

CENTRALITY MEASURES IN SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES: APPLICATIONS OF TDA TO NETWORK SCIENCE.

DANIEL HERNÁNDEZ SERRANO AND DARÍO SÁNCHEZ GÓMEZ

ABSTRACT. Many real networks in social sciences, biological and biomedical sciences or computer science have an inherent structure of simplicial complexes reflecting many-body interactions. Using the higher order notions of adjacency and degree for simplices in a simplicial complex given in [9], we define new centrality measures in simplicial complexes which contain meaningful information about the relevance of an agent, and of a simplicial community of agents, in terms of other collaborative simplicial communities or sub-communities. These measures allow not only to study the relevance of a simplicial community in a network, but also to elucidate topological and dynamical properties of simplicial networks. We start by defining centrality measures associated with the notions of generalised higher order degrees valid for any dimensional comparison, they are needed to understand the relations among different collaborative simplicial communities and to study higher order degree distributions in simplicial complex networks. We then define notions of walks and distances in simplicial complexes to study connectivity of simplicial networks and to generalise, to the simplicial case, the well known closeness and betweenness centralities (needed for instance to study the relevance of a simplicial community in terms of its ability of transmitting information). Finally, we define a clustering coefficient for simplices in a simplicial complex different to that of [12]. This measure generalises the standard graph clustering of a vertex and is essential to know not only the clustering around a node, but the clustering around a simplicial community in a simplicial network, and it might help to understand how cliques and simplicial communities evolve in a simplicial network.

Date: August 9, 2019.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 55U10, 05E45, 91D30, 91C20, 05C82, 93B25

PACS numbers: 89.75.-k, 89.75.Fb, 89.75. Hc.

Key words and phrases. complex networks, simplicial complexes, combinatorial laplacian, topological data analysis, network science, statistical mechanics.

This work has been supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Spain) and the European Union through FEDER funds under grants TIN2017- 84844-C2-1-R and MTM2017-86042-P, and the project STAMGAD 18.J445 / 463AC03 supported by Consejería de Educación (GIR, Junta de Castilla y León, Spain).

INTRODUCTION.

Real world networks and data systems have been usually understood as graphs, where nodes represents the agents of the network and edges are drawn to keep track of the binary interactions between these agents. They present a complex behaviour, since we can not always infer global properties based on local ones, and Network Science and Statistical Mechanics of complex networks ([3, 2]) offer a universal language which allow to classify them, elucidate patterns of interactions and make predictions about the structure and evolution of such systems. But many real complex systems, such as social systems, data systems, brain networks or other biological complex systems, have a much richer structure due to the fact that multi-interactions among agents are allowed. From this point of view, topological data analysis (TDA) provides a mathematical tool coming from algebraic topology to deal with and faithfully represent multi-interactions among agents: simplicial complexes. TDA has been developed and used to analyse topological properties of data systems for the last 15 years (both from a theoretical and an applied point of view), but only very recently it has also attracted the attention of the Network Science community. Nowadays it is proved that simplicial complexes can also become a key stone in understanding an emergent simplicial network science (see for instance [14, 10, 6, 11, 17, 13, 18, 16, 5, 4, 7] for different applications of simplicial complexes in real world complex systems). A simplex is just a set of different vertices and a simplicial complex is, roughly speaking, a collection K of simplices which contains, together with each simplex, all its faces (its smaller subsets of vertices). Hence, simplices can be understood as higher dimensional generalisations of a point, line, triangle, tetrahedron, and so on, and they can codify multi interaction relations in classical networks (binary relations are lines or 1-simplices, ternary relations are triangles or 2-simplices, ...).

One of the most successful tools for analysing topological and dynamical properties of complex networks is the use of centrality measures: numeric quantifications of the relevance of a node attending to different parameters (such as position, number of connections, number of edges passing through it, number of links among its neighbours, ...). If one attempts to define centrality measures based on a notion of degree for simplices (which would allow to characterise certain relevance of an agent, or group of agents, in a simplicial network), a different definition of adjacency between simplices is required, since, contrarily to what happens in the vertex case (where there is only upper adjacency between vertices connected by an edge), triangles, for example, have lower adjacent lines and vertices (at least all of its faces) and also might have upper adjacent simplices (if it is nested in a tetrahedron, for instance). In [8, 13, 10, 11, 7] definitions

of lower, upper and general adjacency for q -simplices were given: two simplices $\sigma^{(q)}$ and $\sigma^{(q')}$ are lower adjacent if there exists a $(q-1)$ -simplex $\tau^{(q-1)}$ which is a common $(q-1)$ -face of both of them; they are said to be upper adjacent if there exists $\tau^{(q+1)}$ having both as q -faces; and they are considered adjacent if they are strictly lower adjacent but not upper adjacent. The associated degrees for these notions of adjacencies in simplicial complexes can be effectively computed by the entries of a matrix associated with a q -combinatorial Laplacian operator.

But those definitions do not allow to lower compare two simplices at different dimensions q and q' which share a p -face for general p , nor do they permit to upper compare them if they are faces of a bigger p' -simplex. In [9] we have presented a mathematical framework generalising the notions of lower, upper and general adjacency and their associated degrees, which is valid for any simplicial dimension comparison: two simplices $\sigma^{(q)}$ and $\sigma^{(q')}$ are p -lower adjacent if there exists a p -simplex $\tau^{(p)}$ which is a common p -face of both of them; they are said to be p -upper adjacent if there exists $\tau^{(p)}$ having both as faces; and they are considered p -adjacent if they are strictly p -lower adjacent (meaning p -lower adjacent and not $(p+1)$ -lower adjacent) but not p' -upper adjacent for a certain (explicitly given) dimension p' . The associated degrees to these notions of adjacencies are defined, and they are proved to generalise the usual ones. Moreover, a new higher order multi combinatorial Laplacian operator in an oriented simplicial complex is defined (by using a novel multi-parameter boundary operator) and it is used to effectively compute all the higher order degrees there defined.

In this paper we apply the results given in [9] to introduce new centrality measures in simplicial complexes, which allow to study the relevance of certain communities in a simplicial network and might be useful in studying topological and dynamical properties of complex systems. We start by defining centrality measures associated with the given notions of higher order degrees, they are needed understand the relevance and relations among different collaborative simplicial communities and to study higher order degree distributions in simplicial complex networks; we shall study these distributions and propose random and preferential attachment algorithms (and configuration models) in future works (following the standard results for graph networks of [2] and some simplicial results for d -pure simplicial networks of [3, 2]). We then define the notions of walks and distances in simplicial complexes, different to that of [12, 7], to study connectivity and to generalise, to the simplicial case, the well-known closeness and betweenness centralities, needed, for instance, to study the relevance of a simplicial community in terms of its ability of transmitting information. Finally, we define a clustering coefficient for simplices in a simplicial complex different to that of [12]. This

measure generalises the standard graph clustering of a vertex in a graph network, and allows to know not only the simplicial clustering around a node, but the clustering around a simplicial community. Moreover, it might be used to study analogues to clique percolation techniques in simplicial networks.

The paper is organised as follows. Section 1 is devoted to recall the basic definitions of simplicial complexes and the results of [9]. We start by giving the notions of lower, upper and general adjacency for simplices (valid for any dimensional comparison), its associated higher order degrees and how to effectively compute them by using the multi parameter boundary and combinatorial Laplacian operators. The main results are tackled in Section 2: centrality measures associated with the higher order degrees of simplices in a simplicial complex are introduced; the notions of walks and distances in simplicial complexes are presented to study connectivity for simplicial complexes and to generalise, to the simplicial case, the closeness and betweenness centralities. Finally, we define in this section a clustering coefficient for simplices making use of both the higher order degree and the generalised distance in a simplicial complex.

1. GENERAL ADJACENCY, GENERAL SIMPLICIAL DEGREE AND MULTI COMBINATORIAL LAPLACIAN.

We start by recalling the basic notions and properties of simplicial complexes (we refer to [15, 8] for a wide exposition and details), and then we will summarise the main results of [9].

Given a finite set of points $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, which we call vertices, a q -simplex is a subset of vertices $\sigma^{(q)} = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_q\}$ such that $v_i \neq v_j$ for all $i \neq j$ (where q is referred to as the dimension of $\sigma^{(q)}$). A p -face (for $p \leq q$) of a q -simplex is just a subset $\{v_{i_0}, \dots, v_{i_p}\}$ of the q -simplex. A simplicial complex K is a collection of simplices such that if σ is a simplex in K , then all the faces of σ are also in K , and non-empty intersection of any two simplices of K is a face of each of them. If a simplex is not a face of any other simplex, then it is called a facet. The dimension of a simplicial complex, $\dim K$, is the maximum among the dimensions of its simplices.

Recall that the degree of a vertex is the number of its incident edges, and this notion can be generalised to q -simplices. Notice that as a 0-simplex, a vertex has degree d if there are d edges, 1-simplices, incident to it, but a 1-simplex have two 0-simplices adjacent to it (the two vertices the edge has) but it also might be adjacent to a 2-simplex (triangle) or to a 3-simplex (tetrahedron). Then, notions of lower and upper adjacency (and its associated degrees) valid for any dimensional comparison between

simplices are needed. These definitions are proposed in [9] and, since we will need them in order to define new centrality measures in simplicial complexes, we shall recall them later on in this section. But before getting into the simplicial case, let us recall that in graph network theory the degree of a vertex also appears as a diagonal entry of the graph Laplacian matrix, defined as $D - A$, where D is a diagonal matrix with the degree of the vertices as diagonal entries, and A is the usual vertex adjacency matrix. That is, one has an effective computational method to calculate the graph degree. In our general setting there also exists such a computational method by using the multi combinatorial Laplacian of [9] (which generalises the graph Laplacian and the q -combinatorial Laplacian). In order to define a combinatorial Laplacian, an orientation is required in the simplicial complex K : let σ be a simplex in K , we define two orderings of its vertex set to be equivalent if they differ from one another by an even permutation. If $\dim \sigma > 0$, this relations provides two equivalence classes and each of them is called an orientation of σ . An oriented simplex is a simplex σ together with an orientation of σ . For a geometrically independent set of points $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_q\}$ we denote by $[v_0, \dots, v_q]$ and $-[v_0, \dots, v_q]$ the opposite oriented simplices spanned by $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_q\}$. We say that a finite simplicial complex K is oriented if all of its simplices are oriented. We shall denote by $\tilde{S}_p(K)$ the set of oriented p -simplices of the simplicial complex K , and by $S_p(K)$ the set of non oriented p -simplices.

