \langle \eta_t, c\xi_t^1 \rangle & \langle \eta_t, d\eta_t \rangle \end{pmatrix}$  is CP, and since  $T_t$  is a bilinear contraction,  $(I_{E_t^2} - T_t^*T_t)$  is bilinear and positive. Hence  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \langle \xi_t^2, d(I - T_t^*T_t)\xi_t^2 \rangle \end{pmatrix}$  is CP. Therefore,  $\Phi_t$  is CP for all  $t \geq 0$ . Now we shall show that  $\Phi := (\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a semigroup on  $M_2(\mathcal{B})$ . We have

$$\Phi_s \circ \Phi_t \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \Phi_s \begin{pmatrix} \phi_t^1(a) & \psi_t(b) \\ \psi_t^*(c) & \phi_t^2(d) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{s+t}^1(a) & \psi_s(\psi_t(b)) \\ \psi_s^*(\psi_t^*(c)) & \phi_{s+t}^2(d) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear from this, that to show  $\Phi$  is a semigroup, it is enough to show that  $(\psi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a semigroup. Now as  $T$  is a morphism it is easy to see that  $(\psi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a semigroup.  $\square$

When  $\mathcal{B}$  is a von Neumann algebra, we have the converse of Lemma 4.3. Example 3.6 says that we cannot take  $\mathcal{B}$  as arbitrary  $C^*$ -algebra.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a von Neumann algebra. Let  $\Phi = (\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a semigroup of block normal CP maps on  $M_2(\mathcal{B})$  with  $\Phi_t = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_t^1 & \psi_t \\ \psi_t^* & \phi_t^2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $(E, \beta, \xi^\odot)$  be the inclusion system associated to  $\Phi$ . Then, there are inclusion systems  $(E^i, \beta^i, \xi^{\odot i}), i = 1, 2$  associated to  $\phi^i$  and a unique contractive (weak) morphism  $T = (T_t) : E^2 \rightarrow E^1$  such that  $\psi_t(a) = \langle \xi_t^1, T_t a \xi_t^2 \rangle$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{B}, t \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* We shall prove this using the same ideas, used in the proof of Theorem 3.3. Note that  $E_t^i$ 's are von Neumann  $M_2(\mathcal{B})$ - $M_2(\mathcal{B})$ -modules. Let  $\mathbb{E}_{ij} := \mathbf{1} \otimes E_{ij} \in \mathcal{B} \otimes M_2$ , where  $E_{ij}$ 's are the matrix units in  $M_2$ . Set  $\hat{E}_t^i := \mathbb{E}_{ii}E_t \subseteq E_t, i = 1, 2$ . Then  $\hat{E}_t^i$ 's are SOT closed  $M_2(\mathcal{B})$ -submodules of  $E_t$  such that  $E_t = \hat{E}_t^1 \oplus \hat{E}_t^2$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Let  $\xi_t^i := \mathbb{E}_{ii}\xi_t \mathbb{E}_{ii} \in \hat{E}_t^i, i = 1, 2$ . Then we have (as in the proof of Theorem 3.3)

$$\xi_t = \xi_t^1 + \xi_t^2, \text{ with } \langle \xi_t^1, \xi_t^2 \rangle = 0 \text{ and } \xi_t^i = \mathbb{E}_{ii}\xi_t = \xi_t\mathbb{E}_{ii}, \text{ for all } t \geq 0, i = 1, 2. \quad (11)$$

Now we shall consider  $\hat{E}_t^i$ 's as von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules as explained in the Observation 3.2. Then we have for  $a \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\langle \xi_t^i, a\xi_t^i \rangle = \left\langle \mathbb{E}_{ii}\xi_t, \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}_{ii}\xi_t \right\rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \Phi_t \left( \mathbb{E}_{ii} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}_{ii} \right)_{i,j} = \phi_t^i(a).$$

Therefore  $(\hat{E}_t^i, \xi_t^i)$  is a GNS-representation (not necessarily minimal) for  $\phi_t^i, i = 1, 2$ .

Let  $E_{t,s}^i := \overline{\text{span}}^s \mathcal{B}\xi_t^i\mathcal{B} \subseteq \hat{E}_t^i$  be the minimal GNS-module for  $\phi_t^i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $\beta_{t,s}^i : E_{t+s}^i \rightarrow E_t^i \odot E_s^i$  be the canonical maps given by  $\xi_{t+s}^i \mapsto \xi_t^i \odot \xi_s^i$ , so that  $(E^i = (E_t^i), \beta^i = (\beta_{t,s}^i), \xi^i = (\xi_t^i))$  is the inclusion system associated to  $\phi^i, i = 1, 2$ . As  $E_t^i \subseteq \hat{E}_t^i \subseteq E_t$ , and  $\beta_{t,s}^i : E_{t+s}^i \rightarrow E_t^i \odot E_s^i$  are the canonical maps  $(\xi_{t+s}^i \mapsto \xi_t^i \odot \xi_s^i)$ , for  $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have

$$\beta_{t,s}^i(a\xi_{t+s}^i b) = a\mathbb{E}_{ii}\xi_t^i \odot \xi_s^i\mathbb{E}_{ii}b = a\xi_t^i \odot \xi_s^i b, \quad t, s \geq 0, i = 1, 2. \quad (\text{from (11)}) \quad (12)$$

This shows that  $\beta_{t,s}^i$ 's are the restrictions of  $\beta_{t,s}$ 's to  $E_{t,s}^i$ . (That is, we get the inclusion systems associated to  $\phi^i$ 's in a canonical way from the inclusion system associated to  $\Phi$ ).