Let us state few more notations and definitions. Given an oriented simplicial complex K , we define the group of q -chains as the free abelian group $C_q(K)$ with basis the set of oriented q -simplices of K . The dimension f_q of $C_q(K)$ is the number of q -dimensional simplices of the simplicial complex K , and it is codified in a topological invariant called the f -vector $f = (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_q, \dots, f_{\dim K})$. By assumption $C_q(K)$ is trivial if $q \notin [0, \dim K]$.

Let $C^q(K)$ the dual vector space of $C_q(K)$. Its elements, called *cochains*, are completely determined by specifying its value on each simplex (since chains are linear combinations of simplices). Fixing an auxiliary inner product (with respect to which the basis of $C_q(K)$ can be chosen to be orthonormal), we can identify (via the associated polarity): $C_q(K) \simeq C^q(K)$.

Now we have the basic set up to recall the results of [9].

1.1. Higher order adjacency and simplicial degrees.

Let us show how to lower and upper compare simplices of different dimensions.

Definition 1. *Lower adjacencies and lower degrees.*

- (1) We say that $\sigma^{(q)}$ and $\sigma^{(q')}$ are p -lower adjacent if there exists a p -simplex $\tau^{(p)}$ which is a common face of both $\sigma^{(q)}$ and $\sigma^{(q')}$:

$$\sigma^{(q)} \sim_{L_p} \sigma^{(q')} \iff \exists \tau^{(p)} \quad : \quad \tau^{(p)} \subseteq \sigma^{(q)} \quad \& \quad \tau^{(p)} \subseteq \sigma^{(q')}.$$

Note that if $\sigma^{(q)} \sim_{L_p} \sigma^{(q')}$, then $\sigma^{(q)} \sim_{L_{p'}} \sigma^{(q')}$ for all $0 \leq p' \leq p$.

- (2) We say that $\sigma^{(q)}$ and $\sigma^{(q')}$ are strictly p -lower adjacent if:

$$\sigma^{(q)} \sim_{L_{p^*}} \sigma^{(q')} \iff \sigma^{(q)} \sim_{L_p} \sigma^{(q')} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^{(q)} \not\sim_{L_{p+1}} \sigma^{(q')}.$$

- (3) We define the p -lower degree of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ as:

$$\deg_L^p(\sigma^{(q)}) := \#\{\sigma^{(q')} : \sigma^{(q')} \sim_{L_p} \sigma^{(q)}\}.$$

- (4) We define the strictly p -lower degree of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ as:

$$\deg_L^{p^*}(\sigma^{(q)}) := \#\{\sigma^{(q')} : \sigma^{(q')} \sim_{L_{p^*}} \sigma^{(q)}\}.$$

- (5) We define the (h, p) -lower degree of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ as:

$$\deg_L^{h,p}(\sigma^{(q)}) := \#\{\sigma^{(q-h)} : \sigma^{(q-h)} \sim_{L_p} \sigma^{(q)}\}.$$

- (6) We define the strict (h, p) -lower degree of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ as:

$$\deg_L^{h,p^*}(\sigma^{(q)}) := \#\{\sigma^{(q-h)} : \sigma^{(q-h)} \sim_{L_{p^*}} \sigma^{(q)}\}.$$

We have the following properties:

- Note that:

$$\deg_L^p(\sigma^{(q)}) = \sum_{h=q-\dim K}^{q-p} \deg_L^{h,p}(\sigma^{(q)}); \quad \deg_L^{p^*}(\sigma^{(q)}) = \sum_{h=q-\dim K}^{q-p} \deg_L^{h,p^*}(\sigma^{(q)}).$$

- If $h = 1$ and $p = q - h = q - 1$ then the $(1, q - 1)$ -lower degree of a q -simplex is the lower degree of the q -simplex of [8, 7]:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_L^{1,q-1}(\sigma^{(q)}) &:= \#\{\tau^{(q-1)} \mid \tau^{(q-1)} \sim_{L_{q-1}} \sigma^{(q)}\} = \\ &= \#\{(q-1)\text{-faces of } \sigma^{(q)}\} = q + 1 \end{aligned}$$

- If $p = q - h$ we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_L^{h,q-h}(\sigma^{(q)}) &:= \#\{\tau^{(q-h)} \mid \tau^{(q-h)} \sim_{L_{q-h}} \sigma^{(q)}\} = \\ &= \#\{(q-h)\text{-simplices of } \sigma^{(q)}\} = \binom{q+1}{q-h+1} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

- From the very definition we have that:

$$\deg_L^{h,p^*}(\sigma^{(q)}) = \deg_L^{h,p}(\sigma^{(q)}) - \deg_L^{h,p+1}(\sigma^{(q)}). \tag{2}$$

Definition 2. *Upper adjacencies and upper degrees.*

- (1) We say that $\sigma^{(q)}$ and $\sigma^{(q')}$ are p -upper adjacent if there exists a p -simplex $\tau^{(p)}$ having both $\sigma^{(q)}$ and $\sigma^{(q')}$ as faces:

$$\sigma^{(q)} \sim_{U_p} \sigma^{(q')} \iff \exists \tau^{(p)} \quad : \quad \sigma^{(q)} \subseteq \tau^{(p)} \quad \& \quad \sigma^{(q')} \subseteq \tau^{(p)}.$$

- (2) We say that $\sigma^{(q)}$ and $\sigma^{(q')}$ are strictly p -upper adjacent if:

$$\sigma^{(q)} \sim_{U_{p^*}} \sigma^{(q')} \iff \sigma^{(q)} \sim_{U_p} \sigma^{(q')} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^{(q)} \not\sim_{U_{p+1}} \sigma^{(q')}.$$

- (3) We define the p -upper degree of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ as:

$$\deg_U^p(\sigma^{(q)}) := \#\{\sigma^{(q')} : \sigma^{(q')} \sim_{U_p} \sigma^{(q)}\}.$$

- (4) We define the strictly p -upper degree of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ as:

$$\deg_U^{p^*}(\sigma^{(q)}) := \#\{\sigma^{(q')} : \sigma^{(q')} \sim_{U_{p^*}} \sigma^{(q)}\}.$$

- (5) We define the (h, p) -upper degree of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ as:

$$\deg_U^{h,p}(\sigma^{(q)}) := \#\{\sigma^{(q+h)} : \sigma^{(q+h)} \sim_{U_p} \sigma^{(q)}\}.$$

- (6) We define the strict (h, p) -upper degree of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ as:

$$\deg_U^{h,p^*}(\sigma^{(q)}) := \#\{\sigma^{(q+h)} : \sigma^{(q+h)} \sim_{U_{p^*}} \sigma^{(q)}\}.$$

We have the following properties and results:

- Note that:

$$\deg_U^p(\sigma^{(q)}) = \sum_{h=-q}^{p-q} \deg_U^{h,p}(\sigma^{(q)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \deg_U^{p^*}(\sigma^{(q)}) = \sum_{h=-q}^{p-q} \deg_U^{h,p^*}(\sigma^{(q)}).$$

- If $h = 1$ and $p = q + h = q + 1$ then the $(1, q + 1)$ -upper degree of a q -simplex is the upper degree of the q -simplex of [8, 7].
- For $q = 0$, $h = 1$ and $p = q + h = 1$ then the $(1, 1)$ -upper degree of a vertex v is the usual degree:

$$\deg_U^{1,1}(v) = \#\{\text{edges incident to } v\} = \deg(v).$$

- In general, for $p = q + h$, we have:

$$\deg_U^{h,q+h}(\sigma^{(q)}) = \#\{(q+h)\text{-simplices incident to } \sigma^{(q)}\}.$$

- The strict upper degree can be computed as follows:

$$\deg_U^{h,(q+h)^*}(\sigma^{(q)}) = \sum_{i=0}^s (-1)^i \deg_U^{h+i,q+h+i}(\sigma^{(q)}) \cdot \binom{h+i}{h}, \quad (3)$$

where $s = \dim K - (q + h)$.

Remark 1. Let us point out some comments.

- (1) *As mentioned above, if $q = q'$ and $p = q - 1$ (resp. $p = q + 1$), then the notion $(q - 1)$ -lower (resp. $(q + 1)$ -upper) adjacency recovers the ordinary lower (resp. upper) adjacency for q -simplices of [8, 7]. Thus, $(q + 1)$ -upper adjacency implies $(q - 1)$ -lower adjacency for q -simplices. However, in contrast to the ordinary case, the uniqueness of the common lower (resp. upper) simplex is no longer true in our general setting.*
- (2) *If $h \geq 0$ and $\sigma^{(q)} \sim_{U_{q+h}} \sigma^{(q+h)}$, then $\sigma^{(q)}$ is a face of $\sigma^{(q+h)}$ and thus $\sigma^{(q)} \sim_{L_q} \sigma^{(q+h)}$.*
- (3) *Although, in general is no longer true that p -upper adjacency implies p' -lower adjacency, one has that for $q \geq q' \geq h$ if $\sigma_i^{(q)} \sim_{U_{q+h}} \sigma_j^{(q')}$, then $\sigma_i^{(q)} \sim_{L_{q'-h}} \sigma_j^{(q')}$.*

Notice that if $\sigma_i^{(q)} \sim_{L_{p^*}} \sigma_j^{(q')}$, then $\sigma_i^{(q)}$ and $\sigma_j^{(q')}$ share $p + 1$ -vertices. Thus, the smallest simplex which might contain both as faces (and therefore all of their vertices) has to have $q + 1 + q' + 1 - (p + 1) = q + q' - p + 1$ vertices, and thus it should be a p' -simplex where $p' = q + q' - p$. Moreover, in [9] it is proven that if $\sigma_i^{(q)} \sim_{L_{p^*}} \sigma_j^{(q')}$ for some p and $\sigma_i^{(q)} \not\sim_{U_{p'}} \sigma_j^{(q')}$, then $\sigma_i^{(q)} \not\sim_{U_{p'+h}} \sigma_j^{(q')}$ for all $h \geq 1$, where $p' = q + q' - p$.