Let  $V_t^i : E_t^i \rightarrow \hat{E}_t^i$  be the inclusion maps and let  $U_t : \hat{E}_t^2 \rightarrow \hat{E}_t^1$  be defined by

$$U_t(x_t) = \mathbb{E}_{12}x_t \quad \text{for } x_t \in \hat{E}_t^2.$$

Then  $V_t^i$ 's are adjointable, bilinear contractions and  $U_t$ 's are bilinear unitaries (as in the proof of Theorem 3.3). Take  $T_t := V_t^{1*}U_tV_t^2$ . Then  $T_t : E_t^2 \rightarrow E_t^1$  is a adjointable, bilinear contraction such that, for  $a \in \mathcal{B}$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_t^1, T_t(a\xi_t^2) \rangle &= \langle \xi_t^1, V_t^{1*}\mathbb{E}_{12}V_t^2(a\xi_t^2) \rangle = \langle V_t^1\xi_t^1, \mathbb{E}_{12}V_t^2(a\xi_t^2) \rangle = \left\langle \xi_t^1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xi_t^2 \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \left( \mathbb{E}_{11}\Phi_t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}_{22} \right)_{i,j} = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \psi_t(a) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{i,j} = \psi_t(a). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for  $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a\xi_{t+s}^1 b, T_{t+s}(c\xi_{t+s}^2 d) \rangle &= b^* \psi_{t+s}(a^* c) d \\ &= b^* \psi_s(\psi_t(a^* c)) d \\ &= b^* \psi_s(\langle \xi_t^1, a^* c T_t(\xi_t^2) \rangle) d \\ &= b^* \langle \xi_s^1, T_s(\langle \xi_t^1, a^* c T_t(\xi_t^2) \rangle \xi_s^2) \rangle d \\ &= b^* \langle \xi_t^1 \odot \xi_s^1, a^* c (T_t \odot T_s)(\xi_t^2 \odot \xi_s^2) \rangle d \\ &= \langle a\xi_{t+s}^1 b, \beta_{t,s}^{1*}(T_s \odot T_t) \beta_{t,s}^2(c\xi_{t+s}^2 d) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

shows that  $T := (T_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a morphism of inclusion systems from  $(E^2, \beta^2)$  to  $(E^1, \beta^1)$ .

To prove the uniqueness of  $T$ , let  $T' := (T'_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be another morphism of inclusion systems from  $(E^2, \beta^2)$  to  $(E^1, \beta^1)$  such that  $\psi_t(a) = \langle \xi_t^1, T'_t(a\xi_t^2) \rangle$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{B}, t \geq 0$ , then

$$\langle a_1 \xi_t^1 b_1, T(a_2 \xi_t^2 b_2) \rangle = b_1^* \psi_t(a_1^* a_2) b_2 = \langle a_1 \xi_t^1 b_1, T'(a_2 \xi_t^2 b_2) \rangle$$

for  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  and hence  $T_t = T'_t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Example 4.5.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a von Neumann algebra. Let  $E$  be a von Neumann  $M_2(\mathcal{B})$ - $M_2(\mathcal{B})$ -module. Take  $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$  in  $M_2(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\zeta \in E$  such that  $\zeta = \mathbb{E}_{11}\zeta\mathbb{E}_{11} + \mathbb{E}_{22}\zeta\mathbb{E}_{22}$ , where  $\mathbb{E}_{ij} = \mathbf{1} \otimes E_{ij} \in \mathcal{B} \otimes M_2$  and  $\{E_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^2$  are the matrix units in  $M_2$ .

Let  $\xi^\odot(\beta, \zeta) = (\xi_t(\beta, \zeta))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \in \Gamma^\odot(E)$ , the product system of time ordered Fock module over  $E$ , where the component  $\xi_t^n$  of  $\xi_t(\beta, \zeta) \in \Gamma_t(E)$  in the  $n$ -particle ( $n > 0$ ) sector is defined as

$$\xi_t^n(t_n, \dots, t_1) = e^{(t-t_n)\beta} \zeta \odot e^{(t_n-t_{n-1})\beta} \zeta \odot \dots \odot e^{(t_2-t_1)\beta} \zeta e^{t_1\beta}. \quad (13)$$

and  $\xi_t^0 = e^{t\beta}$ . Then it follows from [10, Thm. 3] that,  $\xi^\odot(\beta, \zeta)$  is a unit for the product system  $\Gamma^\odot(E)$ . Further if  $\Phi_t^{(\beta, \zeta)} : M_2(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathcal{B})$  is defined by

$$\Phi_t^{(\beta, \zeta)}(A) = \langle \xi_t(\beta, \zeta), A\xi_t(\beta, \zeta) \rangle, \quad \text{for } A \in M_2(\mathcal{B}), \quad (14)$$

then  $\Phi := (\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a uniformly continuous CP-semigroup on  $M_2(\mathcal{B})$ , with bounded generator

$$L(A) = L^{(\beta, \zeta)}(A) = A\beta + \beta^*A + \langle \zeta, A\zeta \rangle, \quad \text{for } A \in M_2(\mathcal{B}). \quad (15)$$

Let  $\zeta_i = \mathbb{E}_{ii}\zeta\mathbb{E}_{ii}, i = 1, 2$ , then  $\zeta = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2, \langle \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \rangle = 0$ . Let  $\tau : M_2(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathcal{B})$  be defined by  $\tau(A) = \langle \zeta, A\zeta \rangle, A \in M_2(\mathcal{B})$ , then  $\tau$  is a block CP map, say  $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{11} & \tau_{12} \\ \tau_{12}^* & \tau_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ .

Note that  $(E, \zeta_i)$  is a GNS-representation for  $\tau_{ii}, i = 1, 2$ , when we consider  $E$  as a von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module as in Observation 3.2.