This justifies the following definitions.

Definition 3. *General adjacencies and their associated degrees.*

- (1) *We say that $\sigma^{(q)}$ and $\sigma^{(q')}$ are p -adjacent if they are strictly p -lower adjacent and not p' -upper adjacent, for $p' = q + q' - p$:*

$$\sigma^{(q)} \sim_{A_p} \sigma^{(q')} \iff \sigma^{(q)} \sim_{L_{p^*}} \sigma^{(q')} \quad \& \quad \sigma^{(q)} \not\sim_{U_{p'}} \sigma^{(q')}.$$

In order to agree with graph theory, for $q = 0$ we say that two vertices v_i and v_j are adjacent if $v_i \sim_{U_1} v_j$.

- (2) *We say that $\sigma^{(q')}$ is maximal p -adjacent to $\sigma^{(q)}$ if:*

$$\sigma^{(q')} \sim_{A_{p^*}} \sigma^{(q)} \iff \sigma^{(q')} \sim_{A_p} \sigma^{(q)} \quad \& \quad \sigma^{(q')} \not\subset \sigma^{(q'')} \quad \forall \sigma^{(q'')} \mid \sigma^{(q'')} \sim_p \sigma^{(q)}.$$

- (3) *We define the p -adjacency degree of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ by:*

$$\deg_A^p(\sigma^{(q)}) := \#\{\sigma^{(q')} \mid \sigma^{(q)} \sim_{A_p} \sigma^{(q')}\}.$$

- (4) *We define the maximal p -adjacency degree of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ by:*

$$\deg_A^{p^*}(\sigma^{(q)}) := \#\{\sigma^{(q')} \mid \sigma^{(q')} \sim_{A_{p^*}} \sigma^{(q)}\}.$$

Let us remark that with the p -adjacency degree we might be over counting certain simplices in the following sense: in Figure 1 we have a triangle t_1 to which another triangle t_2 is 0-adjacent in the vertex v , and, since there are two edges (1-faces) of t_2 that are 0-adjacent to t_1 (the edges a_1 and a_2), they are also being counted in the




FIGURE 1. Maximal 0-adjacency.

0-adjacency degree of t_1 . That is $\deg_A^0(t_1) = 3$ because t_2 , a_1 and a_2 are 0-adjacent to t_1 . Nonetheless, since a_1 and a_2 are 0-adjacent to t_1 , but they are also faces of another simplex 0-adjacent to t_1 (they are faces of t_2), then, with the maximal 0-adjacent degree of t_1 we are not counting a_1 and a_2 , and thus $\deg_A^{0*}(t_1) = 1$. Thus, the maximal p -adjacency degree for a q -simplex only counts the maximal collaborative communities p -adjacent to a given simplex.

In order to count all the communities collaborating with a simplex (both the ones collaborating with smaller sub-communities of the simplex and also the bigger ones where the simplex is nested in), a two parameter degree can be defined using both the p_1 -adjacency degree and the p_2 -upper degree as follows.

Definition 4. Given $p_1 > q$ and $p_2 < q$, we define the (p_1, p_2^*) -degree of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ by:

$$\deg^{(p_1, p_2^*)}(\sigma^{(q)}) := \deg_U^{p_1}(\sigma^{(q)}) + \deg_A^{p_2^*}(\sigma^{(q)}).$$

Similarly, for strict upper degree, we define the (p_1^*, p_2^*) -degree of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ by:

$$\deg^{(p_1^*, p_2^*)}(\sigma^{(q)}) := \deg_U^{p_1^*}(\sigma^{(q)}) + \deg_A^{p_2^*}(\sigma^{(q)}).$$

Finally, we can get rid of the two parameters by defining a maximal simplicial degree of a q -simplex, which counts all the maximal communities collaborating with the sub-communities (faces) of the q -simplex (the ones that are maximal p -adjacent) and also the maximal communities on which the q -simplex is nested in (these ones being strictly upper adjacent).

Definition 5. We define the maximal simplicial degree of $\sigma^{(q)}$ by:

$$\deg^*(\sigma^{(q)}) = \deg_A^*(\sigma^{(q)}) + \deg_U^*(\sigma^{(q)}),$$

where:

$$\deg_A^*(\sigma^{(q)}) := \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} \deg_A^{p*}(\sigma^{(q)}); \quad \deg_U^*(\sigma^{(q)}) := \sum_{h=1}^{\dim K - q} \deg_U^{h, (q+h)*}(\sigma^{(q)}).$$

1.2. Generalized boundary operator and multi combinatorial Laplacian.

Let us recall the generalised boundary operator on an oriented simplicial complex and the higher order multi combinatorial Laplacian, which will give us a way to effectively compute the higher order degrees of the previous subsection.

Let $\sigma^{(q)}$ be a q -simplex spanned by the set of points $\{v_0, \dots, v_q\}$. Let us denote $[v_0, \dots, \widehat{v}_i, \dots, v_q]$ the oriented $(q-1)$ -simplex obtained from removing the vertex v_i in $[v_0, \dots, v_q]$.

Given the $(q-h)$ -face $\sigma^{(q-h)}$ of $\sigma^{(q)}$ spanned by $\{v_0, \dots, \widehat{v}_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{v}_{j_h}, \dots, v_q\}$ let us denote by $\epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_h}$ the permutation $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & h-1 & h & \cdots & q \\ j_1 & \cdots & j_h & 0 & \cdots & q \end{pmatrix}$. As oriented q -simplex, $\sigma^{(q)}$ is represented by $[v_{\eta(0)}, \dots, v_{\eta(q)}]$, for some permutation η in the set of its vertices.

Definition 6. We define the (q, h) -boundary operator

$$\partial_{q,h}: \mathcal{C}_q(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{q-h}(K)$$

as the homomorphism given as the linear extension of:

$$\partial_{q,h}([v_{\eta(0)}, \dots, v_{\eta(q)}]) = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_h} \text{sign}(\eta) \text{sign}(\epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_h}) [v_0, \dots, \widehat{v}_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{v}_{j_h}, \dots, v_q]$$

where $[v_0, \dots, \widehat{v}_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{v}_{j_h}, \dots, v_q]$ denotes the oriented q -simplex obtained from removing the vertices v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_h} in $[v_0, \dots, v_q]$.

Note that $[v_{\eta(0)}, \dots, v_{\eta(q)}] = [v_{\eta'(0)}, \dots, v_{\eta'(q)}]$ if and only if $\text{sign}(\eta) = \text{sign}(\eta')$, so that $[v_{\eta(0)}, \dots, v_{\eta(q)}] = \text{sign}(\eta) [v_0, \dots, v_q]$. Then, this operator is well defined and $\partial_{q,h}(-\sigma^{(q)}) = -\partial_{q,h}(\sigma^{(q)})$.

Remark 2. The operator $\partial_{q,1}$ is the ordinary q -boundary operator $\partial_q: \mathcal{C}_q(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{q-1}(K)$ defined by (see for instance [8]):

$$\partial_q([v_0, \dots, v_q]) = \sum_{i=0}^q (-1)^i [v_0, \dots, \widehat{v}_i, \dots, v_q].$$

Given $\tau^{(p)}$ a p -simplex and $\sigma^{(q)}$ a q -face in K , with $q < p$, we denote by $\text{sign}(\tau^{(p)}, \sigma^{(q)})$ the coefficient of $\sigma^{(q)}$ in the sum $\partial_{p,p-q}(\tau^{(p)})$.

Definition 7. Upper similarity orientation, sign and upper oriented degree.

- (1) Let $\sigma_i^{(q)}$ and $\sigma_j^{(q')}$ be two simplices which are p -upper adjacent. Let $\tau^{(p)}$ be a common upper p -simplex. We say that $\sigma_i^{(q)}$ and $\sigma_j^{(q')}$ are upper similarly oriented with respect to $\tau^{(p)}$ if $\text{sign}(\tau^{(p)}, \sigma^{(q)}) = \text{sign}(\tau^{(p)}, \sigma^{(q')})$.




FIGURE 2. Examples of (q, h) -boundary operators where we denote $[v_0, \dots, v_p] = v_0 \dots v_p$.

We shall denote it by $\sigma_i^{(q)} \sim_{U_{\tau^{(p)}}^+} \sigma_j^{(q')}$. If the signs are different, we say that they are dissimilarly oriented with respect to $\tau^{(p)}$. We shall denote it by $\sigma_i^{(q)} \sim_{U_{\tau^{(p)}}^-} \sigma_j^{(q')}$.

- (2) Let $\sigma_i^{(q)}, \sigma_j^{(q')}$ and $\tau^{(p)}$ oriented simplices. We define the upper sign of $\sigma_i^{(q)}$ and $\sigma_j^{(q')}$ with respect to $\tau^{(p)}$ as the following function:

$$\text{sig}_U(\sigma_i^{(q)}, \sigma_j^{(q')}; \tau^{(p)}) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma_i^{(q)} \cup \sigma_j^{(q')} \not\subseteq \tau^{(p)} \\ 1 & \text{if } \sigma_i^{(q)} \sim_{U_{\tau^{(p)}}^+} \sigma_j^{(q')} \\ -1 & \text{if } \sigma_i^{(q)} \sim_{U_{\tau^{(p)}}^-} \sigma_j^{(q')} \end{cases}$$

- (3) Let $\sigma_i^{(q)}$ and $\sigma_j^{(q')}$ two simplices.

We define the p -upper oriented degree of $\sigma_i^{(q)}$ and $\sigma_j^{(q')}$ as the following sum:

$$\text{odeg}_U^p(\sigma_i^{(q)}, \sigma_j^{(q')}) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tau^{(p)} \in \tilde{S}_p(K)} \text{sig}_U(\sigma_i^{(q)}, \sigma_j^{(q')}; \tau^{(p)}).$$

where by $\tilde{S}_p(K)$ we denote the set of oriented p -simplices of the simplicial complex K . Note that we are dividing by 2 in the oriented upper degree since we have $\text{sign}(\sigma^{(q)}, \tau^{(p)}) = \text{sign}(\sigma^{(q)}, -\tau^{(p)})$.

Let us point out some comments and properties:

- For $p = q + 1$ the first definition recovers the upper similarity of [8].
- Assume that $\tau^{(q)}$ is a q -simplex and $\sigma^{(p)}$ is a p -face of $\tau^{(q)}$, then:

$$\text{odeg}_U^q(\sigma^{(p)}, \tau^{(q)}) = \text{sig}_U(\sigma^{(p)}, \tau^{(q)}; \tau^{(q)}).$$

Let us now recall the analogous definitions for the lower adjacencies.

Definition 8. *Lower similarity orientation, sign and lower oriented degree.*

- (1) Let $\sigma_i^{(q)}$ and $\sigma_j^{(q')}$ two simplices which are p -lower adjacent and $\tau^{(p)}$ be a common lower p -face. We say that $\sigma_i^{(q)}$ and $\sigma_j^{(q')}$ are lower similarly oriented with respect to $\tau^{(p)}$ if $\text{sign}(\sigma^{(q)}, \tau^{(p)}) = \text{sign}(\sigma^{(q')}, \tau^{(p)})$.

If the signs are different, we say that they are dissimilarly oriented with respect to $\tau^{(p)}$. As before, we shall denote it by $\sigma_i^{(q)} \sim_{L_{\tau^{(p)}}^+} \sigma_j^{(q')}$ and $\sigma_i^{(q)} \sim_{L_{\tau^{(p)}}^-} \sigma_j^{(q')}$, respectively.

- (2) Let $\sigma_i^{(q)}$ and $\sigma_j^{(q')}$ two simplices. We define the lower sign of $\sigma_i^{(q)}$ and $\sigma_j^{(q')}$ with respect to a p -simplex $\tau^{(p)}$ as the following function:

$$\text{sig}_L(\sigma_i^{(q)}, \sigma_j^{(q')}; \tau^{(p)}) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \tau^{(p)} \not\subseteq \sigma_i^{(q)} \cap \sigma_j^{(q')} \\ 1 & \text{if } \sigma_i^{(q)} \sim_{L_{\tau^{(p)}}^+} \sigma_j^{(q')} \\ -1 & \text{if } \sigma_i^{(q)} \sim_{L_{\tau^{(p)}}^-} \sigma_j^{(q')} \end{cases}$$

- (3) Let $\sigma_i^{(q)}$ and $\sigma_j^{(q')}$ two simplices. We define the p -lower oriented degree of $\sigma_i^{(q)}$ and $\sigma_j^{(q')}$ as the following sum:

$$\text{odeg}_L^p(\sigma_i^{(q)}, \sigma_j^{(q')}) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tau^{(p)} \in \tilde{S}_p(K)} \text{sig}_L(\sigma_i^{(q)}, \sigma_j^{(q')}; \tau^{(p)}).$$

where by $\tilde{S}_p(K)$ we denote the set of oriented p -simplices of the simplicial complex K . Note that we are dividing by 2 since we have $\text{sign}(\sigma^{(q)}, \tau^{(p)}) = \text{sign}(\sigma^{(q)}, -\tau^{(p)})$.

We have the following properties:

- For $p = q - 1$ the first definition recovers the lower similarity notion of [8].
- Assume that $\tau^{(q)}$ is a q -simplex and $\sigma^{(p)}$ is a p -face of $\tau^{(q)}$, then:

$$\text{odeg}_L^p(\sigma^{(p)}, \tau^{(q)}) = \text{sig}_L(\sigma^{(p)}, \tau^{(q)}; \sigma^{(p)})$$

$$\text{sig}_U(\sigma^{(p)}, \tau^{(q)}; \tau^{(q)}) = \text{sign}(\tau^{(q)}, \sigma^{(p)}) = \text{sig}_L(\sigma^{(p)}, \tau^{(q)}; \sigma^{(p)}).$$

With the above definitions and properties, the (q, h) -boundary operator can be rewritten by the following formula:

$$\partial_{q,h}(\tau^{(q)}) = \sum_{\sigma^{(q-h)} \in S_{q-h}(K)} \text{odeg}_L^{q-h}(\tau^{(q)}, \sigma^{(q-h)}) \sigma^{(q-h)}, \quad (4)$$

and it can be shown that there exists a unique homomorphism:

$$\partial_{q,h}^* : C_{q-h}(K) \rightarrow C_q(K)$$

defined as:

$$\partial_{q,h}^*(\sigma^{(q-h)}) = \sum_{\tau^{(q)} \in S_q(K)} \text{odeg}_{U^q}^q(\sigma^{(q-h)}, \tau^{(q)}) \tau^{(q)}, \quad (5)$$

and such that $\partial_{q,h}$ and $\partial_{q,h}^*$ are adjoint operators. For $h = 1$ they are the usual q -boundary and q -coboundary operators.

Now we can define the multi combinatorial Laplacian.

Definition 9. *Let q, h, h' non negative integers. We define the (q, h, h') -Laplacian operator*

$$\Delta_{q,h,h'} : C_q(K) \rightarrow C_q(K)$$

as the following operator:

$$\Delta_{q,h,h'} := \partial_{q+h,h} \circ \partial_{q+h,h}^* + \partial_{q,h'}^* \circ \partial_{q,h'}.$$

$\Delta_{q,h}^U = \partial_{q+h,h} \circ \partial_{q+h,h}^* : C_q(K) \rightarrow C_q(K)$ is named the upper (q, h) -Laplacian operator and $\Delta_{q,h'}^L = \partial_{q,h'}^* \circ \partial_{q,h'} : C_q(K) \rightarrow C_q(K)$ is called the (q, h') -Laplacian operator.

Remark 3. *For $h = h' = 1$ we have that $\Delta_{q,1,1}$ is the ordinary q -Laplacian operator (see for instance [8]):*

$$\Delta_q := \partial_{q+1} \circ \partial_{q+1}^* + \partial_q^* \circ \partial_q.$$

Let us fix basis of ordered simplices for $C_{q+h}(K)$, $C_q(K)$ and $C_{q-h'}(K)$ and denote by $B_{q+h,h}$ and $B_{q,h'}$ the corresponding matrix representation of $\partial_{q+h,h} : C_{q+h}(K) \rightarrow C_q(K)$ and $\partial_{q,h'} : C_q(K) \rightarrow C_{q-h'}(K)$, respectively. Then the associated matrix of the (q, h, h') -Laplacian operator is

$$L_{q,h,h'} = B_{q+h,h} B_{q+h,h}^t + B_{q,h'}^t B_{q,h'}.$$

We shall call it the (q, h, h') -Laplacian matrix and, as before, we use the notation $L_{q,h}^U = B_{q+h,h} B_{q+h,h}^t$ and $L_{q,h'}^L = B_{q,h'}^t B_{q,h'}$.

Theorem 1. ([9]) *Let K be an oriented simplicial complex and fix oriented basis on the q -chains $C_q(K)$ of K . With respect to this basis, the (i, j) -th entry of the associated*

matrices of the (q, h) -Laplacian operators is given by:

$$(L_{q,h}^U)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \deg_U^{h,q+h}(\sigma_i^{(q)}) & \text{if } i = j \\ \text{odeg}_U^{q+h}(\sigma_i^{(q)}, \sigma_j^{(q)}) & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

$$(L_{q,h'}^L)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \deg_L^{h',q-h'}(\sigma_i^{(q)}) = \binom{q+1}{q-h'+1} & \text{if } i = j \\ \text{odeg}_L^{q-h'}(\sigma_i^{(q)}, \sigma_j^{(q)}) & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

$$(L_{q,h})_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \deg_U^{h,q+h}(\sigma_i^{(q)}) + \binom{q+1}{q-h'+1} & \text{if } i = j \\ \text{odeg}_U^{q+h}(\sigma_i^{(q)}, \sigma_j^{(q)}) + \text{odeg}_L^{q-h'}(\sigma_i^{(q)}, \sigma_j^{(q)}) & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

1.3. How to explicitly compute higher order degrees.

Notice that the multi combinatorial Laplacian does not compute all the higher order degrees of simplices, for instance the multi combinatorial Laplacian computes the lower degree $\deg_L^{h',q-h'}(\sigma^{(q)})$ of a simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ (which we already knew to be equal to $\binom{q+1}{q-h'+1}$), but does not compute its general p -lower degree. Then, let us recall here the explicit description of the higher order degrees in terms of the sign functions and the matrices associated with the generalised boundary and coboundary operators given in [9].

Theorem 2. *The higher order degrees of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ can be explicitly computed as follows.*

- $\deg_L^p(\sigma_j^{(q)}) = -1 + \sum_{q'=p}^{\dim K} \sum_k \min(1, \sum_i |(B_{q,p})_{ij}| |(B_{q',p})_{ik}|)$.
- $\deg_U^p(\sigma_j^{(q)}) = -1 + \sum_{q'=0}^p \sum_k \min(1, \sum_i |(B_{p,p-q})_{ji}| |(B_{p,p-q'})_{ki}|)$.
- $\deg_A^p(\sigma_j^{(q)}) = \sum_{q'=p}^{\dim K} \sum_{k=1}^{f_{q'}} \text{adj}^p(\sigma_j^{(q)}, \sigma_k^{(q')})$, where $p' = q + q' - p$, $f_{q'} = \dim C_{q'}(K)$

and

$$\text{adj}^p(\sigma^{(q)}, \sigma^{(q')}) = m_L^p(\sigma^{(q)}, \sigma^{(q')}) (1 - m_L^{p+1}(\sigma^{(q)}, \sigma^{(q')})) (1 - m_U^{p'}(\sigma^{(q)}, \sigma^{(q')}))$$

$$m_L^p(\sigma^{(q)}, \sigma^{(q')}) = \min\left(1, \sum_i |\text{sig}_L(\sigma^{(q)}, \sigma^{(q')}; \gamma_i^{(p)})|\right)$$

$$m_U^{p'}(\sigma^{(q)}, \sigma^{(q')}) = \min\left(1, \sum_i |\text{sig}_U(\sigma^{(q)}, \sigma^{(q')}; \gamma_i^{(p)})|\right).$$

- $\deg_A^{p*}(\sigma_j^{(q)}) = \deg_A^p(\sigma_j^{(q)}) - \sum_{q'=p}^{\dim K} \sum_{k=1}^{f_{q'}} \Delta_{q',k}$, with

$$\Delta_{q',k} = \min\left(1, \sum_{q'',\ell} |\text{sig}_L(\sigma_k^{(q')}, \sigma_\ell^{(q'')}; \sigma_k^{(q')})| \cdot \text{adj}^p(\sigma_j^{(q)}, \sigma_\ell^{(q'')})\right) \text{adj}^p(\sigma_j^{(q)}, \sigma_k^{(q')})$$

where $p \leq q' \leq \dim K$, $1 \leq k \leq f_{q'}$, $q' + 1 \leq q'' \leq \dim K$, $1 \leq \ell \leq f_{q''}$ and $\{\sigma_\ell^{(q'')}\}_\ell$ is a basis of $C_{q''}(K)$.