Let  $E_i = \overline{\text{span}}^s \mathcal{B}\zeta_i\mathcal{B} \subseteq E$  (as  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module) be the minimal GNS-construction for  $\tau_{ii}$  and let  $T : E_2 \rightarrow E_1$  be the unique bilinear, adjointable contraction such that  $\tau_{12}(a) =$

$\langle \zeta_1, Ta\zeta_2 \rangle$  as given in Theorem 3.3. Then for  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathcal{B})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(A) &= A\beta + \beta^*A + \langle \zeta, A\zeta \rangle \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \langle \zeta_1, a_{11}\zeta_1 \rangle & \langle \zeta_1, Ta_{12}\zeta_2 \rangle \\ \langle \zeta_2, T^*a_{21}\zeta_1 \rangle & \langle \zeta_2, a_{22}\zeta_2 \rangle \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}\beta_1 + \beta_1^*a_{11} + \langle \zeta_1, a_{11}\zeta_1 \rangle & a_{12}\beta_2 + \beta_1^*a_{12} + \langle \zeta_1, Ta_{12}\zeta_2 \rangle \\ a_{21}\beta_1 + \beta_2^*a_{21} + \langle \zeta_2, T^*a_{21}\zeta_1 \rangle & a_{22}\beta_2 + \beta_2^*a_{22} + \langle \zeta_2, a_{22}\zeta_2 \rangle \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} L_{11}^{(\beta_1, \zeta_1)}(a_{11}) & L_{12}^{(\beta_1, \beta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, T)}(a_{12}) \\ L_{21}^{(\beta_1, \beta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, T)}(a_{21}) & L_{22}^{(\beta_2, \zeta_2)}(a_{22}) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$L_{ii}(a) = L_{ii}^{(\beta_i, \zeta_i)}(a) = a\beta_i + \beta_i^*a + \langle \zeta_i, a\zeta_i \rangle, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

and

$$L_{12}(a) = L_{12}^{(\beta_1, \beta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, T)}(a) = a\beta_2 + \beta_1^*a + \langle \zeta_1, Ta\zeta_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad (16)$$

$$L_{21}(a) = L_{12}(a^*)^* \quad \text{for } a \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Therefore,

$$\Phi_t(A) = e^{tL(A)} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{tL_{11}^{(\beta_1, \zeta_1)}(a_{11})} & e^{tL_{12}^{(\beta_1, \beta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, T)}(a_{12})} \\ e^{tL_{21}^{(\beta_1, \beta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, T)}(a_{21})} & e^{tL_{22}^{(\beta_2, \zeta_2)}(a_{22})} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathcal{B}).$$

Now note that the inclusion system  $(E^i = (E_t^i), \xi^i = (\xi_t^i(\beta_i, \zeta_i)))$  associated to  $\phi^i := (e^{tL_{ii}^{(\beta_i, \zeta_i)}})_{t \geq 0}$  is a subsystem of the product system of time-ordered Fock module  $\Gamma^\odot(E_i)$  over  $E_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

Let  $w = (w_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be the contractive morphism from  $(E^2, \xi^2)$  to  $(E^1, \xi^1)$  such that

$$e^{tL_{12}}(a) = \langle \xi_t^1(\beta_1, \zeta_1), aw_t(\xi_t^2(\beta_2, \zeta_2)) \rangle, \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{B}. \quad (17)$$

As any morphism maps a unit to unit we have

$$w_t(\xi_t^2(\beta_2, \zeta_2)) = \xi_t^1(\gamma_w(\beta_2, \zeta_2), \eta_w(\beta_2, \zeta_2)) \quad (18)$$

for some  $\gamma_w, \eta_w : \mathcal{B} \times E_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \times E_1$ . Hence from (17) and (18) we have

$$e^{tL_{12}}(a) = \langle \xi_t^1(\beta_1, \zeta_1), \xi_t^1(\gamma_w(\beta_2, \zeta_2), \eta_w(\beta_2, \zeta_2)) \rangle.$$

Now by differentiating (17), we get

$$L_{12}(a) = \langle \zeta_1, a\eta_w(\beta_2, \zeta_2) \rangle + a\gamma_w(\beta_2, \zeta_2) + \beta_1^*a. \quad (19)$$

Therefore as (16)=(19) we have

$$\gamma_w(\beta_2, \zeta_2) = \beta_2 \quad \text{and } \eta_w(\beta_2, \zeta_2) = T\zeta_2.$$

Thus,  $w_t\xi_t^2(\beta_2, \zeta_2) = \xi_t^1(\beta_2, T\zeta_2)$  is the unique morphism.

**4.2.  $E_0$ -dilation of block quantum markov semigroups (QMS).** In this subsection we shall prove that if we have a block QMS on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra then the  $E_0$ -dilation constructed in [8] is also a semigroup of block endomorphisms.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $p \in \mathcal{B}$  be a projection. Denote  $p' = \mathbf{1} - p$ . Let  $\Phi = (\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a block QMS on  $\mathcal{B}$  with respect to  $p$ .

(We have some changes in the notations from [8]:  $\mathcal{E}_t \rightsquigarrow E_t, E_t \rightsquigarrow E_t, E_t \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{E}_t, E \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{E}$ )

Let  $(E = (E_t), \xi^\odot = (\xi_t))$  be the inclusion system associated to  $\Phi$ . Recall from [8, Sec. 4, 5] that

$$\begin{array}{c} (E_t, \xi_t) \\ \downarrow \text{first ind. limit} \\ (\mathcal{E}_t, \xi^t) \\ \downarrow \text{second ind. limit} \\ (\mathcal{E}, \xi) \end{array}$$

That is, we have a  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E} \odot \mathcal{E}_t$ , a representation  $j_0 : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{E})$  ( $b \mapsto |\xi\rangle b \langle \xi|$ ) and endomorphisms  $\vartheta_t : \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{E})$  defined by  $\vartheta_t(a) = a \odot \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}_t}$  such that  $(\vartheta_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is an  $E_0$ -dilation of  $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . Further, we have the Markov property

$$j_0(\mathbf{1})\vartheta_t(j_0(x))j_0(\mathbf{1}) = j_0(\Phi_t(x)), \quad x \in \mathcal{B}. \quad (20)$$