2. CENTRALITY MEASURES IN SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES.

Centrality measures associated with the given notions of higher order degrees of Section 1 will be given. These simplicial centrality measures are used to understand the relevance and relations among different collaborative simplicial communities, and they might help to study higher order degree distributions in simplicial complex networks. We also define walks and distances in simplicial complexes and study connectivity for simplicial complexes, which allow us to generalise for simplicial complexes the well-known closeness and betweenness centralities, needed to study the relevance of a simplicial community in terms of its ability in transmitting information. Finally, we define a simplicial clustering coefficient, different to that of [12], which allows to know the clustering not only around a node, but also around a simplicial community.

2.1. Degree centralities.

The degree centrality of a vertex in an usual graph network measures the popularity of the vertex in terms of the number of links it has, more specifically, it is defined as $C_{\text{deg}}(v) := \frac{\text{deg}(v)}{f_0 - 1}$, where f_0 is the number of vertices of the network (the first entry of the f -vector in a simplicial complex K). The numerator is just the number of links (pairwise interactions) the vertex has, and the normalization in the denominator consists of considering all possible links one could form with the vertex v and the $f_0 - 1$ remaining vertices.

Following this idea we give a first definition of a higher degree centrality for a vertex in a simplicial complex K , allowing us to keep track of the existing multi-interactions in a simplicial network. Notice that for vertices there is only upper adjacency.

Definition 10. *The h -upper degree centrality of a 0-simplex v in a simplicial complex K is defined as the ratio:*

$$C_{\text{deg}_U^{h,h}}(v) := \frac{\text{deg}_U^{h,h}(v)}{\binom{f_0-1}{h}}.$$

The numerator is the number of h -simplices in K to which v belongs to, and the denominator is the number of possible h -simplices which could be formed with v and the remaining $f_0 - 1$ vertices. That is, $C_{\text{deg}_U^{h,h}}(v)$ is measuring a normalised popularity of the agent v in terms of the communities of at least h agents it belongs to. Notice that for $h = 1$ it agrees with the usual degree centrality.

Let us point out that some of these h -communities might be nested in other higher dimensional ones, so that, if one wants to measure its popularity in terms of the communities of exactly h agents not nested in higher dimensional ones, one should consider the strict upper degree of Definition 2. Thus, we give a second definition.

Definition 11. *The strict h -upper degree centrality of a 0-simplex v in a simplicial complex K is defined to be the ratio:*

$$C_{\deg_U^{(h,h^*)}}(v) := \frac{\deg_U^{(h,h^*)}(v)}{\binom{f_0-1}{h}}.$$

Similarly we can define the $(h, q+h)$ -upper degree centralities for q -simplices in K .

Definition 12. *The $(h, q+h)$ -upper degree centrality of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ in a simplicial complex K is defined as the ratio:*

$$C_{\deg_U^{h,q+h}}(\sigma^{(q)}) := \frac{\deg_U^{h,q+h}(\sigma^{(q)})}{\binom{f_0-(q+1)}{q+h}},$$

where the numerator is the number of $(q+h)$ -simplices which are $(q+h)$ -upper adjacent to $\sigma^{(q)}$ and the denominator means all possible $(q+h)$ -simplices one can construct using the $q+1$ vertices of $\sigma^{(q)}$ and the remaining $f_0 - (q+1)$ ones.

The strict $(h, q+h)$ -upper degree centrality of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ in a simplicial complex K is defined as the ratio:

$$C_{\deg_U^{h,(q+h)^*}}(\sigma^{(q)}) := \frac{\deg_U^{h,(q+h)^*}(\sigma^{(q)})}{\binom{f_0-(q+1)}{q+h}}.$$

Using equation (3) we have:

$$C_{\deg_U^{h,(q+h)^*}}(\sigma^{(q)}) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\dim K-(q+h)} (-1)^i \cdot \binom{h+i}{h} \cdot \binom{f_0-(q+1)}{q+h+i} \cdot C_{\deg_U^{h+i,q+h+i}}(\sigma^{(q)})}{\binom{f_0-(q+1)}{q+h}}$$

Now, let us point out that for q -simplices we are measuring (with the above centralities) the collaborative communities of $q+h$ agents which $\sigma^{(q)}$ belongs to, but it could happen, for $q > 0$, that some smaller sub-communities of $\sigma^{(q)}$ (some of its faces), might belong to other distinct collaborative simplicial communities, and such an inter-community collaboration can not be counted with the above definitions. This is due to the fact that, as opposite to the vertex case (where there is not lower adjacency), for $q > 0$ there exists p -lower adjacency and, what is more important for this particular case, there exists a notion of p -adjacency: different collaborative communities sharing a lower sub-community of strictly p agents (p -face) and not being part of a common upper higher dimensional community (see Definition 3). Bearing in mind this situation, let us use the p -adjacency degree of a q -simplex to define another centrality measure.

Definition 13. *The p -adjacency degree centrality of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ in a simplicial complex K is defined to be the ratio:*

$$C_{\deg_A^p}(\sigma^{(q)}) := \frac{\deg_A^p(\sigma^{(q)})}{\binom{q+1}{p+1} \left(\sum_{q'=p+1}^{\dim K} \binom{f_0-(p+1)}{q'} - 1 \right)}.$$

The maximal p -adjacency degree centrality of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ in a simplicial complex K is defined as the ratio:

$$C_{\deg_A^{p*}}(\sigma^{(q)}) := \frac{\deg_A^{p*}(\sigma^{(q)})}{\binom{q+1}{p+1} \left(\sum_{q'=p+1}^{\dim K} \binom{f_0-(p+1)}{q'} - 1 \right)}.$$

The normalisation in the denominator of the first formula means the following: for each p -face of $\sigma^{(q)}$ (there are $\binom{q+1}{p+1}$ ones) we consider all possible q' -simplices one could form with the $(p+1)$ vertices of the p -face joint with the $f_0 - (p+1)$ remaining ones and, since we might be over counting $\sigma^{(q)}$ for each of its p -faces, we then remove one.

Notice that maximal p -adjacency degree centrality is measuring the popularity of a simplex in terms of the (normalised) number of communities in the network which are collaborating with the sub-communities (faces) of the given simplex, but it is not taking into account the maximal communities on which the simplex could be nested in. Therefore, we can try to use both the strictly $(h, q+h)$ -upper degree centrality and the maximal p -adjacency degree centrality to define a new two parameter degree centrality by:

$$C_{\deg^{(p_1^*, p_2^*)}}(\sigma^{(q)}) := C_{\deg_U^{p_1^*}}(\sigma^{(q)}) + C_{\deg_A^{p_2^*}}(\sigma^{(q)}),$$

but then, we will find ourselves with a measure which might be bigger than one. Thus, there are two possible solutions, both of them using the maximal simplicial degree of Definition 5. The first one is to define a simplicial centrality degree by the formula:

$$\frac{\deg^*(\sigma^{(q)})}{\sum_{h=1}^{\dim K - q} \binom{f_0-(q+1)}{q+h} + \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} \binom{q+1}{p+1} \left(\sum_{q'=p+1}^{\dim K} \binom{f_0-(p+1)}{q'} - 1 \right)},$$

where $\deg^*(\sigma^{(q)}) = \deg_A^*(\sigma^{(q)}) + \deg_U^*(\sigma^{(q)})$ is the maximal simplicial degree of Definition 5. But, since in a simplicial complex K there cannot be more collaborations for a q -simplex (and its faces) than the total number of simplices minus 1, we propose a simplification of this formula in the following definition.

Definition 14. *Let $\sigma^{(q)}$ be a q -simplex in K . We define the maximal simplicial centrality degree centrality of $\sigma^{(q)}$ as:*

$$C_{\deg^*}(\sigma^{(q)}) := \frac{\deg^*(\sigma^{(q)})}{\sum_{i=0}^{\dim K} f_i - 1},$$

where $\deg^*(\sigma^{(q)}) = \deg_A^*(\sigma^{(q)}) + \deg_U^*(\sigma^{(q)})$ is the maximal simplicial degree of Definition 5 and $f_i = \dim C_i(K)$.

Therefore, the maximal simplicial centrality degree of a q -simplex measures a normalised relevance of the q -simplex, keeping track of both the number of all collaborative simplicial communities with its faces (using the maximal p -adjacency degree) and the number of all simplicial communities to which the q -simplex belongs to (using the strictly $(q + h)$ -upper degree).




FIGURE 3. General simplicial degree of 1-simplex.

Example 1. Let us compute the maximal simplicial centrality degree centrality of the 1-simplex σ defined by the vertices v_0 and v_1 in Figure 3. We have $q = 1$ and $\dim K = 3$, so that:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg^*(\sigma) &= \deg_A^*(\sigma) + \deg_U^*(\sigma) = \\ &= \deg_A^{0*}(\sigma) + \deg_U^{(1,2*)}(\sigma) + \deg_U^{(2,3*)}(\sigma) = \\ &= 4 + 1 + 2 = 7, \end{aligned}$$

where:

- $\deg_A^{0*}(\sigma)$ is the number of q' -simplices strictly 0-lower adjacent to σ and not upper adjacent. These are the triangle t_1 (in blue), t_2 (in green), t_4 (in orange) and the tetrahedron T_1 (in red), that is, it contributes in 4.
- $\deg_U^{(1,2*)}(\sigma)$ is the number of 2-simplices (triangles) strictly 2-upper adjacent to σ . This is only the triangle t_3 (in yellow).
- $\deg_U^{(2,3*)}(\sigma)$ is the number of 3-simplices (tetrahedra) strictly 3-upper adjacent to σ . These are the tetrahedron T_2 (in white, formed by the vertices $\{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$) and the tetrahedron T_3 (in purple).