This implies that  $j_0(\mathbf{1})\vartheta_t(j_0(\mathbf{1}))j_0(\mathbf{1}) = j_0(\Phi_t(\mathbf{1})) = j_0(\mathbf{1})$ . Since  $j_0(\mathbf{1})$  is a projection, we have  $j_0(\mathbf{1}) \leq \vartheta_t(j_0(\mathbf{1}))$  and hence  $(\vartheta_t(j_0(\mathbf{1})))_{t \geq 0}$  is an increasing family of projections. Hence it converges in SOT. Now if  $k_s : \mathcal{E}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  are the canonical maps ( $x_s \mapsto \xi \odot x_s$ ) then

$$\overline{\text{span}} k_s(\mathcal{E}_s) = \mathcal{E}.$$

Hence  $\vartheta_t(j_0(\mathbf{1}))(\mathcal{E}_t) = \vartheta_t(j_0(\mathbf{1}))(\xi \odot \mathcal{E}_t) = (|\xi\rangle \langle \xi| \odot \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}_t})(\xi \odot \mathcal{E}_t) = \xi \odot \mathcal{E}_t$  shows that  $\vartheta_t(j_0(\mathbf{1}))_{t \geq 0}$  is converging in SOT to  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{E}}$ , the identity on  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Now for  $q = p$  or  $p'$ , consider  $\vartheta_t(j_0(q)) = \vartheta_t(|\xi\rangle q \langle \xi|)$ . Note that since  $\Phi$  is unital block semigroup  $\Phi_t(q) = q$  for  $q = p, p'$ . Hence by the Markov property (20) we have

$$j_0(\mathbf{1})\vartheta_t(j_0(q))j_0(\mathbf{1}) = j_0(\Phi_t(q)) = j_0(q) \quad \text{for } q = p, p'. \quad (21)$$

Note that  $j_0(\mathbf{1}) = j_0(p) + j_0(p')$  and  $j_0(p)j_0(p') = j_0(p')j_0(p) = 0$ . Hence multiplying by  $j_0(p)$  on both sides in the previous relation (21) we get

$$j_0(q)\vartheta_t(j_0(q))j_0(q) = j_0(q) \quad \text{for } q = p, p'.$$

Since  $j_0(q)$  is a projection, we have  $j_0(q) \leq \vartheta_t(j_0(q))$  for all  $t$ , hence  $\vartheta_s(j_0(q)) \leq \vartheta_t(j_0(q))$  for  $s \leq t$ . Therefore  $(\vartheta_t(j_0(q)))_{t \geq 0}$  is an increasing family of projections in  $\mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{E})$ . Say  $(\vartheta_t(j_0(p)))_{t \geq 0}$  converges to  $P$ . Then as  $(\vartheta_t(j_0(\mathbf{1})))_{t \geq 0}$  converges to  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ,  $(\vartheta_t(j_0(p'))))_{t \geq 0}$  will converge to  $P' = \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}} - P$ . Note that we have  $PP' = 0$  and

$$\overline{\text{span}}^s \vartheta_t(j_0(p))(\mathcal{E}) = P(\mathcal{E}) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\text{span}}^s \vartheta_t(j_0(p'))(\mathcal{E}) = P'(\mathcal{E}).$$

Thus, we have  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^{(1)} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{(2)}$  where  $\mathcal{E}^{(1)} = P(\mathcal{E})$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{(2)} = P'(\mathcal{E})$ .

**Lemma 4.6.**  $P(\mathcal{E}_t) = \vartheta_t(j_0(p))(\mathcal{E}_t)$  and  $P'(\mathcal{E}_t) = \vartheta_t(j_0(p'))(\mathcal{E}_t)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $t \geq 0$ . It is enough to prove for  $q = p, p'$  that  $\vartheta_s(j_0(q))(x) = \vartheta_t(j_0(q))(x)$  if  $x \in \mathcal{E}_t, s \geq t$ . Note that (since  $\langle \xi_t, q \xi_t \rangle = \Phi_t(q) = q$  for  $q = p$  or  $p'$ ) we have

$$\|p\xi_t - p\xi_t p\|^2 = \|p\xi_t p'\|^2 = \|\langle p\xi_t p', p\xi_t p' \rangle\| = \|p'\Phi_t(p)p'\| = \|p'pp'\| = 0, \quad (22)$$

and

$$\|\xi_t p - p\xi_t p\|^2 = \|p'\xi_t p\|^2 = \|\langle p'\xi_t p, p'\xi_t p \rangle\| = \|p\Phi_t(p')p\| = \|pp'p\| = 0. \quad (23)$$

These ((22) and (23)) implies that  $p\xi_t = \xi_t p = p\xi_t p$ . Similarly we have  $p'\xi_t = \xi_t p' = p'\xi_t p'$ .

Let  $q = p$  or  $p'$  and let  $s \geq t$ . If  $x \in \mathcal{E}_t$ , then  $\xi^{s-t} \odot x \in \mathcal{E}_s$  and

$$\vartheta_s(j_0(q))(x) = \xi \odot q\xi^{s-t} \odot x = \xi \odot \xi^{s-t} q \odot x = \xi \odot \xi^{s-t} \odot qx = \xi \odot qx = \vartheta_t(j_0(q))(x).$$

□

We have from [8, Theorem 5.4] that

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E} \odot \mathcal{E}_t, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (24)$$

Now we shall prove a similar result for  $\mathcal{E}^{(i)}$ 's by recalling the proof of this result. It is important to note that we are not getting something like  $\mathcal{E}^{(i)} = \mathcal{E}^{(i)} \odot \mathcal{E}_t^{(i)}$ , and we have not even bothered to define  $\mathcal{E}_t^{(i)}$ .

**Lemma 4.7.**  $\mathcal{E}^{(i)} \simeq \mathcal{E}^{(i)} \odot \mathcal{E}_t$  for  $i = 1, 2, t \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* We have  $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E} \odot \mathcal{E}_t$  and  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^{(1)} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{(2)}$ . Therefore we have  $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}^{(1)} \odot \mathcal{E}_t \oplus \mathcal{E}^{(2)} \odot \mathcal{E}_t$ . We shall prove that in this isomorphism,  $\mathcal{E}^{(i)} \simeq \mathcal{E}^{(i)} \odot \mathcal{E}_t$ . Let  $k_t : \mathcal{E}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  be the canonical maps (isometries). Then  $u_t : \mathcal{E} \odot \mathcal{E}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  defined by

$$u_t(k_s(x_s) \odot y_t) = k_{s+t}(x_s \odot y_t)$$

for  $x_s \in \mathcal{E}_s, y_t \in \mathcal{E}_t$ , is a unitary ([8, Thm 5.4]). It is enough to prove that  $u_t(\mathcal{E}^{(i)} \odot \mathcal{E}_t) \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{(i)}$ . To prove this, (from (4.2), (4.2) and Lemma 4.6) it is sufficient to prove that  $u_t(\vartheta_s(j_0(p))k_s(\mathcal{E}_s) \odot \mathcal{E}_t) \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{(1)}$  and  $u_t(\vartheta_s(j_0(p'))k_s(\mathcal{E}_s) \odot \mathcal{E}_t) \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{(2)}$ . To prove this consider for  $q = p$  or  $p'$  and  $x_s \in \mathcal{E}_s$

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(\vartheta_s(j_0(q))k_s(x_s) \odot y_t) &= u_t((\xi q \odot x_s) \odot y_t) = u_t((\xi \odot qx_s) \odot y_t) \\ &= u_t(k_s(qx_s) \odot y_t) \\ &= k_{s+t}(qx_s \odot y_t) \\ &= \xi \odot qx_s \odot y_t = \xi q \odot x_s \odot y_t \\ &= \vartheta_{s+t}(j_0(q))k_{s+t}(x_s \odot y_t), \end{aligned}$$

which is in  $\mathcal{E}^{(1)}$  if  $q = p$  and is in  $\mathcal{E}^{(2)}$  if  $q = p'$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.8.** *The  $E_0$ -dilation  $\vartheta = (\vartheta_t)_{t \geq 0}$  of  $\Phi$  is a semigroup of block endomorphisms with respect to the projection  $P$  defined above.*

*Proof.* As  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^{(1)} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{(2)}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{E}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{E}^{(1)}) & \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{E}^{(2)}, \mathcal{E}^{(1)}) \\ \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{E}^{(1)}, \mathcal{E}^{(2)}) & \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{E}^{(2)}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ , let  $a \in \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{E}^{(i)}, \mathcal{E}^{(j)})$ , then  $\vartheta_t(a) = a \odot \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}_t} \in \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{E}^{(i)} \odot \mathcal{E}_t, \mathcal{E}^{(j)} \odot \mathcal{E}_t) = \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{E}^{(i)}, \mathcal{E}^{(j)})$ . Therefore  $\vartheta_t$  acts block-wise.  $\square$

## 5. LIFTING OF MORPHISMS

In this section we will show that any (weak) morphism between two inclusion systems of von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules can be always lifted as a morphism between the product systems generated by them.

We shall introduce some notations and results from [8] and [6]. For all  $t > 0$  we define

$$\mathbb{J}_t := \{\mathbf{t} = (t_n, \dots, t_1) \in \mathbb{T}^n : t_i > 0, |\mathbf{t}| = t, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad (25)$$

and for  $\mathbf{s} = (s_m, \dots, s_1) \in \mathbb{J}_s$  and  $\mathbf{t} = (t_n, \dots, t_1) \in \mathbb{J}_t$  we define the *joint tuple*  $\mathbf{s} \smile \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{J}_{s+t}$  by

$$\mathbf{s} \smile \mathbf{t} = ((s_m, \dots, s_1), (t_n, \dots, t_1)) = (s_m, \dots, s_1, t_n, \dots, t_1).$$

We have a partial order “ $\geq$ ” on  $\mathbb{J}_t$  as follows:  $\mathbf{t} \geq \mathbf{s} = (s_m, \dots, s_1)$ , if for each  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ) there are (unique)  $\mathbf{s}_j \in \mathbb{J}_{s_j}$  such that  $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{s}_m \smile \dots \smile \mathbf{s}_1$  (In this case we also write  $\mathbf{s} \leq \mathbf{t}$  to mean  $\mathbf{t} \geq \mathbf{s}$ ).

For  $t = 0$  we extend the definition of  $\mathbb{J}_t$  as  $\mathbb{J}_0 = \{()\}$ , where  $()$  is the empty tuple. Also for  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{J}_t$  we put  $\mathbf{t} \smile () = \mathbf{t} = () \smile \mathbf{t}$ .

Now we will describe the construction of product system generated by an inclusion system of von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules using the inductive limits. (This construction holds also for Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules along the same lines, but as we are going to prove the lifting theorem only for von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules, we confine ourselves to von Neumann modules.)

Let  $(E = (E_t)_{t \geq 0}, \beta = (\beta_{s,t})_{s,t \geq 0})$  be an inclusion system of von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules. Fix  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ . Let  $E_{\mathbf{t}} := E_{t_n} \odot \dots \odot E_{t_1}$  for  $\mathbf{t} = (t_n, \dots, t_1) \in \mathbb{J}_t$ . For all  $\mathbf{t} = (t_n, \dots, t_1) \in \mathbb{J}_t$  we define  $\beta_{\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{t})} : E_{\mathbf{t}} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{t}}$  by

$$\beta_{\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{t})} = (\beta_{t_n, t_{n-1}} \odot \text{id})(\beta_{t_n+t_{n-1}, t_{n-2}} \odot \text{id}) \dots (\beta_{t_n+\dots+t_3, t_2} \odot \text{id})\beta_{t_n+\dots+t_2, t_1},$$

and for  $\mathbf{t} = (t_n, \dots, t_1) = \mathfrak{s}_m \smile \dots \smile \mathfrak{s}_1 \geq \mathfrak{s} = (s_m, \dots, s_1)$  with  $|\mathfrak{s}_j| = s_j$ , we define  $\beta_{\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{s}} : E_{\mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{t}}$  by

$$\beta_{\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{s}} = \beta_{\mathfrak{s}_m(s_m)} \odot \dots \odot \beta_{\mathfrak{s}_1(s_1)}.$$

Then it is clear from the definitions that  $\beta_{\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{s}}, \mathfrak{t} \geq \mathfrak{s}$  are bilinear isometries and  $\beta_{\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{s}}\beta_{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{r}} = \beta_{\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{r}}$  for  $\mathfrak{t} \geq \mathfrak{s} \geq \mathfrak{r}$ . The following results are from [8], just modified from Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules to von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules.