Since f_i denotes the number of i -simplices of the simplicial complex, we have that $f_0 = 13$, $f_1 = 24$, $f_2 = 15$ and $f_3 = 3$ and thus $\sum_{i=0}^{\dim K} f_i = 55$. Therefore, the maximal simplicial centrality degree centrality of σ is:

$$C_{\deg^*}(\sigma) := \frac{\deg^*(\sigma)}{\sum_{i=0}^{\dim K} f_i - 1} = \frac{7}{54}.$$

Let us end this subsection by defining the normalised average degree of a simplicial network. Since there are several types of adjacency notions for simplices, we shall define a maximal version of this measure by using both the strict upper degree and the maximal adjacency degree, others definitions can be given in an analogous way.

Definition 15.

- We define the strictly upper normalised average degree of $C_q(K)$ by:

$$\bar{E}_U^*[C_q(K)] := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{f_q} \deg_U^*(\sigma_i^{(q)})}{M_q},$$

where $M_q = \sum_{h=1}^{\dim K - q} f_q \cdot \binom{f_0 - (q+1)}{q+h}$ and $\deg_U^*(\sigma_i^{(q)}) = \sum_{h=1}^{\dim K - q} \deg_U^{h, (q+h)*}(\sigma_i^{(q)})$.

- We define the strictly upper normalised average degree of a simplicial complex K by:

$$\bar{E}_U^*[K] := \frac{\sum_{q=0}^{\dim K} \sum_{i=1}^{f_q} \deg_U^*(\sigma_i^{(q)})}{\sum_{q=0}^{\dim K} M_q}.$$

Remark 4. The number M_q in the denominator reflects the fact that for each chosen q -simplex in $C_q(K)$ (there are f_q q -simplices in K), there are $\binom{f_0 - (q+1)}{q+h}$ possible $q+h$ -simplices which can be formed with the $q+1$ vertices of the q -simplex joint with the $f_0 - (q+1)$ remaining ones.

Using the p -adjacency degree for q -simplices (with $q > 0$), we define the following.

Definition 16.

- We define the maximal adjacency normalised average degree of $C_q(K)$ by:

$$\bar{E}_A^*[C_q(K)] := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{f_q} \deg_A^*(\sigma_i^{(q)})}{N_q},$$

where we are denoting $N_q = \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} f_q \cdot \binom{q+1}{p+1} \cdot (\sum_{q'=p+1}^{\dim K} \binom{f_0 - (p+1)}{q'} - 1)$ and $\deg_A^*(\sigma_i^{(q)}) = \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} \deg_A^{p*}(\sigma_i^{(q)})$.

- We define the maximal adjacency normalised average degree of K by:

$$\bar{E}_A^*[K] := \frac{\sum_{q=0}^{\dim K} \sum_{i=1}^{f_q} \deg_A^*(\sigma_i^{(q)})}{\sum_{q=0}^{\dim K} N_q}.$$

Remark 5. N_q reflects the fact that each q -simplex (there are f_q q -simplices in K), has $\binom{q+1}{p+1}$ p -faces, and for each of these faces there are $\binom{f_0-(p+1)}{q'}$ possible q' -simplices which can be formed with the $p+1$ vertices of the p -face joint with the $f_0 - (p+1)$ remaining ones.

Using these measures, we define next the maximal normalised average degree of a simplicial network.

Definition 17.

- We define the maximal normalised average degree of $C_q(K)$ by:

$$\bar{E}^*[C_q(K)] := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{f_q} \deg^*(\sigma_i^{(q)})}{M_q + N_q},$$

where $\deg^*(\sigma_i^{(q)}) = \deg_A^{p^*}(\sigma_i^{(q)}) + \deg_A^{p^*}(\sigma_i^{(q)})$ is the maximal simplicial degree of Definition 5.

- We define the maximal normalised average degree of K by:

$$\bar{E}^*[K] := \frac{\sum_{q=0}^{\dim K} \sum_{i=1}^{f_q} \deg^*(\sigma_i^{(q)})}{\sum_{q=0}^{\dim K} (M_q + N_q)}.$$

- Vertex case. For $q = 0$ we define:

$$\bar{E}^*[C_0(K)] := \bar{E}_U^*[C_0(K)] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{f_0} \deg_U^*(v_i)}{M_0},$$

where $M_0 = \sum_{h=1}^{\dim K} f_0 \cdot \binom{f_0-1}{h}$ and $\deg_U^*(v_i) = \sum_{h=1}^{\dim K} \deg_U^{h,h^*}(v_i)$.

For $q = 0$ and $h = 1$, the maximal normalised average degree $\bar{E}^*[K]$ coincides with the normalised average degree of the graph network.

2.2. Centrality measures associated with walks and distances.

Given a simplicial complex K , the notion of p -nearness is given by saying (see [1, 10]) that two simplices σ and σ' are p -near if they share a p -face. Two simplices σ and σ' are p -connected if there exists a sequence of simplices $\sigma, \sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(r), \sigma'$ such that any two consecutive ones share at least a p -face (notice that if σ and σ' are p -near then they are also $(p-1)$, $(p-2)$, ... and 0-near). This implies the use of the p -lower adjacency of Definition 1 for each consecutive pair of simplices. If we denote K_p the set of simplices in K of dimension greater or equal than p , then p -connectedness is an equivalence relation on K_p and the quotient space \bar{K}_p represents the set of equivalence classes of p -connected simplices, called the p -connected components of K .

On the other hand, in [7] the authors define a s^q -walk (for $q > 0$) as an alternating sequence of q -simplices and $(q - 1)$ -simplices:

$$\sigma_1^{(q)}, \tau_1^{(q-1)} \sigma_2^{(q)}, \tau_2^{(q-1)}, \dots, \tau_r^{(q-1)} \sigma_{r+1}^{(q)}$$

such that, for all $i = 1, \dots, r$, $\tau_i^{(q-1)}$ is a face of both $\sigma_i^{(q)}$ and $\sigma_{i+1}^{(q)}$ and $\sigma_i^{(q)}$ and $\sigma_{i+1}^{(q)}$ are not both faces of the same $(q + 1)$ -simplex. This definition implies the use of the $(q - 1)$ -adjacency of Definition 3 for each consecutive pair of q -simplices. Following this idea, we give an alternative definition of p -nearness which generalises both definitions using the maximal p -adjacency of Definition 3.

Definition 18.

- Two simplices $\sigma^{(q)}$ and $\sigma^{(q')}$ are said to be maximal p -near if they are maximal p -adjacent, that is, if $\sigma^{(q)} \sim_{A_p^*} \sigma^{(q')}$.
- A (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r) -walk between the simplices $\sigma_1^{(q_1)}$ and $\sigma_{r+1}^{(q_{r+1})}$ is a sequence of simplices:

$$\sigma_1^{(q_1)} \tau_1^{(p_1)} \sigma_2^{(q_2)} \tau_2^{(p_2)} \dots \tau_r^{(p_r)} \sigma_{r+1}^{(q_{r+1})}$$

such that $\sigma_i^{(q_i)}$ and $\sigma_{i+1}^{(q_{i+1})}$ are maximal p_i -near, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

- A p -walk between the simplices $\sigma_1^{(q_1)}$ and $\sigma_{r+1}^{(q_{r+1})}$ is a sequence as above:

$$\sigma_1^{(q_1)} \tau_1^{(p_1)} \sigma_2^{(q_2)} \tau_2^{(p_2)} \dots \tau_r^{(p_r)} \sigma_{r+1}^{(q_{r+1})}$$

where $p = \min\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$.

- We call r the length of the p -walk and we say that two simplices are maximal p -connected if there exists a p -walk between them.

Remark 6. Notice that if two simplices are maximal p -near, they are not maximal $(p - 1)$ -near. They are clearly p -near and thus also $(p - 1)$ -near, $(p - 2)$ -near, and so on.

Remark 7. If $q_i = q$ for all i and $p = q - 1$, then the above notion of p -walk recovers the definition of a s^q -walk given in [7, Def. 9].




FIGURE 4. Maximal p -nearness and walks.

Example 2. *In Figure 4 we have that:*

- *The triangle t_1 (in blue) and the tetrahedron T_1 (in red) are maximal 1-near (sharing the purple face given by the edge a).*
- *T_1 and the tetrahedron T_2 (in yellow) are maximal 0-near (sharing the vertex v).*
- *T_2 and the tetrahedron T_3 (in light blue) are maximal 2-near (sharing the face given by the triangle t_2).*
- *There exists a 0-walk between t_1 and T_3 .*

Let us point out that with this definition, maximal p -connectedness is not an equivalence relation, since a simplex cannot be maximal p -adjacent to itself, and thus is not reflexive. Then, we define the following equivalence relation:

$$(\sigma^{(a)}, \sigma^{(a')}) \in R_p \iff \begin{cases} \sigma^{(a)} \text{ and } \sigma^{(a')} \text{ are maximal } p\text{-connected} \\ \text{or } \sigma^{(a)} = \sigma^{(a')} \end{cases}$$

Thus, the quotient space $\bar{K}_p^* = K_p/R_p$ represents the set of equivalence classes of maximal p -connected simplices. We shall refer to these equivalence classes as the maximal p -connected components of K .

Remark 8. *Notice that if $\sigma^{(a')} \sim_{A_p^*} \sigma^{(a)}$, then $\sigma^{(a')} \sim_{L_p^*} \sigma^{(a)}$, but the converse is no longer true in general. Thus, if $\sigma^{(a')}$ lies in a maximal p -connected component, then it also lies in a p -connected component, but again, the opposite direction is no longer true in general.*

The number of elements, Q_p^* , of the quotient set \bar{K}_p^* (that is, Q_p^* is the number of maximal p -connected components of K) gives a generalisation of the topological invariant Q -vector: $(Q_{\dim K}, \dots, Q_1, Q_0)$ (also referred as the first structure vector in the literature). We shall refer to it as the Q^* -vector: $(Q_{\dim K}^*, \dots, Q_1^*, Q_0^*)$.