**Proposition 5.1.** *The family  $(E_{\mathfrak{t}})_{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}_t}$  with  $(\beta_{\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{s}})_{\mathfrak{s} \leq \mathfrak{t}}$  is an inductive system of von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules. Hence the inductive limit  $\mathcal{E}_t = \lim_{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}_t} \text{ind} E_{\mathfrak{t}}$  is also a von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -module and the canonical mappings  $i_{\mathfrak{t}} : E_{\mathfrak{t}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_t$  are bilinear isometries.*

For  $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{J}_s, \mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}_t$  it is clear that  $E_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot E_{\mathfrak{t}} = E_{\mathfrak{s} \smile \mathfrak{t}}$ . Using this observation we define  $B_{st} : \mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{E}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{s+t}$  by

$$B_{st}(i_{\mathfrak{s}}x_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot i_{\mathfrak{t}}y_{\mathfrak{t}}) = i_{\mathfrak{s} \smile \mathfrak{t}}(x_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot y_{\mathfrak{t}}) \text{ for } x_{\mathfrak{s}} \in E_{\mathfrak{s}}, y_{\mathfrak{t}} \in E_{\mathfrak{t}}, \mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{J}_s, \mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}_t.$$

**Theorem 5.2** (Bhat, Skeide [8]). *With the above notations,  $(\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}, B = (B_{st})_{s, t \in \mathbb{T}})$  forms a product system.*

The proof is same as the first part of the proof of [8, Thm. 4.8].

**Definition 5.3.** Given an inclusion system  $(E, \beta)$ , the product system  $(\mathcal{E}, B)$  constructed above is called the product system generated by the inclusion system  $(E, \beta)$ .

We recall the following: Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $E$  be a von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ -module. Then  $\mathcal{H} = E \odot \mathcal{G}$  is a Hilbert space such that  $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$  (as a von Neumann submodule) via  $E \ni x \mapsto L_x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ , where  $L_x : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is defined by  $L_x(g) = x \odot g$  for  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ . Note that  $E$  is strongly closed in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ . Sometimes we write  $xg$  instead of  $x \odot g$  with the above identification in mind.

**Remark 5.4.** Let  $(\mathcal{E}, B)$  be the product system generated by the inclusion system  $(E, \beta)$  on a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G})$ . Let  $i_{\mathfrak{t}} : E_{\mathfrak{t}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_t, \mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}_t$  be the canonical two-sided isometries. Then  $i_{\mathfrak{t}}i_{\mathfrak{t}}^*$  increases to identity in strong operator topology, that is, for all  $x \in \mathcal{E}_t$  and  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  we have

$$\lim_{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}_t} \|xg - i_{\mathfrak{t}}i_{\mathfrak{t}}^*(x)g\| = 0. \quad (26)$$

Now we shall prove the lifting theorem along the same lines of the proof of [6, Thm. 11]

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $(E, \beta)$  and  $(F, \gamma)$  be two inclusion systems of von Neumann  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -modules generating two product systems  $(\mathcal{E}, B), (\mathcal{F}, C)$  respectively. Let  $i, j$  be their respective inclusion maps. Suppose  $T : (E, \beta) \rightarrow (F, \gamma)$  is a (weak) morphism then there exists a unique morphism  $\hat{T} : (\mathcal{E}, B) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}, C)$  such that  $T_s = j_s^* \hat{T}_s i_s$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{T}$ .*

*Proof.* Given that  $T : (E, \beta) \rightarrow (F, \gamma)$  is a morphism. Let  $k$  be such that  $\|T_s\| \leq e^{ks}$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{T}$ . For  $\mathfrak{s} = (s_n, \dots, s_1) \in \mathbb{J}_s$ , define  $T_{\mathfrak{s}} : E_{\mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow F_{\mathfrak{s}}$  by  $T_{\mathfrak{s}} = T_{s_n} \odot \dots \odot T_{s_1}$ . Let  $i_{\mathfrak{s}} : E_{\mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_s$  and  $j_{\mathfrak{s}} : F_{\mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_s$  be the canonical two-sided isometries. Then for  $\mathfrak{s} \leq \mathfrak{t}$  in  $\mathbb{J}_s$  we have

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{s}}^* T_{\mathfrak{t}} \beta_{\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{s}} = T_{\mathfrak{s}}. \quad (27)$$

Consider for  $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{J}_s, \Phi_{\mathfrak{s}} := j_{\mathfrak{s}}^* T_{\mathfrak{s}} i_{\mathfrak{s}}^*$ . Set  $P_{\mathfrak{s}} = j_{\mathfrak{s}} j_{\mathfrak{s}}^*$  and  $Q_{\mathfrak{s}} = i_{\mathfrak{s}} i_{\mathfrak{s}}^*$ . Then by Remark 5.4  $(P_{\mathfrak{s}})_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{J}_s}$  and  $(Q_{\mathfrak{s}})_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{J}_s}$  are families of increasing projections. Now for  $\mathfrak{r} \leq \mathfrak{s}, i_{\mathfrak{r}} = i_{\mathfrak{s}} \beta_{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{r}}, j_{\mathfrak{r}} = j_{\mathfrak{s}} \gamma_{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{r}}$  implies that  $\beta_{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{r}} = i_{\mathfrak{s}}^* i_{\mathfrak{r}}, \gamma_{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{r}} = j_{\mathfrak{s}}^* j_{\mathfrak{r}}$ , hence it follows from (27) that  $P_{\mathfrak{r}} \Phi_{\mathfrak{s}} Q_{\mathfrak{r}} = \Phi_{\mathfrak{r}}$ .

For all  $s \geq 0, \mathcal{E}_s \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E}_s \odot \mathcal{G})$  and  $\mathcal{F}_s \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}_s \odot \mathcal{G})$ . Fix  $s \in \mathbb{T}$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{E}_s, g \in \mathcal{G}$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Using (26) choose  $\mathfrak{r}_0 \in \mathbb{J}_s$  such that

$$e^{ks} \|Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g - xg\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}. \quad (28)$$

Then, for any  $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{J}_s$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}(x)g - \Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\| &= \|\Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}(x) \odot g - \Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x) \odot g\| \\ &= \|(\Phi_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}})(x \odot g - Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x) \odot g)\| \\ &\leq \|\Phi_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}}\| \|xg - Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\| \\ &\leq e^{k\mathfrak{s}} \|xg - Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}. \quad (\text{by (28)}) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Let  $\mathfrak{t} \geq \mathfrak{s} \geq \mathfrak{r}_0 \in \mathbb{J}_s$ . As  $(P_{\mathfrak{s}})_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{J}_s}$  and  $(Q_{\mathfrak{s}})_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{J}_s}$  are increasing families of projections, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|^2 &= \|P_{\mathfrak{t}}\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|^2 \\ &= \|P_{\mathfrak{s}}\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g + (P_{\mathfrak{t}} - P_{\mathfrak{s}})\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|^2 \\ &= \|P_{\mathfrak{s}}\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|^2 + \|(P_{\mathfrak{t}} - P_{\mathfrak{s}})\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|^2 \\ &= \|P_{\mathfrak{s}}\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}Q_{\mathfrak{s}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|^2 + \|\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g - P_{\mathfrak{s}}\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}Q_{\mathfrak{s}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|^2 \\ &= \|\Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|^2 + \|\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g - \Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Hence for  $\mathfrak{t} \geq \mathfrak{s} \geq \mathfrak{r}_0 \in \mathbb{J}_s$ , we have  $\|\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|^2 \geq \|\Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|^2$ . Also

$$\|\Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|^2 \leq \|\Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}\|^2 \|x\|^2 \|g\|^2 \leq e^{2k\mathfrak{s}} \|x\|^2 \|g\|^2$$

for all  $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{J}_s$ . Thus  $(\|\Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|^2)_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{J}_s}$  is a Cauchy net, hence choose  $\mathfrak{r}_1 \in \mathbb{J}_s$ ,  $\mathfrak{r}_1 \geq \mathfrak{r}_0$  such that

$$\|\|\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|^2 - \|\Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|^2\| < \left(\frac{\epsilon}{3}\right)^2 \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{t} \geq \mathfrak{s} \geq \mathfrak{r}_1 \geq \mathfrak{r}_0 \in \mathbb{J}_s. \quad (31)$$

Therefore for  $\mathfrak{t} \geq \mathfrak{s} \geq \mathfrak{r}_1$  in  $\mathbb{J}_s$ , from (30) and (31) we have

$$\|\|\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g - \Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|\| = \|\|\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|^2 - \|\Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\|^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}. \quad (32)$$

Now for  $\mathfrak{t} \geq \mathfrak{s} \geq \mathfrak{r}_1$  in  $\mathbb{J}_s$ , from (29) and (32) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}} - \Phi_{\mathfrak{s}})(x)g\| \\ &\leq \|\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}(x)g - \Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\| + \|\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g - \Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g\| + \|\Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}Q_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(x)g - \Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}(x)g\| < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\lim_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{J}_s} \Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}(x)g$  exists. Define  $\hat{T}_s(x)g := \lim_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{J}_s} \Phi_{\mathfrak{s}}(x)g$  for  $s > 0$ . This defines a bounded bilinear map  $\hat{T}_s : \mathcal{E}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_s$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{T}$ .

Now for  $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{J}_s$  and for all  $x_{\mathfrak{s}} \in E_{\mathfrak{s}}$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ , we have

$$j_{\mathfrak{s}}^* \hat{T}_s i_{\mathfrak{s}}(x_{\mathfrak{s}})g = \lim_{\mathfrak{r} \in \mathbb{J}_s} j_{\mathfrak{s}}^* \Phi_{\mathfrak{r}} i_{\mathfrak{s}}(x_{\mathfrak{s}})g = \lim_{\mathfrak{r} \in \mathbb{J}_s} j_{\mathfrak{r}}^* T_{\mathfrak{r}} i_{\mathfrak{r}}^* i_{\mathfrak{s}}(x_{\mathfrak{s}})g = \lim_{\mathfrak{r} \in \mathbb{J}_s} \gamma_{\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{s}}^* T_{\mathfrak{r}} \beta_{\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{s}}(x_{\mathfrak{s}})g = T_{\mathfrak{s}}(x_{\mathfrak{s}})g.$$

Thus  $T_{\mathfrak{s}} = j_{\mathfrak{s}}^* \hat{T}_s i_{\mathfrak{s}}$  for all  $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{J}_s$  and  $s \in \mathbb{T}$ . In particular  $T_s = j_s^* \hat{T}_s i_s$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{T}$ .