Once we have walks in a simplicial complex, we can define a new distance.

Definition 19. *The p -distance between two simplices $\sigma^{(a)}$ and $\sigma^{(a')}$, written $d_p(\sigma^{(a)}, \sigma^{(a')})$, is defined to be the smallest length among the p -walks between $\sigma^{(a)}$ and $\sigma^{(a')}$. If there are no p -walks we put $d_p(\sigma^{(a)}, \sigma^{(a')}) = \infty$. If $q = q' = 0$ we define the distance between vertices as the usual one.*

Proposition 1. *d_p is a generalised¹ distance on K .*

¹The term generalised distance will be used to mean a distance function $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ on a set X satisfying all the usual metric space axioms except that it need not be finite. Any such functions defines a topology on X in the usual way and induces a metric space structure on each connected component of X .

Proof. Clearly $d_p(\sigma^{(q)}, \sigma^{(q')}) \geq 0$ for all $\sigma^{(q)}$ and $\sigma^{(q')}$, and this value is zero if and only if $\sigma^{(q)} = \sigma^{(q')}$. To prove $d_p(\sigma^{(q)}, \sigma^{(q')}) = d_p(\sigma^{(q')}, \sigma^{(q)})$ one just needs to note that any p -walk between $\sigma^{(q)}$ and $\sigma^{(q')}$ gives a p -walk between $\sigma^{(q')}$ and $\sigma^{(q)}$, so that $d_p(\sigma^{(q')}, \sigma^{(q)}) \leq d_p(\sigma^{(q)}, \sigma^{(q')})$. A symmetric argument shows that $d_p(\sigma^{(q)}, \sigma^{(q')}) \leq d_p(\sigma^{(q')}, \sigma^{(q)})$, thus $d_p(\sigma^{(q)}, \sigma^{(q')}) = d_p(\sigma^{(q')}, \sigma^{(q)})$. Finally, the inequality

$$d_p(\sigma^{(q)}, \sigma^{(q'')}) \leq d_p(\sigma^{(q)}, \sigma^{(q')}) + d_p(\sigma^{(q')}, \sigma^{(q'')})$$

holds true since given a p -walk from $\sigma^{(q)}$ to $\sigma^{(q')}$ and a p -walk from $\sigma^{(q')}$ to $\sigma^{(q'')}$ one gets a p -walk from $\sigma^{(q)}$ to $\sigma^{(q'')}$. \square

Definition 20. Given $\sigma^{(q)} \in K_p$, we define the p -eccentricity of $\sigma^{(q)}$ by:

$$\epsilon_p(\sigma^{(q)}) := \max_{\sigma^{(q')} \in K_p} d_p(\sigma^{(q)}, \sigma^{(q')})$$

and the p -diameter of K as:

$$D_p := \max_{\sigma^{(q)} \in K} \epsilon_p(\sigma^{(q)})$$

Let us denote by $\bar{K}_p^* = K_p/R_p = \bar{K}_{p,1}^* \amalg \cdots \amalg \bar{K}_{p,Q_p^*}^*$ the maximal p -connected components of K , and let us denote by $Q_{p,l}^*$ the number of simplices in the maximal p -connected component $\bar{K}_{p,l}^*$ (for each $l = 1, \dots, Q_p^*$).

Definition 21. We define the average simplicial shortest p -walk length by:

$$l_p = \frac{2 \sum_{i < j} d_p(\sigma_i^{(q_i)}, \sigma_j^{(q_j)})}{Q_{p,l}^* \cdot (Q_{p,l}^* - 1)}.$$

Let us end this section by defining new generalisations of two very useful and commonly used centralities in complex networks: the closeness and betweenness centralities.

Definition 22. Given $\sigma_i^{(q_i)} \in K_p$ we define the p -closeness centrality by:

$$C_{CL,p}(\sigma_i^{(q_i)}) := \frac{1}{\sum_{i \neq j} d_p(\sigma_i^{(q_i)}, \sigma_j^{(q_j)})}$$

Remark 9. We treat $\frac{1}{\infty} = 0$. Under this convention, and following the standard literature, the centrality measure should have been referred as the harmonic p -closeness centrality.

Definition 23. Given $\sigma_k^{(q_k)} \in \bar{K}_{p,l}^* \subseteq \bar{K}_p^*$, we define the p -betweenness centrality of a simplex $\sigma_k^{(q_k)}$ as:

$$C_{B,p}(\sigma_k^{(q_k)}) := \frac{2}{(Q_{p,l}^* - 1)(Q_{p,l}^* - 2)} \cdot \sum_{i \neq k \neq j} \frac{l_{ij,p}(\sigma_k^{(q_k)})}{l_{ij,p}},$$

where $l_{ij,p}$ stands for the total number of shortest p -walks between $\sigma_i^{(q_i)}$ and $\sigma_j^{(q_j)}$, and $l_{ij,p}(\sigma_k^{(q_k)})$ denotes the total number of shortest p -walks between $\sigma_i^{(q_i)}$ and $\sigma_j^{(q_j)}$ passing through $\sigma_k^{(q_k)}$.

Remark 10. The term $\frac{(Q_{p,l}^*-1)(Q_{p,l}^*-2)}{2}$ is the number of pairs of simplices, different from $\sigma_k^{(q_k)}$, lying in the same maximal p -connected component $\bar{K}_{p,l}^*$ as $\sigma_k^{(q_k)}$.

2.3. Simplicial clustering: a centrality measure associated with both the higher order degree and the p -distance.

Let us finish this subsection by defining a clustering coefficient for q -simplices. In [12] a clustering coefficient of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ is defined as follows:

$$\frac{\text{number of faces that neighbour simplices to } \sigma^{(q)} \text{ share between themselves}}{\frac{z-1}{2}(\text{total number of faces that neighbour simplices can share between themselves})},$$

where z denotes the number of neighbour simplices of $\sigma^{(q)}$. Since the number of p -faces of a q'_i -simplex is given by the combinatorial number $\binom{q'_i+1}{p+1}$, which by equation (1) is equal to the lower degree $\deg_L^{h,p}(\sigma^{(q'_i)})$, and the number z of neighbour simplices of $\sigma^{(q)}$ can be defined with the upper degree of $\sigma^{(q)}$ of Definition 2, then the clustering coefficient of [12] could be also written down with our definitions. Nice and closed formulas are also contained in that paper to explicitly compute this clustering coefficient. But let us point out that with this definition, if we have a triangle having two tetrahedra attached to a common vertex, then its clustering coefficient is non zero since the two tetrahedra share a 0-face (the vertex), but nonetheless one could think that this two tetrahedron communities are neighbours of the triangle but are not linked between themselves by a different agent to that of the common vertex, so that one might state that the clustering coefficient of the triangle should be zero.

With this idea, instead of rewriting the clustering coefficient of [12] in terms of the higher order lower and upper degrees, we will give a different definition of simplicial clustering of a simplex, which generalises the standard clustering coefficient of a vertex by using both the definition of maximal p -adjacency degree and the strictly upper degree of a simplex.

In a graph network, the clustering coefficient of a vertex v is defined as the ratio:

$$C(v) = \frac{\text{number of links among its neighbour vertices}}{\text{number of all possible links among its neighbour vertices}},$$

that is:

$$C(v) = \frac{\text{number of links among its neighbour vertices}}{\frac{\deg(v) \cdot (\deg(v) - 1)}{2}},$$

In order to generalise this definition for a simplex in a simplicial network, let us start with the vertex case (0-simplex), and to explain what we mean by a neighbour of a 0-simplex and what we allow as a link between neighbours of a 0-simplex.

Definition 24. We say that a h -simplex $\sigma^{(h)}$ is a maximal neighbour of a 0-simplex v if it is strictly h -upper adjacent to v , that is:

$$\sigma^{(h)} \text{ is a maximal neighbour of } v \iff \sigma^{(h)} \sim_{U_{h^*}} v.$$

Definition 25. Let $\sigma^{(h)}$ and $\sigma^{(\bar{h})}$ be two maximal neighbour simplices to the 0-simplex v . We say that $\sigma^{(h)}$ and $\sigma^{(\bar{h})}$ are linked if they share a p -face which is different from v .

Remark 11. A maximal neighbour of a vertex v is a maximal collaborative simplicial community to which the vertex v belongs to. Maximal neighbour simplices of a vertex v are maximal collaborative communities (to which the vertex belongs to) sharing a collaborative sub-community (p -face) which contains more vertices than the vertex v itself.

Let us now define a simplicial clustering coefficient for a 0-simplex in a simplicial network.

Definition 26. The simplicial clustering coefficient of a 0-simplex v in K is defined as the ratio:

$$C_S(v) = \frac{\text{number of links among its maximal neighbour simplices}}{\frac{\deg_U^*(v) \cdot (\deg_U^*(v) - 1)}{2}},$$

where $\deg_U^*(v) := \sum_{h=1}^{\dim K} \deg_U^{(h, h^*)}(v)$.




FIGURE 5. Simplicial clustering coefficient of a vertex.

Example 3. In Figure 5 (a) the graph clustering coefficient of the vertex v is:

$$C(v) = \frac{3}{\frac{\deg(v) \cdot (\deg(v) - 1)}{2}} = \frac{3}{\frac{6 \cdot 5}{2}} = \frac{1}{5}.$$

Nonetheless, its simplicial clustering coefficient is:

$$C_S(v) = \frac{0}{\frac{\deg_U^*(v) \cdot (\deg_U^*(v) - 1)}{2}} = \frac{0}{\frac{3 \cdot 2}{2}} = \frac{0}{3} = 0$$

since $\deg_U^*(v) = \deg_U^{(1,1^*)}(v) + \deg_U^{(2,2^*)}(v) = 0 + 3 = 3$ and $\deg_U^{(h,h^*)}(v)$ is the number of h -simplices strictly h -upper adjacent to v . Notice that none of the triangles which are upper adjacent to v are linked by a face different from v , and this is why the numerator is 0.