Now we shall prove that  $(\hat{T}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a morphism of product systems. For  $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}_t$ ,  $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{J}_s$  and  $x_{\mathfrak{t}} \in E_{\mathfrak{t}}$ ,  $x_{\mathfrak{s}} \in E_{\mathfrak{s}}$ ,  $y_{\mathfrak{t}} \in F_{\mathfrak{t}}$ ,  $y_{\mathfrak{s}} \in F_{\mathfrak{s}}$  consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle C_{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{t}}^*(\hat{T}_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot \hat{T}_{\mathfrak{t}})B_{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{t}} i_{\mathfrak{s} \smile \mathfrak{t}}(x_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot x_{\mathfrak{t}}), j_{\mathfrak{s} \smile \mathfrak{t}}(y_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot y_{\mathfrak{t}}) \rangle &= \langle (\hat{T}_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot \hat{T}_{\mathfrak{t}})(i_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot i_{\mathfrak{t}})(x_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot x_{\mathfrak{t}}), j_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot j_{\mathfrak{t}}(y_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot y_{\mathfrak{t}}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{T}_{\mathfrak{s}} i_{\mathfrak{s}} x_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot \hat{T}_{\mathfrak{t}} i_{\mathfrak{t}} x_{\mathfrak{t}}, j_{\mathfrak{s}} y_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot j_{\mathfrak{t}} y_{\mathfrak{t}} \rangle \\ &= \langle j_{\mathfrak{t}}^* \hat{T}_{\mathfrak{t}} i_{\mathfrak{t}} x_{\mathfrak{t}}, \langle j_{\mathfrak{s}}^* \hat{T}_{\mathfrak{s}} i_{\mathfrak{s}} x_{\mathfrak{s}}, y_{\mathfrak{s}} \rangle y_{\mathfrak{t}} \rangle \\ &= \langle T_{\mathfrak{s}} x_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot T_{\mathfrak{t}} x_{\mathfrak{t}}, (y_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot y_{\mathfrak{t}}) \rangle \\ &= \langle (T_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot T_{\mathfrak{t}})(x_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot x_{\mathfrak{t}}), y_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot y_{\mathfrak{t}} \rangle \\ &= \langle T_{\mathfrak{s} \smile \mathfrak{t}}(x_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot x_{\mathfrak{t}}), (y_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot y_{\mathfrak{t}}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{T}_{\mathfrak{s}+\mathfrak{t}} i_{\mathfrak{s} \smile \mathfrak{t}}(x_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot x_{\mathfrak{t}}), j_{\mathfrak{s} \smile \mathfrak{t}}(y_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot y_{\mathfrak{t}}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\hat{T}_{\mathfrak{s}+\mathfrak{t}} = C_{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{t}}^*(\hat{T}_{\mathfrak{s}} \odot \hat{T}_{\mathfrak{t}})B_{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{t}}$  for all  $\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t} > 0$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The first author thanks JC Bose Fellowship for financial support. The second author thanks NBHM and the Indian Statistical Institute for research funding.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Arveson. Continuous analogues of Fock space. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 80(409), (1989). MR987590.
- [2] W. Arveson. Noncommutative dynamics and E-semigroups. *Springer Monographs in Math.*(2003). MR1978577.
- [3] S.D. Barreto, B.V.R. Bhat, V. Liebscher and M. Skeide. Type I product systems of Hilbert modules. *J. Funct. Anal.* 212(1), 121-181 (2004). MR2065240.
- [4] B.V. R. Bhat, An index theory for quantum dynamical semigroups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 348 (1996), no. 2, 561-583. MR1329528.
- [5] B.V.R. Bhat. Minimal dilations of quantum dynamical semigroups to semigroups of endomorphisms of  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* 14(2), 109-124 (1999). MR1727708.
- [6] B.V.R. Bhat and M. Mukherjee. Inclusion systems and amalgamated products of product systems. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Topics* 13(1), 1-26 (2010). MR2646788.
- [7] B.V.R. Bhat, V. Liebscher, M. Skeide. A problem of powers and the product of spatial product systems. *Quantum probability and related topics*, 93-106, QP-PQ: Quantum Probab. White Noise Anal., 23, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ., 2008. MR2590656.
- [8] B.V.R. Bhat and M. Skeide. Tensor product systems of Hilbert modules and dilations of completely positive semigroups. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Topics* 3(4), 519-575 (2000). MR1805844.
- [9] E.C Lance. Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. A toolkit for operator algebraists. *London Math. Soc. Lec. Note Series vol. 210*, Cambridge Univ. Press (1995). MR1325694.
- [10] V. Liebscher and M. Skeide. Units for the time-ordered Fock module. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* 4(4), 545-551 (2001). MR1876163.
- [11] Paul S. Muhly and Baruch Solel. Quantum Markov semigroups: product systems and subordination. *Internat. J. Math.* 18 (2007), no. 6, 633-669. MR2337398.
- [12] W.L. Paschke. Inner product modules over  $B^*$ -algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 182, 443-468 (1973). MR0355613.
- [13] V.I. Paulsen. Completely bounded maps and operator algebras. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics.* 78, (2002). MR1976867.
- [14] V.I. Paulsen. Every completely polynomially bounded operator is similar to a contraction. *J. Funct. Anal.* 55(1), 1-17 (1984). MR733029.
- [15] R. T. Powers, Construction of  $E_0$ -semigroups of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  from CP-flows. *Advances in quantum dynamics* (South Hadley, MA, 2002), 57-97, Contemp. Math., 335, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003. MR2026011.
- [16] M. Skeide. Hilbert modules and applications in quantum probability. *book preprint on* <http://web.unimol.it/skeide/>, (2001).
- [17] M. Skeide. Skeide, The Powers sum of spatial CPD-semigroups and CP-semigroups. *Noncommutative harmonic analysis with applications to probability II*, 247-263, Banach Center Publ., 89, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2010. MR2730893.
- [18] V.I. Paulsen and Ching Yun Suen. Commutant representations of completely bounded maps. *J. Operator Theory* 13(1), 87-101 (1985). MR768304.
- [19] W.F. Stinespring. Positive functions on  $C^*$ -algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 6, 211-216 (1955). MR0069403.
- [20] Ching Yun Suen. Completely bounded maps on  $C^*$ -algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 93(1), 81-87 (1985). MR766532.

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, STAT-MATH. UNIT, R V COLLEGE POST, BENGALURU 560059, INDIA  
*E-mail address:* [bhat@isibang.ac.in](mailto:bhat@isibang.ac.in)

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, STAT-MATH UNIT, R V COLLEGE POST, BENGALURU 560059, INDIA  
*E-mail address:* [uvijayakumar87@gmail.com](mailto:uvijayakumar87@gmail.com)