In Figure 5 (b) the graph clustering coefficient of the vertex v is:

$$C(v) = \frac{3}{\frac{\deg(v) \cdot (\deg(v) - 1)}{2}} = \frac{4}{\frac{7 \cdot 6}{2}} = \frac{4}{21},$$

whereas the simplicial clustering coefficient of v is:

$$C_S(v) = \frac{2}{\frac{\deg_U^*(v) \cdot (\deg_U^*(v) - 1)}{2}} = \frac{2}{\frac{5 \cdot 4}{2}} = \frac{1}{5},$$

where $\deg_U^*(v) = \deg_U^{(1,1^*)}(v) + \deg_U^{(2,2^*)}(v) = 1 + 4 = 5$, since: the strict upper degree $\deg_U^{(1,1^*)}(v)$ is the number of edges to which v belongs to and such that they are not contained in a triangle (so there is only one); and the strict upper degree $\deg_U^{(2,2^*)}(v)$ is the number of triangles to which v belongs to and such that they are not contained in a tetrahedron (thus, there are 4, the triangles t_1 , t_2 and t_3 and the one not marked).

Since the triangles t_1 and t_2 are linked by the face a_1 , and the triangles t_2 and t_3 are linked by the face a_3 , we have that there exist 2 links among the maximal neighbours of v given by a_1 and a_2 .

Let us consider the q -simplex case for $q > 0$. In this situation, there exists lower adjacency and thus, the notion of maximal p -adjacency joint with the strict upper adjacency will suit for us. The reason is that, if one has an edge (1-simplex), there might be maximal simplicial communities which are maximal 0-adjacent to the edge (lower adjacent to the edge in a vertex of the edge, but not upper adjacent to the edge), but there might also exist maximal simplicial communities on which our edge is nested in (that is, strict upper adjacent simplices to the edge). Thus, we have to consider both types as maximal neighbours.

Definition 27. We say that a q' -simplex $\sigma^{(q')}$ is a maximal neighbour of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ if either:

- there exists $p < q$ such that $\sigma^{(q')}$ is maximal p -adjacent to $\sigma^{(q)}$, that is $\sigma^{(q')} \sim_{A_p^*} \sigma^{(q)}$, or
- $\sigma^{(q')}$ is strictly q' -upper adjacent to $\sigma^{(q)}$, that is $\sigma^{(q')} \sim_{U_{q'^*}} \sigma^{(q)}$.

Definition 28. Let $\sigma^{(q_i)}$ and $\sigma^{(q_j)}$ be two maximal neighbour simplices to $\sigma^{(q)}$. We say that $\sigma^{(q_i)}$ and $\sigma^{(q_j)}$ are linked if either:

- they share a face which is different from $\sigma^{(q)}$ and all of its faces, or
- there exists a 0-walk between them of 0-distance $d_0(\sigma^{(q_i)}, \sigma^{(q_j)}) = 2$, and such that it does not contain any of the faces of $\sigma^{(q)}$.

Remark 12. The first condition in the above definition means that if the two maximal neighbour simplices to $\sigma^{(q)}$ share a face, then this face has to contain at least one vertex different from those vertices of $\sigma^{(q)}$. The second consists of allowing maximal neighbour simplices to $\sigma^{(q)}$, which do not share a face, to be connected by at least an edge which is not contained in $\sigma^{(q)}$. If there were more than one 0-walk between two maximal neighbour simplices satisfying the second condition of the above definition, we will only count one link.

Let us now define a clustering coefficient for a simplex in a simplicial network.

Definition 29. The simplicial clustering coefficient of a q -simplex $\sigma^{(q)}$ in K (with $q > 0$) is defined as the ratio:

$$C_S(\sigma^{(q)}) = \frac{\text{number of links among its maximal neighbour simplices}}{\frac{\deg^*(\sigma^{(q)}) \cdot (\deg^*(\sigma^{(q)}) - 1)}{2}},$$

where $\deg^*(\sigma^{(q)}) = \deg_A^*(\sigma^{(q)}) + \deg_U^*(\sigma^{(q)})$ is the maximal simplicial degree of $\sigma^{(q)}$ given in Definition 5.

Remark 13. Notice that we can compute the simplicial clustering coefficient of a simplex in a simplicial network by using the results of the previous section.

This definition generalises the graph clustering definition for vertices in the following sense.

- (1) Choose a q -simplex (the one wanted to know its simplicial clustering) and draw it as vertex v in a new graph.
- (2) Represent also as vertices of the new graph all its maximal neighbours simplices.
- (3) Draw an edge from v to other vertices representing maximal neighbour simplices to $\sigma^{(q)}$.
- (4) Draw also an edge among the neighbour vertices to v whose associated maximal neighbour simplices are linked.

Then, the clustering coefficient of $\sigma^{(q)}$ here defined is precisely the standard graph clustering coefficient of its associated vertex v in the new graph.




FIGURE 6. Simplicial clustering coefficient of a 1-simplex.

Example 4. Let us compute the simplicial clustering coefficient of the 1-simplex σ defined by the vertices v_0 and v_1 in Figure 6 left. The general simplicial degree of σ is computed in Example 1:

$$\deg^*(\sigma) = \deg_A^*(\sigma) + \deg_U^*(\sigma) = 7.$$

Following Definition 27, the maximal neighbours of σ are the triangles t_1 , t_2 and t_4 , the tetrahedron T_1 (all of them being maximal 0-adjacent to σ), and the triangle t_3 and the tetrahedra T_2 and T_3 (being strictly upper adjacent to σ). Using Definition 28, the links among the maximal neighbours of σ are 4 since:

- There exists a 0-walk of 0-distance 2 between T_1 and t_2 .
- There exists a common face between T_1 and t_4 given by the edge v_{14} defined by the vertices $\{v_1, v_4\}$.
- There exists a common face between t_4 and T_2 given by the edge $v_{12} = \{v_1, v_2\}$.
- There exists a common face between T_2 and T_3 given by the triangle $v_{013} = \{v_0, v_1, v_3\}$.

Thus, the simplicial clustering coefficient of σ is:

$$C_S(\sigma^{(q)}) = \frac{\text{number of links among its maximal neighbour simplices}}{\frac{\deg^*(\sigma) \cdot (\deg^*(\sigma) - 1)}{2}} = \frac{4}{21}.$$

Notice that this agrees with the standard graph clustering of σ thought of as a vertex in Figure 6 right.

Remark 14. Depending on what kind of clustering we want to measure in our simplicial network, Definition 29 might be generalised by introducing a different notion of link using distinct s -distances quantities (also varying the parameter s , that is, the allowed

s-walks). Notice also that when two maximal neighbour simplices to a given one are linked by sharing a face, no matters the dimension of the face is, we just understand it as a connection between them. Thus, weights might be needed for different applications.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.H. ATKIN, *From cohomology in physics to q -connectivity in social science*, Internat. J. Man-Machine Studies 4 (1972) 139–167.
- [2] A. BARABÁSI AND M. PÓSFAL, *Network Science*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2016).
- [3] A. BARABÁSI AND A. RÉKA, *Statistical mechanics of complex networks*, Rev. Modern Phys. 74, 1 (2002).
- [4] G. BIANCONI AND O.T. COURTNEY, *Generalized network structures: The configuration model and the canonical ensemble of simplicial complexes*, Phys. Rev. E. 93 (6) (2016).
- [5] G. BIANCONI AND A.P. KARTUN-GILES, *Beyond the clustering coefficient: A topological analysis of node neighbourhoods in complex networks*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals: X 1 (2019).
- [6] C.J. CARSTENS AND K.J. HORADAM, *Persistent homology of collaboration networks*, Math. Probl. Eng. (2013).
- [7] E. ESTRADA AND G.J. ROSS, *Centralities in simplicial complexes. Applications to protein interaction networks*, J. Theoret. Biol. 438 (2018) 46–60.
- [8] T.E. GOLDBERG, *Combinatorial Laplacians of Simplicial Complexes*, Annandale-on-Hudson, New York (2012).
- [9] D. HERNÁNDEZ SERRANO AND D. SÁNCHEZ GÓMEZ, *Higher order degree and combinatorial Laplacians of Simplicial Complexes: applications to network science*, arXiv:1908.02583 [cs.SI, math.AT] (2019).
- [10] S. MALETIC AND M. RAJKOVIC, *Combinatorial Laplacian and entropy of simplicial complexes associated with complex networks*, Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics 212 (2012) 77–97.
- [11] S. MALETIC AND M. RAJKOVIC, *Consensus formation on a simplicial complex of opinions*, Phys. A 397 (2014) 111–120.
- [12] S. MALETIC AND M. RAJKOVIC AND D. VASILJEVIĆ, *Simplicial Complexes of Networks and Their Statistical Properties*, In: Bubak M., van Albada G.D., Dongarra J., Sloot P.M.A. (eds) Computational Science ICCS 2008. ICCS 2008. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 5102. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- [13] T.J. MOORE, R.J. DROST, AND A. SWAMI, *The Communications and Networks Collaborative Technology Alliance Publication Network: A Case Study on Graph and Simplicial Complex Analysis*, Computational and Information Sciences Directorate, ARL, US Army research Laboratory (2015).
- [14] A. MUHAMMAD AND M. EGERSTEDT, *Control Using Higher Order Laplacians in Network Topologies*, Proc. of 17th International Symposium on Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems, Kyoto (2006) 1024–1038.
- [15] J. R. MUNKRES, *Elements of algebraic topology*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Menlo Park, CA, 1984.

- [16] A. PATANIA AND P. GIOVANNI AND F. VACCARINO, *The shape of collaborations*, EPJ Data Science 6(1) (2017).
- [17] K. XIA AND G.W. WEI, *Persistent homology analysis of protein structure, flexibility and folding*, Int. J. Numer. Methods Biomed. Eng. 30 (8) (2014) 814–844.
- [18] K. XIA AND G.W. WEI, *Multidimensional persistence in biomolecular data*, IJ. Comput. Chem. 36 (20) (2015) 1502–1520.

E-mail address: dani@usal.es, dario@usal.es

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS AND INSTITUTO UNIVERSITARIO DE FÍSICA FUNDAMENTAL Y MATEMÁTICAS (IUFFyM), UNIVERSIDAD DE SALAMANCA, PLAZA DE LA MERCED 1-4, 37008 SALAMANCA, SPAIN